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Abstract

This research consists of two experimental studies investigating the dominance of moral character on athlete endorser perception and the influence of perceiver characteristics on tarnished athlete endorser perception and brand evaluations. Perceiver characteristics are discussed from the perspectives of dispositional tendency, innate moral intuitions and self-location. The first study compared the dominance of moral character and warmth on endorser perception. The second study examined the impact of perceiver characteristics on tarnished athlete endorsers and brand evaluations. The findings reveal that moral character is more influential than warmth on endorser evaluations. Tarnished athlete endorsers with immoral character exert more negative influence than tarnished endorsers with coldness character on brand evaluations. Innate moral intuitions and self-location moderate brand evaluations. High-morality consumers and heart-locators are more vulnerable than low-morality and brain-locators to the brands endorsed by tarnished endorsers, respectively.
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Introduction

Tiger Woods had been thought to be an outstanding athlete celebrity and happily married father with a squeaky moral and warm image. The Tiger Woods Foundation, established in 1996, has been improving the lives of millions of American children via charity programs [1]. However, since the outbreak of the extramarital infidelity on Nov. 27, 2009, Woods had been struggling to recover his career stumble and, eventually, regained his number one ranking in golf with his two-stroke win at the Arnold Palmer Invitation at the Bay Hill Club on March 23, 2013 [2].

Woods’ scandal had specifically jeopardized his moral image, as well as causing an overall $12 billion loss in the market value of the full portfolio sponsors in ten trading days [3]. However, reactions of the sponsoring firms to the scandal were mixed. Accenture, AT&T, Gatorade, General Motors, Gillette, Golf Digest, and TAG Heuer had either suspended or terminated their sponsorship. Contrarily, Nike, the primary sponsor, and Electronic Arts have been continuing their sponsorship for Woods, including the several new Nike Golf commercials after Woods’ regain of his number one ranking.

The mixed decisions in the sponsorship continuity for Woods imply the uncertainty of sponsors’ perception about the potential threat of immoral behaviors on the endorsed brands. Extant research in endorsements has verified the dominance of a variety of endorser characteristics (e.g., attractiveness, expertise, likability, trustworthiness) [4]. Moreover, in social psychology, both moral character and warmth traits are verified as the two most dominating personality traits in person perception [5,6]. However, less is known about the dominance of morality and warmth characteristics that Woods’ image is involved on endorser and brand evaluations.

In addition to the impact of endorser image on brand evaluations, the condition can be more complicated when the personality characteristics of consumers are considered. For example, will consumers favoring emotional considerations (i.e., self-location) [7] be more sensitive to immoral behaviors and evaluate brands less favorably? Will consumers with negative dispositional tendency [8] evaluate immoral behaviors and brands more negatively? And, will consumers having higher moral intuitions [9] be more sensitive to immoral behaviors and evaluate brands less favorably?

Therefore, this research advances endorsement research by examining the moderations of the characteristics of endorser (i.e., moral character and warmth) and perceiver (i.e., dispositional tendency, innate moral intuitions, and self-location). With two experimental studies, we demonstrate that moral character is more influential than warmth on endorser evaluations. Tarnished endorsers with immoral character (vs. coldness) exert more negative influence on brand evaluations. Except for dispositional tendency, innate moral intuitions and self-location moderate brand evaluations. Finally, theoretical and managerial implications are discussed based on the research findings.

Theoretical Framework

The impacts of endorser characteristics on brand evaluations

The utilization of celebrity endorsers as spokespersons for brands has long been the fashion in advertising. Extant endorsement research documents that the endorser characteristics of attractiveness, expertise, familiarity, likability, popularity, and trustworthiness enhance consumer attitudes toward the endorsed brands [4,10-20]. However, research results about the dominance of these endorser characteristics are mixed. Amos and colleagues [10] propose that trustworthiness, expertise, and attractiveness capture the most influential source effects, while Belch, et al. [4] depict that popularity (or likeability), physical attractiveness, and expertise are primary characteristics. Further, the dominance of endorser characteristics changes overtime. Attractiveness exerts its dominance in immediate conditions, whereas expertise becomes more influential in delayed conditions [21].

