

# Dynamic Postural Control and Level of Functional Abilities with and without Ankle Foot Orthosis in Ambulatory Children with Cerebral Palsy: A Cross Sectional Study

Vandana Patel, Dr. Vijaya Kumar K\*, Dr. Amitesh Narayan

Department of Physiotherapy, Kasturba Medical College, Mangalore, Manipal Academy of Higher Education (MAHE), Karnataka, India

# ABSTRACT

Objective: To investigate the dynamic postural control and level of functional abilities with and without ankle foot orthosis in ambulatory children with cerebral palsy.

Method: 32 children with spastic cerebral palsy (CP) were selected based on the inclusion criteria in two groups: Children who were using DAFOs and children who had never used DAFOs. Two dynamic activities of sit to stand and forward reach were performed by children of both the groups on force plat form for the assessment of dynamic postural control and their level of functional abilities were scored using Paediatric Evaluation of Disability Index (PEDI) by parental report.

Results: The dynamic postural control using force platform showed lesser COPE in children with CP who were using DAFOs compared to children in other group for sit to stand and forward reach activities respectively (p=0.003; p=0.423). PEDI score was higher in children who were using DAFOs compared to children without DAFOs. The correlation of dynamic postural control with their level of functional abilities was non-significant for both the groups.

Conclusion: Children with spastic CP who are using DAFOs more than a year had better dynamic postural control and functional abilities compared to children without DAFOs.

Keywords: Dynamic postural control; Cerebral palsy; Ankle foot orthosis; Level of functional abilities; PEDI

# INTRODUCTION

Postural control mechanism is an integral part of all motor abilities for purposeful movements and functional skills in routine activities of daily life [1,2]. These mechanisms include Postural stability; the ability to maintain Centre of mass (COM) within the limits of the Base of support (BOS) during static or dynamic activities. In postural orientation and postural equilibrium, coordination of movement strategies helps to stabilize the COM during both selfinitiated and externally triggered disturbances of stability [3-6]. The postural control mechanism is also necessary to recover balance and also adapt to changing environmental demands [5,7].

Postural control deficits are common in children with cerebral palsy (CP). The ability to maintain a stable posture demands complex interactions between sensory- motor system, CNS and

musculoskeletal system. In children with CP, these mechanisms are affected, that may lead to impairment in postural control [3,8-11]. Earlier studies on the postural control dysfunction in children with CP had found deficits in postural adjustment strategies (both anticipatory and reactive postural responses), difficulty to control body's position in space, poor alignment between body segments and maintenance of Centre of Pressure (COP) within the limits of BOS [1,4,11-13].

Postural control deficits also limit the performance of children with CP in daily functional motor skills related to mobility, transfer and self-care [7,13,14]. Previous studies which have assessed their static and dynamic postural control during daily functional activities such as sit to stand and forward reach had showed higher values of postural oscillations measured in centre of pressure excursion (COPE) compared to healthy children [15-20]. Postural control

**Correspondence to:** DR. Vijaya Kumar K, Department of Physiotherapy, Kasturba Medical College, Mangalore, Manipal Academy of Higher Education (MAHE), Karnataka, India, E-mail: vijay.kk@manipal.edu

Received: July 12, 2019; Accepted: November 27, 2019; Published: December 03, 2019

**Citation:** Patel V, Kumar VK, Narayan A (2019) Postural Control and Level of Functional Abilities with and without Ankle Foot Orthosis in Ambulatory Children with Cerebral Palsy: A Cross Sectional Study. J Yoga Phys Ther 9: 300. doi: 10.35248/2157-7595.19.09.300.

**Copyright:** © 2019 Patel V, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

#### OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

#### Patel V, et al.

deficits in Children with CP are an important contributing factor affecting their functional abilities. The Paediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory (PEDI) is a standardized functional assessment measure to evaluate the actual ability of child to perform their daily necessary activities. It is used to measure functionality and caregiver assistance during the activities which they normally perform in their daily routine [21-23]. Studies have shown PEDI is a useful tool with good psychometric properties to describe the level of functional abilities in children with CP [24]. A recent study by Pavao have assessed the association between static postural control and functional abilities using PEDI in children with CP had found poor static balance control make them more dependent on caregiver [17].

