

Biosensors For the Measurement of C-Terminal Telopeptide of Type I Collagen (CTX-I)

Nasrin Afsarimanesh¹, Subhas C Mukhopadhyay^{1*} and Marlena Kruger²

¹Faculty of Science and Engineering, Macquarie University, NSW, Australia

²Institute of Food Science and Technology, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

*Corresponding author: Subhas C Mukhopadhyay, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Macquarie University, NSW, Australia, Tel: 61-2-9850-6510; E-mail: subhas.mukhopadhyay@mq.edu.au

Received date: March 30, 2017; Accepted date: April 03, 2017; Published date: April 09, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Afsarimanesh N, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

In the last few years, research on the use of biochemical markers of bone turnover has greatly improved. Among the several available bone turnover markers, monitoring serum C-terminal cross-linked telopeptides is one of the most accurate one. Manual and automated immunoassays are available for the measurement of CTX, which show high analytical performance. However, they are very expensive, time-consuming and require technical experts to perform the CTX assay. Different research groups have proposed novel immunosensing methods to detect CTX biomarker. It is a hope that the development of a rapid and inexpensive point-of-care device can aid in monitoring the bone metabolism more frequently, which can be helpful in indicating the early stages of bone loss.

Keywords: CTX; Osteoporosis; Bone marker; ELISA; Biosensor

Introduction

Osteoporosis is a common disease that is usually silent until the first fracture occurs and has a noticeable effect on the individuals and the health economics in the developed countries [1-3]. According to Osteoporosis Australia's report that was released in 2013, 1.2 million Australians were estimated to have osteoporosis and 6.3 million individuals were diagnosed with low bone density.

In 2013, there was one fracture every 3.6 min in Australia and it was predicted there would be one fracture every 2.9 min by 2022. Moreover, in this report the total healthcare cost associated with diagnosis and management of osteoporosis was estimated to be \$33.6 billion, over the next ten years [4].

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), Dual X-ray Absorptiometry (DXA) is currently the gold standard and the most accurate technique for the diagnosis of osteoporosis [5]. However, bone mineral density (BMD) studies are required to be done in longer intervals between the measurements due to the slow changes in BMD. Compared to the standard tools of BMD measurement that requires at least two years to show a proper response with starting or stopping of therapy, biochemical markers respond more quickly, within approximately a few months [6,7].

Biochemical markers of bone turnover

Biochemical monitoring of bone loss depends on the measurement of proteins and enzymes released during the process of bone resorption and bone formation. Several biochemical markers are available, which allow a sensitive and specific monitoring of bone metabolism.

Although the biochemical markers are not suggested to use in osteoporosis diagnosis yet, but they are useful for the early detection of bone loss and monitoring the response to therapy [8].

Bone formation	Bone resorption
By products of collagen synthesis	Collagen degradation products
Procollagen type I C-terminal (s) propeptide (s)	Hydroxyproline (u)
Procollagen type I N-terminal (s) propeptide (s)	Pyridinoline (u,s)
Matrix protein	Deoxypyridinoline (u,s)
Osteocalcin (s)	Cross-linked telopeptides of type I collagen
Osteoblast enzyme	N-terminal cross-linked telopeptide (u,s)
Total alkaline phosphatase (s)	C-terminal cross-linked telopeptide (u,s)
Bone alkaline phosphatase (s)	Osteoclast enzymes
	Tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (s)

 Table 1: Biochemical markers of bone turnover ((s) Measured in serum; (u) measured in urine).

Biochemical markers of bone turnover can give more real-time information about the bone formation, resorption and turnover [6-9]. Markers of bone metabolism can be divided into two broad categories: bone resorption markers and bone formation markers, which indicate the activity of the osteoclast and the osteoblast, respectively [10-12].

Most commonly used biochemical markers of bone turnover are given in Table 1 [1]. Among the various biochemical markers of bone turnover, measurements of urinary and serum C-terminal telopeptides of Type I collagen (CTX) are the most accurate and sensitive [13,14].

CTX assay development

The serum CTX assay measures carboxyl-terminal collagen crosslinks in serum. This measurement is reported to be more sensitive and specific than other measurements [15].

Urine CTX assays

The first CTX assay was developed for the measurement of CTX in urine samples and it was a competitive enzyme-linked immunoassay [16]. Later in 1996, a coated-tube radioimmunoassay (RIA) was introduced for urine monoclonal antibody [17]. The tube surface was coated with the eight amino acid peptide (EKAHDGGR) and the same peptide was considered as the calibrator. In 1997, an ELISA was developed using the same monoclonal antibody [18].