The discussion about the negative impacts of tarnished celebrity

*Corresponding author: Joseph Chang W. Department of Marketing, Manchester Business School, Darmouth, England, Tel: 5089998745; E-mail: joseph.chang@umassd.edu

Received May 10, 2017; Accepted May 25, 2017; Published June 05, 2017


Copyright: © 2017 Joseph Chang W. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.
endorsers on brand evaluations has also become a fashion. Recent research uncovers that disgraced celebrity endorsers involved in scandals are detrimental to the perception of endorsed brands [22-25] and the stock returns of firm value [4,26-28]. Moreover, blameworthy (or culpable) endorsers induce more negative reactions in stock returns [29]. Negative publicity information instigates more negative impacts on endorsers congruent with the brands that they endorsed [30-32].

Latest research in person perception verifies moral character [6] and warmth [5,33] as the two most dominating personality traits. Moral character consists of the traits of courageous, principled, dedicated, reliable, and honest, whereas warmth consists of the traits of social, happy, agreeable, funny, and playful. Moral character is defined as the normal pattern of thought and action in relation to moral choice [34]. Moral character information is proven to be more influential on person perception than is warmth information [6]. As with person perception, we propose that both moral character and warmth are primary endorser characteristics. In comparison, moral character predominate warmth on advertising and brand evaluations. As a result, negative moral character (or immorality) information instigates more negative impacts than negative warmth (or coldness) information on advertising and brand evaluations. In other words, endorsers tarnished in moral character (warm-immoral) are more detrimental than endorsers disgraced in warmth (moral-cold) on endorsed advertising and brand evaluations. Therefore,

H1: Moral character dominates warmth on athlete endorser perception.

H2: Immoral character instigates more negative impacts than coldness on advertising and brand evaluations.

The impacts of perceiver characteristics on brand evaluations

In addition to the effects of endorser characteristics, extant endorsement research has also verified the perceiver effects of self-construals [35-38], self-regulatory [39], self-concept [40], implicit self-theory [41], self-empowerment [42], and explicit and implicit memory [43].

In contrast, discussion about the moderation of perceiver characteristics on tarnished celebrity endorsers has also been investigated. It is documented that the perceiver characteristics of attribution styles, celebrity identification, brand commitment, and personal involvement moderate the negative impacts of scandals on brand evaluations [33,44,45]. Scandals are more influential on perceivers who make dispositional (vs. situational) attributions and are low in brand commitment and celebrity identification.

Moreover, extant research in social cognition has recently documented that the dispositional tendency [8], innate moral intuitions [9], and self-location [7] of perceivers moderate perceivers’ social judgments. As with social cognition, we propose that these perceiver characteristics moderate the impacts of tarnished endorsers on brand evaluations as follows.

Dispositional tendency

In personality psychology, the dispositional tendency theory depicts that perceivers are different in the dispositional tendency intrinsically exerting positive or negative attitudes [8]. Perceivers’ attitudes toward social stimuli can be partly predicted by the intrinsic personality of dispositional tendency, without even having to consider the specific attitudes. In other words, attitudes toward unrelated stimuli may be positively correlated due to the influence of perceivers’ personality. Thus, an attitude is in fact a synergy of the function of an object’s properties and the properties of the perceiver. The dispositional attitudes are operationally captured by the 16-item Dispositional Attitude Measure (DAM). The DAM scores are proven to be positively and negatively correlated with positive and negative affect traits, respectively, as well as the valence of the Big 5 and optimism [8].

As endorsers are social objects, we propose that dispositional attitudes moderate endorser perception. Perceivers with negative (vs. positive) dispositional tendency more (vs. less) unfavorably evaluate tarnished (moral-cold or warm-immoral) endorsers and the advertising and brands that the tarnished celebrities endorse. However, when evaluating social objects differently in extent, the perceptual norms of perceivers with positive and negative dispositional tendency are identical. In comparison, the perceptual norms of perceivers with positive and negative dispositional tendency are relatively more positively and negatively anchored, respectively [8]. In other words, while negative (vs. positive) dispositional tendency perceivers tend to more (vs. less) unfavorably evaluate social objects, the dispositional tendency doesn’t imply that negative (vs. positive) dispositional tendency perceivers are more (vs. less) sensitive to, and yield more (vs. less) attitude change on, social stimuli. In other words, perceivers with positive and negative dispositional tendency shall yield identical attitude changes on brand evaluations. Perceivers with negative (vs. positive) dispositional tendency both more (vs. less) unfavorably evaluate the brands before and after the impact of the tarnished endorsers. The difference in prior brand evaluations between perceivers with positive and negative dispositional tendency is counterbalanced by the difference in posterior brand evaluations. Therefore,

H3a: Perceivers with negative dispositional tendency more unfavorably evaluate the brands endorsed by tarnished athlete endorsers than perceivers with positive dispositional tendency do.

