Vacuum Sealing Drainage Treatment of Multi-Drug–Resistant *Enterobacter cloacae* Wound Infection in a Diabetic Patient: A Case Report and Review of the Literature
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**Abstract**

Diabetic foot ulcers differ from non-diabetic trauma, as diabetic patients have dysfunctional wound healing owing to changes in the microcirculation. Herein, we report the case of a 48-year-old man with a 4-year history of uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. The patient had developed a 3-cm-wide ulcer deep to the phalanx on the big toe of the right foot 3 weeks ago, and the ulcer became infected with multi-drug–resistant *Enterobacter cloacae* 1 week later. We performed thorough wound debridement combined with Vacuum Sealing Drainage (VSD) and intravenous immunoglobulin administration without antibiotic therapy. This treatment strategy reduced the size of the ulcer. Three weeks later, antibiotic-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* was cultured from the wound tissue instead of *Enterobacter cloacae*, and so, the patient was administered antibiotics. The patient recovered well after this simple procedure followed by antibiotic therapy.
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**Introduction**

Diabetes affects almost 171 million people worldwide, and this number is expected to increase to 366 million by 2030 [1]. Approximately 15% of diabetic patients will develop a foot ulcer during their lifetime [2]. Diabetic foot ulcers are induced by not only necrosis of the deep tissues due to neurological or vascular disease of the lower limbs but also wound infection [3]. Long-term hyperglycemia alters the microcirculation, which impedes wound healing [4]. Such ulcers are vulnerable to infections. The wound exudate in such ulcers contains protein-rich lymph and serves as a medium for microbial proliferation [5]. Furthermore, when infection does occur, it spreads rapidly. All these changes are attributable to low immunity, which is related with diabetes [6].

Empirical antimicrobial therapy is the main cause of antibiotic resistance. Although *Enterobacter cloacae* is not a prevalent pathogen in diabetic foot ulcers, multi-drug–resistant *Enterobacter* spp. have increasingly emerged as a cause of hospital-acquired infections [7]. These gram-negative bacteria have been reported to be important causes of opportunistic and multi-drug–resistant infections in humans during the last three decades [8]. About 10% of patients with *Enterobacter* infections are non-responsive to treatment [9]. Here, we present one such case of that was successfully treated using an alternative treatment along with antibiotic therapy.

**Case Presentation**

A 48-year-old man with type 2 diabetes was transferred to our department with chief complaints of a non-healing ulcer resulting from a toe injury sustained during an accidental puncture 3 weeks prior. The patient had had diabetes for approximately 4 years, and his blood sugar level was not well controlled. He underwent wound debridement and was treated with intravenous antibiotics for 2 weeks, without any improvement. In fact, the foot ulcer deteriorated further, and amputation was recommended by the medical department.

Upon examination in our department, we found that the ulcer was about 3 cm wide, deep to the phalanx on the big toe of the right foot, and filled with pus. The lesion was pale, and the skin around the wound was puffy (Figure 1A). Further clinical examination and medical imaging revealed that anteroposterior and lateral radiographs of the foot right foot and the arterial and venous circulation in the lower extremity were normal. Laboratory examinations showed the following: white blood cell count, 10,230/µL, 59.1% neutrophils, and erythrocyte-sedimentation rate, 17. After 1 week of intravenous antibiotic treatment, bacterial cultures and drug-sensitivity tests of the wound specimens revealed multi-drug–resistant *Enterobacter cloacae* (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>Susceptibility</th>
<th>MIC (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin/Clavulanate</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>&gt;16/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>&gt;16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aztreonam</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>&gt;16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefazolin</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>&gt;16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefepime</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>&gt;16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxime</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cefoxitin  R  >16
Cefazidime  R  >16
Ceftriaxone  R  >32
Cefuroxime  R  >16
Ciprofloxacin  R  >2
Gentamicin  R  >8
Imipenem  R  >8
Levofloxacin  R  >4
Meropenem  R  >8
Piperacillin/Tazobactam  R  >64
Piperacillin  R  >64
Tetracycline  R  >8
Ticarcillin/Clavulanic acid  R  >64
Tobramycin  R  >8
Trimethoprim/  R  >2/38
Sulfamethoxazole

