

Paraquat Poisoning: 'What We Do and Do Not Know.'

Arora Navneet, Sarthak Wadhera, Deba Prasad Dhibar^{*}

Department of Internal Medicine, Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India

ABSTRACT

Paraquat is a quaternary compound used as an herbicide for destroying weed and is highly toxic for humans. Its ingestion leads to multi-organ dysfunction, leading to liver insufficiency and lung fibrosis, which are life-threatening due to respiratory failure. A Pubmed, EMBASE, Ovid, and Cochrane library search was done, all authors reviewed all literature and relevant studies, and data were included in references. Paraquat is available in commercial 20% concentrate form, as 2.5% granules, and 0.2% aerosol. Mortality rates are as high as 65% in patients who ingest concentrated formulation compared to 4% in those who ingest diluted solution (25% w/v). Paraquat undergoes redox-cycling to generate reactive oxygen species leads to its toxic effects. This highly reactive oxygen and nitrite species result in multi-organ toxicity which is maximally seen in the lungs. Absorption occurs primarily through the small intestine. Peak concentration in plasma and maintenance of plasma paraquat levels are the two main factors that decide its concentration in the lungs. The destructive phase is followed by the proliferative phase, in which there is the presence of extensive fibrosis. High dose intake leads to acute respiratory distress syndrome, myocardial necrosis, cerebral edema, and renal failure, which leads to multi-organ failure. The diagnosis of paraquat poisoning is based on history. Simple bedside methods like urine or plasma dithionate tests are used to assess systemic paraquat toxicity. Management stands on four pillars which are reducing absorption, supportive care, antioxidant therapy, and immunosuppression.

Keywords: Paraquat poisoning; Toxicity; Antioxidant therapy

INTRODUCTION

Paraquat, also known as methyl viologen, is a quaternary compound whose properties were first described in 1993 by Michales and Hill [1]. It was introduced as an herbicidal agent into the market in August 1962 by Imperial Chemical Industries, now Syngenta [2,3]. Paraquat is registered and used in over 120 countries worldwide due to its low cost, easy availability, and excellent herbicidal properties for destroying weed, and it is highly toxic for humans. Its ingestion leads to multi-organ dysfunction, leading to liver insufficiency and lung fibrosis, which are life-threatening due to respiratory failure [4]. For agriculture use, Paraquat is available in 10%-30% concentration, broadly neutral but can also be irritating and corrosive. It contains an aliphatic detergent that enhances its entry into the cells, enhancing its toxicity. Commercially paraquat is sold in 20% concentrate, 2.5% granules or 0.2% aerosol [4,5]. Mortality

rates are as high as 65% in patients who ingest concentrated formulation compared to 4% in those ingest diluted solution (25% w/v) [6]. Paraquat formulation is dark-blue or green in color to distinguish it from common beverages and contains a powerful stanching and emetic agent. Paraguat ingestion causes a cyclic reduction and oxidation reaction producing reactive oxygen species and depletes Nicotinamide Adenine Nucleotide Phosphate (NADPH) [7]. Paraquat is taken up against the concentration gradient in the lungs, thus leading to inflammation involving leucocyte recruitment and late pulmonary fibrosis, causing hypoxemia resistant to the treatment. Patients ingesting 40 ml of 24% concentrate paraquat develop fulminant poisoning and die within hours to days due to multi-organ failure. As little as 16 ml of paraquat ingestion can cause moderate to severe poisoning leading to pulmonary fibrosis and severe hypoxemia within 1-2 weeks [8-10]. It has a high case fatality rate attributed to its inherent toxicity and lack

Correspondence to: Deba Prasad Dhibar, Department of Internal Medicine, Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India, Tel: +919530881462; E-mail: drdeba_prasad@yahoo.co.in

Received: September 09, 2021; Accepted: September 23, 2021; Published: September 30, 2021

Citation: Navneet A, Wadhera S, Dhibar PD (2021) Paraquat Poisoning: 'What we do and do not know.' J Clin Toxicol. S19:004.

Copyright: © 2021 Navneet A, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

of effective treatment [11]. Due to its high toxicity to humans, the European Union, withdrew Paraquat from its market in 2007.