AFOs are commonly recommended therapeutic intervention to improve the biomechanical orientation of body and functional capability in children with CP [2,25]. During upright posture, neuro-muscular forces of plantar flexors and dorsi flexors, helps in controlling the displacement of COP over the BOS during sit to stand and forward reach [26]. Studies have shown that dynamic AFOs (DAFOs) improves equal weight distribution in standing, performance time duration during sit to stand and reaching activity, dynamic postural control during gait in children with CP [27,28]. However, there are limited retrievable studies to assess the dynamic postural control during sit to stand and forward reach in standing in children with CP who are using DAFOs and who have not used DAFOs.

#### METHODOLOGY

#### Subjects

Children clinically diagnosed with spastic cerebral palsy who were using DAFOs and who had never used DAFOs; within the age group of 5-12 years were included in study.

Total 32 children were included in study. Out of which 16 were using DAFOs for more than a year and 16 children had never used DAFOs. The demographic data of children participated in study are given in the Table 1.

# Inclusion criteria of the study were as follows: Children with spastic CP

- (i) Both genders with age range of 5-12 years;
- (ii) GMFCS level I and II;
- (iii) Able to perform sit to stand from a chair without support and forward reach in standing without any assistance or lose of

balance;

- (iv) On observation showed Pes Equinus in walking;
- (v) Mini mental state examination (MMSE) of  $\geq$  23;
- (vi) For DAFOs group those who were using DAFOs for more than one year.

#### Exclusion criteria were: Children with spastic CP

- (i) History of orthopaedic surgical intervention involving Upper or Lower extremity in past 12 months;
- (ii) Who had received Botox in last 6 months or on regular antispasmodic medications;
- (iii) Severe Visual or Hearing deficits;
- (iv) Fixed joint contracture or deformity in the lower extremity.

The study protocol was approved by the Scientific Committee and Institutional Ethics Committee, KMC, Mangalore (MAHE) [IEC MLR 1115/264]. Parents of participants were explained about the study procedure in brief and on approval a signed inform consent was obtained.

#### Instrumentation

Dual Axis Force Platform (Model no. DFP4) manufactured by Biometrics Ltd. UK was used to assess COPE during both the dynamic activities of sit to stand and forward reach. The area of displacement was recorded with the help of computer attached to the force plate system. A Wooden bench (Width×Height×Length=1ft×1ft×3 ft) was used to make the child sit during performance of sit to stand task. For forward reach task an attractive toy was kept in front of child for the completion of forward reach task.

With the help of an inch tape the distance between child's hand to a toy was been kept equal for all the participants. Paediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory (PEDI), a scale consisting of three domains of self-care, mobility and social functions was used to measure functionality level of participants based on parental report. The total score of each component was considered for final correlation and comparison.

#### PROCEDURE

Permission from institution head was obtained and 48 children with spastic cerebral palsy were screened and 32 spastic cerebral palsy children were included in the study. Parents of participants were explained about the study procedure in brief and on approval

Characteristics	Children with DAFOs Mean ± SD / N (%)	Children without DAFOs Mean ± SD / N (%)		
Age (Years)	8.44 ± 2.33	9.25 ± 2.08		
Height (Cm)	123.63 ± 8.52	$126.13 \pm 8.07$		
Weight (Kg)	21.13 ± 4.12	21.81 ± 4.62		
BMI $(Kg/m^2)$	13.76 ± 1.95	13.62 ± 2.00		
Boys	6 (37.5)	11(68.8)		
Girls	10 (62.5)	5 (31.3)		
GMFCS Level I	12 (75.0)	3 (18.8)		
GMFCS Level II	4 (25.0)	13 (81.3)		
Spastic Hemiplegic	5 (31.3)	6 (37.5)		
Spastic Diplegic	11 (68.8)	10 (62.5)		

**Table 1:** Demographic characteristics of study participants (N= 16 in each group).

a signed inform consent was obtained Baseline demographic data and GMFCS levels were collected by the tester.

#### TEST PROCEDURE

#### For Dynamic Postural Control Assessment

To assess the dynamic postural control in children with spastic CP two dynamic activities; Sit to stand and Forward Reach in standing were selected, as earlier studies have suggested that these two activities are most commonly used activities in daily life. Before the actual testing procedure, proper explanation and demonstration for all the study participants was delivered by the tester. During testing, both the activities were performed in random orders with adequate rest periods of 5 minutes between them. To avoid anxiety and fatigue, the test procedures were carried out with parents/ caregiver guidance and also before the regular physiotherapy sessions. Participants in the DAFOs group were using outer shoe during test procedures while the children without DAFOs performed activities without shoe [29,30].

