Development and Validation of HPLC Method for Estimation of Zolmitriptan in its Pharmaceutical Dosage Form
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ABSTRACT
A new simple, accurate and precise HPLC method have been developed and validated for estimation of Zolmitriptan in its pharmaceutical dosage form. In RP-HPLC method, a C18 column and methanol: water in the ratio of 75:25 (v/v %), pH adjusted to 3 using 10% orthophosphoric acid were used at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min and detected at 222 nm. The retention time for zolmitriptan was found to be 3.6 min. The developed method was validated for linearity, precision, accuracy, specificity, LOD and LOQ as per ICH guidelines. Linearity was observed in the range of 10-50 μg/mL for zolmitriptan and correlation coefficient was found to be 0.9979. LOD and LOQ for Zolmitriptan were found to be 2.84 μg/mL and 8.62 μg/mL respectively. The % recovery was found to be 99.87%–101.57%. The method was applied for estimation of Zolmitriptan in its pharmaceutical dosage form. The assay result was found to be 95.98 ± 1.82 of percentage label claim of Zolmitriptan.
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INTRODUCTION
Zolmitriptan is a selective 5-hydroxytryptamine 1B/1D (5-HT1B/1D) receptor agonist. Several methods for the estimation of Zolmitriptan have been described in the literature which include spectrophotometric method [1-3], High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) method [4-6], colorimetry [7], capillary chromatography [8,9], differential pulse and square wave voltammetry [10,11]. While HPLC methods were reported for the determination of zolmitriptan in human plasma using fluorescence detection, liquid chromatography-electrospray mass spectrometry [12,13], coulometric detection [14]. However, these techniques are very time consuming and required sophisticated instrumentation and not suitable for real-time analysis. Furthermore, these techniques required ion-pair and redox complexing reagents for the analysis of triptan drugs. The reported methods in the literature suffer from one or the other disadvantage such as poor sensitivity, very narrow linearity range, scrupulous control of experimental variables and the present study reports the development and validation of a liquid chromatographic method with better detection ranges in pure form and its dosage forms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Instruments
HPLC (Shimadzu, LC-10AT ), UV-Visible Spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, UV1800), FT-IR spectrophotometer: BRUKER, Alpha, Electronic analytical balance (Shimadzu, AUX-220) were used (Figure 1).

Reagents and materials
Pharmaceutical grade of Zolmitriptan was supplied as a gift sample. Methanol was used of LR grade and purchased from s.d. Fine Chem Limited, Mumbai, India. Zolmitriptan nasal spray (ZOLMIST) was procured from the local market.

Preparation of standard solutions
Preparation of stock solution of ZMT: 25 mg of Zolmitriptan was weighed and transferred in 25 mL volumetric flask and volume made up to mark with methanol (1000 μg/mL).

Preparation of working standard solution: From stock solution of ZMT, aliquot of 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4 and 0.5 mL were transferred in series of 10 mL volumetric flask and diluted up to mark with mobile phase to get the
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Preparation of sample solution: Marketed formulation of ZMT (ZOLMIST) was taken and sprayed once in 10 mL volumetric flask and diluted up to mark with methanol (500 μg/mL). From above solution, aliquot of 0.6 mL was transferred and diluted up to mark with mobile phase in 10 mL volumetric flask (30 μg/mL).

Preparation of mobile phase: 75 mL of Methanol and 25 mL of double distilled water was taken and pH adjusted to 3.0 using 10% Orthophosphoric acid. Mobile phase was vacuum filtered and sonicated for 15 min three times.

Method development for mobile phase optimization: The working standard solution of ZMT were injected separately, the mobile phases were tried one by one, run time was about 20 min and detected at detection wavelength. Numbers of trials were taken by changing the ratio of mobile phase, by changing pH of mobile phase, by changing flow rate to achieve minimum tailing and reasonable Rt time (Figures 2 and 3).

VALIDATION OF PROPOSED METHOD

Specificity: It is the ability to assess unequivocally the analyte in the presence of components which may be expected to be present. Typically these might include impurities, degradants, matrix, etc. Interference from solvents and endogenous matrix components was investigated by analyzing blank samples as well as ZMT sample by the proposed method. It can be done by measuring system suitability parameters such as plate count and tailing factors.

Linearity: The linearity range is expressed in term of correlation coefficient of linear regression analysis. The linearity range for ZMT was assessed by analysis of five independent levels of calibration curve in range of 10-50 μg/mL for ZMT (n=5). Five working standard solutions of ZMT were injected and analysed. The calibration curves were obtained by plotting graph of peak area vs. concentration.