MECHANISM OF TOXICITY

Paraquat's ability to undergo redox-cycling to generate reactive oxygen species leads to its toxic effects. It is metabolized to PQ⁺, which reoxidizes to PQ²⁺ inside the cell with the help of NADH-Ubiquinone oxidoreductase, NADPH cytochrome P450 reductase, xanthine oxidase, and nitric oxide synthase enzyme system [12-19]. This process generates superoxide, which leads to the formation of peroxynitrite (ONOO) and hydroxyl free radicle (HO). This highly reactive oxygen and nitrite species result in multi-organ toxicity, the maximum seen in the lungs [20]. Electrophilic free radicles generated by this process cause compromise to cell membrane function and trigger apoptosis via lipid peroxidation (Figure 1) [21,22]. In the mitochondria, Paraquat is reduced by NADH-Ubiquinone Oxidoreductase, which leads to superoxide formation [23,24]. Permeability of the inner mitochondrial membrane is increased, which is calciumdependent and leads to membrane depolarization, uncoupling, and matrix swelling, causing mitochondrial toxicity. Redox cycling rapidly oxidizes NADPH, decreasing glutathione production, causing impaired defense against oxidative stress. Reactive oxygen species activate the Nuclear Factor Kappa β (NF-K β). After activation, it induces target genes involved in inflammation and leads to inflammatory enzymes, cytokines, and chemokines. This results in platelet aggregation, Fibrinogenesis, and activation of inflammatory cells [25-27]. Paraquat, thus leads to apoptosis of cells via reactive oxygen species, NF-K β , and peroxynitrite species [28-31]. Major organs targeted by herbicidal poisoning are the lungs, proximal convoluted tubules in the kidneys, and the liver's rough and smooth endoplasmic retinaculum [32-37].



MECHANISM OF TOXICITY OF PARAQUAT

Figure 1: Pictorial representation of paraquat toxicity. NADPH: Nicotinamide Adenine Nucleotide Phosphate Hydrogen; NADP: Nicotinamide Adenine Nucleotide Phosphate; PQ+: Paraquat Mono-Cation Radical; O₂: Superoxide; HO: Hydroxyl free radical; ONOO: Peroxinitrite.

TOXICOKINETICS

Paraquat, a highly water-soluble compound, causes almost all poisonings due to the ingestion of the herbicide. Absorption occurs primarily through the small intestine, up to 5% in 1-6 hours. It is rapidly reabsorbed via a carrier-mediated transport system for choline on the brush border membrane of the small intestine, though the net fraction absorbed is relatively low [38,39]. Concurrent food ingestion decreases the amount of systemic reabsorption. Paraquat may be detected in urine as early as 1 hour after the ingestion. The peak plasma concentration is attained within 4 hours after ingestion [40,41]. Which remains relatively constant for 30 hours as demonstrated in the animal models [42]. During this period, the concentration in the lung rises several times the plasma concentration. Peak concentration in plasma and maintenance of plasma paraquat levels are the two main factors determining its concentration in the lungs. The pharmacokinetics of Paraquat differs substantially in humans as compared to animal models. Plasma paraguat levels decline rapidly after the first 15 hours of the half-life due to tissue distribution. Thus, any interventions, like administration of adsorbents, should be done within the first few hours or preferably minutes from the herbicidal ingestion [43].

Ocular exposure causes corrosive injury with local ulceration and scarring; however, it does not lead to systemic toxicity. Inhalation in occupation or agricultural settings can only cause nasal and tracheobronchial mucosal irritation as well as corrosion. The distribution of Paraquat in plasma is best explained by a three compartment system in which blood is considered the central compartment. The second compartment is composed of highly perfused tissues such as the liver, heart, kidney. Rapid exchanges occur between blood and this compartment. The third compartment comprises lung tissue, primarily type 1 and 2 pneumocytes and Clara cells. Exchanges between blood and lungs are typically slow. Half-life (T 1/2) of Paraguat in the lung is much higher than T 1/2 in other organs [44]. Provided renal functions are normal, peak concentration in the lung is achieved after 5-7 hours of ingestion [45]. Renal failure impairs the excretion of Paraquat by its usual route. It has been proved that impairment of renal function by 5% leads to a 5-fold higher concentration in plasma [46]. Most of the orally administered Paraquat is excreted unchanged in the urine, and only a tiny fraction is metabolized. The absorbed fraction is almost completely excreted unchanged through kidneys, nearly 80%-90% in 1st 6 hours, whereas almost 100% of the absorbed drug is excreted within 24 hours [47]. Paraquat excretion occurs both by glomerular filtration as well as tubular secretion.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

Pathophysiology of Paraquat to cause multi-organ dysfunction progresses in two stages. The first stage leads to acute damage of highly perfused organs such as kidneys, liver, heart, and lungs. Death can occur during this period, if Paraquat is ingested in large amounts and is associated with pulmonary, circulatory, and renal failure. The second stage exclusively involves

