Simultaneous Estimation of Tramadol HCl, Paracetamol and Domperidone in Pharmaceutical Formulation by RP-HPLC Method
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Abstract

A simple, precise, rapid, selective, and economic reversed phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) method has been established for simultaneous analysis of A Phenomenex C18 (250x4.6 mm i.d) chromatographic column equilibrated with mobile phase 0.02 M Potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate/acetonitrile (55/45, v/v) adjusted to pH 6.5 with Triethylamine (1% v/v) was used. Mobile phase flow rate was maintained at 1 ml/min and effluents were monitored at 278 nm. The sample was injected using a 20 µl fixed loop, and the total run time was 10 min. Experimental conditions such as pH of mobile phase, column saturation time, selection of wavelength, etc. were critically studied and the optimum conditions were selected. The retention time for PCM, DMP and TMD were 3.76 min, 5.18 min and 4.28 min, respectively. The calibration curve for DMP, PCM and TMD was found to be linear in the range of 0.2–1 µg/ml, 6.5–32.5 µg/ml and 0.75–3.75 µg/ml with a correlation coefficient of 0.9998, 0.9976 and 0.9974. The detection limits for PCM, DMP and TMD were 20 ng/ml, 1.06 ng/ml and 2 ng/ml, respectively, while quantitation limits were 60 ng/ml, 3.23 ng/ml and 6 ng/ml, respectively. This HPLC procedure is economic, sensitive, and less time consuming than other chromatographic procedures. It is a user-friendly and importance tool for analysis of combined tablet dosage forms.
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Introduction

PCM (PCM; N-[4-hydroxyphenyl] ethanamide; Figure 1) is a widely used analgesic and antipyretic for the relief of fever, headaches, and other minor aches and pains, and is a major ingredient in numerous cold and flu remedies. In combination with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and opioid analgesics, paracetamol is used also in the management of severe pain (such as postoperative pain) [1]. Tramadol HCL (TMD; (+/-) cis-2-[(Dimethylamino)ethyl]-1-(3-methoxyphenyl) cyclohexanol hydrochloride (Figure 1) is a centrally acting analgesic, having agonist actions at the µ-opioid receptor and affects reuptake at the noradrenergic and serotonergic systems. TMD is a compound with mild and delayed µ-agonist activity [2]. Domperidone (DMP; 5-chloro-1-[1-[3-(2-oxo-2, 3-dihydro-1H-benzimidazol-1-yl) propyl]-piperidin-4-yl]-1, 3-dihydro-2H-benzimidazol-2-one; Figure 1) used as antiemetic drug.

PCM is official in Indian Pharmacopoeia. This pharmcopoeia suggests titrimetric and UV spectrophotometric assay method for PCM in bulk and tablet formulations. DMP is official in Indian Pharmacopoeia where assay is described by titrimetric method. Tramadol is official in Indian Pharmacopoeia. This pharmcopoeia suggests titrimetric (potentiometric) assay method for tramadol in bulk. Literature survey revealed that various analytical methods like spectrophotometric [3-6], HPLC [7-14], GC [15] and HPTLC [16-19] have been reported for the determination of TMD, PCM and either individually or combination with some other drugs, but no HPTLC method was reported for simultaneous estimation of TMD and PCM and domperidol in combined dosage forms. Many methods [20-27] have been described in the literature for the determination of domperidol and paracetamol, individually. The analytical methods like HPLC [10] and HPTLC [28] for determination of domperidol and PCM in combined dosage form has been reported. The RP HPLC [29] method has been reported for estimation of TMD, PCM and Domperidol in tablet formulation. The review of literature prompted us to develop an accurate, selective and precise simultaneous method for the estimation of TMD, PCM and DMP in combined dosage forms.

Experimental

Chemicals and materials

TMD, PCM and DMP were procured from Cadila pharmaceuticals, Ahmedabad, Ethyl Acetate, Toluene, Ammonia and n-Butanol were used as solvents to prepare the mobile phase. All the reagents used were of Analytical reagent grade (CHEMDYES CORPORATION, Ahmedabad, India) and used without further purification. Tablet formulation A (Tramazac-PD, Zydus Cadila Healthcare Ltd., Ahmedabad, India) and Tablet formulation B (RAMCET-D sundyota numandis pharma, Ahmedabad, India) and used without further purification. Tablet formulation A (Tramazac-PD, Zydus Cadila Healthcare Ltd., Ahmedabad, India) containing labeled amount of 325 mg PCM, 37.5 mg TMD, and 10 mg DMP were procured from local market.
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Chromatographic conditions

A Phenomenex C$_8$ (250×4.6 mm i.d) chromatographic column equilibrated with mobile phase 0.02 M Potassium dihydrogen o-phosphate/acetonitrile (55/45, v/v) adjusted to pH 6.5 with Triethylamine (1% v/v) was used. Mobile phase flow rate was maintained at 1 ml/min and effluents were monitored at 278 nm. The sample was injected using a 20 µL fixed loop, and the total run time was 10 min.