Precision

Results were expressed as relative standard deviation (% RSD) or co-efficient of variance.

Repeatability: For repeatability, 0.3 mL of stock solutions (1000 μg/mL) was transferred into 10 mL volumetric flasks and diluted up to mark with mobile phase to get 30 μg/mL of ZMT. This concentration was prepared six times. The solutions were injected in HPLC individually and area of peak obtained with each solution was measured at 222 nm wavelength for ZMT and % RSD was calculated.

Intra-day precision: For Intra-day precision, working standard solutions containing 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 μg/mL ZMT were analyzed three times on the same day and % RSD was calculated.

Inter-day precision: For Inter-day precision, working standard solutions containing 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 μg/mL ZMT were analyzed on the three different days and % RSD was calculated.

Limit of detection and limit of quantification

LOD and LOQ were calculated from the standard deviation of intercepts and mean slope of the five calibration curves of Zolmitriptan using the formulae as given below.

\[
\text{LOD} = 3.3(\text{SD})/S \\
\text{LOQ} = 10(\text{SD})/S
\]

Where, SD=Standard deviation of the Y-intercepts of the 5 calibration curves.

S=Mean slope of the calibration curve.

Accuracy

It was determined by calculating the recovery of ZMT by standard addition method. Three numbers of 10 mL volumetric flasks were taken. To a fixed amount of preanalyzed sample (0.3 mL of 500 μg/mL of ZMT spray solution), increasing aliquots of ZMT working standard solution (0.12, 0.15 and 0.18mL of 1000 μg/mL of ZMT) were added respectively and diluted to mark with mobile phase. These solutions were filtered through Whatman filter paper individually. 20 μl of these solutions were injected in HPLC individually and area of peak obtained with each solution was measured at 222 nm for ZMT. The amount of ZMT was calculated at each level and % recoveries were computed.

Analysis of the marketed formulation

20 μl of sample solution was injected in HPLC and area of the peak was measured at 222 nm. The amount of ZMT per spray was determined with the help of calibration curve (Table 1).

Standard and sample solutions were injected in column with 25 μl micro-syringe. Methanol: Water (75:25 v/v) solution was prepared and then pH of the solution was adjusted to 3 by 5% o-phosphoric acid. The chromatogram was run for appropriate minutes with previously degassed mobile phase, Methanol: Water (75:25 v/v) at pH 3 (adjusted by 5% o-phosphoric acid), detection was carried out at wavelength 222 nm. The chromatogram was stopped after complete separation was achieved. Data related to peak like area, height, retention time, etc. were recorded using Clarity software (v2.3.0.197).

System suitability test

System suitability is performed to ensure system performance before or during the analysis of unknowns. If measurements are susceptible to variations in analytical conditions, these should be suitably controlled, or a precautionary statement should be included in the method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Chromatographic conditions of HPLC.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stationary phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total run time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Reported UV spectrum of ZMT [16].

Figure 3: Recorded UV spectrum of ZMT (10 μg/mL) in methanol.
METHOD VALIDATION

Specificity
Chromatograms of blank and ZMT spray are shown in Figures 4 and 5 respectively. No peak at retention time of ZMT was observed. Indicating there was no interference.

Linearity and Range
The linearity study was found to be in the range of 10-50 μg/mL. Linearity data are depicted in Table 2. Overlaid chromatogram for five concentration of ZMT standard solution (10-50 μg/mL) in mobile phase is shown in Figure 6. Correlation coefficient for calibration curve of ZMT was found to be 0.9979 shown in Figure 7 (Table 2).

Precision
Results were expressed as relative standard deviation (% RSD) or coefficient of variance.

Repeatability: For repeatability, 0.3 mL of stock solutions (1000 μg/mL) was transferred into 10 mL volumetric flask and diluted up to mark with mobile phase to get 30 μg/mL of ZMT. This concentration was prepared six times. The solutions were injected in HPLC and analyzed by the proposed method. The area of the peak was measured at 222 nm and % RSD was calculated. The % RSD of repeatability was found to be 0.12. The % RSD of repeatability was found to be 0.12. The repeatability data for ZMT are depicted in Table 3.

Intermediate precision: The developed method was found to be precise as RSD values for intermediate precision studies were less than 3%, as recommended by ICH guideline. The results of intermediate precision are given in Table 4.