pulmonary damage. Paraquat is selectively accumulated in the lungs involving a polyamine uptake system [48,49]. Classically; two distinct phases are described in the development of pulmonary lesions, which coincide with early and late clinical stages. The first phase, known as the destructive phase, destroys type 1 alveolar and type 2 epithelial cells. The speed at which damage develops depends on the dose taken and route of administration. The earliest observed microscopic changes occur in type 1 alveolar epithelial cells, including cell swelling and increases in the content of mitochondria and ribosomes [50,51]. These changes are suggestive of increased metabolic activity. It ultimately leads to the rupture of the cell, exposing the basement membrane. Because type 1 alveolar epithelial cells are mainly involved in the exchange of gases between alveolar space and capillaries, Paraquat compromises lung function from the beginning only. Damage to type 2 cells occurs slightly later than type 1 cells. They play a major role in surfactant formation. Type 2 cells also have a role in defense against toxic agents as they are rich in NADPH cytochrome P450 reductase and undergo mitotic division and replace type 1 damaged cells. Damage to type 2 epithelial cells leads to increased surface tension within the alveolus, which draws the fluid from capillaries to produce edema [52]. Abnormalities in endothelial cells adjacent to damaged alveolar epithelial cells include fragmentation, vacuolization, and widened intercellular junctions [53-55] are detected after 72-96 hours of herbicide ingestion. The destructive phase is followed by the proliferative phase, in which there is the presence of extensive fibrosis, described as a compensatory repair mechanism to damaged alveolar epithelial cells. If the dose taken is high, resulting alveolitis is usually more severe and widespread. The earliest morphologic indicator is the appearance of profibroblasts. These cells undergo differentiation to form mature fibroblasts, which ultimately lay down collagen and ground substance to produce fibrosis [56,57] Ultimately, the normal architecture of the lung is destroyed, thereby reducing gas exchange, which can lead to death due to the presence of severe hypoxia. Paraguat, when exposed kidneys, develops large vacuolation in the proximal to convoluted tubules leading to necrosis. Congestion and hepatocellular injury are associated with rough and smooth endoplasmic reticulum degranulation, and mitochondrial damage occurs in the liver. The corrosive effects of Paraquat lead to necrosis of skin or the mucosal membranes, causing oral, oesophageal, or gastric ulceration, leading to perforation.

CLINICAL FEATURES

Immediatelv after ingestion, patients complain of buccopharyngeal, oesophageal, and epigastric pain. The tongue swollen with is characteristic ulcerations (paraquat tongue). Oesophageal and gastric ulceration are noted, which eventually leads to perforation. Poisoning by Paraquat is categorized into mild, moderate to severe and fulminant toxicity [58-61]. Ingestion of Paraquat of less than 20 mg/ kg produces mild symptoms, including nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea, and usually does not cause hepatic, renal, or pulmonary manifestations. More than 20 mg/kg but less than

50 mg/kg of Paraquat causes significant pulmonary fibrosis. Renal failure and hepatocellular necrosis develop on the second to fifth day post-exposure. Hepatotoxicity is evident by elevated liver enzymes, jaundice. Hepatic Injury is usually mild to moderate and leads to centrilobular hepatocellular necrosis and cholestasis [59]. A dose of more than 50 mg/kg is typically fatal within few hours of ingestion. Acute respiratory distress syndrome, myocardial necrosis, cerebral edema, and renal failure lead to multi-organ failure [62-64]. Pulmonary edema with progressive respiratory failure, bloody diarrhea convulsions, and circulatory failure also develops.

DIAGNOSIS

The immediate diagnosis of acute paraquat poisoning is based on the history and is primarily clinical. History of toxin ingestion, amount consumed, and characteristic features like pulmonary or renal involvement with circulatory failure may lead to clinical suspicion of paraquat intake. Simple bedside methods like urine or plasma dithionate tests are used to assess systemic paraquat toxicity. Dithionate, in alkaline medium, reduces Paraguat to blue radical (if urine paraguat is more than 1 mg/dl or plasma paraquat 2 gm/dl), giving a qualitative assessment of paraquat poisoning. Daily, routine laboratory investigations like renal function tests, liver functions, complete blood count, arterial blood gas analysis, and serum electrolytes should be done. A simple chest radiograph may detect pulmonary fibrosis, acute respiratory distress syndrome, pneumomediastinum, or pneumothorax [65]. Severity Index of Paraquat Poisoning (SIPP score) is the best predictor of survival after self-poisoning. It is calculated by multiplying the time from paraquat ingestion and serum paraquat levels at admission. Its calculation can accurately predict prognosis and survival estimates [66,67].

PROGNOSIS

Due to its high case fatality rate, patients with paraquat toxicity either due to ingestion (accidental or suicidal) or intense dermal exposure require prompt hospitalization and experimental therapy. The prognosis and severity of paraguat poisoning can be predicted using history and specific laboratory investigations. Formulation of Paraquat, concentration and the amount of Paraquat ingested, time since ingestion, and presence of food in the gut helps assess the mortality. Spontaneous emesis and early gastric decontamination decrease the mortality rate as it decreases the absorption of Paraquat in the system. Suicidal poisoning carries a poor outcome as the amount of Paraquat ingested is much more significant than accidental ingestion (mild intoxication with 20 mg/Kg, moderate with 20-50 mg/kg, and severe toxicity with more than 50 mg/kg paraquat concentration) [68]. A recent meal before the ingestion delays the absorption and thus leads to a favorable outcome. Formation of esophageal or gastric ulceration within 24 hours of ingestion of Paraquat is lethal. Endoscopic examination within the first 24 hours gives an accurate assessment and thus helps in predicting mortality [60]. Depth of ulcerations is an indirect