H3b: Tarnished athlete endorser information instigates identical negative impacts on positive and negative dispositional tendency perceivers’ brand evaluations.

Innate moral intuitions

In personality psychology, morality is defined as “perspective judgments of justice, rights, and welfare pertaining to how people ought to relate to each other” [46]. The innate moral intuition theory depicts that moral intuitions derive from innate psychological mechanisms consisting of values and practices coevolving with cultural institutions and practices [9,47]. The moral intuitions are modifiable mechanisms [48] providing subjects with the moral foundations to build on as they transmit cultural values and perceive social objects. As the endorser evaluation of this research is morality relevant, the innate moral intuitions of perceivers should coevolve with the perception of tarnished celebrity endorsers. We propose that perceivers with higher moral intuitions should be more sensitive to, and more unfavorably evaluate, tarnished endorsers and the advertising and brands endorsed by the tarnished endorsers. Therefore,

H4: High-morality perceivers more unfavorably evaluate tarnished athlete endorsers and the advertising and brands endorsed by the tarnished athlete endorsers than low-morality perceivers do.

Self-location

Extant research in personality psychology documents that conceptual metaphors (e.g., “friendly is warm”) are shared by members of a culture [49], are largely universal across cultures, and constrain
thinking and behavior across individuals [50]. Metaphor representation theory suggests that conceptual metaphors guide thought, emotion, and behavior [51]. Based on the metaphor representation theory, self-location theorists depict that body metaphors are consequential in understanding individual differences in cognition, emotion, and performance [7]. Brain-locators are thought to be rational, logical, and cold, whereas heart-locators are emotional, feminine, and warm. In comparison, heart- (vs. brain-) locators more (vs. less) favor emotional over rational considerations and experience greater negative emotion on high stressor days. Based on the self-location theory, we propose that heart- (vs. brain-)locators are more sensitive to, and thus, more (vs. less) unfavorably evaluate, tarnished celebrity endorsers and the advertising and brands endorsed by the tarnished endorsers. Thus,

H5: Heart-locators more unfavorably evaluate tarnished athlete endorsers and the advertising and brands endorsed by tarnished athlete endorsers than brain-locators do.

Two experimental studies with 135 and 71 subjects, respectively, were conducted to verify these hypotheses. Study 1 compared the dominance of moral character and warmth on endorser perception (H1). Study 2 examined the dominance of immoral character (H2) and the impact of perceiver characteristics on the cause and effect relationship between tarnished endorsers and brand evaluations (H3, H4 and H5).

Method I

The data was collected online via Amazon Mechanical Turk (or M-Turk) with Qualtrics questionnaires in exchange for monetary compensation. Overall, 135 U.S. residents (69 females, 66 males; M_age=32.36, SD=9.36) participated in the 2 (moral character: good vs. bad) x 2 (interpersonal warmth: warm vs. cold) within-subjects experimental design. The participants were explicitly provided with information about the “interpersonal warmth” and the “moral character” of four different brand athlete endorsers, and were asked to indicate their global impressions of each brand athlete endorser on a 9-point semantic differential scale anchored by “very negative (1)” and “very positive (9)” [6]. The four trait-combinations are (a) good moral character and interpersonally warm, (b) good moral character, but interpersonally cold, (c) bad moral character, but interpersonally warm, and (d) bad moral character and interpersonally cold. To control order-effect bias, the four trait-combinations were presented with four orders (i.e., abcd, bcda, cdab and dabc), and the participants were randomly assigned to one of these four conditions.