In the developed assay the competitor antigen was coated onto the wells of a microtiter plate and a child's urine was considered as the calibrator where the concentration was determined by the original assay [16].

Serum CTX assays

Serum CTX assays are more attractive than urinary markers of bone resorption because they do not require a second assay for the measurement of creatinine. Moreover, in serum-based assays, the specimen is more reproducible [18,19].

The first blood-based CTX assay was an ELISA with a capacitive polyclonal antibody [20]. After that, the same group developed a serum based ELISA based on two monoclonal antibodies [21,22]. The next significant step in the progress of the serum-based CTX assay was automation.

Several automated versions of serum CTX assays are reported [23-25]. The latest version of the automated assay [26] was developed based on chemiluminescence technology and demonstrated good analytical characteristics.

Development of biosensors for CTX measurement

Despite the excellent sensitivity and selectivity of the developed assays, which are mentioned in the last section, they have some limitations. These methods are very expensive and time-consuming. Furthermore, they are laboratory based and require expertise to perform the assay [27,28].

In recent years, researchers are investigating the development of sensing devices in order to overcome the limitations of the standard CTX assays.

In 2009, Yun et al. [29] described label-free biosensor for the detection of CTX, based on electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) technique. In this work, a self-assembled monolayer (Dithiodipropionic acid) was deposited on the gold electrodes; streptavidin was then immobilized as a self-assembled monolayer on top of the Dithiodipropionic acid layer. After washing the electrodes to remove extra streptavidin, the electrodes were immersed in biotinylated antibody for 4 h, and then the electrodes were ready for the test.

The electrodes were dipped into the various concentrations of CTX solution, ranging from 0.2 to 10 μ g/ml, for EIS measurements. Figure 1 illustrates the schematic representation of the proposed biosensor. A detection limit of 50 ng/ml was reported for the proposed

immunosensor, but it was much higher than the reference range of CTX levels in human serum and urine.



Figure 1: Schematic demonstrating a label-free immunosensor for CTX measurement [29].

An optical fluorescence-based biosensor was developed by Kim et at. [30] for the detection of CTX in urine samples. This competitive assay relied on antibody-conjugated fluoro-microbeads in order to produce an optical signal. For this immunosensing, the surface of the optical probes was prepared by conjugating the antibody with the fluoro-microbeads.

A self-assembled monolayer (DTSP SAM) was used to immobilize PEG4-EKGPDP on the biosensing surface. A pre-mixed solution was prepared by mixing antibody-conjugated optical probes and different concentrations of CTX in urine samples. The pre-mixed solution was then applied to the sensing surface. The optical probes were involved in competition with the CTX molecules in the sample for binding to the immobilized PEG4-EKGPDP (Figure 2).

This immunoassay could detect CTX concentrations between 200 to 1400 ng/mmol covering the reference range required to diagnose osteoporosis. Using the proposed immunoassay, sensing could be achieved in 70 min, which was less than the time needed by conventional ELISA.





Later in 2015, Park et al. [31] reported a new method for the detection of CTX using a fluoro-microbeads guiding chip (FMGC) for simultaneous detection of serum and urinary CTX. The designed FMGC was made of three layers, as shown in Figure 3. The bottom layer was composed of a 180 \times 800 μm^2 gold sensing area on a silicon substrate.

Page 2 of 6

The middle layer had four sensing areas, which were connected to the top layer via inter-connecting holes. The top layer had a sample injection inlet and four injection inlets. A fluidic control device (FCD) was designed and used to control the sample flow in channels separately. FCD enabled a particular and easy open-close action of FMGC channels.



Figure 3: Schematic diagram of the developed FMGC controlled by an FCD for simultaneous detection of serum and urinary CTX [31].

In order to simultaneously measure the level of CTX in serum and urine using the developed FMGC, PEG4-EKGPDP and antibodies were immobilized on the sensing surface by using a self-assembled. The samples were then applied over the inlet for testing.



preparation [32].

The signal was analysed by counting the fluorescent microbeads from the images which are already registered. The developed FMGC showed good correlation with ELISA and it required more than two hours to complete the detection and measurement procedure. In 2016, an impedimetric immunosensing technique was proposed by Ramanathan et al. [32] utilizing gold-deposited carbon nanotube (CNT) electrode arrays, for the detection of CTX. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy was implemented to detect the impedance changes happening on the surface of the gold-coated CNT electrodes.

