

Nestin: A Biomarker of Aggressive Uterine Cancers

Erica R Hope¹⁻³, Chad A Hamilton¹⁻⁴, Paulette Mhawech-Fauceglia^{5,} Tanja Pejovic⁶, G Larry Maxwell^{2-4,7}, Viqar Syed³ and Kathleen M Darcy^{2-4*}

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, 8901 Wisconsin Avenue, Bethesda, MD, USA

²Gynecologic Cancer Center of Excellence, 3289 Woodburn Road, Suite 370, Annandale, VA, USA

³Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Uniformed Services University, 4301 Jones Bridge Road, Bethesda, MD, USA

⁴John P Murtha Cancer Center, 8901 Wisconsin Avenue, Bethesda, MD, USA

⁵Department of Pathology, University of Southern California, 1100 N. State Street, Los Angeles, CA, USA

⁶Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Oregon Health and Science University, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road, L466, Portland, OR, USA

⁷Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Inova Fairfax Hospital, 3300 Gallows Road, Falls Church, VA, USA

*Corresponding author: Kathleen M Darcy, Gynecologic Cancer Center of Excellence, 3289 Woodburn Road, Suite 370, Annandale VA 22003, USA, Tel: 571-205-2607; Fax: 703-560-0919; E-mail: DarcyK@WHIRC.org

Received Date: Jul 06, 2016; Accepted Date: Aug 03, 2016; Published Date: Aug 10, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Hope ER, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Nestin Commentary

Cancers of the uterine corpus are the most common gynecologic cancers in the United States with incidence and mortality increasing both in the United States of America and globally [1,2]. Most uterine cancers have a favorable prognosis and are cured by surgery alone. Identifying patients at risk of recurrence, particularly those misclassified as low risk by current clinicopathologic standards [3-9] is of paramount importance. Physicians need better risk stratification tools to identify when and which adjuvant therapy will decrease recurrence. Validated biomarkers with prognostic, predictive, and/or theragnostic value will likely play a vital role in advancing assessments of risk and guiding clinical management decision making.

Nestin is a class VI intermediate filament protein that was first described as a neural stem marker [10] and regulates the TGF β (transforming growth factor beta) pathway [11]. It plays a critical role in cancer cell migration, invasion, angiogenesis, and metastasis both in vitro and in vivo [12-15]. Nestin confers a poor prognosis in a number of cancers [11,16-18], but had not yet been explored in uterine cancer.

Study Details

The Gynecologic Cancer Center of Excellence (GYN-COE) consortium and members of the John P Murtha Cancer Center (MCC) worked with a Leading Gynecologic Pathologist (PMF) to evaluate immunohistochemical expression levels of nestin with previously-published biomarkers of endometrial cancer in a well-annotated cohort of 323 uterine cancer patients. Prognostic, predictive, and theragnostic utility were assessed using classic biostatistical methods and the existing diagnostic, patient outcomes, cancer treatment, and biomarker data for this cohort. Patients were classified into lower versus (vs.) higher risk groups based on the available surgicopathologic characteristics. Normalized level 3 RNA next generation sequencing (RSEM) expression data for nestin and the endometrial cancer biomarkers were also extracted along with clinical and outcome data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) for patients with uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma.

Nestin and aggressive tumor characteristics

High Immunohistochemical (IHC) expression of nestin, defined as >10% positive tumor cells staining, was observed in 19% of uterine cancer cases and was associated with aggressive disease characterized

by advanced stage, non-endometrioid cell type including carcinosarcomas, high grade, tumor size 6+cm, and presence of Lymphovascular Space Invasion (LVSI). Advanced stage cancers and those with LVSI were twice as likely to exhibit high tumor staining for nestin. Patients with higher risk disease (grade 3 disease or non-endometrioid cell type) were 5 times more likely to exhibit high IHC tumor nestin expression [19].

Nestin relationship with type I vs. type II biomarkers

Nestin expression was inversely associated with Estrogen Receptor (ER) and Progesterone Receptor (PR) expression, which are more common in low risk, type I endometrial cancer. In contrast, nestin expression was directly associated with tumor protein 53 (p53) which is more common in high risk, type II endometrial cancer [19].

Prognostic value

Nestin expression was associated with worse Progression-Free (PFS), Cancer-Specific (CSS), and Overall Survival (OS). The relationship between nestin and clinical outcomes was independent of stage, lymphovascular space invasion (LVSI) or risk categorization [19].