Test of hypotheses and discussion

Hypothesis 1 states that moral character dominates warmth on athlete endorser perception. One-way ANOVAs yielded no order-effect on global impressions across the four conditions (p>0.12; Table 1). Therefore, the orders of the four conditions were collapsed and combined for analysis. Within-subjects analyses revealed significant main effects of both moral character (M_moral=6.71, M_immoral=2.53, F(1, 134)=846.16, p<0.001, η_p^2=0.86) and warmth information (M_warm=5.62, M_cold=3.62, F(1, 134)=373.89, p<0.001, η_p^2=0.66) on endorser impressions. In comparison, moral character information was more impactful than warmth information on endorser impressions. Moreover, the moral-cold endorser was more favorably evaluated than immoral-warm endorser (M_moral-cold=5.40, M_immoral-warm=3.22, t(134)=12.47, p<0.001). There was also an interaction between moral character and warmth (F(1, 134)=48.31, p<0.001, η_p^2=0.27), reflecting that warmth information was more impactful for moral than for immoral endorsers (Figure 1).

Further, between-subjects analyses examining just the first block of ratings across the four conditions revealed significant main effects of both moral character (M_moral=6.55, M_immoral=2.44, F(1, 131)=318.26, p<0.001) and warmth information (M_warm=5.49, M_cold=3.50, F(1, 131)=74.26, p<0.001) on endorser impressions. In comparison, moral character information was more impactful than warmth information on endorser impressions. Moreover, the moral-cold endorser was more favorably evaluated than immoral-warm endorser (M_moral-cold=5.39, M_immoral-warm=3.26, t(65)=5.65, p<0.001; Figure 2). These results between within- and between-subjects analyses were essentially identical.

In conclusion, these results demonstrated the greater causal impact of moral character information than warmth information on global endorser impressions. While both factors exerted salient impacts on global endorser impressions, moral character information was more impactful. As a result, hypothesis 1 was confirmed.

This study compared the dominance of moral character and warmth on athlete endorser evaluations. However, it was not clear about how the dominance, along with perceiver characteristics (i.e., dispositional tendency, innate moral intuitions and self-location), moderated subsequent brand evaluations (H2, H3, H4 and H5). Therefore, the second study was conducted to answer these questions. Study 1 specifically examined the impact of endorser characteristics on endorser perception, whereas Study 2 verified the influence of perceiver characteristics on advertising and brand evaluations.

Method II

Materials

The hypothetical smartphone brand name, App sung, was created based on the two major smartphone makers of Apple and Samsung for the ease of high quality association. App sung V5 was the new brand extension of App sung and was crafted as a high quality brand with a pre-launch assessment in Consumer Reports’ format. Athletes D. C. and L. G. were two prospective endorsers for the App sung V5. They were different in morality and warmth. Athlete D. C. was moral, but cold, whereas athlete L. G. was warm, but immoral. Each of the four traits (i.e., moral, immoral, warm and cold) consisted of a list of five different adjectives. A pretest consisting of 43 U.S. residents (25 females, 18 males; M_age=35.74, SD=11.77) revealed that moral and immoral traits were positively and negatively rated, respectively (M_moral=7.88, M_immoral=1.47, t(42)=22.54, p<0.001). The same results are also for warm and cold traits (M_warm=7.58, M_cold=1.81, t(42)=23.19, p<0.001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characters</th>
<th>Orders of display</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moral-Warm (a)</td>
<td>abcd</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moral-Cold (b)</td>
<td>bcda</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immoral-Warm (c)</td>
<td>cdab</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immoral-Cold (d)</td>
<td>dabc</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F(1,131)</th>
<th>Sig. (p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Tests of order-effect: means and standard deviation.
The traits were rated with the global impression of endorser measure on a 9-point semantic differential scale anchored by "very negative (1)" and "very positive (9)" [6].

**Measures**

Self-location was measured with the dichotomous question of “Irrespective of what you know about biology, which body part do you more closely associate with your self?” with the two options of heart and brain [7]. The perceived quality of the Appsung V5 were captured with three 7-point semantic differential scales anchored by “undesirable (1)/desirable (7), “unfavorable (1)/favorable (7),” and “low quality (1)/high quality (7),” respectively [52]. The global impression of endorser was measured with a 7-point semantic differential scale anchored by “very negative (1)” and “very positive (7)” [6]. The perceived quality of advertising was identified with four 7-point semantic differential measures anchored by “unconvincing (1)/convincing (7), “negative (1)/positive (7), “unreliable (1)/reliable (7), “inappropriate (1)/appropriate (7),” respectively [25,53,54].