measure of the amount and concentration of Paraquat consumed and absorbed systemically. Early-onset renal failure (within 24 hours of consumption) carries a grave prognosis compared to the patients with preserved renal function [59,69-71]. Measuring the plasma and urine concentration of Paraguat are the most reliable methods to predict the outcome of paraquat poisoning as the severity and the rate of toxicity is dose-dependent [72]. A color change to dark blue in urinary paraguat detection is associated with a mortality rate of 100% [73,74]. Plasma parquet concentration can be obtained by five normograms and formulae, which was initially presented by Proudfoot [75-78]. Patients complaining of burning sensation over the skin after dermal paraquat exposure carry a poorer prognosis. In clinical practice and presentation, paraquat poisoning is almost always fatal; however, the exact mortality rate or outcome is yet to be established.

MANAGEMENT

All paraquat poisoning requires immediate treatment and monitoring in a hospital setting as the window of opportunity is very narrow. The main goal of the treatment is to remove Paraquat from the GIT (preventing its absorption), increase its excretion from blood, and prevent pulmonary toxicity with antiinflammatory agents and some newer therapies.

Preventing absorption

Early decontamination to limit exposure is the most crucial step in the successful treatment of paraquat poisoning. Following dermal exposure, all the clothes should be removed immediately, and the skin should be washed gently with soap and water to prevent transdermal absorption. Specific care should be taken to avoid harsh scrubbing as skin abrasions increase transdermal absorption. Ophthalmic exposure is managed by rinsing the eyes with tepid water or normal saline for 15-20 minutes. Whole gut lavage, oral administration of mineral adsorbent, or induction of emesis play a pivotal role in management in acute settings. Airway patency, breathing, and circulation is to be maintained. Gastric lavage should not be used without an adsorbent. Fuller's earth and bentonite, along with activated charcoal, are agents of choice for gastric decontamination [79-81]. Activated charcoal (2 g/Kg body weight, maximum up to 100 grams) is administered unless contraindicated (protracted vomiting, severe burns of oral mucosa). A total of 3 doses at two-hourly intervals can be administered [1,82]. As Paraquat achieves peak concentration very early, decontamination is helpful if done within 1-2 hours of ingestion. Charcoal Hemoperfusion (CHP) enhances the extracorporeal elimination of Paraquat, thus preventing its uptake by the various organs. Plasma paraquat levels of ≤ 3 mg/L may benefit from charcoal hemoperfusion [83].

Supportive therapy

Intravenous fluids and electrolytes are substituted to tackle dehydration caused due to Paraquat. As the kidney is the primary route of paraquat excretion, hemodialysis may be required in acute kidney injury. As oxygen provides an additional substrate for the formation of free radicals, hypooxygenation is suggested unless the PaO_2 falls below 40

mm/Hg [4,84]. It can be achieved by artificial ventilation using FiO₂<21, High positive end-expiratory pressure, and continuous positive pressure ventilation.

Specific therapy

To date, there has been no specific antidote or treatment for paraquat poisoning. However, various treatment modalities are tried and are currently experimental. There are no widely accepted treatment guidelines or good quality evidence for the treatment of paraquat poisoning.

ANTIOXIDATION

Superoxide dismutase or related enzymes, when used in animal models, resulted in a reduction in mortality [1,85]. However, when tried in patients with paraquat toxicity, it failed to ameliorate the toxic effects of the herbicide [86]. Vitamin C, Vitamin E, and desferoxime are also not helpful in preventing lung damage in paraquat toxicity. In animal models, clofibrate is protective against herbicide-induced pulmonary toxicity and mortality when given before paraguat administration; however, no human studies support this effect.1 N-Acetyl cysteine, a cell membrane precursor of glutathione (GSH), acts as a free radicle scavenger [87,88]. There are few cases of paraquat poisoning being successfully treated using N-Acetyl cysteine in the treatment cocktail [1,89-91]. Despite showing potential, some treatment modalities like selenium, niacin, riboflavin plus vitamin C, and Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors (ACE) require human studies to prove their benefit [1,92].

IMMUNOSUPERSSION

The most widely proclaimed treatment approach to paraquat poisoning is immunosuppression. It is postulated that inhibition of the acute inflammatory response may protect against lung fibrosis and death. Cyclophosphamide (1 gram for two days), methylprednisolone (1 gram for three days), and dexamethasone (20 mg per day for 14 days) are the most widely used agents in paraquat poisoning [11,68,93,94]. Many human studies using a pulse of cyclophosphamide, methylprednisolone, and dexamethasone have shown positive results; However, these studies are not randomized controlled, involve a small population and have a bias in their data analysis, and so are criticized [1,95]. Until proper and adequately powered randomized controlled trials confirm their benefits; immunosuppression treatment is considered experimental.