Accuracy
It was determined by calculating the recovery of ZMT by standard addition method. Three numbers of 10 mL volumetric flasks were taken. To a fixed amount of pre-analyzed sample (0.3 mL of 500 μg/mL of ZMT spray solution), increasing aliquots of ZMT working standard solution (0.12,

Figure 4: Chromatogram of blank.

Figure 5: Chromatogram of ZMT Spray (30 μg/mL).

Figure 6: Overlaid chromatogram for five concentration of ZMT standard solution (10-50 μg/mL) in mobile phase.

Table 2: Linearity data for ZMT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentrations (μg/mL)</th>
<th>Peak area ± S.D (n=5)</th>
<th>% RSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1013.00 ± 10.08</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1800.65 ± 17.16</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2803.98 ± 6.55</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>3866.34 ± 27.54</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>4822.92 ± 23.21</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Repeatability data for ZMT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. no</th>
<th>Peak area Mean peak area (n=7)</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>% Relative standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2809.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2811.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2804.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2815.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2812.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2808.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2813.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Intraday and Interday precision data for HPLC estimation of ZMT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc. (μg/ml)</th>
<th>Area of peak (μV) Mean ± S.D. (n=3)</th>
<th>% RSD</th>
<th>Area of peak (μV) Mean ± S.D. (n=3)</th>
<th>% RSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1048.59 ± 18.43</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1051.93 ± 23.56</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1861.90 ± 24.58</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1855.23 ± 33.10</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2856.51 ± 29.03</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>2848.84 ± 34.35</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>3848.13 ± 42.37</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>3843.05 ± 44.54</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>4865.66 ± 63.80</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>4875.56 ± 79.99</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Recovery data for ZMT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of ZMT in sample (μg)</th>
<th>Amount of std ZMT added (μg)</th>
<th>Total amount of ZMT (μg)</th>
<th>Spiked amount of ZMT recovered (μg ± SD)</th>
<th>Mean % recovery (n=3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26.63 ± 0.57</td>
<td>100.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29.64 ± 0.19</td>
<td>99.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>32.72 ± 0.29</td>
<td>101.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LOD and LOQ

Calibration curve was repeated for five times and the Standard Deviation (S.D.) of the intercepts was calculated. The LOD was found to be 2.84 μg/mL for ZMT. The LOQ was found to be 8.62 μg/mL (Table 6).

Analysis of the marketed formulation

Marketed formulation of ZMT (ZOLMIST) was taken and sprayed once in a 10 mL volumetric flask and diluted up to mark with methanol (500 μg/mL). From above solution, aliquots of 0.6 mL was transferred in 10 mL volumetric flask and diluted up to mark with mobile phase (30 μg/mL). 20 μL of sample solution was injected in HPLC individually and area of peak obtained with each solution was measured at 222 nm for ZMT. Percentage recovery for was found to be 99.87%-101.57% shown in Table 5.

System suitability test

Parameters such as plate count, tailing factors and reproducibility (% RSD retention time and area for six repetitions) were determined and compared against the USP (Tables 8 and 9).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In RP-HPLC method, a C18 column and methanol: water in the ratio of 75:25 (% v/v) pH adjusted to 3.0 using 10% orthophosphoric acid were used at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min and detected at 222 nm. The retention time for zolmitriptan was found to be 3.8 min. The developed method was validated for linearity, precision, accuracy, specificity, LOD and LOQ as per ICH guidelines. Linearity was observed in the range of 10-50 μg/mL for zolmitriptan and correlation coefficient was found to be 0.9977. LOD and LOQ for Zolmitriptan were found to be 2.84 μg/mL and 8.62 μg/mL respectively. The % recovery was found to be 99.87%-101.57%.

The method was applied for estimation of Zolmitriptan in its pharmaceutical dosage form. The assay result was found to be 95.98 ± 1.82 of percentage label claim of Zolmitriptan. The proposed HPLC methods are simple, accurate and reproducible for estimation of ZMT in nasal spray formulation and was validated as per ICH guidelines. Three samples of ZMT spray were determined by HPLC methods and the results were correlated. Statistical test indicate that the proposed HPLC methods reduce the duration of analysis and suitable for routine estimation of Zolmitriptan in its pharmaceutical formulation and bulk drug.

CONCLUSION

The proposed HPLC methods are simple, accurate and reproducible for estimation of ZMT in nasal spray formulation and was validated as per ICH guidelines. Three samples of ZMT spray were determined by HPLC methods and the results were correlated. Statistical test indicate that the proposed HPLC methods reduce the duration of analysis and suitable for routine estimation of Zolmitriptan in its pharmaceutical formulation and bulk drug.
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