The Role of Fulvestrant in the Treatment of Metastatic Breast Cancer: A Case Report
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Abstract

Nowadays we cannot find a cancer, in which the targeted therapy based on targeted diagnostics (immunohistochemistry, FISH, etc.) would not stand in the centre of the investigations. Breast cancer is not an exception either. Although the optimally performed surgery and the adequately planned radiotherapy are still important elements in the treatment of breast cancer and the achievement of effective local tumor control but their role has essentially changed in the last few years. In the treatment of patient who has been suffering from breast cancer for years neither with or without his/her knowledge, the surgery should not be performed as soon as possible but when the patient “benefits” the most from this procedure. If distant metastases are present the removal of the tumor from the breast which means only the tip of the iceberg is absolutely unnecessary or it is required only in special cases. Systemic tumor control can be reached only by medical treatment. Regarding these treatments the importance of endocrine therapies (antiestrogens, aromatase inhibitors, LH-RH analogues etc.) traditional and modern chemotherapies (antracyclines, taxanes, platinum and pyrimidine derivatives, eribulin etc.) and the targeted biological therapies (trastuzumab, lapatinib, bevacizumab, olaparib etc.) can be emphasized. The targets of these biological therapies are either extracellular or intracellular molecular targets, such as estrogen receptor (tamoxifen, anastrazole, letrozole, exemestane, fulvestrant etc.) HER2 (trastuzumab, lapatinib, etc.), VEGF (bevacizumab, etc.) PARP (olaparib). It is well-known that due to frequent hormone sensitivity of breast cancer drugs influencing the hormonal effect are very effective. From these the importance of fulvestrant is discussed in our article. Based on literature data fulvestrant proved to be efficient both in locally advanced and metastatic breast cancers even if it was administered not as first (or second) line therapy.
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Introduction

The hormone sensitivity of breast cancer and the idea of hormonal treatment have been known since the end of 19th century. Probably Schnizinger was the first, who suggested in 1889 the surgical removal of ovaries as a treatment in women suffering from breast cancer [1]. Following this in 1895 Beatson performed the first therapeutic bilateral oophorectomy and one year after this procedure reported about regression in locally advanced and metastatic breast cancer [2]. In the years of 1930 Taylor observed that the time to develop metastasis after mastectomy followed by bilateral ovarian irradiation prolonged [3]. These realizations created finally the basis of the hormone therapy of breast cancer. In the years of 1950 the surgical intervention and bilateral ovarian irradiation were routinely used as a part of adjuvant therapy and also in the treatment of metastatic breast cancer [4]. After these artificial castrations which were otherwise irreversible, the next big step in the hormonal therapy of breast cancer was the appearance of the drugs with reversible effects (anti-estrogens, GNRH analogs) in the second half of the 20th century. The anti-estrogen Tamoxifen, which was originally developed as a contraceptive and has residual estrogen effect as well, has been used successfully since 1973 for the treatment of breast cancer and even nowadays this is one of the most frequently ordered anticancer drugs [5]. It is suitable for the treatment of localized as well as metastatic breast cancer, even for chemoprevention [6].

