The Role of Biomarkers in the Emergency Cardiovascular Setting
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Letter to the Editor

Cardiovascular Diseases (CVD) are the major cause of death worldwide, accounting for an increasing prevalence of death which will reach 23.6 million of affected persons in the 2030, [1] and for an incidence varying from 3.9 per 1,000 person-years to 37.1 per 1,000 person-years according to the presence of cardiovascular risk factors [2].

Such data are not limited to the Western countries’ populations: emerging countries declare increasing CV prevalence and incidence which are able to reduce the overall quality of life and lifespan of their inhabitants [3,4].

The emergency department, in agreement with the cardiology department, is the place where all the acute aspects of CVD are gathered. Acute Coronary Syndromes (ACS) in the form of unstable angina, non-ST (NSTEMI) or ST (STEMI) segment elevation myocardial infarction, acute heart failure, pulmonary embolism, etc. are often observed in the emergency and cardiology departments. Due to the high amount of CVD, the need to early detect de-compensated conditions of individuals forced physicians to adopt tools and biochemical parameters able to detect the early sign of health instability.

Biomarkers are the most important, immediate and easy tools adopted in order to detect the early signs of CVD and their acute expression [5-9]. Furthermore, their predictive value is fundamental in order to better evaluate the patients and their future cardiovascular risk.

According to ACS conditions, troponins are the best biomarkers already established for the detection of such conditions [5,6]. Roy et al. [10] found a high predictive skill for high-sensitive troponins T (hsTnT) according to death and re-hospitalization (area under the curve [AUC] 0.81, 95% Confidential Index [CI] 0.73-0.90). A more refined analysis came from Collinson et al.’s work [11] which compared the most novel biomarkers (heart fatty acid binding protein [HFABP]-1 and copeptin and troponin) and the best troponins assays in 850 patients presenting at the emergency department for acute chest pain. According to the 68 patients who were admitted at the cardiology department with the diagnosis of myocardial infarction, the authors found an AUC ranging from 0.92 to 0.94 for troponins assays, which were data strongly higher than those coming from the AUC for HFABP-1 [0.84 (0.77 to 0.90)] or copeptin [0.62 (0.57 to 0.68)]. In particular, the novel high sensitive troponins I (hsTnI) assays demonstrate a sensibility for the detection of myocardial infarction equal to 97% (95% CI: 91-99%), specificity equal to 81% (95% CI: 76-86%), a positive predictive value equal to 63% (95% CI: 55-71%) and a negative predictive value equal to 99% (95% CI: 96-100%) [12]. Creatin kinase (CK) is another biomarker which helps physicians in the acute cardiovascular setting [5]. Chin et al. [13] recently demonstrated that both peak CK-MB and peak troponin I were independently related to the in-hospital mortality of a dataset of patients suffering from acute myocardial infarction and gathered in the National Cardiovascular Data Registry ACTION Registry-GWTG (Acute Coronary Treatment and Intervention Outcomes Network Registry-Get With The Guidelines). They observed that CK-MB were able to slightly increase the in-hospital mortality rate prediction of both STEMI (model C-statistic 0.881 vs 0.877, P=0.011) and NSTEMI (C-statistic 0.831 vs 0.824, P=0.001) patients, as compared to the predictive value of troponins. Nevertheless, the literature data are contrasting according to the reciprocal relationship between troponins and CK. Santos et al. [14] outlined that CK-MB mass evaluated in 1,027 NSTEMI patients failed in reaching a good reliability as predictive value for death or re-infarction within 30 days when multivariate analysis included TnI as confounding factors. Other authors tried to include different serum biomarkers in the clinical setting of ACS (consider for example osteoprotegerin) [9] but, at the best of our knowledge, further researches are needed in order to evaluate their efficacy.