#### FORWARD REACHING TASK

#### Force Plate Arrangements

Force plates were arranged on force platform as per the standard procedure given in the manual (Biometrics Ltd. UK). Children with spastic cerebral palsy were made to stand on force plates so

# OPEN OACCESS Freely available online

that forefoot and hind foot of each foot was in contact with two parallel force plates for each leg.

#### **Activity Performance**

Children with spastic CP were facing towards the physiotherapist on Dual Axis Biometrics platform (Biometrics Ltd. UK). To alleviate anxiety a parent / a guardian was sitting behind the force plates during the test. Starting positions of each foot of child on force plate was noted. Each time starting position was the same. Each subject performed two practice trials to assure the cognitive idea of test procedure. During the procedure participants are encouraged to use their dominant hand to reach at self-selected velocity for an attractive toy placed in therapist's hand at 10% more than the arm length. Standardised verbal instructions to reach for the toy were given for all the participants. During the performance of activity from standing to forward reach position the measure of COPE was measured. Following two trials of familiarization of test procedure three valid test measurements were measured and further considered for data analysis. It is indicated in Figures 1 and 2.

# SIT TO STAND TASK

#### Force Plate Arrangements

Dual Axis Force plates were arranged as per the standard procedure given in the manual. Children with spastic CP were made to sit in such a way that fore foot and hind foot of each foot was in contact



Figure 1: Starting position of the child without DAFO for the Forward reach task on force platform.



Figure 2: Child without DAFO performing Forward reach task on Force Platform.

#### Patel V, et al.

with force plates on either side for each leg.

## **Activity Performance**

At the beginning of activity children with spastic CP were seated on a wooden bench with hips, knees, and ankles at 90°; and the arms were rested on the thighs. Once seated, they were given standardised verbal instructions to assume an upright posture at a self-selected speed. Following two trials of familiarization of test procedure three valid test measurements were measured and further considered for data analysis. All the test outcome measures were collected by primary investigator. We also observed no adverse events during the test procedure. It is showed in Figures 3 and 4.

## LEVEL OF FUNCTIONAL ABILITIES

Children with DAFOs and without DAFOs were marked on PEDI scale based on parental report. Domains of scale were explained to parents by tester in their own language. Total scores for each child were noted for all three domains of PEDI.

# DATA ANALYSIS

Data collected were entered and analysed by the SPSS (statistical package for social science) version 16.0 for Windows (IBM). Descriptive statistics was used to analyse baseline characteristics for

# OPEN OACCESS Freely available online

both the groups. Results of the test outcome measures were expressed as a summary measures (Median and Inter- quartile range) and presented with appropriate tables. Mann- Whitney's U test was used to compare the summary scores between the groups. Pearson's correlation test was used for analysing association between dynamic postural control and level of functional abilities among the groups.

p value of <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

# RESULTS

The study included 32 children with spastic CP, n=16 in each group of Children with DAFOs [Mean age 8.44  $\pm$  2.33 years: 6 boys (37.5%); 10 girls (62.5%)] and without DAFOs [Mean age 9.25  $\pm$  2.08 years: 11 boys (68.8%); 5 girls (31.3%)]. The children with CP who were using DAFOs included children with GMFCS level I [n=12; (75.0%)] and GMFCS level II [n=4; (25.0%)] with 5 (31.3%) children diagnosed with spastic hemiplegia and 11 (68.8%) were diagnosed with spastic Diplegia whereas the children with CP who had never used DAFOs were of GMFCS level I [n=3, (18.8%)] and GMFCS level II [n=13 (81.3%)] with 6 (37.5%) children diagnosed with spastic hemiplegia and 10 (62.5%) with spastic Diplegia. Table 1 summarizes the above findings.



Figure 3: Starting position of the child with DAFO for the Sit to stand task on force platform.



Figure 4: End position of the child with DAFO for Sit to stand task on force platform.

In children with spastic CP who were using DAFOs, COPE on force platform for the Sit to stand activity median value was 415.50 (328.50-540.75) mm<sup>2</sup>, while for Forward Reach median value was 276.50 (238.50-377.00) mm<sup>2</sup>; whereas in children with spastic CP who have not used DAFOs, COPE for the Sit to Stand activity median value was 598.50 (500.50- 692.25) mm<sup>2</sup> and for Forward Reach median value was 300 (270.50- 378.00) mm<sup>2</sup>. Between the groups, the COPE is higher in children without DAFOs for both the activities and the difference is also found to be statistically significant for sit to stand activity as depicted in Table 2.