The innate moral intuitions were measured with the ten selected statements of the 21-statement moral foundation measure [9]. The selected 10-item MF measure on a 6-point Likert scale consists of two statements for each of the five morality elements of care, fairness, loyalty, authority, and purity. The rest of 11 items were not included as they were questions specifically measuring attitudes relevant to politics unrelated to this study. Finally, the dispositional tendency was captured with the 16-item dispositional attitude measure (DAM) on a 7-point semantic differential scale anchor by unfavorable/favorable [8].

**Subjects, design and procedure**

The data was collected online via the M-Turk with Qualtrics questionnaires in exchange for monetary compensation. As the research purpose was to verify the dominance of immoral character and cold traits, this study captured the dominance by directly comparing the global impressions of moral-cold and immoral-warm endorsers in a two-group between-subjects experimental design.

Overall, 72 U.S. residents (33 females, 39 males; M =38.22, SD=12.31) participated in this study. The participants were informed that the purpose of study was to investigate consumers’ opinions about brand endorsers. They started the participation by answering the first question identifying self-location [7], followed by reading and rating the statement of the Appsung V5. Then, they were informed that athlete D.C. or L.G. was the prospective endorser for the Appsung V5. Based on the personality traits (i.e., moral-cold or immoral-warm), they rated the prospective endorser and re-evaluated the Appsung V5 endorsed by the endorser. Finally, they answered the 16-item MF and 16-item DAM scales measuring their innate moral intuitions and dispositional tendency, respectively.

**Manipulation check**

As the scale reliability analyses on the multiple-item measures of advertising and brand attitudes yielded high reliabilities (α>0.81), the advertising and brand attitude indices were formulated by averaging the scores of the multiple items. The brand quality change index was captured by comparing the difference between the prior and posterior brand quality indices. One-way ANOVA on the prior brand quality index revealed that the participants of the two experimental groups evaluated the Appsung V5 brand equally (Mgroup1=6.34, Mgroup2=6.40, F (1, 70)=0.09, p>0.10), which yielded no conditional effects.

**Test of hypotheses**

**Endorser characteristics**: Hypothesis 2 states that immoral character instigates more negative impacts than coldness on advertising and brand evaluations. Paired-samples t-tests revealed that both the moral-cold (Mprior=6.34, Mposterior=5.61, t(34)=-4.79, p<0.001) and warm-immoral (Mprior=6.40, Mposterior=5.06, t(36)=-6.02, p<0.001) endorsers significantly weakened the endorsed brand. The results suggested that both moral character and warmth were important endorser characteristics for endorsed brand evaluations. Moreover, one-way ANOVA on the advertising attitude index revealed that respondents less favored the advertising endorsed by the warm-immoral endorser (Mwarm-immoral=4.13, Mmoral-cold=5.26, F(1, 70)=13.25, p<0.001). One-way ANOVA on the brand quality change index revealed that the warm-immoral endorser exerted more negative attitude change on the endorsed brand (Mwarm-immoral=-1.27, Mmoral-cold=-0.72, F(1, 70)=4.28, p=0.05; Figure 3). The results indicated that negative moral character (or immorality) information was more detrimental than negative warmth (or coldness) information to advertising and endorsed brand evaluations. Thus, hypothesis 2 was confirmed.

**Perceiver characteristics**: Hypothesis 3 states that perceivers with negative (vs. positive) dispositional tendency more (vs. less) unfavorably

---

![Figure 1: Mean global impressions of the four endorsers, within-subjects analyses (H1).](image1)

