

Using Technology in the diagnosis of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder

Itai Berger*

The Pediatric Neurology Unit, Hadassah-Hebrew University Medical Center, Israel

Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is among the most prevalent chronic health disorders affecting school-age children [1]. The disorder attracts a lot of controversies [2,3]. One of the main reasons for criticism is the diagnostic process, which in some aspects is subjective and can be relatively easily biased [4].

There is a discrepancy between the expanding scientific biological, genetic and imaging knowledge and the clinical based procedure of ADHD diagnosis [4].

In the absence of available biological markers that would support diagnosis on a routine clinical visit, professionals are asked to continue and use clinical interview, extensive history taking, parent-teacher rating scales, review of psycho-educational test data, and direct observation [4,5]. Shortcomings associated with standard clinical approaches suggest an increasing need to consider complementary strategies for determining diagnostic standing and assessing treatment outcome [4,5].

As in other areas in neurology, there has long been interest in developing laboratory-based technology supported measures that could support ADHD diagnosis and in using technology in order to improve assessment and treatment methods [6-8]. Computerized continuous performance tests (CPT), virtual reality class-room simulators, and even using Nintendo and Wii remote controller through controlling environmental stimulation were suggested [9-11].

The clinical utility of these technological measures in the diagnosis and/or treatment of ADHD is the subject of much controversy due to relatively high false negative errors, and low overall utility [4,6].

Most researchers concluded that the data available supporting the validity of these measures is limited and that there is a need for further validity studies [2,4,12].

Through modifying the existing hardware or by adopting software technology, many commercial high-technology products possessing the characteristics of special sensors can be reset or modified in terms of their default functions, and turned into high performance assistive devices to meet the special needs of people with ADHD [10].

Clearly, the diagnostic process of ADHD requires the development of more definitive measures [2,4]. The solution lies in more research that will guide us towards better diagnostic tools. The impact of undiagnosed or misdiagnosed ADHD might have a significant effect on the lives of many children. Therefore, there is a need to compile a mandatory, more accurate, and generally accepted diagnostic battery of tests for all clinicians who assess ADHD children, while strongly considering the incorporation of various technological tools which might improve the validity and accuracy of the diagnosis process and of treatment assessment.

References

1. Skounti M, Philalithis A, Galanakis E (2007) Variations in prevalence of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder worldwide. *Eur J Pediatr* 166: 117-123.
2. Berger I, Dor T, Nevo Y, Goldzweig G (2008) Attitudes toward ADHD treatment: parents and children perspectives. *J Child Neurol* 23: 1036-1042.
3. Furman LM (2008) Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): does new research support old concepts? *J Child Neurol* 23: 775-784.
4. American Academy of Pediatrics (2000) Clinical practice guideline: diagnosis and evaluation of the child with ADHD. *Pediatrics* 105: 1158-1170.
5. Berger I (2011) Diagnosis of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: much ado about something. *Isr Med Assoc J* 13: 571-574.
6. Nichols SL, Waschbusch DA (2004) A review of the validity of laboratory cognitive tasks used to assess symptoms of ADHD. *Child Psychiatry Hum Dev* 34: 297-315.
7. Caudal F (2011) New marker using bioimpedance technology in screening for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in children as an adjunct to conventional diagnostic methods. *Psychol Res Behav Manag* 4: 113-117.
8. Rapport MD, Chung KM, Shore G, Denney CB, Isaacs P (2000) Upgrading the science and technology of assessment and diagnosis: laboratory and clinic-based assessment of children with ADHD. *J Clin Child Psychol* 29: 555-568.
9. Riccio CA, Waldrop JJ, Reynolds CR, Lowe P (2001) Effects of stimulants on the continuous performance test (CPT): implications for CPT use and interpretation. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 13: 326-335.
10. Shih CH, Yeh JC, Shih CT, Chang ML (2011) Assisting children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder actively reduces limb hyperactive behavior with a Nintendo Wii Remote Controller through controlling environmental stimulation. *Res Dev Disabil* 32: 1631-1637.
11. Parsons TD, Bowerly T, Buckwalter JG, Rizzo AA (2007) A controlled clinical comparison of attention performance in children with ADHD in a virtual reality classroom compared to standard neuropsychological methods. *Child Neuropsychol* 13: 363-381.
12. Rommelse NN (2008) Endophenotypes in the genetic research of ADHD over the last decade: have they lived up to their expectations? *Expert Rev Neurother* 8: 1425-1429.

*Corresponding author: Itai Berger, The Pediatric Neurology Unit, Hadassah-Hebrew University Medical Center, Jerusalem, Israel, Tel: +972-2-5844751; Fax: +972-2-5328963; E-mail: itberg@hadassah.org.il

Received December 13, 2011; Accepted December 13, 2011; Published December 15, 2011

Citation: Berger I (2011) Using Technology in the diagnosis of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. *J Ergonom* 1:e102. doi:10.4172/2165-7556.1000e102

Copyright: © 2011 Berger I. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.