There were great expectations to the efficacy of novel hormonal drugs, so-called “pure” anti-estrogens with no residual estrogen effect at all, appearing in the years of 1990, these expectations proved true to a certain extent. Administration of fulvestrant is indicated if breast cancer was proved to be sensitive for endocrine therapy, i.e. in hormone receptor positive breast cancer (ER: estrogen receptor, PR: progesterone receptor). It can be indicated both in clinically tumor-free status (for adjuvant therapy) and for the treatment of locally – locoregionally advanced and metastatic breast cancer (for palliative therapy considering the summary of product characteristics and the financial regulations). Nowadays there are a lot of drugs available, called slightly inaccurately- hormone medications which are in fact chemically hormone related drugs, but regarding their mechanism of action they can be called as hormone receptor blocking drugs [7]. The adequate individual selection and the optimal administration sequence of these treatments raise a lot of questions among oncologists. However, there is now a broad professional consensus that a significant survival benefit can be observed with the administration of the modern, third generation aromatase inhibitors compared to the former drugs (progestagens and tamoxifen) [8]. Clinical studies supporting these findings were conducted around the turn of the millennium, when there were no data available about such hormone receptor blocking drugs like fulvestrant [9,10].
Fulvestrant decreases the effect of the body's own estrogen in several ways. Chemically it is a synthetic 17-beta estradiol analogue which binds to the estrogen receptor with similar affinity as the endogenous estradiol and this binding leads to an increased receptor degradation which results in a decrease of cell division normally induced by the own estradiol in the originally hormone sensitive-cancer cells. Additionally, it inhibits the intracellular signal pathway responsible for the receptor associated cell proliferation which results in anti-tumorigenic effect as well [11]. Fulvestrant is a steroidal anti-estrogen with a selective ER down-regulating (SERD) effect. In fact, it is a targeted drug, the target of which is the estrogen receptor. It is a competitive antagonist of the ER receptor binding to the estrogen receptor with high affinity and completely blocking its functions. Fulvestrant has a “pure anti-estrogen” effect which means it has no agonist, i.e. estrogen like effect on estrogen-receptor [12]. These chemical characteristics explain its high endocrine therapeutic efficacy. Patients responding well for the treatment show typically a resistance development. Its efficacy after tamoxifen resistance was proved by several investigations [11,12]. Administering fulvestrant in second or third line after anti-estrogen therapy proved to be more effective than the other hormone combinations (especially the progesteragens), while in clinical studies administered as first line therapy it had equivalent effect than the other drugs (aromatase inhibitors, tamoxifen). The initial positive expectations were not supported by randomized early clinical studies (comparative studies with tamoxifen, anastrozole and exemestane which served as a basis in the registration procedure to certain extent), they confirmed only its equivalence with the above mentioned drugs [13-16]. Searching for its cause finally the (evidently negative) role of under-dosage was proved as a slightly significant survival benefit were observed in certain new clinical trials in which fulvestrant was administered in a monthly dose of 500-1000 mg instead of the previous 250 mg [9,17,18]. Several data refer to that the use of fulvestrant -similarly to other oncotherapies- may offer more benefit for the patients regarding both the progression free and the overall survival, if it was administered differently from current financial Hungarian regulations, i.e. not only in palliative practice in the treatment of whom the fulvestrant proved to be efficient after a second or third line treatment.

Methods

After reviewing the literature data we searched for a patient in our practice in the treatment of whom the fulvestrant proved to be efficient after a second or third line treatment.

Case Report

In the Oncology Centre of Pandy Kalman Békés County Hospital numerous patients suffering from advanced breast cancer were treated successfully with fulvestrant during the last 8-9 years. In this report the case of an old menopausal woman (J.K.) treated with metastatic breast cancer and also suffering from hypertension will be presented.

The patient is now 66 years old, and she was screened by mammography in March 2010 because of suspicious right breast mass. After the mammography an ultrasound scan of breast and axilla was performed and a core needle breast biopsy was taken from the outer quadrant of the right breast and also enlarged nodes were removed axillary lymph nodes found to be metastatic and in 1 an suspicious mass. Histology found an invasive ductal carcinoma with strong hormone receptor positivity (ER: 90%, PR: 80%), a HER2 immunohistochemistry 1+ (that is negative) status. On the basis of the radiological examinations, in accordance with the physical examination, there was a 4-5 cm large irregular mass in the upper outer quadrant of the right breast and also enlarged nodes were detected in the right axilla. There was no metastasis detected by baseline staging examinations (chest X-ray, abdominal ultrasound scan, whole-body bone scintigraphy) and tumor markers (CEA, CA 15-3) were in normal range.

The patient at that moment was in good performance status (ECOG PS:0) with an appropriate cardiac status (LVEF: 66%) therefore an epirubicine-paclitaxel (EPI-TAX) combination was started as neoadjuvant chemotherapy. After 2 cycles a significant regression was observed both in the primary tumor and the regional metastatic lymph nodes, therefore following a negative PET-CT scan mastectomy with axillary block dissection was performed in May 2010. The histological finding were accordant with the result of the core biopsy: an invasive ductal carcinoma was proved with a diameter of 2.2 cm, strong ER and PR positivity, HER2 negativity, Ki-67 was about 20%. 3 out of 8 removed axillary lymph nodes found to be metastatic and in 1 an extension through the capsule could be detected. According to these findings the pathological TNM status was pT2pN1aMx, so regarding the clinically M0 status the breast cancer was classified to IIB (early) stage.