![Figure 2: Mean global impressions of the four endorsers, between-subjects analyses (H1).](image2)
evaluate the brands endorsed by tarnished endorsers (H3a). However, the tarnished endorser information instigates identical negative impacts on positive and negative dispositional tendency perceivers’ brand evaluations (H3b). One-way ANOVA on the advertising and prior and posterior brand quality indices revealed that low- and high-DAM respondents had similar attitudes toward the tarnished endorsers (M_{low}=4.52, M_{high}=4.70, F(1, 70)=0.93, p>0.10), the Appsun V5 advertising (M_{low}=4.57, M_{high}=4.81, F(1, 70)=0.49, p>0.10) and the Appsun V5 brand before (M_{low}=6.49, M_{high}=6.26, F(1, 70)=1.68, p>0.10) and after (M_{low}=5.40, M_{high}=5.29, F(1, 70)=0.13, p>0.10) the experimental treatment, which led to similar brand attitude change (M_{low}=-1.09, M_{high}=-0.97, F(1, 70)=0.18, p>0.10; Figure 4). The results suggested that consumers with positive and negative dispositional tendency evaluated tarnished celebrity endorsers, the brands prior to the endorsement, and the advertising and brands endorsed by the tarnished endorsers identically. As a result, the tarnished endorser information identically weakened the brand attitude of positive and negative dispositional tendency perceivers. Thus, the third hypothesis was not confirmed.

Further, hypothesis 4 states that high- (vs. low-) morality perceivers more (vs. less) unfavorably evaluate tarnished celebrity endorsers and the advertising and brands endorsed by the tarnished endorsers. One-way ANOVAs on the indices of endorser attitudes, advertising attitudes, and brand attitude change yielded a marginal significant MF effect (M_{low}=-0.79, M_{high}=-1.30, F(1, 70)=3.47, p=0.067; Figure 5) on brand attitude change and insignificant MF effect on the evaluations of endorser (M_{low}=4.70, M_{high}=4.51, F(1, 70)=1.10, p>0.10) and advertising (M_{low}=4.74, M_{high}=4.58, F(1, 70)=0.23, p>0.10). The results indicated that high- (vs. low-) morality perceivers were more vulnerable to the brand endorsed by a tarnished celebrity. Thus, hypothesis 4 was partially confirmed.

Moreover, hypothesis 5 states that heart- (vs. brain-) locators more unfavorably evaluate tarnished celebrity endorsers and the advertising and brands endorsed by the tarnished endorsers. As with the analyses for MF, the analyses yielded a marginal significant SL effect (M_{heart}=-1.37, M_{brain}=-0.84, F(1, 70)=3.44, p=0.068; Figure 6) and insignificant SL effects on the evaluations of endorser (M_{heart}=4.66, M_{brain}=4.41, F(1, 70)=0.41, p>0.10) and advertising (M_{heart}=4.69, M_{brain}=4.64, F(1, 70)=0.02, p>0.10). The results indicated that heart- (vs. brain-) locators were more vulnerable to the brand endorsed by a tarnished celebrity. Thus, hypothesis 5 was partially confirmed.

Discussion

In conclusion, moral character (vs. warmth) dominates, and instigates more impacts on, endorsers, advertising, and brand evaluations, while both moral character and warmth are important characteristics of celebrity endorsers. Tarnished endorsers with
immoral character (vs. coldness) are more detrimental to endorser, advertising, and brand evaluations, while both the tarnished endorsers with immoral character and coldness significantly weaken the endorsed brand quality. Moreover, dispositional tendency yields insignificant influences on endorser, advertising, and brand evaluations. However, innate moral intuitions and self-location moderate brand evaluations. High- (vs. low-) morality consumers and heart- (vs. brain-) locators are more vulnerable to, and more unfavorably evaluate, the brands endorsed by tarnished endorsers.

Conclusions

This research contributes endorsement research by advancing the research scope in the three perspectives of endorser, perceiver, and culture characteristics. This research compares (a) the dominance of endorsers' immoral character and cold traits and (b) the moderation of the dispositional tendency, innate moral intuitions, and self-location on advertising and brand evaluations. The implications based on the research findings are discussed as follows.

Endorser characteristics-moral character and warmth

The research findings reveal that moral character dominates warmth endorser, advertising, and brand evaluations. Information about celebrity endorsers tarnished in moral character or warmth weakens the evaluations of celebrity endorsers, advertising, and brands. The results suggest that both the moral character and warmth traits are important endorser characteristics. However, in comparison, moral character is more influential than warmth on endorser, advertising, and brand evaluations. Negative moral (i.e., immoral) character information reduces the favorability of endorsers, advertising, and brands more than does the negative warmth (or coldness) information. The research findings parallel the findings in person perception [6]. Moral character appears a prime and dominating characteristic in endorser perception, as well as the subsequent evaluations of advertising and brands.

