

Post-Harvest Life of Cut Chrysanthemum Cultivars in Relation to Chemicals, Wrapping Material and Storage Conditions

Ranjan Srivastava^{*}, Gunjan Sharma and Satish Chand

Department of Horticulture, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

*Corresponding author: Ranjan Srivastava, Department of Horticulture, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India, 263 145, Tel: 9412039911; E-mail: anjansrivastava25@gmail.com

Rec date: Jul 07, 2014; Acc date: Jan 29, 2015; Pub date: Feb 03, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Srivastava R. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

A study was conducted to examine the efficacy of chemicals, wrapping material and storage conditions on postharvest life of chrysanthemum cultivars Snowball Yellow and Snowball White. Vase life and flower quality were significantly influenced by chemicals, wrapping material and storage conditions. Minimum weight loss of spikes, maximum total water absorbed, flower diameter and vase life were obtained in treatment T_2 (4% sucrose) as compared to control (Tap water). Significant effect of wrapping material and storage condition were also observed on per cent weight loss, total water absorbed maximum flower diameter and vase life in both the cultivars. Wrapping of spikes in PP 200 gauge with refrigerated storage at 3-4°C for 3 days + 6 hours of simulated transit (T_3S_2) resulted in minimum percent weight loss, maximum total water absorbed, flower diameter and maximum vase life in both the cultivars.

Keywords: Chrysanthemum; Variety; Wrapping material; Storage; Chemicals; Vase life

Introduction

Cut flowers, in general, are highly perishable and chrysanthemums are no exception to it. The high perishability of flowers render them vulnerable to considerable post-harvest losses [1]. In view of market strategy for cut flowers in the country, there are problems of frequent market gluts and price crash. Hence, there is an urgent need to evolve an appropriate packaging and storage technique for cut flowers during periods of decline and also to facilitate long term sea-shipment for export. Postharvest handling involving packaging is imperative to maintain flower freshness and original colour of flower for a longer period which is chiefly governed by internal mechanism that includes balance between water uptake and water loss, stem plugging, respiration rate and production of toxic substances like ethylene and external factors that include environmental conditions and microbial attack on the cut ends. The vase life of cut flowers is influenced by variety of factors like climate, variety, harvesting time, post harvest handling etc. [1]. A wide range of floral preservatives in the form of germicides, ethylene antagonistics and source of energy (sucrose) are in use to preserve the flower quality and extending post harvest longevity of cut flowers. Post harvest management and value addition can increase prices of cut flowers up to 9-10 times. A variety of preservatives and temperature treatments have been advocated for extending the vase life of cut flowers of different varieties. However, such information on crop varieties growing under Tarai conditions is scanty. Therefore, the present investigation was undertaken to study the influence of chemicals, wrapping material and storage conditions on post harvest life of chrysanthemum.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation was carried out at Model Floriculture Centre of the University located at 29°N latitude, 79.3°E longitude in the Tarai belt of Himalayas. The experimental materials consist of chrysanthemum varieties Snowball Yellow (V1) and Snowball White (V2). The crop was raised under naturally ventilated poly house with uniform standard cultural practices. The stems were harvested with the help of sharp secateurs at 8:00 am in the morning when 50 per cent of flowers were about ³/₄th open in field. The stem length of all flowers were uniformly maintained i.e. 25 cm. The chemical preservatives used in the present study comprised of sucrose (2% and 4%), citric acid (100 ppm and 200 ppm) and 8-HQC (150 ppm and 200 ppm). Sucrose, a carbohydrate, was used as a carbon source of energy while citric acid and 8-HQC were used for their anti-bacterial properties. The cut stems were kept in different holding solutions, T₀-Tap water (Control), T₁-Sucrose (2%), T₂-Sucrose (4%), T₂-Citric acid (100 ppm), T₄-Citric acid (200 ppm), T₅-8-HQC (150 ppm), T₆-8-HQC (200 ppm). The wrapping materials consists of T1-LDPE 100 gauge, T,-PP 100 gauge, T₃-PP 200 gauge, T₄-Cellophane, T₅-Newspaper, T₆-Brown paper, T₇-Open (Control) with storage conditions S₁-Normal storage conditions (25°C Temp.), S₂-Storage under refrigerated conditions (3-4°C) + 6 hours of simulated transit, S₃-Storage under refrigerated conditions (3-4°C) + 16 hours of simulated transit. The experiment was laid out in 2- factorial CRD with three replications. Observations like vase life, flower diameter, percent weight loss and maximum water absorbed were then recorded.