On PEDI, domains of self- care, mobility and social function children with spastic CP who were using DAFOs scored 67.00 (57.25-68.75); 48.00 (44.50-50.00) and 60.50 (59.25-62.00) respectively; while children with spastic CP who were not using DAFOs had 44.50 (40.25- 60.50), 36.00(31.25- 40.00), 58.00 (46.75- 60.75) on same domains.

Children with DAFOs group had higher scores in all the PEDI domains and the differences between the groups had also found to be statistically significant as depicted in Table 3.

Based on the correlation coefficients shown in Table 4, the results suggest that the correlation values for COPE in Sit to stand dynamic activity performed by children with CP who were using DAFOs on domains of PEDI were; Self-care 0.127 (p=0.638), Mobility 0.168 (p=0.533) and Social function -0.031 (p=0.909). Whereas for Forward Reach dynamic activity values with PEDI these were; 0.060 (p=0.826), -0.174 (p=0.519) and 0.107 (p=0.694) respectively.

The correlation values for COPE in Sit to stand dynamic activities performed by children who were not using DAFOs with PEDI for self-care 0.051 (p=0.851); mobility -0.051 (p=0.580); and for

social function it was -0.079 (p=0.772). Whereas for forward reach dynamic activity these values were-0.067 (p=0.805); -0.006 (p=0.983) and -0.181 (p=0.502). For both groups results of all correlations are shown in Table 4.

# DISCUSSION

The primary aim of this study was to assess the postural control during the dynamic activities in children with spastic CP who were using DAFOs and who had never used DAFOs and its related effect on functional abilities on PEDI. The results of the present study indicates that children with spastic CP using DAFOs had more effective dynamic postural control mechanisms measured in COPE and also better performance in PEDI compared to children without DAFOs. Between them, we also observed a statistically significant difference for COPE in sit to stand activity and all sub domains of PEDI score. However, these variables had found very weak correlation in our study participants (p>0.05).

Sit to stand and forward reach in standing are fundamental upright mobility activities which are widely performed in daily life [31-37]. These activities demand higher levels of neuromuscular coordination and muscle strength which are necessary for postural stability and postural adjustments during the performance [38-40]. In children with spastic CP, studies have shown poor ankle motor control mechanisms which includes biomechanical mal-alignment in lower extremities leading to pes equines, altered muscle tone and length in plantar flexors as well as excessive sub-talar pronation had shown to influence the dynamic postural control mechanisms [18,41,42].

In our study children with DAFOs were using Double walled Dynamic Ankle Foot Orthosis made up of poly propylene with support strapping at sub-talar joint and foot arch modification.

Dynamic activities performed by children with Spastic CP	COPE (mm <sup>2</sup> )	1	
	Children with DAFOs (n=16)	Children without DAFOs (n=16)	p- value
Sit to Stand	415.50 (328.50-540.75)	598.50 (500.50-692.25)	0.003*
Forward Reach	276.50 (238.50- 377.00)	300.00 (270.50- 378.00)	0.423

#### Table 2: Summary of COPE values for both the groups.

Table 3: Summary of Self-care, Mobility and Social function scores in PEDI for both the groups

PEDI domains	[Median	[Median (IQR)]	
	Children with DAFOs (n=16)	Children without DAFOs (n=16)	p- value
Self-care	67.00 (57.25-68.75)	44.50 (40.25-60.50)	0.001*
Mobility	48.00 (44.50-50.00)	36.00 (31.25-40.00)	0.001*
Social function	60.50 (59.25-62.00)	58.00 (46.75-60.75)	0.012*
	*Highly S	ignificant	

Table 4: Correlation of COPE with Self-care, Mobility and Social function domains of PEDI in both the groups.

