

Open Access

Formulation and Evaluation of Proniosomes of Felodipine

Mahesh Boddu^{1*}, Vijendar Choppari², Vamshi Krishna Rapalli¹ and Manikanta Badam³

¹Department of Pharmaceutics, St. Peter's Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Warangal, Telangana, India ²Department of Pharmaceutics, University College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kakatiya university, Warangal, Telangana, India ³Department of Pharmaceutics, Bellamkonda Institute of Technological Sciences, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh, India

Abstract

Novel drug delivery systems have emerged embracing various routes of administration, oral drug delivery is the most common and preferred method of drug administration. A lipid based drug delivery has become an emerging strategy for improved oral delivery of poorly soluble drugs. Drug encapsulation in the vesicles is one such system which helps to prolong drug duration in systemic circulation and decreases the toxicity by selective uptake. Based on this technique, a number of vesicular drug delivery systems such as liposomes, niosomes and provesicular systems like proliposomes and proniosomes have been developed. The aim of the present investigation was to develop felodipine proniosomes to enhance the solubility. Felodipine loaded proniosomes were prepared by varying ratios of span 60 and cholesterol by solvent evaporation method. Proniosomes were characterized for vesicle size, micromeritics, entrapment efficiency and dissolution behavior. Solid state behavior was evaluated by Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) studies. The formulated proniosomes showed improved dissolution characteristics which were assessed from dissolution efficiency, mean dissolution rate data. The transformation of crystalline form of the drug to amorphous and/or molecular state was represented by solid state characterization. FTIR studies showed that absence of interaction between drug and other formulation excipients.

Keywords: Felodipine; Neusilin; Cholesterol

Introduction

Novel drug delivery systems have emerged embracing various routes of administration, to attain targeted and controlled drug delivery. Drug encapsulation in the vesicles is one such system which helps to prolong drug duration in systemic circulation and decreases the toxicity by selective uptake. Based on this technique, a number of vesicular drug delivery systems such as liposomes, niosomes and provesicular systems like proliposomes and proniosomes have been developed [1].

Though the oral route drug administration is advantageous it is continuously looking into newer avenues due to realization of the factors like poor drug solubility and/or absorption, rapid metabolism, high fluctuation in the drug plasma and variability due to food effect which are playing major role in disappointing *in vivo* results leading to failure of the conventional delivery system [2]. Recently, it has been estimated that from 40-70% of all new chemical entities (NCE) entering drug development programs possess insufficient aqueous solubility to allow consistent gastrointestinal absorption of a magnitude sufficient to ensure therapeutic efficacy [3].

The role of the drug delivery is not only limited to a drug package just meant for convenience and administration but to bring a required change in therapeutic efficacy and safety by carrying the drug molecules to the desired site in the most convenient manner. The oral administration of drugs often lead to degradation due to highly acidic gastric environment, enzymes of the mucosa or liver, they enter the systemic circulation. Beside many highly polar drugs, macro molecular drugs may not be absorbed because of their insufficient solubility, lipophilicity and large molecular weight [4].

The oral bioavailability of poorly water soluble, lipophilic drugs can be enhanced when co-administered with rich in fat [5,6]. Based on this concept colloidal lipid carrier systems have been developed as a means to improve drug solubilization and permeation across the gastro intestinal barrier [7,8]. Felodipine belongs to dihydropyridine derivative, used as antihypertensive and anti angina by blocking calcium channels. Felodipine belongs to BCS class II which is poorly water soluble, lipophilic character of felodipine limits its absorption. Due to high extensive first pass metabolism results in low bioavailability of 15% [9,10].

The use of the both conventional and new drug delivery systems (DDS) allows facing the major issues in drug release:

- 1. Unfavorable pharmacokinetics and biodistribution which lead to unwanted side effects (e.g. chemotherapy).
- 2. Early drug degradation in the bloodstream by reticuloendothelial system (RES),
- 3. Inefficient uptake at target sites that leads to low drug efficacy.

Due to the rapid growth in nanotechnology, it can be asserted that the study of drug delivery and disease treatment has been revolutionized. By molding nanomaterials into vesicles, numerous nanocarriers have been developed to securely deliver drugs and various other therapeutic agents specifically into target sites. Many of the conventional nano DDS (e.g. liposomes, micelles and polymer based nano devices) have reached the late stages of development and some of them were approved.