Sample preparation

To determine the content of PCM, DMP and TMD in combined dose tablet formulation twenty tablets of each brand were weighed. Average weight was calculated, the tablets are crushed and powder equivalent to about 325 mg PCM, 10 mg DMP and 37.5 TMD was transferred to 100.0 ml volumetric flask, 20.0 ml of methanol was added and content of the flask were ultrasonicated for 30 minutes, volume was made up to the mark with methanol. The solution was mixed and filtered through Whatman filter paper No. 41. From the filtrate, 1.0 ml was diluted to 100 ml with methanol. Appropriate volume of the aliquot was transferred to a 10 ml volumetric flask and the volume was made up to the mark with the mobile phase to obtain a solution containing DMP (0.6 µg/ml), PCM (19.5 µg/ml) and TMD (2.25 µg/ml) were applied to HPLC and analyzed for PCM, DMP and TMD content using the proposed method as described earlier. The possibility of interference from other components of the tablet formulation in the analysis was studied. From the developed chromatogram R, values were determined.

Preparation of standard solution: PCM (325 mg), DMP (10 mg) and TMD (37.5 mg) were accurately weighed and transferred to 100 ml volumetric flask and dissolved in few ml of methanol and sonicate it for 15 minutes. Volumes were made up to the mark with methanol to yield a solution containing 100 µg/ml of DMP, 3250 µg/ml of PCM and 375 µg/ml of TMD.

Method validation

The developed method was validated for linearity and range, specificity, accuracy, precision, Limit of detection, Limit of quantitation, robustness and solution stability as per ICH guidelines.

Linearity and range: Linearity of the method was evaluated by constructing calibration curves at five concentration levels over a range of 0.2–1 µg/ml of DMP, 0.6–32.5 µg/ml of PCM and 0.75–3.75 µg/ml of TMD respectively. The calibration curves were developed by plotting peak area versus concentration (n=6).

Specificity: The specificity of the method was ascertained by analyzing PCM, DMP and TMD in presence of excipients like talc, polyethylene glycol, lactose and micro-crystalline cellulose were used for tablet formulations. The bands of PCM, DMP and TMD were observed to be more than 98%. The accuracy of the method was determined by calculating recoveries of PCM, DMP and TMD by method of standard additions. Known amount of DMP (80%, 100%, 120%), PCM(80%, 100%, 120%) and TMD (80%, 100%, 120%) were added to a pre quantified sample solution, and the amount of PCM, DMP and TMD were estimated by measuring the peak areas and by fitting these values to the straight-line equation of calibration curve.

Method precision (Repeatability): The instrumental precision studies were carried out by estimating response of 3 different concentrations of DMP (0.2, 0.6, 1 µg/ml) PCM (6.5, 19.5, 32.5 µg/ml) and TMD (0.75, 2.25, 3.75 µg/ml) six times and results are reported in terms of relative standard deviation.

Intermediate precision (Reproducibility): The intra- and inter-day precision studies were carried out by estimating the corresponding responses 3 times on the same day and on 3 different days for three different concentrations of DMP (0.2, 0.6, 1 µg/ml), PCM (6.5, 19.5, 32.5 µg/ml) and TMD (0.75, 2.25, 3.75 µg/ml), and the results are reported in terms of relative standard deviation.

Limits of Detection (LOD) and Limits of quantitation (LOQ): The limit of detection (LOD) is defined as the lowest concentration of an analyte that can reliably be differentiated from background levels. Limit of quantification (LOQ) of an individual analytical procedure is the lowest amount of analyte that can be quantitatively determined with suitable precision and accuracy. LOD and LOQ were calculated using following equation as per ICH guidelines. LOD=3.3×σ/S; LOQ=10×σ/S; Where σ is the standard deviation of y-intercepts of regression lines and S is the slope of the calibration curve.