	Children with DAFOs			Children without DAFOs		
	Self-care	Mobility	Social function	Self-care	Mobility	Social function
8	0.127	0.168	-0.031	0.051	-0.15	-0.079
р	0.638	0.533	0.909	0.851	0.58	0.772
8	0.06	-0.174	0.107	-0.067	-0.006	-0.181
р	0.826	0.519	0.694	0.805	0.983	0.502
	9 9 9	g 0.127   p 0.638   g 0.06	Self-care Mobility   g 0.127 0.168   p 0.638 0.533   g 0.06 -0.174	Self-care Mobility Social function   g 0.127 0.168 -0.031   p 0.638 0.533 0.909   g 0.06 -0.174 0.107	Self-care Mobility Social function Self-care   g 0.127 0.168 -0.031 0.051   p 0.638 0.533 0.909 0.851   g 0.06 -0.174 0.107 -0.067	Self-care Mobility Social function Self-care Mobility   g 0.127 0.168 -0.031 0.051 -0.15   p 0.638 0.533 0.909 0.851 0.58   g 0.06 -0.174 0.107 -0.067 -0.006

**?** =Pearson's correlation coefficient; p=Level of significance

#### Patel V, et al.

These support mechanism provides stabilizing control for sub talar mobility that aids them in their ability to actively control the alignment in foot and ankle complex as well as more even weight distribution to facilitate for better postural adjustments [43,44]. These findings could have contributed for lesser COPE in children with spastic CP who was using DAFOs. This is supported by Park et.al which showed during Sit to stand transfer activity, the children with spastic CP who were using AFOs where found to have better performance compared to children with CP without AFOs [45]. However, in their study they have taken the total time duration of the sit to stand performance compared to the present study.

According to the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF), the health status of individuals has multi-directional relationships between different domains of health. Based on the changes in body structure and function (such as deficits in postural control mechanisms as observed in CP) will affect their level of activity and participation restriction [46].

In our study children with DAFOs had better performance in selfcare, mobility and social function of PEDI domains. Some of the activities addressed in PEDI are challenged with postural control mechanisms which involves sit to stand and reach in standing activity. The participants in DAFOs group had lesser COPE which indicates they could have maintained successful postural stability to perform the daily routine task involving sit to stand and reach in standing. These further, could have an impact on their level of independence and also to explore the environment around them for active social participation. These findings are supported from a recent study by Pavao noted that children with CP having higher COPE during static standing found to have lower scores on Self-care and Mobility domains of PEDI [17]. As our participants were using AFOs more than one year, a recent study by Buckon et al. had reported that children with spastic CP shows functional benefit when they use AFOs for minimum period of 3 months regularly [47].

In our study, area of COPE had a very weak correlation with level of functionality for both the groups with p value > 0.05. Earlier studies on topographic distribution of CP with functional level had shown that gross motor abilities affect their self-care and daily mobility task. In our study 66% of participants were spastic diplegics and 34% were spastic hemiplegics could have resulted further present findings [14]. Other domains which may influence the functional abilities are level of intelligence, family support, community and socio-economic status which could have influenced our study results [48,49].

### LIMITATIONS

We also observed in DAFOs group 65% are girls and 75% of them were GMFCS level I. The group without DAFOs had 69% boys and 81% of them were level II. Hence, the results of the present study should be interpreted cautiously. Study findings are limited to GMFCS level I and II children with spastic CP. Muscle tone, Muscle length and Range Of Motions with respect to lower extremity were not considered. Physiotherapy interventions delivered in DAFOs group were not considered.

#### FUTURE SUGGESTIONS

A cohort study design to find out the benefits of DAFOs in postural control mechanisms and its association with functional abilities in children with spastic CP. Age and Gender matched participants with identical GMFCS level may be considered for similar study design.