A successful nanocarrier should ideally meet the following requirements:

*Corresponding author: Mahesh Boddu, Department of Pharmaceutics, St. Peter's Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Warangal, Telangana, India, Tel: +91-9059612223; E-mail: maheshboddu33@gmail.com

Received September 06, 2017; Accepted October 20, 2017; Published October 26, 2017

Citation: Boddu M, Choppari V, Rapalli VK, Badam M (2017) Formulation and Evaluation of Proniosomes of Felodipine. Drug Des 6: 154. doi: 10.4172/2169-0138.1000154

Copyright: © 2017 Boddu M, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

- 1. Formulation with biocompatible/biodegradable/bioexcretable materials,
- 2. High drug and cargo loading capacity,
- 3. Site specific delivery mechanism to avoid normal cells and tissues,
- 4. Zero or negligible premature drug release,
- 5. Controlled release mechanism to provide an effective dose to the target site.

There are a very limited number of nanocarriers which can achieve such prerequisites [11].

Among the several colloidal lipid carrier systems liposomes or niosomes (vesicular approach) have specific advantages over conventional dosage forms because these particles can act as drug reservoirs. These carriers play an increasingly important role in drug delivery because by slowing drug release rate, to reduce the toxicity of drug.

Vesicles are colloidal particles in which a concentric bilayer made up of amphiphilic molecules surrounds an aqueous compartment. They are a useful vehicle for drug delivery of both hydrophobic drugs, which associate with the lipid bilayer and hydrophilic drugs, which are encapsulated in the interior aqueous compartment [12].

Materials and Methods

Materials

Felodipine is gift sample from Dr. Reddy's laboratories, Hyderabad, India. Neusilin gift sample from Fuji Chemical Industry, Japan. Cholesterol gift sample from FINAR Reagents span 60 purchased from Merck, Germany. And chloroform purchased from Qualikems Fine Chem. Pvt. Ltd.

Methods

Construction of calibration curve of felodipine in ethanol by UV spectrophotometry: Accurately weighed quantity of felodipine (100 mg) was taken into volumetric flask (100 ml) and dissolved in small amount of ethanol and then volume was made up to 100 ml with ethanol to prepare main stock (1 mg/ml or 1000 µg/ml) solution. From main stock 10 ml solution was withdrawn and diluted to 100 ml with ethanol in a 100 ml volumetric flask to get 100 µg/ml concentration of felodopine. 10 µg/ml concentration of felodipine was obtained by diluting 10 ml of 100 µg/ml to 100 ml with ethanol. Aliquots of respective stock solutions (10 and 100 µg/ml) were taken and serial dilutions were made to get the final desired concentrations (10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 μ g/ml). The absorbance of these samples was measured spectrophotometrically at 362 nm using UV-Visible spectrophotometer. The standard graph was plotted and the straight line equation obtained from regression analysis was used to calculate the concentration of felodipine in unknown samples.

Preparation of 0.2 M Potassium di hydrogen phosphate (KH_2PO_4) : Dissolve 27.218 g of potassium dihydrogen phosphate in distilled water and dilute with distilled water to 1000 ml.

Preparation of 0.2 M Sodium hydroxide (NaOH): Dissolve 8 g of sodium hydroxide in distilled water and dilute with distilled water to 1000 ml.

Preparation of pH 6.8 phosphate buffer: Dissolve 250 ml of 0.2 M Potassium di hydrogen phosphate in a 1000 ml volumetric flask and add the 112 ml of 0.2 M sodium hydroxide and then make up to 1000 ml with distilled water.

Construction of standard graph of felodipine in pH 6.8 phosphate buffer by UV Spectrophotometry: Accurately weighed quantity of felodipine (100 mg) was taken into volumetric flask (100 ml) and dissolved in small amount of ethanol and then volume was made up to 100 ml with pH 6.8 phosphate buffer solution to prepare main stock (1 mg/ml or 1000 µg/ml) solution. From this stock solution (1 mg/ml), 10 ml was withdrawn and diluted to 100 ml with pH 6.8 phosphate buffer in a 100 ml volumetric flask to get 100 µg/ ml concentration of felodipine. 10 µg/ml concentration of felodipine was obtained by diluting 10 ml of 100 µg/ml to 100 ml with pH 6.8 phosphate buffer. Aliquots of respective stock solutions (10 and 100 µg/ ml) were taken and serial dilutions were made to get the final desired concentrations (10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 μ g/ml). The absorbance of these samples was measured Spectrophotometrically at 364 nm using UV-Visible spectrophotometer. The standard graph was plotted and the straight line equation obtained from regression analysis was used to calculate the concentration of felodipine in unknown samples.