The gold-coated electrodes were treated with avidin. Then the avidinated electrodes were immobilized with the biotinylated antibody. The sensor was then ready for the measurement. Different concentrations of CTX, ranging from 0.05 to 0.6 ng/ml were prepared. The sensor was immersed in the prepared solutions for one hour, and then it was rinsed in deionized water.

Finally, impedance measurements were made using the Gamry instrument. The schematic steps of biosensing surface preparation are given in Figure 4A. The developed biosensor could quantify CTX concentrations as low as 0.05 ng/ml but no real sample was tested using the proposed sensor.

Current research on development of biosensors for the measurement of CTX

We have developed a non-invasive, label-free and real-time biosensing technique for the early detection of bone loss by applying EIS method [33].

A silicon-based planar capacitive sensor with a dimension of $1 \text{ cm} \times 1 \text{ cm}$ and gold interdigital electrodes, was used to perform the experiments (Figure 4B).



In order to functionalize the sensor, the biosensing area (with a dimension of 2.5 mm \times 2.5 mm) was first coated with streptavidin. A pre-mixed antibody-antigen solution was prepared by mixing different concentrations of antigen and antibodies.

Then, the antibody-antigen solution was applied on the sensing area for the detection of CTX. Finally, EIS measurement was performed using a high precision LCR meter. The schematic illustration for the sensing surface preparation is given in Figure 5.

Page 3 of 6





Various concentrations of CTX were measured using the proposed biosensing system. Figure 6 shows the cole-cole plot for four different concentrations of CTX in a frequency range of 40-100 Hz. Considerable changes could be observed in the diameter of the semicircles by changing the level of CTX in the test sample.



Principal component analysis was employed to determine the most sensitive frequency, which was suggested 710 Hz showed the maximum changes in impedance. So the sensitivity of the biosensor was calculated from the following equation and the reference curve was plotted, which is given in Figure 7.

$$Sensitivity (\%) = \frac{Z_{imag}(Control) - Z_{imag}(Sample)}{Z_{imag}(Control)} \times 100$$

The reference curve was used to determine the concentration of CTX in the real serum samples. Two real serum samples from sheep blood were tested using the developed biosensing system. The results were compared with the results obtained from ELISA. The developed biosensor showed high correlation with ELISA.



Figure 7: The reference calibration curve for the sensitivity of the sensor vs. concentration at 710 Hz [33].

The developed biosensor was able to detect CTX concentrations between 0.147 ng/ml to 1.693 ng/ml, and it required approximately 2 h to perform the assay. However, there are still some limitations in using our developed biosensing device and all the biosensors were explained in the previous section. They are laboratory based and require technical expertise to perform the assay. Moreover, antibody immobilization steps are time-consuming and complicated. Also, natural antibodies have limited stability and are expensive which increases the cost of biosensing system. So preparing and using artificial antibodies can be a good solution to overcome the mentioned limitations.

In the last years, molecular imprinting polymers (MIPs) have been considered as a means to create artificial antibodies for different molecules [35-38]. Currently, we are working on the development of a MIP-based electrochemical biosensor for CTX detection and measurement [34]. Therefore, artificial recognition sites for CTX molecules were prepared by using precipitation polymerization technique.

Uptake kinetic and static adsorption studies were performed using a High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) instrument to investigate the time required by the polymer to entrap the CTX molecules present in the sample and to determine the saturation level of MIP. A self-assembled monolayer of 3-aminopropyltrietoxysilane (APTES) was used to immobilize MIP on the surface of the interdigital gold electrodes. Figure 8 shows the MIP-coated sensor.



Different known concentrations of sample solutions ranging from 15 μ g/ml to 45 μ g/ml were prepared by dissolving CTX peptide in deionized water and tested using the proposed sensor. The EIS measurement was then performed using LCR meter and the obtained results were validated using HPLC. The Cole-Cole plot for different concentrations is shown in Figure 9.



Figure 9: Nyquist (Cole-Cole) plot for different concentration o CTX [34].

This is ongoing research, targeted to develop an inexpensive portable biosensor that can be used outside laboratories as a point-ofcare device for early detection of bone turnover. So far, we have worked on samples with high concentration and the results were encouraging for further investigations. We are now working on the preparation of polymer for very low concentrations to cover the reference clinical range of CTX in serum and urine.