R: resistant; MIC, median inhibitory concentration

Table 1: Antibiotic sensitivity of Enterobacter cloacae cultured from wound tissue specimens

With the patient's consent, a decision was made to perform wound debridement and thorough cleaning and irrigation of the wound with hydrogen peroxide without any antibiotic. A sterile and disposable scalpel was used to remove all necrotic tissue. Subsequently, the wound surface was enclosed with vacuum sealing drainage (VSD), and the wound healed well 10 days later without any antibiotic. A sterile and disposable scalpel was used to remove all necrotic tissue. Subsequently, the wound surface was enclosed with vacuum sealing drainage (VSD), and the wound healed well 10 days later without any antibiotic. A sterile and disposable scalpel was used to remove all necrotic tissue. Subsequently, the wound surface was enclosed with vacuum sealing drainage (VSD), and the wound healed well 10 days later without any antibiotic. A sterile and disposable scalpel was used to remove all necrotic tissue. Subsequently, the wound surface was enclosed with vacuum sealing drainage (VSD), and the wound healed well 10 days later without any antibiotic.

Discussion

*Enterobacter cloacae*, a well-known member of the family Enterobacteriaceae, are a common rod-shaped, non-spore-forming, facultative anaerobic gram-negative organism. It is not only widely encountered in the environment but also is a common nosocomial pathogen causing bacteremia, endocarditis, septic arthritis, and osteomyelitis. This is because the bacterium possesses redundant regulatory cascades that protect it by controlling membrane permeability and the expression of detoxifying enzymes involved in antibiotic degradation/inactivation. The production of constitutive AmpC β-lactamase is the main reason for its intrinsic resistance to ampicillin, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, and cephalothin [10]. New Delhi metallo-beta-lactamase-1 (NDM-1) is the latest resistance enzyme identified in *Enterobacter cloacae* in 2008 [11]. Band et al. reported that the histidine kinase PhoQ is necessary for the development of a colistin-resistant subpopulation of *Enterobacter cloacae* [9]. The data also highlight that lysozyme, cathelin-related antimicrobial peptide (CRAMP), and H2O2 are specific host innate immune components that increase the antibiotic-resistant subpopulation during infection [9]. A considerable body of literature has shown that enzymatic barrier, membrane barrier, and mutation render this species the main contributor to the multi-drug-resistant infection problem.

It is well-known that all infected wounds must be treated with antibiotics; however, the wound care provided is often insufficient. VSD is an ideal technique to induce healing in various types of tissue injuries, such as deep wounds, large skin defects, and even severe infections [12,13]. This negative-pressure device facilitates the suction of seepage, pus, and necrotic tissue from wounds to accelerate wound healing and ameliorate infection [14]. Nevertheless, its limited ability to kill microorganisms means that the patient's immunity against organisms must be concomitantly enhanced. White blood cell count, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, and fasting blood sugar level are significantly correlated with the activity of the immune system in type 2 diabetes [15]. Our patient had uncontrolled blood sugar levels for 4 years, and developed a multi-drug–resistant infection after sustaining an injury. Human immunoglobulin therapy is widely used in diabetic patients, as it increases antigen phagocytosis, natural killer cell activity, cell clones, and antibody response [16]. Several studies have revealed a relationship between systemic inflammation and insulin resistance [17].

The overuse and inappropriate use of antibiotics are the most important causes of antimicrobial resistance [18]. In this case, we performed wound debridement first to prevent deterioration, and then applied VSD and administered intravenous immunoglobulins to promote wound healing. This treatment strategy effectively resolved the multi-drug–resistant infection of the diabetic foot ulcer in our patient.
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