Page 2 of 9

Preparation method

Preparation of proniosomal powders: Proniosome powders were prepared by using slurry method reported elsewhere. The composition of different proniosomal formulations is represented in Table 1. In brief, accurately weighed amounts of lipid mixture (250 µM) comprising of span 60 and cholesterol at various molar ratios (2.5:1, 1.5:1, 1:1, 1:1.5, 1:2.5 respectively) and drug (10 mg) were dissolved in 20 ml of solvent mixture containing chloroform and ethanol (2:1). The resultant solution was transferred into a 250 ml round bottomed flask and neusilin (250 mg) (1 g of carrier per 1mM of lipid mixture) was added to form slurry. The flask was attached to a rotary flash evaporator (Laborota 4000, Heidolph, Germany) and the organic solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure at a temperature of 45 ± 2°C. After ensuring the complete removal of solvent, the resultant powders were further dried overnight in a vacuum oven at room temperature so as to obtain dry, free-flowing product. The dried product was passed through #60 sieve to obtain fine flowing powder and stored in a tightly closed container at 4°C for further evaluation [13,14] (Table 1).

Total 250 μ M lipid mixture was used in all the preparations. 1 g of carrier per 1 μ M of lipid mixture, Each formulation contains 10 mg of felodipine and total weight of powder 300 mg.

Formation of niosomes from proniosomes powders: The optical microscope was used to demonstrate the formation of the noisome upon hydration of proniosome powders. The proniosome powder was sprinkled on a cavity glass slide and few micro litre of distilled water was added drop wise along the side of the cover slip. The transformation of vesicles on the surface of solid particle due to hydration was monitored and recorded using optical microscope (Coslabs micro, India) [15,16].

Characterization of proniosomes

Micromeritic properties of proniosome powders: The content uniformity of the powder formulations can be dictated by the flow

Formulations	Span 60: Cholesterol Molar ratio	Span 60 (mg)	Cholesterol (mg)	
F1	2.5:1	75.3	28.9	
F2 1.5:1		64.5	38.6	
F3 1:1		53.8	48.2	
F4 1:1.5		43.0	57.9	
F5	1:2.5	32.2	67.5	

 Table 1: Composition of felodipine loaded neusilin based proniosome powders.

Flow properties	Angle of repose	Hausner's ratio	Carr's index	
Excellent	25-30	1.00-1.11	≤ 10	
Good	31-35	1.12-1.18	11-15	
Fair	36-40	1.19-1.25	16-20	
Passable	41-45	1.26-1.34	21-25	
Poor	46-55	1.35-1.45	26-31	
Very poor	56-65	1.46-1.59	32-37	
Very very poor	>66	≥ 1.60	≥ 38	

 Table 2: Limitation values of angle of repose, Hausner's ratio and Carr's index.

properties of powder. The flow properties of proniosome powders were assessed through measuring the angle of repose, carr's index and Hausner's ratio. The angle of repose was determined by using conventional fixed funnel method. The Carr's index and Hausner's ratio were calculated from the bulk and tapped density of the proniosome powders. Carr's index and Hausner's ratio were calculated using following equations [17-19] (Table 2).

Angle of repose

Tan⊖=h/r

 Θ =tan^{-h/r}

h=height of the heap and r=radius

Bulk density (BD)

Bulk density=Mass/untapped volume

Tapped density (TD)

Tapped density=Mass/tapped volume

Hausner's ratio

Hausner's ratio=TD/BD

Carr's compressibility index (CI)

Carr's compressibility index=TD- BD / TD*100

Number of vesicles per mm³: Proniosomal powder was hydrated with pH 6.8 phosphate buffer for the formation of niosomal vesicles. Proniosomal dispersion (without sonication) and the number of niosomes per cubic mm were counted by optical microscopy by haemocytometer. The niosomes in 80 small squares were counted and calculated by using the following formula.

Total no. of niosomes per mm³=(Total no. of niosomes counted*Dilution factor*4000)/Total no. of squares counted.

This is the most important parameter for optimizing the composition and other process variables [20,21].

Entrapment efficiency: The entrapment efficiency (EE, %) of felodipine in the resulting niosomes was determined by the proniosomal powder formulations were taken in 50 ml of pH 6.8 phosphate buffer manually shaking for 2 min. After shaking of the powder dispersion going to the filtration, after filtration collected filtrate, this filtrate analysed by the UV-Visible spectrometry [22-25].

Entrapment efficiency=total drug-unentrapped drug/total drug*100

Determination of zeta potential: The mean size, size distribution and zeta potential (ZP) of niosomes (F3) was determined by photon correlation spectroscopy using Zetasizer NanoZS90 (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK). Each sample was diluted to a suitable concentration with phosphate buffer pH 6.8. Size analysis was performed at 25°C with an angle of detection of 90°C. Size, polydispersity index of niosomes and their mean zeta potential values (\pm SD) were obtained from the instrument [26-28].

In vitro dissolution study: *In vitro* dissolution study of control (pure drug) and proniosomal powder formuation was performed using USP type II (paddle) apparatus (Electrolab, TDL8, Mumbai, India) in pH 6.8 phosphate buffer. The temperature of the dissolution medium (900 ml) was maintained at 37 ± 0.5 °C with paddle speed set at 50 rpm throughout the experiment. At predetermined time intervals (2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 min) an aliquot of 5 ml was withdrawn and replenished with fresh dissolution medium to maintain constant volume. The samples were analyzed by UV spectrophotometer [29].