Conclusion

This review has studied the available CTX assays and biosensors to measure the level of CTX in urine or serum samples. CTX is a sensitive biomarker of bone resorption that responds quickly to any change in bone metabolism. Despite the high sensitivity of available CTX assays such as ELISA, they are very costly and time-consuming. Recently, researchers have focused on the development of biosensors, which can overcome the limitations of ELISA and the available immunoassays. The recent researches are concentrating on the biosensors, which have great potential to simply and more frequently monitor bone metabolism.

References

- 1. Eastell R, Hannon RA (2008) Biomarkers of bone health and osteoporosis risk. Proc Nutr Soc 67: 157-162.
- 2. Rachner TD, Khosla S, Hofbauer LC (2011) Osteoporosis: Now and the future. Lancet 377: 1276-1287.
- Hernlund E, Svedbom A, Ivergård M, Compston J, Cooper C, et al. (2013) Osteoporosis in the European Union: Medical management, epidemiology and economic burden. Arch Osteoporos 8: 136.
- 4. Watts J, Abimanyi-Ochom J, Sanders K (2012) Osteoporosis costing all Australians-A new burden of disease analysis.
- Kanis JA, Melton LJ 3rd, Christiansen C, Johnston CC, Khaltaev N (1994) The diagnosis of osteoporosis. J Bone Miner Res 9: 1137-1141.
- Christenson RH (1997) Biochemical markers of bone metabolism: An overview. Clin Biochem 30: 573-593.
- 7. Swaminathan R (2001) Biochemical markers of bone turnover. Clin Chim Acta 313: 95-105.
- Baumann M, Eastell R, Hoyle N, Wieczorek L (2001) Bone markers: Biochemical and clinical perspectives: Taylor & Francis Group, CRP Press.
- 9. Hannon RA, Eastell R (2006) Bone markers and current laboratory assays. Cancer Treat Rev 32: 7-14.
- 10. Office of the Surgeon General (2004) Bone health and osteoporosis: A report of the surgeon general. Rockville (MD): US Department of Health and Human Services, USA.
- Garnero P, Sornay-Rendu E, Claustrat B, Delmas PD (2000) Biochemical markers of bone turnover, endogenous hormones and the risk of fractures in postmenopausal women: the OFELY study. J Bone Miner Res 15: 1526-1536.
- 12. Seibel MJ (2005) Biochemical markers of bone turnover part I: Biochemistry and variability Clin Biochem Rev 26: 97-122.
- 13. Chubb SA (2012) Measurement of C-terminal telopeptide of type I collagen (CTX) in serum. Clin Biochem 45: 928-935.
- 14. Eastell R, Garnero P, Audebert C, Cahall DL (2012) Reference intervals of bone turnover markers in healthy premenopausal women: Results from a cross-sectional European study. Bone 50: 1141-1147.
- 15. Rosen HN, Moses AC, Garber J, Iloputaife ID, Ross DS, et al. (2000) Serum CTX: A new marker of bone resorption that shows treatment effect more often than other markers because of low coefficient of variability and large changes with bisphosphonate therapy. Calcif Tissue Int 66: 100-103.
- Bonde M, Qvist P, Fledelius C, Riis BJ, Christiansen C (1994) Immunoassay for quantifying type I collagen degradation products in urine evaluated Clin Chem 40: 2022-2025.
- 17. Bonde M, Fledelius C, Qvist P, Christiansen C (1996) Coated-tube radioimmunoassay for C-telopeptides of type I collagen to assess bone resorption. Clin Chem 42: 1639-1644.
- 18. Fledelius C, Kolding I, Qvist P, Bonde M, Hassager C, et al. (1997) Development of a monoclonal antibody to urinary degradation products from the C-terminal telopeptide a1 chain of type I collagen. Application in an enzyme immunoassay and comparison to CrossLaps[™] ELISA. Scand J Clin Lab Inv 57: 73-83.
- Biver E, Chopin F, Coiffier G, Brentano TF, Bouvard B, et al. (2012) Bone turnover markers for osteoporotic status assessment? A systematic review of their diagnosis value at baseline in osteoporosis. Joint Bone Spine 79: 20-25.
- 20. Bonde M, Garnero P, Fledelius C, Qvist P, Delmas PD, et al. (1997) Measurement of bone degradation products in serum using antibodies reactive with an isomerized form of an 8 amino acid sequence of the C-Telopeptide of type I collagen. J Bone Miner Res 12: 1028-1034.

