

Totemism as a Framework for Understanding Human-Nature Relationships

Sofia Almeida *

Department of Social Anthropology, Lisbon University of Humanities, Lisbon, Portugal

DESCRIPTION

Totemism has long occupied a significant place in anthropological thought as a concept used to describe systems of belief and social organization in which humans maintain a symbolic, spiritual, or kinship relationship with natural entities such as animals, plants, or environmental features. In many societies, these entities are not merely resources or elements of the natural world but are regarded as beings with whom human groups share ancestral connections or moral bonds [1]. The study of totemism has played an important role in understanding how human communities construct identity, regulate social relationships, and interpret the relationship between culture and nature. Early anthropological interpretations of totemism were strongly shaped by the work of nineteenth- and early twentieth-century scholars who sought to classify and compare religious systems across societies. They often viewed totemism as a primitive form of religion that preceded more organized theological systems. In this early framework, totems were understood as sacred symbols representing clan ancestors or spiritual protectors [2]. However, this evolutionary interpretation has since been criticized for its simplistic assumptions and ethnocentric bias. Later anthropologists demonstrated that totemic systems are far more complex and cannot be reduced to a single origin or function. However, later ethnographic research challenged the idea that totemism is a universal or uniform system. Claude Levi-Strauss, for instance, argued that totemism should not be seen as a distinct religious stage or institution but rather as a mode of classification. Human societies use natural species as a conceptual framework to organize and differentiate social groups. The relationship between humans and totems is thus primarily cognitive, reflecting the broader human tendency to structure the world through binary oppositions and symbolic categories [3]. Levi-Strauss emphasized that what earlier scholars identified as totemism is actually a particular expression of a universal mental process. Each clan or kin group may be associated with specific totemic beings, and these associations carry responsibilities for ritual observance, environmental stewardship, and social conduct. Totemism often functions as a system of clan identity and social regulation [4]. Certain animal species may be associated with particular lineages, and members

of those groups are prohibited from hunting or consuming their totem animal. These prohibitions reinforce social boundaries while also promoting ecological balance. Anthropologists have also noted that totemism plays a crucial role in shaping human relationships with the natural environment. By attributing sacred significance to certain species or landscapes, totemic systems often sustainable interactions with ecosystems. Totemism is deeply connected to questions of identity and belonging [5]. By linking individuals to specific natural symbols, totemic systems create a sense of shared ancestry and collective responsibility. This symbolic connection helps reinforce group solidarity and provides a framework for understanding social roles. Identity in totemic societies is therefore not only based on kinship or residence but also on symbolic affiliation with the natural world [6]. This blending of social and environmental identity highlights the complexity of human cultural systems. The concept remains useful as a heuristic tool for understanding the symbolic relationships between humans and nature. It draws attention to the ways in which societies construct meaning through classification, ritual, and myth. The importance of symbolic thought in shaping human behavior and social organization [7]. Even when the term is used cautiously, it continues to provide insight into how cultural systems encode values, norms, and collective identities. Totemism in anthropology represents a multifaceted phenomenon that cannot be confined to a single definition or theory. It encompasses symbolic, social, ecological, and cognitive dimensions of human life. While early interpretations framed it as a primitive religious stage, later scholarship revealed its complexity as a system of classification and identity formation [8]. Ethnographic evidence from diverse societies demonstrates that totemic relationships remain deeply embedded in cultural practices and continue to influence human interactions with both society and nature. As anthropology advances, the study of totemism continues to evolve, offering enduring insights into the symbolic foundations of human thought and the intricate connections between culture and the natural world. The study of totemism has also gained renewed relevance in the context of environmental anthropology. As concerns about biodiversity loss, climate change, and ecological degradation increase, scholars have revisited indigenous totemic traditions as examples

Correspondence to: Sofia Almeida, Department of Social Anthropology, Lisbon University of Humanities, Lisbon, Portugal, E-mail: sofia.almeida@lisbonhumanitiesuniv.edu

Received: 02-Jun-2025, Manuscript No. ANTP-25-43333; **Editor assigned:** 04-Jun-2025, PreQC No. ANTP-25-43333 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 17-Jun-2025, QC No. ANTP-25-43333; **Revised:** 24-Jun-2025, Manuscript No. ANTP-25-43333 (R); **Published:** 01-Jul-2025, DOI: 10.35248/2332-0915-25.13.272.

Citation: Almeida S (2025). Totemism as a Framework for Understanding Human-Nature Relationships. *Anthropology*. 13:272.

Copyright: © 2025 Almeida S. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

of cultural systems that encourage respect for nature and sustainable resource management [9]. Totemic prohibitions against harming certain species or exploiting sacred landscapes often contribute to conservation by limiting overuse and reinforcing ethical obligations toward the environment. Although these practices should not be romanticized or viewed solely through the lens of conservation, they demonstrate how cultural beliefs can shape environmentally responsible behavior. In addition, totemism has become an important subject in the anthropology of religion and symbolic communication. Totemic symbols continue to function as powerful markers of identity, collective memory, and social cohesion. Rituals associated with totems transmit cultural knowledge across generations, preserving oral histories, myths, and moral values [10].

REFERENCES

1. Closser S, Mendenhall E, Brown P, Neill R, Justice J. The anthropology of health systems: A history and review. *Soc Sci Med.* 2022;300:114314.
2. Damschroder LJ, Aron DC, Keith RE, Kirsh SR, Alexander JA, Lowery JC. Fostering implementation of health services research findings into practice: A consolidated framework for advancing implementation science. *Implement Sci.* 20 09;4(1):50.
3. Dao A, Mulligan J. Toward an anthropology of insurance and health reform: An introduction to the special issue. *Med Anthropol Q.* 2016;30(1):5-17.
4. Ferlie EB, Shortell SM. Improving the quality of health care in the United Kingdom and the United States: a framework for change. *Milbank Q.* 2001;79(2):281-315.
5. Qureshi K, Tichenor M. Anthropologies of health policy. *Anthropol Med.* 2024;31(1-2):1-7.
6. Hanson I, Fenn J. A review of the contributions of forensic archaeology and anthropology to the process of disaster victim identification. *J Forensic Sci.* 2024;69(5):1637-1657.
7. Ebert LC, Rahbani D, Luthi M, Thali MJ, Christensen AM, Fliiss B. Reconstruction of full femora from partial bone fragments for anthropological analyses using statistical shape modeling. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2022;332:111196.
8. Craik K, Collings AJ. A preliminary study into the impact of using three-dimensional models in forensic anthropology learning and teaching. *Sci Justice.* 2022;62(6):814-821.
9. Shanley C, Obertová Z, Franklin D. Dimensional accuracy of structured light scans and 3D prints of various human skeletal elements. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2024;361:112138.
10. Wescott DJ. Recent advances in forensic anthropology: Decomposition research. *Forensic Sci Res.* 2018;3(4):278-293.