OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

Journal of Theoretical & Computational Science

Opinion Article

# Study of Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship Analysis (QSAR) for Drug Development

**Dongshu Zhang***

*Department of Radiology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China*

## DESCRIPTION

Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship (QSAR) is a computational modelling technique that is used to describe the connection between structural properties and biological activity and biological property of a compound. Structure Activity Relationship (SAR) is an approach developed to find the relationship between chemical structure (and structure-related properties) and biological activity (or target properties) of compounds under study. QSAR modelling is essential for drug discovery, but it has many limitations. RNA is an appealing therapeutic target due to the variety of RNA structural elements and their documented roles in human diseases. However, difficulties in determining high-resolution RNA structures and a lack of validated Quantitative Structure–Activity Relationships (QSARs) have hampered progress in drug discovery and development. Additionally, there is a limited comprehension of the parameters that drive RNA recognition by small molecules. Small molecules and the HIV-1 transactivation response (TAR) RNA model system's thermodynamic and kinetic binding parameters are quantitatively predicted by our QSAR models in this paper. Surface plasmon resonance was used to test for TAR against a variety of small molecules that carried scaffolds. Robust models based on Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) and feature selection allowed for a straight forward interpretation of binding strength and kinetic rate constant-relevant properties. These models were tested with brand-new molecules and their accurate performance was confirmed by contrasting them to ensemble tree methods supports the platform's generalizability.

Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationships (QSAR) is useful tools for a variety of applications, including drug discovery, predictive toxicology, and risk assessment. The Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) defines QSAR models has physicochemical, structural, and biological domains as part of their scope. Initially, two-dimensional quantitative structure-activity relationships (2D-QSAR) were widely investigated and used in medicinal chemistry research. However, with some limitations, three-dimensional quantitative structure-activity relationships (3D-QSAR) have emerged. 3D QSAR studies focus on the correlation between 3D solids and electrostatic fields and biological activity. In molecular field research, CoMFA is currently utilised extensively. However, time-consuming restrictions stimulate the emergence of TopCoMFA. TopCoMFA overcomes that weakness and uses an objective method to fragment and align molecules. Moreover, the fragmentation process is automated, except for a few specific bonds that need to be broken manually. The, TopCoMFA and CoMFA also share a QSAR PLS analysis, so there are similarities. For more information on TopCoMFA and CoMFA. Drug development is a long process, requiring large amounts of materials and funds. QSAR and molecular docking techniques are widely used for virtual drug screening and prediction of potential molecular targets, which can shorten the drug development cycle. In this work, a 2D QSAR model was used to determine his EGFR inhibitors , whereas is used to identify the biologiocal activity. Finally, we used molecular docking to study the binding site. In order to determine how much a specific signal transduction pathway or metabolic pathway is inhibited, biological activity of molecules is typically assessed in assays. QSAR modeling is essential for drug discovery, but it has many limitations. An example of QSAR model based on statistics is OPERA*. The QSAR is related to a numerical description of a molecular structure. There are a lot of easily computable descriptors when combined with sophisticated methods, that make the initial linear regression analysis methods used to derive the QSAR equations better. Since they are not based on an a priori physics-based model, but rather on observed trends and correlations between the chemical descriptors and response variables, QSARs are empirical models. QSAR is a complementary method that tries to determine how the activity is related to structural changes in a group of molecules. Quantitative Structure Activity Relationships (QSARs) attempt to relate chemical structure and activity using a statistical approach. QSAR models are useful for many purposes, such as predicting the activity of untested chemicals. Quantitative Structure Activity Relationships (QSAR) develop relationships

**Correspondence to:** Dr. Dongshu Zhang, Department of Radiology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China, E-mail: dongshu_zhang2008@126.com

**Received:** 28-Sep-2022, Manuscript No. JTCO-22-20292; **Editor assigned:** 03-Oct-2022, Pre QC No. JTCO-22-20292 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 18-Oct-2022, QC No. JTCO-22-20292; **Revised:** 21-Oct-2022, Manuscript No. JTCO-22-20292 (R); **Published:** 30-Nov-2022, DOI: 10.35248/2376-130X. 22.8.150.

**Citation:** Zhang D (2022) Study of Quantitative Structure Activity Relationship Analysis (QSAR) for Drug Development. J Theor Comput Sci. 8:150.

between the physicochemical properties of chemicals and their biological activities in order to obtain reliable statistical models for predicting the activity of new chemicals and it has been used for decades Quantitative SAR Models (QSAR) are considered a special case of SAR (when the relationship is quantified) and relate a set of "predictor" variables (X) to powers of the response variable (Y). An approach called Structure-Activity Relationship (SAR) is made to find connections between chemical structures. As it is the idea of connecting a chemical property or biological activity, such as toxicity, to a chemical structure. (Q) SARs are the combined term for qualitative and quantitative SARs. Non-continuous data serve as the foundation for qualitative relationships, whereas continuous data serve as the foundation for quantitative relationships. The fundamental tenet of SAR is that molecules' structure reflects their activity. As a result, similar molecules perform similarly. Structure contains the characteristics that contribute to its biological, chemical, and physical properties. It depends on being able to describe the chemical using one or more descriptors, one of which is a two-dimensional structure. Since each type of activity may be dependent on distinct molecular similarities, the fundamental issue is how to define differences at the molecular level. As a result, structurally similar molecules may exhibit similar biological toxicities. Based on their physicochemical properties, we quantify the relationship between structure and activity. It is possible to make predictions about a designed compound before chemically synthesizing a new analogue. Early Quantitative Structure Activity Relationship (QSAR) techniques rely on conventional machine learning and interpretive expert capabilities, and thus show insufficient versatility and accuracy in fields such as drug discovery. QSAR models relate the structural chemical characteristics of a compound to its physicochemical properties or biological activities (properties such as molecular weight,molar volume, electronegativity, partition coefficient (cLogp), number of hydrogen bond donors and acceptors). A summary of relationships. Quantitative SAR (QSAR)-based models have been used continuously in medicinal chemistry for drug discovery and lead optimization. QSAR helps develop mathematical relationships between a drug's physicochemical properties and its biological activity. Among CADD techniques, Quantitative Structure Activity Relationship (QSAR) has been widely applied to identify hits by virtual screening to predict the physicochemical properties and biological activities of molecules.