Radiotherapy is successful in reversing the effects of Paraquat by preventing fibroblast proliferation but does not help reduce the mortality associated with the herbicide ingestion [96].

RECENT ADVANCES

Edaravone, an antiapoptotic, antineurotic, and antiinflammatory compound, has free radicle scavenging properties. It is considered beneficial for preventing oxidative stress to the kidneys and liver, however it does not reduce pulmonary fibrosis [67-95]. Lung transplant is not helpful in Paraquat-associated pulmonary fibrosis, as most of the Paraquat is accumulated in the muscles; Thus, during the weaning process, the herbicide is released from muscles, resulting in new-onset pulmonary fibrosis.

CONCULSION

Easy availability, rapid and severe toxicity, and no specific antidote make Paraquat a lethal toxin use for suicidal intent in Low, Medium income countries. Currently, there is no specific effective treatment targeting this herbicidal poisoning; however, antioxidants and immunosuppression is a new ray of hope in trying to revert the toxic effects of Paraquat and prevent mortality. Some human studies have shown positive results, but large multicentric controlled trials are required to establish efficacy and treatment protocols.

REFERENCES

- Dinis-Oliveira R, Duarte JA, Sanchez-Navarro A, Remiao F, Bastos ML, Carvalho F, et al. Paraquat poisonings: mechanisms of lung toxicity, clinical features, and treatment. Crit Rev Toxicol. 2008;38(1): 13-71.
- Roberts TR, Dyson JS, Lane MC. Deactivation of the biological activity of paraquat in the soil environment: a review of long-term environmental fate. J Agric Food Chem. 2002;50(13):3623-3631.
- 3. Dyson JS. Ecological safety of paraquat with particular reference to soil. Plant J. 1997;73:467–478.
- Schonwald S. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Medical Toxicology 1st ed 2001.
- Elenga N, Merlin C, Le Guern R, Kom-Tchameni R, Ducrot YM, Pradier M, et al. Clinical features and prognosis of paraquat poisoning in French Guiana: a review of 62 cases. Medicine. 2018;97(15):e9621.
- 6. Proudfoot AT, Prescott LF, Jarvie DR. Haemodialysis for paraquat poisoning. Hum Toxicol. 1987;6(1):69-74.
- 7. Slade P. The fate of paraquat applied to plants. Weed Res. 1966;6(2): 158-67.
- 8. Lee SH, Lee KS, Ahn JM, Kim SH, Hong SY. Paraquat poisoning of the lung: thin-section CT findings. Radiology. 1995;195(1):271-4.
- 9. Liu ZN, Zhao M, Zheng Q, Zhao HY, Hou WJ, Bai SL, et al. Inhibitory effects of rosiglitazone on paraquat-induced acute lung injury in rats. Acta Pharmacol Sin. 2013;34(10):1317-1324.
- Weng CH, Hu CC, Lin JL, Lin-Tan DT, Huang WH, Hsu CW, et al. Sequential organ failure assessment score can predict mortality in patients with paraquat intoxication. PloS One. 2012;7(12):e51743.
- 11. Gawarammana IB, Buckley NA. Medical management of paraquat ingestion. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2011;72(5):745-757.
- Yang W, Tiffany-Castiglioni E. The bipyridyl herbicide paraquat induces proteasome dysfunction in human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells. J Toxicol Environ Health A. 2007;70(21):1849-1857.
- Castello PR, Drechsel DA, Patel M. Mitochondria are a major source of paraquat-induced reactive oxygen species production in the brain. J Biol Chem. 2007;282(19):14186-14193.
- Bonneh-Barkay D, Reaney SH, Langston WJ, di Monte DA. Redox cycling of the herbicide paraquat in microglial cultures. Brain Res Mol Brain Res. 2005;134(1):52-56.
- 15. Adam A, Smith LL, Cohen GM. An assessment of the role of redox cycling in mediating the toxicity of paraquat and nitrofurantoin. Environ Health Perspect. 1990;85:113-117.
- Bus JS, Cagen SZ, Olgaard M, Gibson JE. A mechanism of paraquat toxicity in mice and rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 1976;35(3):501-513.