#### CONCLUSION

Children with spastic CP who are using DAFOs more than a year had better dynamic postural control and functional abilities compared to children without DAFOs.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Chen J, Woollacott MH. Lower extremity kinetics for balance control in children with cerebral palsy. J Motor Behav. 2007;39(4):306-316.
- 2. Näslund A, Sundelin G, Hirschfeld H. Reach performance and postural adjustments during standing in children with severe spastic diplegia using dynamic ankle-foot orthoses. J Rehabil Med. 2008;39(9):715-723.
- Corrêa JC, Corrêa FI, Franco RC, Bigongiari A. Corporal oscillation during static biped posture in children with cerebral palsy. Electromyography Clin Neurophysiol. 2007;47(3):131-136.
- 4. Massion J. Postural control systems in developmental perspective. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 1998;22(4):465-472.
- 5. Pollock AS, Durward BR, Rowe PJ, Paul JP. What is balance? Clin Rehabil. 2000;14(4):402-406.
- 6. Horak FB, Macpherson JM. Postural orientation and equilibrium. Physiol. 1996;1:255-292.
- 7. MacKinnon CD, Winter DA. Control of whole body balance in the frontal plane during human walking. J Biomech. 1993;26(6):633-644.
- Newell KM, Slobounov SM, Slobounova BS, Molenaar PC. Shortterm nonstationarity and the development of postural control. Gait Posture. 1997;6(1):56-62.
- 9. Shumway-Cook A, Woollacott MH. Motor control: translating research into clinical practice. Lippincott Williams Wilkins. 2007.
- Woollacott MH, Burtner P, Jensen J, Jasiewicz J, Roncesvalles N, Sveistrup H. Development of postural responses during standing in healthy children and children with spastic diplegia. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 1998;22(4):583-589.
- 11. Woollacott MH, Shumway-Cook A. Postural dysfunction during standing and walking in children with cerebral palsy: What are the underlying problems and what new therapies might improve balance. Neural plasticity. 2005;12(23):211-219.
- Liu WY, Zaino CA, McCoy SW. Anticipatory postural adjustments in children with cerebral palsy and children with typical development. Pediatr Phys Ther. 2007;19(3):188-195.
- 13. Carlberg EB, Hadders-Algra M. Postural dysfunction in children with cerebral palsy: some implications for therapeutic guidance. Neural Plasticity. 2005;12(23):221-228.
- 14. Ostensjo S, Carlberg EB, Vollestad NK. Motor impairments in young children with cerebral palsy: Relationship to gross motor function and everyday activities. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2004;46(9):580-589.
- Donker SF, Ledebt A, Roerdink M, Savelsbergh GJ, Beek PJ. Children with cerebral palsy exhibit greater and more regular postural sway than typically developing children. Exp Brain Res. 2008;184(3):363-370.
- Rose J, Wolff DR, Jones VK, Bloch DA, Oehlert JW, Gamble JG. Postural balance in children with cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2002;44(1):58-63.
- Pavão SL, Nunes GS, Santos AN, Rocha NA. Relationship between static postural control and the level of functional abilities in children with cerebral palsy. Brazilian J Phys Ther. 2014;18(4):300-307.
- Ferdjallah M, Harris GF, Smith P, Wertsch JJ. Analysis of postural control synergies during quiet standing in healthy children and children with cerebral palsy. Clin Biomech. 2002;17(3):203-210.

# OPEN OACCESS Freely available online

#### Patel V, et al.

- 19. Hsue BJ, Miller F, Su FC. The dynamic balance of the children with cerebral palsy and typical developing during gait. Part I: Spatial relationship between COM and COP trajectories. Gait Posture. 2009;29(3):465-770.
- 20. Liao HF, Jeny SF, Lai JS, Cheng CK, Hu MH. The relation between standing balance and walking function in children with spastic diplegic cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol. 1997;39(2):106-112.
- Knox V, Usen Y. Clinical review of the pediatric evaluation of disability Inventory. British J Occup Ther. 2000;63(1):29-32.
- 22. Vos-Vromans DC, Ketelaar M, Gorter JW. Responsiveness of evaluative measures for children with cerebral palsy: the Gross Motor Function Measure and the Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory. Disabil Rehabil. 2005;27(20):1245-1252.
- 23. Feldman AB, Haley SM, Coryell J. Concurrent and construct validity of the Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory. Phys ther. 1990;70(10):602-610.
- Nichols DS, Case-Smith J. Reliability and validity of the Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory. Pediatr Phys Ther. 1996;8(1):15-24.
- 25. Westberry DE, Davids JR, Shaver JC, Tanner SL, Blackhurst DW, Davis RB. Impact of ankle-foot orthoses on static foot alignment in children with cerebral palsy. JBJS. 2007;89(4):806-813.
- 26. Winter DA, Patla AE, Frank JS. Assessment of balance control in humans. Med Prog Technol. 1990;16(12):31-51.
- 27. Danino B, Erel S, Kfir M, Khamis S, Batt R, Hemo Y, et al. Influence of orthosis on the foot progression angle in children with spastic cerebral palsy. Gait Posture. 2015;42(4):518-522.
- 28. Burtner PA, Woollacott MH, Qualls C. Stance balance control with orthoses in a group of children with spastic cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol. 1999;41(11):748-757.
- 29. Ju YH, Hwang S, Cherng RJ. Postural adjustment of children with spastic diplegic cerebral palsy during seated hand reaching in different directions. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2012;93(3):471-479.
- 30. Pavão SL, Santos AN, Oliveira AB, Rocha NA. Postural control during sit-tostand movement and its relationship with upright position in children with hemiplegic spastic cerebral palsy and in typically developing children. Brazilian J Phys Ther. 2015;19(1):18-25.
- Park ES, Park CI, Lee HJ, Kim DY, Lee DS, Cho SR. The characteristics of sitto-stand transfer in young children with spastic cerebral palsy based on kinematic and kinetic data. Gait Posture. 2003;17(1):43-49.
- 32. Pavao SL, dos Santos AN, de Oliveira AB, Rocha NA. Functionality level and its relation to postural control during sitting-to-stand movement in children with cerebral palsy. Res Dev Disabil. 2014;35(2):506-511.
- Chen KL, Tseng MH, Hu FC, Koh CL. Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory: A cross-cultural comparison of daily function between Taiwanese and American children. Res Dev Disabil. 2010;31(6):1590-1600.