Solid state characterization

Fourier transforms infrared spectroscopy (FTIR): Platinum ATR method [30-32]: FTIR analysis was executed to assess any possible interactions that might have occurred between felodipine and other excipients during the formulation. Solids are generally best analyzed on the single reflection ATR accessories; The infrared spectra of felodipine, span 60, neusilin and optimized proniosome powder formulation (F3) were recorded using Bruker Alpha E, FTIR spectrometer (Bruker Alpha E, Opus-7.0.122) equipped with a ATR (Attenuated Total Reflectance). The spectra were scanned at room temperature in transmission mode over the wave number range of 4000-650 cm⁻¹.

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC): DSC studies were performed to know the physical state of the drug in the proniosomal formulations. The DSC measurements were performed on a differential scanning calorimeter (Shimadzu, Thermal Analyzer DSC 60). The peak transition temperature (Tm) and heat of fusion (enthalpy) (Hf) were determined and used in the analysis. Indium (Tm=159.2°C; Hf=28.8 J/g) was used as a standard for routine calibration. An empty aluminium pan was used as reference and nitrogen (purity>99.99%) was used as the purge gas. Samples of 2.4-2.8 mg were weighed and placed in open aluminium pans and scanned at a speed of 10°C/min, over the temperature range from 30 to 350°C [33-36].

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM): The surface morphology of felodipine, neusilin and felodipine proniosomal formulation (F3) were examined by means of ZEISS 18 scanning electron microscope. The powders were previously fixed on a brass stub using double-sided adhesive tape and when were made electrically conductive by coating, in a vacuum. The pictures were taken at an excitation voltage of 10-15 Kv and different magnifications [37,38].

Results and Discussion

Calibration curve of felodipine in ethanol

Calibration curve of felodipine was plotted in ethanol at λ_{max} of 362 nm. Graph obtained was shown that good correlation established with R² value of 0.997 and straight line equation was y=0.019x-0.018 (Table 3 and Figure 1).

Calibration curve of felodipine in pH 6.8 phosphate buffer

Calibration curve of felodipine was plotted in pH 6.8 phosphate buffer at λ_{max} 364 nm and observed linear correlation with R² value equals to 0.995 and the straight line equation obtained was y=0.024x+0.011 (Table 4 and Figure 2).

Concentration (µg/ml)	Absorbance
0	0
10	0.147
20	0.369
30	0.581
40	0.751
50	0.957

Table 3: Calibration curve of felodipine in ethanol.

Concentration (µg/ml)	Absorbance
0	0
10	0.246
20	0.543
30	0.776
40	0.952

Table 4: Calibration curve of felodipine in pH 6.8 phosphate buffer.





Preparation and characterization of proniosomal powders

Preparation of proniosomal powders: Provesicular systems of felodipine were successfully prepared using earlier reported slurry method [22]. Provesicular systems offer a simple and stable way of administering the vesicles liposomes and niosomes; possess dosing accuracy and flexibility in handling of solid dosage forms. Further a suitable inert carrier (neusilin) may increases flow ability of formulation. Combination of chloroform and ethanol was selected as solvent system because of greater solubility of drug and lipids in this mixture and also ethanol was less toxic over methanol. There is no need of vacuum assisted drying for complete removal of solvent from formulation with ethanol. The different span types have the same polar head group with

varied alkyl chain and highest entrapment could be observed with an increase in phase transition temperature of span. The phase transition temperatures for span 20, 40 and 60 are 16, 42 and 52°C, respectively and span 80 having the lowest phase transition temperature at -12°C. Due to the high phase transition temperature (52°C) [39], span 60 was used in our study to facilitate stable vesicle formation and to improve the oral delivery of felodipine from proniosomes. Cholesterol itself does not form bilayers, but it can be added to the lipid phase to improve the stability of the vesicles as it has modulatory effect on the membrane bilayers. Cholesterol was added due to its ability to enhance the encapsulation of hydrophilic drugs. The stability and entrapment efficiency of the formed vesicles is of great importance in vesicular systems which depend on the concentration of lipid and cholesterol used in formulation. Any alteration in the composition of lipid and cholesterol results in the deformation of vesicle leads to leakage of drug before diffusion and fusion of vesicle with gastrointestinal epithelium. Hence in this investigation effect of cholesterol was investigated by varying the ratio of Span 60 to cholesterol (Total lipid mixture of 250 µM) [40-42] (Figure 3).