Results and Discussion

Post harvest characteristics of chrysanthemum cultivars were significantly affected by different chemical treatments, wrapping materials, storage conditions as well as varieties and their interaction. Minimum percent water loss, maximum flower diameter and maximum vase life of flowers were observed in the stems treated with sucrose 4% (T_2) (Table 1). T_2 recorded minimum per cent weight loss (33.12%) and it was found to be statistically at par with stems pulsed with sucrose (2%) (T_1) wherein per cent weight loss of 35.84% was recorded. Data further revealed that variety did not have any significant effect on per cent weight loss. As far as interaction is

Page 2 of 4

concerned, T_2V_1 recorded minimum (31.36%) per cent weight loss which was found to be statistically at par with T_1V_2 as well as T_1V_1 in which per cent weight losses of 35.42% and 36.26% were recorded, respectively. Minimum percent weight loss can be attributed to better water conductance through stem due to sucrose which was also utilized as the source of energy by cut flower stem and the germicidal properties of 8-HQC in addition to ethylene inhibition which might have helped in maximum uptake of water by the flowers, resulting in gain in fresh weight. The results obtained are also in close proximity with the findings of Park et al. [2]who recorded higher fresh weight of cut chrysanthemum spikes kept in a solution containing Al₂(SO₄)₃ (250 ppm) and sucrose (3%). Among the different holding solutions, the larger flower diameter was observed in treatment T2 (Sucrose 4%). Irrespective of treatments, variety (V₂) recorded maximum average flower diameter (8.42 cm) which was significantly higher than the average maximum diameter recorded in variety (V₁) (7.43 cm). In both the varieties, maximum flower diameter increased significantly over control in all the chemical treatments. Interaction data show that T_2V_2 produced maximum flower diameter (8.80 cm) while interaction T_0V_1 recorded minimum flower diameter.

Treatments	Percent we	ight loss (%)		Flower diam	eter (cm)		Vase life (days)			
	Vı	V2	Mean	V1	V2	Mean	V1	V2	Mean	
To: (Tap water)	45.76	48.94	47.35	7.03	8.06	7.55	20.00	18.33	19.16	
T1: (2% sucrose)	36.26	35.42	35.84	7.63	8.63	8.13	26.33	24.66	25.50	
T ₂ : (4% sucrose)	31.36	34.88	33.12	7.86	8.80	8.33	27.33	26.33	26.83	
T ₃ : (100 ppm citric acid)	43.31	43.93	43.62	7.16	8.23	7.69	21.66	20.66	21.16	
T4:(200 ppm citric acid)	42.48	39.87	41.17	7.33	8.33	7.83	23.33	21.66	22.50	
Ts: (150 ppm 8-HQC)	38.72	39.73	39.22	7.46	8.40	7.93	24.33	22.66	23.50	
T ₆ : (200 ppm 8-HQC)	36.71	37.45	37.08	7.53	8.53	8.03	24.66	24.00	24.33	
Mean	39.23	40.03	39.63	7.43	8.42	7.93	23.95	22.61	23.28	
	S.Em ±	CD at 5%	CD at 5%		CD at 5%		S.Em ± CD at 5%			
Varieties (V)	0.64	1.87	1.87		0.89		0.13	0.37		
Treatments (T)	1.20	3.49	3.49		0.16		0.24	0.70		
Interaction (V*T)	1.70	4.94	4.94		0.23		0.34	1.99		

Table 1: Effect of different holding solutions on per cent weight loss, flower diameter and vase life in cut chrysanthemum cultivars, Snowball
yellow (V1) and Snowball White (V2).