Page 5 of 6

Page 6 of 6

- 21. Crofton PM, Evans N, Taylor MR, Holland CV (2002) Serum CrossLaps: Pediatric reference intervals from birth to 19 years of age. Clin Chem 48: 671-673.
- 22. Rosenquist C, Fledelius C, Christgau S, Pedersen BJ, Bonde M, et al. (1998) Serum crosslaps one step ELISA. First application of monoclonal antibodies for measurement in serum of bone-related degradation products from C-terminal telopeptides of type I collagen. Clin Chem 44: 2281-2289.
- 23. Erler K (1998) Elecsys immunoassay systems using electrochemiluminescence detection. Wien Klin Wochenschr 110: 5-10.
- 24. Claudon A, Vergnaud P, Valverde C, Mayr A, Klause U, et al. (2008) New automated multiplex assay for bone turnover markers in osteoporosis. Clin Chem 54: 1554-1563.
- 25. Garnero P, Borel O, Delmas PD (2001) Evaluation of a fully automated serum assay for C-terminal cross-linking telopeptide of type I collagen in osteoporosis. Clin Chem 47: 694-702.
- 26. Huvelle S, Bothy A, Lepoutre T, Gruson D (2013) Measurement of Cterminal cross-linking telopeptide of type I collagen: Evaluation of a new automated assay. Clin biochem 46: 1778-1779.
- 27. Ross PD (1999) Predicting bone loss and fracture risk with biochemical markers: A review. J Clin Densitom 2: 285-94.
- Srivastava AK, MacFarlane G, Srivastava VP, Mohan S, Baylink DJ (2001) A new monoclonal antibody ELISA for detection and characterization of C-telopeptide fragments of type I collagen in urine. Calcif Tissue Int 69: 327-336.
- 29. Yun YH, Bhattacharya A, Watts NB, Schulz MJ (2009) A label-free electronic biosensor for detection of bone turnover markers. Sensors (Basel) 9: 7957-7969.
- Kim SJ, Park YM, Min BH, Lee DS, Yoon HC (2013) Optical immunosensor for quantifying C-telopeptide fragments of type II collagen as an osteoarthritis biomarker in urine. BioChip J 7: 399-407.

- 31. Park YM, Kim SJ, Lee KJ, Yang SS, Min BH, et al. (2015) Detection of CTX-II in serum and urine to diagnose osteoarthritis by using a fluoromicrobeads guiding chip. Biosens Bioelectron 67: 192-199.
- Ramanathan M, Patil M, Epur R, Yun Y, Shanov V, et al. (2016) Goldcoated carbon nanotube electrode arrays: Immunosensors for impedimetric detection of bone biomarkers. Biosens Bioelectron 77: 580-588.
- Afsarimanesh N, Zia AI, Mukhopadhyay SC, Kruger M, Yu PL, et al. (2016) Smart sensing system for the prognostic monitoring of bone health. Sensors 16: 976.
- 34. Afsarimanesh N, Alahi M, Mukhopadhyay S, Kruger M, Yu PL (2016) Development of molecular imprinted polymer interdigital sensor for Cterminal telopeptide of type I collagen. Sensing Technology (ICST), 10th International Conference on Sensing Technology (ICST).
- 35. Alizadeh T, Zare M, Ganjali MR, Norouzi P, Tavana B (2010) A new molecularly imprinted polymer (MIP)-based electrochemical sensor for monitoring 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT) in natural waters and soil samples. Biosens Bioelectron 25: 1166-1172.
- 36. Kong X, Gao R, He X, Chen L, Zhang Y (2012) Synthesis and characterization of the core-shell magnetic molecularly imprinted polymers (Fe₃O₄@MIPs) adsorbents for effective extraction and determination of sulfonamides in the poultry feed. J Chromatogr A 1245: 8-16.
- Kan X, Zhao Q, Shao D, Geng Z, Wang Z, et al. (2010) Preparation and recognition properties of bovine hemoglobin magnetic molecularly imprinted polymers. J Phys Chem B 114: 3999-4004.
- Holthoff EL, Stratis-Cullum DN, Hankus ME (2011) A nanosensor for TNT detection based on molecularly imprinted polymers and surface enhanced Raman scattering. Sensors 11: 2700-2714.