Formation of niosomes from proniosomal powder: Formation of niosomes from proniosomal powder was shown in Figure 4. Formation or morphological evaluation of niosomes from proniosomes was observed in three stages, stage 1-"bubbling off" tubular structures on surface of carrier due to dispersion of lipid surface of proniosomes upon hydration [43], stage 2-formation of niosomal aggregates upon detachment of tubular structures from the core of proniosomes due to dissolution of lipid as well as neusilin in aqueous media and stage-3 formation of niosomal dispersion upon gentle agitation in Figure 4.

Micromeritics: Flow property of the powders is of greater importance in handling and processing of solid dosage forms. Optimal amount of solid carrier to coat the lipid mixture plays a major role in maintenance of flow property of powders. Based on reports in our laboratory 1 g of carrier for 1 μ M of total lipid was considered for all the formulations (Tables 5 and 6).

Flow property results were listed in Table 6. Angle of repose values of less than 40 and Carr's index and Hausner's ratio values of less than 31 and 1.45, respectively. The present results indicate for angle of repose good to fair flow behavior and for Carr's index, Hausner's ration results poor to passable due to higher proportion of lipid in their molar lipid ratio. The probable reason for this may be increase in the concentration of lipid increases.

Physico-chemical evaluation of proniosomal powder: Vesicle size and zeta potential are of great importance in case of vesicular systems. Concentration of cholesterol might have influence on the size and



Figure 3: Microscopic images of niosomal vesicles formed upon hydration of proniosomes with carrier neusilin.

0

Page 4 of 9



Formulations	Angle of repose	Inference
F1	31.9 ± 2.09	Good
F2	35.86 ± 4.30	Good
F3	36.78 ± 2.59	Fair
F4	36.92 ± 2.74	Fair
F5	39.80 ± 2.90	Fair

Table 5: Micromeritic properties of varying compositions of proniosomal powders.

Formulations	Carr's compressibility index	Hausner's ratio	Inference
F1	26.67 ± 2.98	1.36 ± 0.05	Poor
F2	26.31 ± 0.80	1.35 ± 0.01	Poor
F3	24.81 ± 2.03	1.33 ± 0.03	Passable
F4	30.22 ± 2.11	1.43 ± 0.04	Poor
F5	29.27 ± 3.13	1.42 ± 0.06	Poor

Table 6: Flow properties of varying compositions of proniosomal powders.

surface charge of vesicles. In this investigation we could notice that F3 formulation with equimolar ratio of lipid and cholesterol had small size and greater zeta potential. Zeta potential of proniosomal formulation (F3) was -34.1 mV and poly dispersity index was found to be -0.8 and good results were obtained. The mean size of vesicles was in the range of 6 to 14 μ m, it can also be noted that increasing cholesterol content subsequently decreased mean vesicle size and this may be due to the increase in the hydrophobicity of bilayers which limits the water intake to the vesicles core.

As the concentration of cholesterol increased, the entrapment efficiency has been increased (F1 to F3). This could be well explained based on the fact that, upon addition of cholesterol the bilayer hydrophobicity as well as stability is greatly improved with reduced permeability of the bilayer, thus leading to the effective intercalation of hydrophobic drug within the hydrophobic core of the bilayer with an

Formulations	Size (µm)	Entrapment efficiency (%)	No. of vesicles per $mm^3 \times 10^3$
F1	13.8	68.50	3.02
F2	10.2	70.12	3.13
F3	6.2	72.35	3.15
F4	11.6	69.23	2.98
F5	14.5	65.56	2.87

Table 7: Physico chemical parameters of felodipine loaded proniosomal powders.

enhanced drug pay load. However we could not extrapolate the findings with high cholesterol content and in contrary the entrapment values declined with increase in cholesterol content in the formulation (F4 and F5). The higher amounts of cholesterol may compete with the drug for the packing space available in the bilayer during the noisome formation and also perturb the linear regular structure of bilayer resulting in limited accommodation of drug molecules [44,45] (Table 7).

In vitro dissolution study: Solid dissolution is a complex process influenced by solubility, particle size, surface area, crystal habit and wettability, etc. (Figure 5). illustrates the dissolution profiles of felodipine and felodipine proniosomal formulations in pH 6.8 phosphate buffer. Pure felodipine showed poor dissolution behavior, whereas proniosomal formulations showed enhanced dissolution of drug. The attributing reason for poor dissolution of felodipine may be poor solubility, hydrophobic nature and poor wettability which were evident from particle aggregation and floating behavior of drug during dissolution. The percent drug release was found to be greater for all the formulations compared to pure drug. The percentage drug released with the increase in HLB since hydrophilic surfactants have higher solubilizing power on hydrophobic solutes in aqueous medium compared to hydrophobic surfactants.