Perceiver characteristics-dispositional tendency

While evaluating brands differently in extent, the perceptual norms of perceivers with positive and negative dispositional tendency are identical. In comparison, the perceptual norms of perceivers with positive and negative dispositional tendency are relatively more positively and negatively anchored, respectively [8]. In other words, while negative (vs. positive) dispositional tendency perceivers tend to more (vs. less) favorably evaluate brands, the dispositional tendency doesn’t imply that negative (vs. positive) dispositional tendency perceivers are more (vs. less) sensitive to, and yield more (vs. less) brand attitude change. In other words, perceivers with positive and negative dispositional tendency yield identical attitude changes on brand evaluations. Perceivers with negative (vs. positive) dispositional tendency both more (vs. less) unfavorably evaluate the brands before and after the impact of the tarnished endorsers. The difference in prior brand evaluations between the perceivers with positive and negative dispositional tendency is counterbalanced by the difference in posterior brand evaluations.

In contrast to analytic-thinking focus on endorser characteristics for their judgments. The endorser trait information outweighs the impact of dispositional tendency on judgments. As a result, endorser trait information and dispositional tendency exert significant and insignificant influences on judgments, respectively, including advertising and prior and posterior brand evaluations.

Perceiver characteristics-moral intuitions

The research findings indicate that innate moral intuitions moderate brand evaluations. Consumers with high moral intuitions (or high MF) more unfavorably evaluate advertising and brands endorsed by tarnished endorsers. The findings reinforce the innate moral intuition theory depicting that moral intuitions provide subjects with the moral foundations to build on as they transmit cultural values and make social judgment [48], including brand evaluations.

Perceiver characteristics-self-location

The findings indicate that self-location moderates brand evaluations. Heart-locators are more sensitive to tarnished endorser information and more favorably evaluate the endorsed brands. The findings reinforce the metaphor representation theory [51]. The theory depicts that body metaphors are consequential in understanding individual differences in perception and behaviors [7], including brand evaluations. Heart-locators are thought to be emotional, feminine, and warm and more favor emotional considerations, whereas brain-locators are thought to be rational, logical, and cold and more favor rational considerations. As a result, tarnished endorser information instigates more salient negative impacts on heart-locators' brand evaluations.

In conclusion, the impacts of dispositional tendency, innate moral intuitions, and self-location are different. Innate moral intuitions and self-location moderate brand evaluations. Advertising endorsed by tarnished endorsers exerts more negative impacts on consumers with heart-location or higher moral intuitions.

Limitations and Future Research

This study has three specific limitations, which demand further clarification with future research. First, research in celebrity endorsements depicts that celebrity effectiveness is product dependent [4,55,56]. McCracken’s [56] meaning transfer model suggests that celebrity endorsers generate better effects for emotional or image relevant products with high social and/or psychological risks (e.g., fashion apparel, cosmetics, costume jewellery). In contrast, professional experts work better for functional products with high financial and/or performance risks (e.g., consumer electronics, pharmaceuticals). The findings of this research are based on the smartphone, which is mainly functional, but is recently verified as a primary product for personal perception and evaluations over the warmth trait. Moreover, research on endorser characteristics documents that competence (or expertise) is a primary characteristic of athletic celebrity endorsers for athletic functional products [4]. Competence appears an endorser characteristic competing against moral character. Therefore, further research can be conducted to examine the perceiver effects of dispositional tendency, moral intuitions, and self-location on the dominance of moral character and competence of athletic celebrity endorsers for athletic products.

Finally, extant research in cross-cultural advertising documents
unparallel responses to advertising appeals between easterners and westerners [58]. Youth segments of easterners in higher power distance cultures (e.g., Korea, Thailand) yield a value paradox phenomenon, where consumers’ observed behaviors (i.e., the desired) are opposite to their cultural values [58] (the desirable). The findings suggest that traditional cultural characteristics (e.g., power distance, holistic-analytic thinking) are insufficient deciders for the consumption behaviors of youth segments. Therefore, further research is demanded to investigate the interplay of ages and the investigated perceiver characteristics on the dominance of moral character.
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