Predictive value

High nestin expression also predicted risk of disease progression within 5 years with an accuracy of 60%. The accuracy of predicting risk of disease progression within 5 years increased to 0.8 by combining nestin with tumor stage and type of uterine cancer. The addition of other biomarkers including ER, PR, and p53 to nestin, stage, and type of uterine cancer did not increase predictive accuracy further and in fact accuracy dropped below 63% [19].

Theragnostic value

A positive interaction test demonstrated that IHC staining for nestin had potential theragnostic value in uterine cancer patients who receive no adjuvant therapy or radiation therapy. High vs. low IHC expression of nestin indicated worse PFS in the subset of patients who either did not receive adjuvant therapy or who had adjuvant radiation only, but not in the patient treated with chemotherapy or chemoradiation [19].

Distinctions between Transcript and IHC Expression of Nestin and other Biomarkers

Data from TCGA uterine cancer project was assessed to evaluate whether transcript expression of nestin maintained prognostic significance, however nestin did not exhibit prognostic value at the RNA-seq level. High IHC staining of p53 was associated with worse PFS, though higher transcript expression of TP53 (p53) was associated with improved PFS and a reduced risk of disease progression in uterine cancers and the subset of endometrioid. Consistent with IHC-based results, ERS1 (ER) and PGR (PR) were associated with better PFS and a reduced risk of disease progression in uterine cancers. Nestin did not retain prognostic value at the transcript level, however there are numerous post-transcriptional modifications that may occur to explain this disparity. In addition, TP53 did not exhibit its known poor prognostic effect at the RNASeq level. Tumor purity may also be a confounding factor on transcript expression and disparities with IHC staining that has been demonstrated across a multitude of samples in many different TCGA cancer types [20].

Discussion

High nestin expression was more common in tumors with aggressive features and women with disease progression or cancer death. Nestin was inversely correlated with the type I biomarkers, ER and PR, and directly associated with the type II biomarker, p53. High vs. low IHC expression of nestin was a poor prognostic factor for worse PFS, CSS, and OS. Nestin retained independent prognostic value for clinical outcomes when controlling for stage, LVSI or risk categorization.

The predictive accuracy of nestin for disease progression was 60% alone and increased to 80% when combined with stage and uterine cancer type. A predictive accuracy of 80% is very promising given that Bendifallah et al. recently illustrated that PORTEC-1, GOG-99, SEPAL, ESMO, and ESMO-modified had a predictive accuracy for recurrence of 0.68, 0.65, 0.66, 0.71 and 0.73, respectively [9]. Our clinical combination with nestin merits further research and investigation to validate and enhance the performance of this predictor.

Uterine cancer is an increasing public health concern and biomarkers are needed to enhance the personalized care of patients. Nestin lends prognostic and predictive value and may be useful as a theragnostic biomarker for endometrial cancer patients with low or intermediate risk who receive no adjuvant therapy or radiation therapy. Improvements in risk stratification are clearly needed to better guide clinical management and care. This may be particularly important in patients, currently classified as low or intermediate risk, who will receive either no adjuvant therapy or radiation alone as nestin portended worse prognosis in this subset. These patients may benefit from more aggressive adjuvant treatment to prevent recurrence. Because most uterine cancer patients are considered low risk, predictive assessment using nestin with clinical factors has the potential to benefit a significant number of patients worldwide.

Conclusions

In this study, nestin was associated with aggressive tumor characteristics and worse clinical outcome, which is consistent with previous mechanistic studies of nestin in other cancers. This biomarker, monitoring using an IHC assay, holds promise as a prognostic and predictive factor that may also have theragnostic value as a companion triage tool to identify lower risk patients who may require more aggressive clinical treatment.

Funding

Funding for this project is from The John P. Murtha Cancer Center Award at Walter Reed (MDA905-02-2-0005, PI: Viqar Syed) and the USAMRMC (W81XWH-11-2-013, PI: Chad A. Hamilton).

Disclaimer

The opinions or assertions contained herein are the private views of the authors and are not to be construed as official or as reflecting the views of the Department of the Air Force, the Department of the Army, the Department of the Navy, or the Department of Defense.