- Peng J, Stevenson FF, Oo ML, Andersen JK. Iron-enhanced paraquatmediated dopaminergic cell death due to increased oxidative stress as a consequence of microglial activation. Free Radic Biol Med. 2009;46(2):312-320.
- Bus JS, Gibson JE. Paraquat: Model for oxidant-initiated toxicity. Environ Health Perspect. 1984;55:37-46.
- Clejan L, Cederbaum AI. Synergistic interactions between NADPHcytochrome P-450 reductase, paraquat, and iron in the generation of active oxygen radicals. Biochem Pharmacol. 1989;38(11):1779-1786.
- Rannels DE, Kameji RE, Pegg AE, Rannels SR. Spermidine uptake by type II pneumocytes: interactions of amine uptake pathways. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 1989;257(6):346-353.
- 21. Bus JS, Aust SD, Gibson JE. Lipid peroxidation: a possible mechanism for paraquat toxicity. Res Commun Chem Pathol Pharmacol. 1975;11(1):31-38.
- Yasaka T, Ohya I, Matsumoto J, Shiramizu T, Sasaguri Y. Acceleration of lipid peroxidation in human paraquat poisoning. Arch Intern Med. 1981;141(9):1169-1171.
- 23. Yamada K, Fukushima T. Mechanism of cytotoxicity of paraquat: II. Organ specificity of paraquat-stimulated lipid peroxidation in the inner membrane of mitochondria. Exp Toxicol Pathol. 1993;45(6): 375-3780.
- Cochemé HM, Murphy MP. Complex I is the major site of mitochondrial superoxide production by paraquat. J Biol Chem. 2008;283(4):1786-1798.
- 25. Kratsovnik E, Bromberg Y, Sperling O, Zoref-Shani E. Oxidative stress activates transcription factor NF-κB-mediated protective signaling in primary rat neuronal cultures. J Mol Neurosci. 2005;26(1):27-32.
- 26. Espinosa L, Bigas A, Mulero MC. Alternative nuclear functions for NF-кB family members. Am J Cancer Res. 2011;1(4):446.
- 27. Schoonbroodt S, Piette J. Oxidative stress interference with the nuclear factor-KB activation pathways. Biochem Pharmacol. 2000;60(8):1075-83.
- Jimenez Del Rio M, Velez-Pardo C. Paraquat induces apoptosis in human lymphocytes: protective and rescue effects of glucose, cannabinoids and insulin-like growth factor-1. Growth factors. 2008;26(1):49-60.
- Yang W, Tiffany-Castiglioni E. Paraquat-induced apoptosis in human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells: involvement of p53 and mitochondria. J Toxicol Environ Health A. 2008;71(4):289-299.
- 30. Fordel E, Thijs L, Martinet W, Lenjou M, Laufs T, van Bockstaele D, Moens L, et al. Neuroglobin and cytoglobin overexpression protects human SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells against oxidative stress-induced cell death. Neurosci Lett. 2006;410(2):146-151.
- 31. Denicola A, Radi R. Peroxynitrite and drug-dependent toxicity. Toxicology. 2005;208(2):273-288.
- 32. Smith P, Heath D. The pathology of the lung in paraquat poisoning. J Clin Pathol Suppl. 1975;9(1):81-93.
- **33.** Smith P, Heath D. The ultrastructure and time sequence of the early stages of paraquat lung in rats. J Pathol. 1974;114(4):177-1784.
- Vijeyaratnam GS, Corrln B. Experimental paraquat poisoning: a histological and electronoptical study of the changes in the lung. J Pathol. 1971;103(2):123-129.
- 35. Fowler BA, Brooks RE. Effects of the herbicide paraquat on the ultrastructure of mouse kidney. Am J Pathol. 1971;63(3):505.
- Matsumori H, Matsumoto T, Ishikawa H. Acute toxic effects of paraquat on ultrastructure of rat liver. Pathol Int. 1984;34(3):507-518.
- 37. Nagao M, Zhang WD, Itakura Y, Kobayashi M, Yamada Y, Yagi K, et al. Immunohistochemical localization of paraquat in skin and eye of rat. Nihon hoigaku zasshi.The Japanese J Legal Med. 1993;47(3): 202-206.
- Smith LL. The toxicity of Paraquat adverse Drug React Acute. Poison Rev. 1988;7(1):1-17.

