

# Studying the Complex Relationship between Cultural Relativism and Ethics

Emine Torgan\*

*Department of Social and Cultural Anthropology, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria*

## DESCRIPTION

Cultural relativism is a concept in anthropology that emphasizes understanding and interpreting cultural practices and beliefs within the context of the culture in which they exist [1]. It suggests that no culture's beliefs, practices or values should be judged by the standards of another culture, but rather should be understood based on the cultural norms and worldview of the group being studied. The principle of cultural relativism challenges the ethnocentric tendency to view one's own culture as superior to others and promotes a more empathetic and open-minded approach to studying cultural differences.

The core idea of cultural relativism is that each culture has its own internal logic and what might seem unusual or even wrong from the perspective of one culture may be perfectly acceptable and meaningful within another [2]. For example, practices like arranged marriages, polygamy or specific dietary customs might be considered unusual or unacceptable in some cultures but are completely normal and even highly valued in others. By applying cultural relativism, anthropologists aim to avoid making value judgments about these practices and instead strive to understand their meaning within the social and cultural context in which they occur [3].

Cultural relativism was developed as a reaction to the historical tendency of anthropologists and other scholars to impose Western values on non-Western societies [4]. In the past, colonial powers often viewed indigenous cultures through a lens of superiority, considering them "primitive" or "backward" in comparison to Western standards. Cultural relativism seeks to rectify this by promoting the idea that cultures are diverse and that each should be evaluated based on its own standards, not through the biases of outside cultures [5].

A key aspect of cultural relativism is its focus on understanding culture from the perspective of the people who live within it [6]. Anthropologists who adopt a cultural relativist approach engage in participant observation, fieldwork and in-depth interviews to immerse themselves in the community they are studying [7]. This allows them to gain analysis into the values, practices and social norms of a culture without imposing their own biases or assumptions. By understanding the reasons behind cultural

practices and beliefs, anthropologists can reveal the underlying social, economic and historical factors that shape them.

However, cultural relativism is not without its critics. Some argue that it can lead to moral relativism, where actions such as human rights violations, exploitation or discrimination are justified or excused on the grounds of cultural differences. For example, practices like female genital mutilation, child labor or caste discrimination may be defended as cultural traditions, but many would argue that these actions are harmful and violate universal human rights [8]. Critics of cultural relativism contend that there should be limits to cultural tolerance, particularly when it comes to practices that cause harm or violate basic human rights.

Despite these criticisms, cultural relativism remains a foundational concept in anthropology. It encourages researchers to approach cultures with respect and humility, recognizing that every culture has its own unique history, values and ways of life. Rather than judging or condemning practices that seem unfamiliar, cultural relativism urges anthropologists to seek understanding and to explain cultural phenomena in their own terms [9].

In recent years, debates around cultural relativism have evolved, especially in the context of globalization and human rights. While cultural relativism remains important for understanding cultural diversity, there is a growing recognition that some universal ethical principles, such as the right to life, freedom and equality, should be upheld across all cultures. Anthropologists today often seek a balance between cultural relativism and universal human rights, acknowledging cultural differences while also advocating for the protection of basic rights and dignity [10].

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, cultural relativism is an important concept in anthropology that promotes understanding and tolerance by encouraging the study of cultures within their own context. It challenges ethnocentrism and fosters an appreciation of cultural diversity. While it faces challenges, particularly in relation to ethical issues and human rights, cultural relativism remains an important tool for anthropologists seeking to study and

**Correspondence to:** Emine Torgan, Department of Social and Cultural Anthropology, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria, E-mail: torgan@emine.au

**Received:** 29-Nov-2024, Manuscript No. ANTP-24-36678; **Editor assigned:** 02-Dec-2024, PreQC No. ANTP-24-36678 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 16-Dec-2024, QC No. ANTP-24-36678; **Revised:** 23-Dec-2024, Manuscript No. ANTP-24-36678 (R); **Published:** 30-Dec-2024, DOI: 10.35248/2332-0915.24.12.245

**Citation:** Torgan E (2024). Studying the Complex Relationship between Cultural Relativism and Ethics. Anthropology. 12:245.

**Copyright:** © 2024 Torgan E. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

understand the complexities of human societies without imposing external value judgments. By embracing cultural relativism, anthropologists can better understand the richness of human experience and the diverse ways in which people live, think and interact with the world.

## REFERENCES

1. Said EW. Representing the colonized: Anthropology's interlocutors. *Critical inquiry*. 1989;15(2):205-225.
2. Tushnet M. The possibilities of comparative constitutional law. *Yale L J*. 1999;108(6):1225-1309.
3. Scheper-Hughes N. The primacy of the ethical: Propositions for a militant anthropology. *Curr Anthropol*. 1995;36(3):409-440.
4. Merry, S. E. (2003). Human Rights law and the demonization of culture (And anthropology along the way). *PoLAR: Political Leg Anthropol Rev*. 26(1), 55-76.
5. Assefa Z. Faunal remains from Porc-Epic: Paleoecological and zooarchaeological investigations from a Middle Stone Age site in southeastern Ethiopia. *J Hum Evol*. 2006;51(1):50-75.
6. Schmidt VA. Discursive institutionalism: The explanatory power of ideas and discourse. *Annu Rev Polit Sci*. 2008;11(1):303-326.
7. Lau KW, Lee PY. The use of virtual reality for creating unusual environmental stimulation to motivate students to explore creative ideas. *Interact Learn Environ*. 2015;23(1):3-18.
8. Khoja-Moolji S. Death by benevolence: Third world girls and the contemporary politics of humanitarianism. *Fem Theory*. 2020;21(1): 65-90.
9. Low SM, Merry SE. Engaged anthropology: Diversity and dilemmas an introduction to supplement 2. *Curr Anthropol*. 2010;51:203-226.
10. Guillhot N. The anthropologist as witness: Humanitarianism between ethnography and critique. *Humanity: Int J Hum Rights, Hum and Dev*. 2012;3(1):81-101.