Following this, based on the decision of our multidisciplinary team 3 cycles of epirubicine-cyclophosphamide (EC) and 3 cycles of paclitaxel (TAX) polychemotherapy were administered. Following the chemotherapy adjuvant hormonal therapy (anastrozole) was started.
and in November and December 2010 postoperative irradiation was performed. After completing the radiotherapy the patient was on continuous anastrozole therapy and came for follow up visits in every 3 months and underwent staging examinations required according to the protocol.

In October 2011, after one year treatment with of anastrozole - hydrothorax was detected on the right side, causing dyspnoea, so following immediate puncture of pleural effusion a chest CT scan was performed which revealed a solitary pulmonary metastasis on the left side (Figure 1). The cytological examination of the pleural fluid supported our suspicion, it referred to pleural involvement. Meanwhile a whole-body bone scintigraphy proved multiple osseous metastases. Considering these findings parenteral ibandronic acid therapy and chemotherapy were started together with administration of low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH). Between November 2011 and May 2012 the patient received altogether 8 cycles docetaxel and carboplatin (TXT-CBPL) combination as palliative chemotherapy and after a temporary stable disease a progression in the size of the lung metastasis was detected. At the same time the general status of the patient significantly worsened (ECOG PS: 2), anemia developed, due to which red blood cell transfusion was necessary several times. A Jamshidi bone marrow biopsy was already scheduled; however the patient was referred to the emergency department due to acute chest pain, where acute myocardial infarction was diagnosed. After short cardiology rehabilitation, in the middle of June we tried to administer tamoxifen therapy, but the patient did not tolerate it, she complained of insomnia, and therapy was stopped by herself.

Based on a new proposal of our multidisciplinary team at the beginning of July 2012 we started fulvestrant therapy. After the first 2-week loading dose the patient has been receiving monthly 2×500 mg fulvestrant injections, the therapy resulted in complete remission regarding the pulmonary metastasis by April 2013 (Figure 2.), bone metastases showed stable status with the previously started bisphosphonate therapy. The patient performance status improved significantly during this period of time (ECOG PS: 1), there is no need for transfusions, and nowadays (February in 2014) she live almost a full-value life, only minimal help is needed for her everyday life.

**Figure 1:** October 2011; solitary left pulmonary metastasis after one-year anastrozole treatment

**Figure 2:** April 2013; Complete remission of the solitary pulmonary metastasis after 10-month fulvestrant treatment

**Conclusion**

We can conclude that in the complex treatment of strongly hormone dependent (ER positive in at least 50%) and HER2 negative, locally-locoregionally advanced or metastatic breast cancers the endocrine therapy plays a central role in addition to the classic chemotherapy. If the tumor is locally advanced, but there are no distant metastases or there are distant metastases localized mainly to the bones and peripheral lymph nodes, visceral organs or body cavity lymph nodes are not or barely affected, or if the patient performance status is poor and/or the patient has severe co-morbidities or does not agree to receive chemotherapy endocrine therapy can be first choice of treatment. In case of extended visceral involvement with good cardiac and adequate performance status chemotherapy is the preferred first choice; hormonal treatments come into consideration only in second or third line. In our case with the relapse of breast cancer and becoming a metastatic disease we experienced both therapeutic situations. At the beginning, considering the patient’s good performance and cardiac status and the pulmonary metastasis, we preferred the chemotherapy then after the patient’s status worsened and she suffered a myocardial infarction, the hormonal therapy was preferred. 9 months after the introduction of fulvestrant therapy the staging examinations showed total regression of the pulmonary metastases and a stabile disease of the bone metastases, these results can be regarded as a significant success considering the more and more improving performance status of our patient as well.
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