Among the different proniosomal formulations F3 showed 99.32% drug release at the end of 10 min of dissolution. Consequently dissolution

efficiency (DE) was also higher for F3 (89 ± 6.497) formulation than all other formulations and pure drug (8.3 ± 0.971). Enhanced dissolution rate for proniosomal formulations than pure drug was revealed from the dissolution parameters such as mean dissolution rate (12.95) and initial dissolution rate (36.41) and time taken to 70% of drug release from formulation ($T_{70\%}$) less than 2 min for F3 formulation (Table 8) when compared with all other formulations. Hence F3 formulation containing equimolar ratio of span 60 and cholesterol was selected for further studies (Tables 8 and 9 and Figure 5).

Fourier transform infra red spectroscopic studies (FTIR): FTIR



Time	% Drug release					
(min)	Pure drug	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	3.31 ± 2.5	58.63 ± 4.3	60.61 ± 1.7	72.82 ± 8.5	66.38 ± 4.8	63.34 ± 7.7
4	3.7 ± 1.2	76.26 ± 1.6	77.82 ± 2.1	81.45 ± 7.1	73.37± 8.8	72.22 ± 5.9
6	3.72 ± 1.35	73.28 ± 3.5	73.87 ± 2.5	85.87 ± 7.4	81.72 ± 10	73.85 ± 6.4
8	4.85 ± 2.01	70.66 ± 5.0	71.81 ± 2.2	88.15 ± 5.3	80.75 ± 10	76.32 ± 7.3
10	3.77 ± 1.2	67.45 ± 7.8	66.72 ± 4.9	99.32 ± 5.5	90.8± 14.0	85.93 ± 2.2

Table 8: Dissolution data of pure drug and different proniosomal formulations, each data expressed as mean \pm SD; n=3

studies were performed to know the chemical interactions between drug and excipients. Figure 6 represents the IR spectra of neusilin, pure felodipine, felodipine loaded proniosomal formulation (F3) and span 60. Two characteristic, well defined peaks were observed for pure felodipine at 2944.05 cm⁻¹ (C-H stretching), 1688.89 cm⁻¹ (C=O stretching). Both peaks appeared at 2924.11 cm⁻¹ (C-H stretching) and 1632.94 cm⁻¹ (C=O stretching) in the felodipine loaded proniosomal formulation (F3) indicate that the drug and all the excipients were compatible (Figure 6).

Page 6 of 9

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC): DSC studies were performed for pure felodipine, Neusilin and felodipine proniosomal powder (F3) to know the thermal behavior and physical state of the drug (crystalline/amorphous) in the formulation through characteristics melting points. As shown in Figure 7 endothermic peak at 146.3°C for pure felodipine indicates crystallinity of drug. Neusilin used as inert carrier got characteristic peak at 225.01°C. Endotherm of proniosomal formulation clearly indicates the transformation of crystalline form of pure felodipine to amorphous state (Figure 7).

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM): Figure 8 shows SEM images of pure felodipine, neusilin, felodipine loaded proniosomal formulation (F3). Figure 8A shows that the pure felodipine consists of crystalline nature. Figure 8B indicates microporous nature of neusilin which

Formulations	DE*	MDR	IDR	T _{70%}
Pure drug	8.3 ± 0.971	0.58	01.66	
F1	84.09 ± 3.99	10.43	29.32	3.10 min
F2	88.37 ± 1.77	10.729	30.35	3.00 min
F3	89 ± 6.497	12.95	36.41	<2 min
F4	84.9 ± 4.577	11.89	33.19	3.50 min
F5	82.97 ± 2.319	11.31	31.67	3.55 min

*average of three determinations ± SD; MDR- Mean dissolution rate, IDR- Initial dissolution rate, $T_{_{70\%}}$ - Time taken for 70% drug release

Table 9: Dissolution parameters of felodipine from proniosomal powder formulations



Citation: Boddu M, Choppari V, Rapalli VK, Badam M (2017) Formulation and Evaluation of Proniosomes of Felodipine. Drug Des 6: 154. doi: 10.4172/2169-0138.1000154





Figure 8: SEM images of A) Felodipine B) Neusilin C) Felodipine Proniosomal formulation (F3).

enables higher surface area for coating the lipid mixture containing drug. Disappearance of crystals of pure felodipine in the formulation and transformation of characteristic crystalline nature to amorphous or molecular state was evident from the Figure 8C.

Summary

• Neusilin was selected as suitable solid carrier for formulation of proniosomal felodipine.