(7.03 cm) attained in vase. Possible explanation for gain in flower diameter may be the maintenance of higher fresh weight by maximum water uptake as a result of avoidance of blockage of xylem tissues by 8-HQC and utilization of sucrose as source of energy by the cut flower stem. Moreover, the accumulation of carbohydrates and water uptake would have had direct effect on increase in the cell volume. Besides, sucrose availability might have facilitated higher rate of respiration necessary for cell division, cell enlargement and providing 'C' skeleton for the tissue structure contributing to flower expansion and formation of cell constituents and thus caused increase in petal size as observed by Ho and Nicholes [3] in rose corollas. All holding solutions significantly increased the vase life which ranged from 20.00 to 23.77 days and 18.33 to 36.33 days in different vase solutions in cultivars V1 and V2, respectively. However, when compared with all other chemical treatments, pulsing with sucrose (4%) (T₂) recorded maximum vase life (26.83 days). Higher average vase life of 23.95 days was observed in V1 and interaction T2V1 recorded maximum vase life of 27.33 days. The enhanced longevity of sucrose pulsed stems could be attributed to continued and increased water uptake in the stems, cellular turgidity, enhanced fresh weight and dry weight, better petal size and optimum continuation of cell metabolism, specially respiration that facilitated cell growth and development, formation of cellular constituents and liberation of energy for other cellular

function. While, the shortest vase life of flowers kept in distilled water could be correlated with decreased water uptake in cut stems due to microbes which stimulated the formation of vascular occlusions in the stem as advocated by Lineberger and Stepkonus [4].

Average percent weight loss, flower diameter and vase life were also significantly influenced by wrapping material and storage conditions in both the chrysanthemum cultivars. Among all the treatments used, stems wrapped in PP 200 gauge (T3) resulted in minimum post harvest percent weight loss (39.02%) in variety Snowball Yellow and (42.04%) in variety Snowball White. Stems stored in refrigerated condition (3-4°C) for 3 days and subjected to 6 hr simulated transit (S₂) depicted minimum per cent weight loss in both the cultivars. Among the interactions, stems wrapped in PP 200 gauge and stored under refrigerated conditions (3-4°C) for 3 days and subjected to 6 hr simulated transit (T₂S₂) was found to be best in reducing the per cent weight loss in both the chrysanthemum cultivars. This may be due to the water loss which accounts for per cent physiological loss in weight was less when cut flowers were stored at lower temperature because vapour pressure deficit was smaller at lower temperature thereby causing less moisture as well as weight loss. Moreover, low cold storage temperature slows down transpirational loss of water and respirational loss of carbohydrates which reduces the loss of weight during storage. The results are in conformity with the findings of [5,6].

Page 3 of 4

Similarly, both flower diameter and vase life were found to be maximum in treatment T3 when stored under refrigerated conditions $(3-4^{\circ}C)$ for 3 days and subjected to 6 hr in both Snowball Yellow and Snowball White (Tables 2 and 3). The interaction effect was found to be significant and maximum flower diameter attained (7.76 cm) in Snowball Yellow and (8.56 cm) Snowball White was reported in stems wrapped in PP 200 gauge and stored under refrigerated condition $(3-4^{\circ}C)$ for 3 days + 6 hr simulated transit (T₃S₂). This may be due to the fact that the flowers wrapped in polypropylene had higher moisture retention and further storing them at low temperature

resulted in lower metabolic activities like respiration, transpiration and maintained high humidity which resulted in easy and more flower opening. Moreover, the beneficial effect of low temperature storage was due to the fact that it not only affects metabolic and physical activities of microbes but also reduces the rate of ethylene biosynthesis as well as the effectiveness of ethylene in promoting deteriorative processes that may lead to petal damage and shrinking. Similar findings were reported by Nowak and Rudnicki [7] and Singh et al. [8] in gladiolus.

Treatments												
(Wrapping material)		Per cent we		Flower diamete	Vase life (days)							
	Sı	S ₂	S₃	Mean	Sı	S2	S₃	Mean	Sı	S2	S₃	Mean
T1: (LDPE 100 gauge)	42.15	39.14	43.71	41.66	7.19	7.3	7.03	7.17	17	20	15.33	17.44
T₂: (PP 100 gauge)	39.13	37.52	42.18	39.61	7.5	7.56	7.4	7.48	17.33	20.66	16.33	18.11
T₃: (PP 200 gauge)	38.58	36.56	41.93	39.02			7.46	7.62		21.66	17.33	19.22
T4: (Cellophane)	43.01	41.5	44.79						18.33	21.33	16.66	18.77
T₅: (Newspaper)	44.39	42.91	46.47	44.59	6.83	7.2	6.8	6.94	16.33	19.66	14.66	16.88
T₀: (Brown paper)	43.93	42.11	45.9	43.98	6.7	7.13	6.63	6.82	15.33	19.33	14	16.22
T7: Open (Control)	46.16	45.01	47.87	46.35	6.46	6.96	6.33	6.58	14.66	17.66	13.33	15.22
Mean	42.48	40.68	44.69	42.62	7.09		6.98	7.14	16.8	20.04	15.38	17.41
	S.Em±	CD at 5%	S.Em±	CD at 5%	S.Em±	CD at 5%						
Storage condition (S)	0.59	1.7		0.13	0.19	0.54						
Wrapping material (T)	0.91	2.6	0.74	0.2	0.29	0.83						
	1.58	4.52	0.12	0.34	0.5	1.43						