- 39. Proudfoot AT. Paraquat: Mechanisms of toxicity, clinical features and management. Clin Toxicol. 1995;6(1):69-74.
- 40. Smith LL, Wright A, Wyatt I, Rose MS. Effective treatment for paraquat poisoning in rats and its relevance to treatment of paraquat poisoning in man. Br Med J. 1974;4(5944):569-571.
- Bismuth C, Baud FJ, Garnier, R., Muszinski, J., and Houze, P. Paraquat poisoning: Biological presentation. J Toxicol Clin Exp. 1988;8(1):211-218
- 42. Bismuth C, Scherrmann JM, Garnier R, Baud FJ, Pontal PG. Elimination of paraquat. Hum Exp Toxicol. 1987;6(1):63-67.
- **43.** Sharp CW, Ottolenghi A, Posner HS. Correlation of paraquat toxicity with tissue concentrations and weight loss of the rat. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 1972;22(2):241-251.
- 44. Hawksworth GM, Bennett PN, Davies DS. Kinetics of paraquat elimination in the dog Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 1981;57(2):139-145.
- Baselt RC, Cravey RH. Paraquat In Disposition of Toxic Drugs and Chemicals in Man (R.C. Baselt, and R.H. Cravey, Eds.),1989; pp:637-640. Year Book, Chicago.
- 46. Ross JH, Krieger RI. Structure-activity correlations of amines inhibiting active uptake of paraquat (methyl viologen) into rat lung slices. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 1981;59(2):238-249.
- Smith P, Heath D, Fishman AP. Paraquat Crit Rev. Toxicol. 1976;4(4): 411-445.
- Sykes BI, Purchase IF, Smith LL. Pulmonary ultrastructure after oral and intravenous dosage of paraquat to rats. J Pathol. 1977;121(4): 233-241.
- 49. Kimbrough RD, Gaines TB. Toxicity of Paraquat to rats and its effect on rat lungs. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 1970;17(1):679-690
- 50. Gardiner AJ. Pulmonary oedema in paraquat poisoning. Thorax. 1972;27(1):132-135.
- 51. Brooks RE. Ultrastructure of lung lesions produced by ingested chemicals I Effect of the herbicide paraquat on mouse lung. Lab Invest. 1971;25:536-545.
- 52. Fukuda Y, Ferrans VJ, Schoenberger CI, Rennard S, Crystal RG. Patterns of pulmonary structural remodeling after experimental paraquat toxicity. The morphogenesis of intraalveolar fibrosis. Am J Pathol. 1985;118(3):452.
- 53. Modée J, Ivemark BI, Robertson B. Ultrastructure of the alveolar wall in experimental paraquat poisoning. Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand. 1972;80(1):54-60.
- 54. Schoenberger CI, Rennard SI, Bitterman PB, Fukuda Y, Ferrans VJ, Crystal RG. Paraquat-induced pulmonary fibrosis: role of the alveolitis in modulating the development of fibrosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1984;129(1):168-173.
- 55. Conning DM., Fletcher K, Swan AA. Paraquat and related bipyridyls. Br Med Bull. 1969;25:245-249.
- Pond S. Manifestations and management of paraquat poisoning. Med J Aust. 1990;152:256-259.
- Vale JA, Meredith TJ, Buckley BM. Paraquat poisoning: clinical features and immediate general management. Hum Exp Toxicol. 1987;6(1):41-47.
- Bismuth C, Garnier R, Dally S, Fournier PE, Scherrmann JM. Prognosis and treatment of paraquat poisoning: a review of 28 cases. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol. 1982;19(5):461-474.
- 59. Arora N, Dhibar DP. Quaternion of paraquat poisoning: icterus, oral ulceration, acute respiratory and renal failure. Postgrad Med J. 2020
- 60. Nagi AH. Paraquat and adrenal cortical necrosis. Br Med J. 1970;2(5710):669.
- Florkowski CM, Bradberry SM, Ching GW, Jones AF. Acute renal failure in a case of paraquat poisoning with relative absence of pulmonary toxicity. Postgrad Med J. 1992;68(802):660-662.

- Russell LA, Stone BE, Rooney PA. Paraquat poisoning: toxicologic and pathologic findings in three fatal cases. Clin Toxicology. 1981;18(8):915-928.
- 63. Koo JR, Yoon JW, Han SJ, Choi MJ, Park II, Lee YK, et al.. Rapid analysis of plasma paraquat using sodium dithionite as a predictor of outcome in acute paraquat poisoning. Am J Med Sci. 2009;338(5): 373-377.
- 64. Xu S, Hu H, Jiang Z, Tang S, Zhou Y, Sheng J, et al. APACHE score, severity index of paraquat poisoning, and serum lactic acid concentration in the prognosis of paraquat poisoning of Chinese patients. Pediatr Emerg Care. 2015;31(2):117-121.
- 65. Sukumar CA, Shanbhag V, Shastry AB. Paraquat: The poison potion. Indian J Crit Care Med. 2019;23(4):S263.
- 66. Afzali S, Gholyaf M. The effectiveness of combined treatment with methylprednisolone and cyclophosphamide in oral paraquat poisoning. Arch Iran Med. 2008;11(4):387-391.
- 67. van Spijker BA, Graafsma T, Dullaart HI, Kerkhof AJ. Impulsive but fatal self-poisoning with pesticides among South Asians in Nickerie, Suriname: An exploratory autopsy study. Crisis. 2009;30(2):102-105.
- Gunnell D, Eddleston M. Suicide by intentional ingestion of pesticides: a continuing tragedy in developing countries. Int J Epidemiol. 2003;32(6):902-909.
- 69. Onyon LJ, Volans GN. The epidemiology and prevention of paraquat poisoning. Hum Exp Toxicol. 1987;6(1):19-29.
- McDonagh BJ, Martin J. Paraquat poisoning in children. Arch Dis Child. 1970;45(241):425-427.
- 71. Kim SJ, Gil HW, Yang JO, Lee EY, Hong SY. The clinical features of acute kidney injury in patients with acute paraquat intoxication. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2009;24(4):1226-1232.
- Scherrmann JM, Houze P, Bismuth C, Bourdon R. Prognostic value of plasma and urine paraquat concentration. Hum Exp Toxicol. 1987;6(1):91-93.
- Proudfoot AT, Stewart MS, Levitt T, Widdop B. Paraquat poisoning: significance of plasma-paraquat concentrations. The Lancet. 1979;314(8138):330-332.
- Hart TB, Nevitt A, Whitehead A. A new statistical approach to the prognostic significance of plasma paraquat concentrations. Lancet. 1984;2(8413):1222-12223.
- 75. Yamamoto I, Saito T, Harunari N, Sato Y, Kato H, Nakagawa Y, et al. Correlating the severity of paraquat poisoning with specific hemodynamic and oxygen metabolism variables. Crit Care Med. 2000;28(6):1877-1883.
- 76. Jones AL, Elton R, Flanagan R. Multiple logistic regression analysis of plasma paraquat concentrations as a predictor of outcome in 375 cases of paraquat poisoning. Qjm. 1999;92(10):573-578.
- 77. Okonek S, Hofmann A, Henningsen B. Efficacy of gut lavage, hemodialysis, and hemoperfusion in the therapy of paraquat or diquat intoxication. Arch Toxicol. 1976 Mar;36(1):43-51.
- 78. Okonek S, Setyadharma H, Borchert A, Krienke EG. Activated charcoal is as effective as fuller's earth or bentonite in paraquat poisoning. Klinische Wochenschrift. 1982;60(4):207-210.
- 79. Okonek S, Weilemann LS, Majdandzic J, Setyadharma H, Reinecke HJ, Baldamus CA, et al. Successful treatment of paraquat poisoning: activated charcoal per os and "continuous hemoperfusion". J Toxicol Clin Toxicol. 1982;19(8):807-819.
- 80. Ameno K, Fuke C, Shirakawa Y, Ogura S, Ameno S, Kiriu T, et al. Different distribution of paraquat and diquat in human poisoning cases after ingestion of a combined herbicide. Arch Toxicol. 1994;68(2):134-137.
- Hampson EC, Pond SM. Failure of haemoperfusion and haemodialysis to prevent death in paraquat poisoning. J Med Toxicol. 1988;3(1):64-71.