- 34. Rha DW, Kim DJ, Park ES. Effect of hinged ankle-foot orthoses on standing balance control in children with bilateral spastic cerebral palsy. Yonsei Med J. 2010;51(5):746-752.
- Figueiredo EM, Ferreira GB, Moreira RC, Kirkwood RN, Fetters L. Efficacy of ankle-foot orthoses on gait of children with cerebral palsy: systematic review of literature. Pediatr Phys Ther. 2008;20(3):207-223.
- Burtner PA, Woollacott MH, Qualls C. Stance balance control with orthoses in a group of children with spastic cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol.1997;1(11):748-757.
- 37. Janssen WG, Bussmann HB, Stam HJ. Determinants of the sit-tostand movement: a review. Phys Ther. 2002;82(9):866-879.
- Papa E, Cappozzo A. Sit-to-stand motor strategies investigated in able-bodied young and elderly subjects. Journal of biomechanics. 2000;33(9):1113-1122.
- 39. Kim CM, Eng JJ. The relationship of lower-extremity muscle torque to locomotor performance in people with stroke. Phys Ther. 2003;83(1):49-57.
- Lomaglio MJ, Eng JJ. (2005) Muscle strength and weight-bearing symmetry relate to sit-to-stand performance in individuals with stroke. Gait & posture. 22(2):126-31.
- Dos Santos AN, Pavao SL, Santiago PR, de Fatima Salvini T, Rocha NA. Sit-tostand movement in children with hemiplegic cerebral palsy: Relationship with knee extensor torque and social participation. Res Dev Disabil. 2013;34(6):2023-2032.
- 42. Cobb SC, Tis LL, Johnson BF, Higbie EJ. The effect of forefoot varus on postural stability. J Orthopaedic Sports Phys Ther. 2004;34(2):79-85.
- Hylton NM. Postural and functional impact of dynamic AFOs and FOs in a pediatric population. J Prosthetics Orthotics. 1989;2(1):40-53.
- Wilson H, Haideri N, Song K, Telford D. Ankle-foot orthoses for preambulatory children with spastic diplegia. J Pediatr Orthopaedic. 1997;17(3):370-376.
- 45. Park ES, Park CI, Chang HJ, Choi JE, Lee DS. The effect of hinged ankle-foot orthoses on sit-to-stand transfer in children with spastic cerebral palsy. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2004;85(12):2053-2057.
- 46. Dos Santos AN, Pavão SL, de Campos AC, Rocha NA. International classification of functioning, disability and health in children with cerebral palsy. Disabil Rehabil. 2012;34(12):1053-1058.
- Buckon CE, Thomas SS, Jakobson-Huston S, Moor M, Sussman M, Aiona M. Comparison of three ankle–foot orthosis configurations for children with spastic diplegia. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2004;46(9):590-598.
- 48. Ostensjo S, Carlberg EB, Vollestad NK. Everyday functioning in young children with cerebral palsy: Functional skills, caregiver assistance and modifications of the environment. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2003;45(9):603-612.
- Allegretti AL, Mancini MC, Schwartzman JS. Estudo do desempenho funcional de crianças com paralisia cerebral diparética espástica utilizando o Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory (PEDI). Temas Desenvolv. 2002;11(64):5-11.