Table 2: Effect of different storage conditions and wrapping materials on post harvest characteristics of cut chrysanthemum cultivar snowball yellow.

Treatments												
(Wrapping material)		Per cent we		Flower diamete	Vase life (days)							
	Sı	S2	S₃	Mean	Sı	S2	S₃	Mean	Sı	S2	S₃	Mean
T1: (LDPE 100 gauge)	45.12	44.11	45.82	45.01	8	8.1	7.83	7.97	16.33	19.33	13	16.22
T₂: (PP 100 gauge)	44.42	43.13	44.69	44.08	8.3	8.36	8.2	8.28	16.66	20.33	13.66	16.88
T₃: (PP 200 gauge)	41.87	40.44	43.8	42.04	8.43	8.56	8.26	8.42	18.33	21.33	15.33	18.33
T₄: (Cellophane)	45.85	44.45	46.56	45.62	8.13	8.26	8.03	8.14	17.66	20.66	14.66	17.66
Ts: (Newspaper)	47.07	47.46	49.09	47.87	7.63	8	7.6	7.74	15.66	17.66	12.66	15.33
T ₆ : (Brown paper)	46.48	46.28	47.79	46.85	7.53	7.93	7.43	7.63	14.66	17.33	12	14.66
T7: Open (Control)	49.79	48.01	51.97	49.92	7.26	7.76	7.13	7.38	13.66	16.33	11.66	13.88
Mean	45.8	44.84	47.1	45.91	7.9	8.14	7.78	7.94	16.14	19	13.28	16.14
	S.Em±	CD at 5%	S.Em±	CD at 5%	S.Em±	CD at 5%						
Storage condition (S)	0.57	1.65	0.45	0.13	0.21	0.61						

Page 4 of 4

Wrapping material (T)	0.88	2.52	0.7	0.2	0.32	0.93			
	1.53	4.37	0.12	0.349	0.56	1.62			

Table 3: Effect of different storage conditions and wrapping materials on post-harvest characteristics of cut chrysanthemum cultivar SnowballWhite. S1: Normal storage conditions (25° C Temp.); S2: Storage under refrigerated conditions ($3-4^{\circ}$ C) + 6 hours of simulated transit S3: Storageunder refrigerated conditions ($3-4^{\circ}$ C) + 16 hours of simulated transit

References

- Bhattacharjee SK (1999) Post harvest management of cut flowers, cut foliage and postharvest management of potted plant. J Orna Hort New Series 2: 32-39.
- Park YY, Kim HK, Cho MS (2000) Effect of sucrose germicides and ABA in preservatives on postharvest quality of cut chrysanthemum 'kyoungsubang'. Korean J Life Sci 10: 591-597.
- 3. Ho LC, Nicholas R (1977) Translocation of 14 C-sucrose in relation to changes in carbohydrate content in rose corollas cut at different stages of development. Ann Bot 41: 227-242.
- 4. Lineberger RD, Steponkus PL (1976) Identification and localization of vascular occlusions in cut roses. J Amer Hort Sci 94: 223-226.
- Hardenburg RE, Watada AE, Wang CY (1990) The commercial storage of fruits, vegetables and florist and nursery stocks. USDA Agri Handbook 66: 130.
- Beura S, Singh R (2003) Effect of storage temperature and wrapping material on post harvest life of gladiolus cultivar Her Majesty. J Orna Hort 6: 322-327.
- Nowak J, Rudnicki RM (1984) Cold storage of gladiolus cut spikes. Prace-Instytutu-Sadownictwa-i-kwiacfarstwa-w-Skterniewicach-Rosliny-Ozdobne 9: 67-72.
- Singh A, Kumar J, Kumar P (2007) Effect of different packaging films and cold storage durations on keeping quality of gladiolus cut spikes. J Orna Hort 10: 235-239.