References

- 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A (2016) Cancer statistics. CA cancer J clin 66: 7-30.
- Global Burden of Disease Cancer Collaboration, Fitzmaurice C, Dicker D, Pain A, Hamavid H, et al. (2015) The Global Burden of Cancer 2013. JAMA Oncol 1: 505-527.
- Keys HM, Roberts JA, Brunetto VL, Zaino RJ, Spirtos NM, et al. (2004) A phase III trial of surgery with or without adjunctive external pelvic radiation therapy in intermediate risk endometrial adenocarcinoma: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. Gynecol Oncol 92: 744-751.
- 4. Nout RA, Smit V, Putter H, Jürgenliemk-Schulz IM, Jobsen JJ, et al. (2010) Vaginal brachytherapy versus pelvic external beam radiotherapy for patients with endometrial cancer of high-intermediate risk (PORTEC-2): an open-label, non-inferiority, randomised trial. The Lancet 375: 816-823.
- Creutzberg CL, van Putten W, Koper P, Lybeert M, Jobsen JJ, et al. (2016) Surgery and postoperative radiotherapy versus surgery alone for patients with stage-1 endometrial carcinoma: multicentre randomised trial. The Lancet 355: 1404-1411.
- 6. Todo Y, Kato H, Kaneuchi M, Watari H, Takeda H, et al. (2010) Survival effect of para-aortic lymphadenectomy in endometrial cancer (SEPAL study): a retrospective cohort analysis. The Lancet 375: 1165-1172.
- Colombo N, Preti E, Landoni F, Carinelli S, Colombo A, et al. (2013) Endometrial cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Annals of Oncology 24: vi33-vi38.
- Bendifallah S, Canlorbe G, Raimond E, Hudry D, Coutant C, et al. (2014) A clue towards improving the European Society of Medical Oncology risk group classification in apparent early stage endometrial cancer? Impact of lymphovascular space invasion. Br J Cancer 110: 2640-2646
- 9. Bendifallah S, Canlorbe G, Collinet P, Arsène E, Huguet F, et al. (2015) Just how accurate are the major risk stratification systems for early-stage endometrial cancer? Br J Cancer 112: 793-801.
- Duggal N, Hammond RR (2002) Nestin expression in ganglioglioma. Exp Neurol 174: 89-95.
- Su HT, Weng CC, Hsiao PJ, Chen LH, Kuo TL, et al. (2013) Stem Cell Marker Nestin Is Critical for TGF- 1-Mediated Tumor Progression in Pancreatic Cancer. Molecular Cancer Research 11: 768-779.
- 12. Matsuda Y, Naito Z, Kawahara K, Nakazawa N, Korc M, et al. (2011) Nestin is a novel target for suppressing pancreatic cancer cell migration, invasion and metastasis. Cancer Biol Ther 11: 512-523.
- Liang ZW, Wang Z, Chen H, Li C, Zhou T, et al. (2015) Nestin-mediated cytoskeletal remodeling in endothelial cells: novel mechanistic insight into VEGF-induced cell migration in angiogenesis. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 308: C349-C358.
- Ryuge S, Sato Y, Wang GQ, Matsumoto T, Jiang SX, et al. (2011) Prognostic significance of nestin expression in resected non-small cell lung cancer. Chest 139: 862-869.

Page 3 of 3

- 15. Tabata KI, Matsumoto K, Minami S, Ishii D, Nishi M, et al. (2014) Nestin Is an Independent Predictor of Cancer-Specific Survival after Radical Cystectomy in Patients with Urothelial Carcinoma of the Bladder. PLoS ONE 9: e91548.
- Kleeberger W, Bova GS, Nielsen ME, Herawi M, Chuang AY, et al. (2007) Roles for the Stem Cell Associated Intermediate Filament Nestin in Prostate Cancer Migration and Metastasis. Cancer Research 67: 9199-9206.
- 17. Shan YS, Chen YL, Lai MD, Hsu HP (2014) Nestin predicts a favorable prognosis in early ampullary adenocarcinoma and functions as a promoter of metastasis in advanced cancer, Oncol Rep 33: 40-48.
- Sabet MN, Rakhshan A, Erfani E, Madjd Z (2014) Co-expression of putative cancer stem cell markers, CD133 and Nestin, in skin tumors. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 15: 8161-8169.
- Hope ER, Mhawech-Fauceglia P, Pejovic T, Zahn CM, Wang G, et al. (2016) Nestin: A biomarker of aggressive uterine cancers. Gynecol Oncol 140: 503-511.
- 20. Aran D, Sirota M, Butte AJ (2015) Systematic pan-cancer analysis of tumour purity. Nature Communications 6: 1-11.

This article was originally published in a special issue, entitled: **"Current Trends in Gynecologic Oncology"**, Edited by Hope ER, Hamilton AC, Mhawech-Fauceglia P, Pejovic T, Maxwell GL, et al.