Page 7 of 9

- Felodipine loaded proniosomal powders of varying ratios have been prepared successfully by solvent evaporation method.
- Felodipine loaded proniosomal powders upon hydration resulted niosomal tubular structures.
- Micromeritic evaluation showed good to fair flow properties for F1 to F5.
- Vesicle size of all the formulations found to be within the range of 6 to 14 μ m. zeta potential of felodipine loaded proniosomal formulation (F3) was found to be -34.01 mV.
- The entrapment efficiency of all the formulations was found to be between 68% and 72%.
- The formulation containing equimolar ratio of span 60 and cholesterol (F3) showed smaller vesicle size, high zeta potential and entrapment efficiency when compared to other proniosomal formulations.
- The felodipine loaded proniosomal formulation (F3) improved the dissolution of felodipine compared to other formulations. This indicates that enhanced dissolution was also evident from the adsorption of lipid coat on to the solid carrier which increases effective surface area and also due to a possible change in the physical state of the drug from crystalline to amorphous.
- Enhanced dissolution of drug from formulation assessed from parameters such as MDR, IDR and T_{70%} were higher for F3 formulation.
- Solid state characterization revealed transformation of crystalline form of drug to amorphous or molecular state.

Conclusion

Felodipine loaded proniosomal formulations were successfully prepared using varying ratios of span 60 and cholesterol by solvent evaporation method. The proniosomal formulation F3 containing equimolar ratio of span 60 and cholesterol was selected as optimized formulation based on the physico-chemical evaluation and *in vitro* dissolution parameters, percent drug release was found to be higher for formulation than pure drug.

References

- Yasam VR, Jakki SL, Natarajan J, Kuppusamy G (2013) A review on novel vesicular drug delivery: Proniosomes. Drug Deliv 1-7.
- Mehnert W, Mader K (2001) Solid lipid nanoparticles: Production characterization and applications. Adv Drug Del Rev 47: 165-196.
- Gursoy RN, Benita S (2004) Self-emulsifying drug delivery systems for improved oral delivery of lipophilic drugs. Biomed Pharmacother 58: 173-182.
- Porter CJ, Charman WN (2001) Intestinal lymphatic drug transport: An update. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 50: 61-80.
- Charman WN, Porter CJH, Mithani S, Dressman JB (1997) Physicochemical and physiological mechanisms for the effects of food on drug absorption: The role of lipids. Ph J Pharm Sci 86: 269-282.
- Sunsen VH, Vedesdal R, Kristensen HG, Christrupv L, et al. (2005) Effect of liquid volume and food intake on the absolute bioavailability of danazol, a poorly soluble drug. Eur J Pharm Sci 24: 297-303.
- Porter CJH, Trevaskis NL, Charman WN (2007) Lipids and lipid-based formulations: Optimizing the oral delivery of lipophilic drugs. Nat Rev Drug Discov 6: 231-248.
- Pouton CW (2006) Formulation of poorly water-soluble drugs for oral administration: Physiochemical and physiological issues and the lipid formulation classification system. Eur J Pharm Sci 29: 278-287.