More complex is the role of biomarkers in the clinical setting of acute heart failure. The most studied and important ones are the brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) and its splitted, biological inactive 76-amino acid N-terminal fragment named N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP). Seronde et al. [15] pointed out a great reliability of both biomarkers in acute heart failure detection, with an AUC slightly better for NT-proBNP as compared to BNP (AUC NT-proBNP=0.953 vs AUC BNP = 0.973, p=0.003). Furthermore, the use of natriuretic peptides in patients admitted to the emergency department for dyspnea onset is able to improve the diagnostic certainty and time to appropriate treatment beginning, with an AUC for heart failure identification equal to 0.87 (95% CI: 0.81-0.93) [16]. Such results were confirmed by Kevin Rogers et al. [17] who demonstrated the skill of BNP in differentiating cardiac from non-cardiac dyspnea. In addition, NT-proBNP and BNP are good predictors of one-year mortality in patients suffering from acute decompensated heart failure, showing AUCs equal to 0.77 and 0.78 respectively at the discharge [18].

Nevertheless, new molecules are emerging in the context of emergency setting as optimal biomarkers in the evaluation of patients suffering from acute heart failure. Soluble suppression of tumorigenicity 2 (sST2) is one of the most recent biomarkers introduced in clinical practice [8]. ST2 is a gene discovered in 1989 and placed on chromosome 2q12, whose transcription product is a membrane receptor member of the interleukin-1 receptor family. An alternative splicing of the promoter of the mRNA of such a gene give birth to the soluble receptor (i.e., sST2), easily detectable in the serum [8]. The comparison with NT-proBNP in predicting 90-day mortality
in patients admitted to emergency department for acute decompensated heart failure reveals similar skills between the two biomarkers, outlining an AUC of 0.78 for both sST2 and NT-proBNP (95% CI: 0.69 to 0.88 and 95% CI: 0.67 to 0.90, respectively) [19]. The predictive value of sST2 is high even according to the mortality rates at 1-year [20], while studies pointed out that the combination of sST2, NT-proBNP and BNP was able to improve the overall definition of cardiovascular risk of heart failure patients [21]. A further biomarker able to ameliorate the evaluation of patients suffering from acute heart failure is galectin-3 which is a member of the β-galactoside-binding animal lectin family, able to interact with several extracellular matrix receptors and structure and to be produced by activated cardiac macrophages, influencing heart and ventricular remodeling [22]. When compared with NT-proBNP, galectin-3 showed an AUC at receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis higher than NT-proBNP in mortality prediction (0.74, p=0.0001 vs 0.67, p=0.009) [23]. Multivariate analysis confirmed such results pointing out that galectin-3 was a strong and independent predictor of 60-day mortality and death/recurring heart failure (odds ratio=10.3, p<0.01 and=14.3, p<0.001, respectively) [23].

Within the field of cardiovascular biomarkers in the acute setting, microRNAs (miRNAs) deserve a last consideration. These are short oligonucleotides expressed in a cell- and tissue-specific manner that regulate gene expression and organ function. Heart and other organs are all able to create miRNA and to excrete them in the bloodstream. Therefore, they can be used as biomarkers. Devaux et al. found that low blood concentrations of miRNA-150 in patients suffering from ST-segment-elevation acute myocardial infarction were related to the remodeling of the left ventricle, i.e. to an increase in left ventricle end-diastolic volume during the period between the hospital discharge and the further 4 months-follow up [24]. Furthermore, miRNA-133a seemed to regulate the myocardial fibrosis process in the setting of acute myocardial ischemia-reperfusion [25]. Nevertheless, further analysis and researches are needed in order to better validate miRNA in acute cardiovascular settings.

Thus, despite the predictive value of all the above-mentioned biomarkers, there still persist many limitations in their clinical and daily use. Thus, further studies are needed in order to definitely outline their importance in the management of patients admitted to the emergency department.

Furthermore, physicians must always consider the clinical evaluation of the patients before trusting all the instrumental supports for the diagnosis, by considering biomarkers and technical instrument as pure appendix of the rational mind.
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