- Chollet A, Muszynsky J, Bismuth C, Pham J, El Khouly M, Surugue R. Hypo-oxygenation in paraquat poisoning. Apropos of 6 cases. Eur J Toxicol. 1983;5(2):71-75.
- Wasserman B, Block ER. Prevention of acute paraquat toxicity in rats by superoxide dismutase. Aviat Space Environ Med. 1978;49(6):805-809.
- Fairshter RD, Dabir-Vaziri N, Smith WR, Glauser FL, Wilson AF. Paraquat poisoning: an analytical toxicologic study of three cases. Toxicology. 1979;12(3):259-266.
- Kelly GS. Clinical applications of N-acetylcysteine. Altern Med Rev. 1998;3(2):114-127.
- Deneke SM. Thiol-based antioxidants. Curr Top Cell Regul. 2000;36:151-180.
- 87. Lheureux P, Leduc D, Vanbinst R, Askenasi R. Survival in a case of massive paraquat ingestion. Chest. 1995;107(1):285-289.
- Drault JN, Baelen E, Mehdaoui H, Delord JM, Flament F. Massive paraquat poisoning. Favorable course after treatment with nacetylcysteine and early hemodialysis. Ann Fr Anesth Reanim. 1999;18(5):534-537.
- 89. AM LL. Paraquat poisoning and hemoperfusion with activated charcoal. InAnales de medicina interna. 2002;19(6): 310-312.

- Mohammadi-Karakani A, Ghazi-Khansari M, Sotoudeh M. Lisinopril ameliorates paraquat-induced lung fibrosis. Clin Chim Acta. 2006;367(2):170-174.
- 91. Lin JL, Lin-Tan DT, Chen KH, Huang WH. Repeated pulse of methylprednisolone and cyclophosphamide with continuous dexamethasone therapy for patients with severe paraquat poisoning. Crit Care Med. 2006;34(2):368-373.
- 92. Perriens JH, Benimadho S, Lie Kiauw I, Wisse J, Chee H. High-dose cyclophosphamide and dexamethasone in paraquat poisoning: a prospective study. Hum Exp Toxicol. 1992;11(2):129-134.
- 93. Lin JL, Leu ML, Liu YC, Chen GH. A prospective clinical trial of pulse therapy with glucocorticoid and cyclophosphamide in moderate to severe paraquat-poisoned patients. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1999;159(2):357-360.
- 94. Bloodworth LL, Kershaw JB, Stevens PE, Alcock CJ, Rainford DJ. Failure of radiotherapy to reverse progressive pulmonary fibrosis caused by paraquat. Br J Radiol. 1986;59(706):1037-1039.
- 95. Yi R, Zhizhou Y, Zhaorui S, Wei Z, Xin C, Shinan N. Retrospective study of clinical features and prognosis of edaravone in the treatment of paraquat poisoning. Medicine. 2019;98(19):e15441.