- Kim EJ, Chun MK, Jang JS, Lee IH, Choi HK (2006) Preparation of a solid dispersion of felodipine using a solvent wetting method. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 64: 200-205.
- Won DH, Kim MS, Lee S, Park JS, Hwang SJ (2005) Improved physicochemical characteristics of felodipine solid dispersion particles by supercritical antisolvent precipitation process. Int J Pharm 301: 199-208.
- Marianecci C, Marzio LD, Rinaldi F, Celia C, Paolino D, et al. (2013) Niosomes from 80s to present: The state of the art. Adv Colloid Interface Sci 205: 187-206.
- Kumar GP, Rao PR (2011) Nonionic surfactant vesicular systems for the effective drug delivery - An review. Acta Pharmaceutica Sinica B 4: 208-219.
- 13. Blazek-Welsh Al, Rhodes DG (2001) Maltodextrin based proniosomes. AAPS 3: 1-8.
- Ogiso T, Ninaka N, Iwaki M (1996) Mechanism for enhancement effect of lipid disperse system on percutaneous absorption. J Pharm Sci 85: 57-64.
- Hanan M, Laithy EI, Shoukry O, Maharan LG (2011) Novel sugar esters proniosomes for transdermal delivery of vinpocetine: Preclinical and clinical studies. Eur J Pharm and Biopharm 77: 43-55.
- Chengjiu H, Rhode DG (1999) Proniosomes: A novel drug carrier preparation. Int J Pharm 185: 23-35.
- Barber R, Shek P (1993) Liposomes as a topical ocular drug delivery system. In: Pharmaceutical particulate carriers. Rolland A (edr). Marcel Dekker, New York.
- Gregoriadis G, Florence A, Harish M (1993) Liposomes in drug delivery. Harwood Academic Publishers, Langhorne.
- Wong M, Thompson T (1982) Aggregation of Di-palmitoyl phosphotidyl choline vesicles. Biochemistry 21: 4133-4139.
- 20. Hunt C, Tsang S (1981) α Tocopherol retards auto-oxidation and prolongs the shelf-life of liposomes. Int J Pharm 8: 101-110.
- Frfkjaer S, Hjorth E, Wfrtis O (1984) Stability testing of liposomes during storage. In: Liposome technology. Gregoriadis G (edr.) CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA.
- 22. Payne N, Browning I, Hynes C (1986) Characterization of liposomes. J Pharm Sci 75: 159-172.
- Katare OP, Vyas SP, Dixit VK (1991) Proliposomes of indomethacin for oral administration. J Microencapsul 8: 1-7.
- Abdelkader H, Alani AWG, Alany RG (2013) Recent advances in non-ionic surfactant vesicles (niosomes): Self-assembly, fabrication, characterization and drug delivery applications and limitations. Drug Deliv, pp: 1-14.
- Gurrapu A, Jukanti R, Bobbala SR, Swetha K, Jeevana JB (2011) Improved oral delivery of valsartan from maltodextrin based proniosome powder. Adv Powder Technol 23: 583-590.
- Perrett S, Golding M, Williams WP (1991) A simple method for the preparation of liposomes for pharmaceutical applications: Characterization of the liposomes. Pharm Pharmacol 43: 154-161.
- Rajesh K, Rajalakshmi R (2013) Formulation and evaluation of bilayer liquisolid tablets of atorvastatin calcium and felodipine. Int Res J Pharmacy 4: 138-145.
- Setti A, Takhelmayum PD, Reddy PK, Sarikonda S, Rao JV, et al. (2013) Method development, validation and stability studies of felodipine by RP-HPLC and molecular interaction studies of felodipine and voltage gated I-type calcium channel. Int J Pharm Sci Rev Res 24: 15-20.
- 29. Mokhtar M, Sammour OA, Hammad MA, Megrab NA (2008) Effect of some formulation parameters on flurbiprofen encapsulation and release rates of niosomes prepared form proniosome. Int J Pharm 361: 104-111.
- Chavan P, Jain B, Jain P (2011) Proniosomal gel- A novel approach for transdermal drug delivery - A review. Int J Pharm Res Dev 4: 158-170.
- Pankaj S, Rini J, Dandagi P (2013) Formulation and evaluation of proniosome based drug delivery system of the antifungal drug Clotrimazole. IJPSN 6: 1945-1951.
- Alam MI, Baboota S (2010) Pharmacodynamic evaluation of proniosomes transdermal therapeutic gel containing Celecoxib. Sci Asia 36: 305-311.
- Sudhamani T (2010) Formulation and evaluation of Ibuprofen loaded maltodextrin based proniosome. Int J Biopharm 1: 75-81.

Page 9 of 9

- Ammar HO, Ghorab M, Nahhas SA, Higazy IM (2010) Proniosomes as a carrier system for transdermal delivery of tenoxicam. Int J Pharm 405: 142-152.
- 35. Ajay BS (2009) Preparation, optimization and characterization of Ketoprofen proniosomes for transdermal delivery. Int J Pharm Sci Nanotechnol 2: 413-420.
- Chintankumar JT, Borkhataria CH, Baria AH, Patel RP, Tamizharasi S, et al (2009) Formulation and evaluation of aceclofenac loaded maltodextrin based proniosome. Int J Chem Tech Res 1: 567-573.
- Chandra A, Sharma PK (2008) Proniosome based drug delivery system of piroxicam. Afr J Pharm Pharmacol 2: 184-190.
- Mahmoud M, Omaima AS, Mohammed AH, Nagia AM (2008) Effect of some formulation parameters on flurbiprofen encapsulation and release rates of niosomes prepared from proniosomes. Int J Pharm 361: 104-111.
- Patel NN, Roopchandani KV, Gupta A, Gupta A (2013) Proniosomes for improved transdermal drug delivery – A Review. J Pharmacy Res 62-80.

- 40. Hengjiu H, David GR (2000) Proniosomes: A novel drug carrier preparation. Int J Pharm 206: 110-122.
- Solanki AB, Parikh JR, Parikh RH (2007) Formulation and optimization of piroxicam proniosomes by 3-factor, 3-level box-behnken design. AAPS Pharm Sci Tech 8: E1-E7.
- Ogiso T, Ninaka N, Iwaki M (1996) Mechanism for enhancement effect of lipid disperse system on percutaneous absorption. J Pharm Sci 85: 57-64.
- Ankur G (2007) Design and development of a proniosomal transdermal drug delivery system for Captopril. Tropical J Pharm Res 6: 687-693.
- Bernsdorff A, Wolff R, Winter E, Gratton E (1997) Effect of hydrostatic pressure on water penetration and rotational dynamics in phospholipid–cholesterol bilayers. Biophys J 72: 1264-1277.
- 45. Maniruzzaman M, Nair A, Douroumis D (2013) Solid dispersions with neusilin[®]: One step continuous dry granulation. Fuji Chemical Industry Co Ltd.