Robustness: Robustness of the method was studied by changing the flow rate of the mobile phase from 1 ml/min to 0.9 ml/min and 1.1 ml/min. Using 1.1 ml/min flow rate, retention time for PCM, DMP and TMD were observed to be 2.96 min, 4.18 min and 3.68 min respectively and with 0.9 flow rate, retention time for PCM, DMP and TMD were found to be 3.83, 6.12 and 5.46 min respectively without affecting resolution of the drug. When a mobile phase composition was changed to 0.02 M KH$_2$PO$_4$/acetonitrile (65/35 v/v; pH 6.5) by increasing percentage of buffer the retention time for PCM, DMP and TMD were observed to be 4.84 min, 6.18 min and 5.28 min respectively. When a mobile phase composition was changed to 0.02 M KH$_2$PO$_4$/

Solution stability: The solutions at analytical concentration DMP (1 µg/ml), PCM (32.5 µg/ml) and TMD (3.75 µg/ml) were prepared and stored at room temperature for 24 h and analyzed at interval of 0, 6, 12 and 24 h for the presence of any band other than that of PCM, DMP and TMD and the results were simultaneously compared with the freshly prepared PCM, DMP and TMD standard solution of the same concentration in the form of change in %RSD of the response obtained.

Application of validated method to pharmaceutical formulation

To determine the content of PCM, DMP and TMD in combined dose tablet formulation twenty tablets of each brand were weighed. Average weight was calculated, the tablets are crushed and powder equivalent to about 325 mg PCM, 10 mg DMP and 37.5 TMD was transferred to 100.0 ml volumetric flask, 20.0 ml of methanol was added and content of the flask were ultrasonicated for 30 minutes, volume was made up to the mark with methanol. The solution was mixed and filtered through Whatman filter paper No. 41. Appropriate volume of the aliquot was transferred to a 10 ml volumetric flask and the volume was made up to the mark with the mobile phase to obtain a solution containing 0.6 µg/ml of DMP, 19.5 µg/ml of PCM and 2.25 µg/ml of TMD. The solution was sonicated for 10 min. It was injected as per the above chromatographic conditions and peak areas were recorded. Thus the validated method was used for analysis of PCM, TMD and DMP in their combined tablets dosage form (Brand A and B).
Results and Discussion

Method development and optimization of chromatographic conditions

The mobile phase 0.02 M KH$_2$PO$_4$/acetonitrile (55/45 v/v) total pH adjusted to 6.5 using triethylamine was found to be satisfactory and gave three symmetric and well-resolved peaks for PCM, DMP and TMD. The retention time for PCM, DMP and TMD were 3.76 min, 5.18 min and 4.28 min, respectively. The resolution between PCM, DMP and TMD was found to be 2.4, which indicates good separation of three of the compounds [17]. The asymmetric factors for PCM, DMP and TMD were 1.32, 1.29 and 1.14, respectively. The mobile phase flow rate was maintained at 1 ml/min. Overlay UV spectra of both the drugs showed that PCM, DMP and TMD absorbed appreciably at 278 nm, so detection was carried out at 278 nm (Figure 2).

Validation of the method

Linearity: Linearity of the method was evaluated by constructing calibration curves at five concentration levels over a range of 0.2–1 µg/ml of DMP, 6.5–32.5 µg/ml of PCM and 0.75–3.75 µg/ml of TMD respectively. The calibration curves were developed by plotting peak area versus concentration (n=6) (Figure 3).

Specificity: The specificity of the method was ascertained by...
assured by comparing the chromatogram (Figure 4, Table 1) with those of standards. The peak purity of PCM, DMP and TMD was confirmed by comparing Rt values and respective spectra of sample for tablet formulations. The bands of PCM, DMP and TMD were polyethylene glycol, lactose and micro-crystalline cellulose were used to the straight-line equation of calibration curve (Table 2).

Known amount of DMP (80%, 100%, 120%), PCM(80%, 100%, 120%) and TMD (80%, 100%, 120%) were added to a pre additions. Known amount of DMP (80%, 100%, 120%), PCM (80%, 100%, 120%) and TMD (80%, 100%, 120%) were added to a pre formulations. There was no interference of excipients commonly found in tablets as described in specificity studies. The assay results obtained were satisfactory, accurate, and precise as indicated by the good recovery and acceptable standard deviation values (Table 5). The good performance of the method indicates that it can be used for the determination of PCM, DMP and TMD in pharmaceutical formulations.

### Table 2: Results from accuracy study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>DOM</th>
<th>PCM</th>
<th>TMD</th>
<th>DOM</th>
<th>PCM</th>
<th>TMD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.2-1 µg/ml</td>
<td>6.5-32.5 µg/ml</td>
<td>0.75-3.75 µg/ml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention time (min)</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tailing factor</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical Plates</td>
<td>9769</td>
<td>13000</td>
<td>14000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection limit (ng/ml)</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitation limit (ng/ml)</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (%)</td>
<td>99.4-100.4</td>
<td>99.40-100.3</td>
<td>98.4-101.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Summary of validation parameters of developed HPLC method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Method condition</th>
<th>DOM</th>
<th>PCM</th>
<th>TMD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate</td>
<td>0.9 ml/min</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>5.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile phase</td>
<td>65/35</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% rSD of peak area</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer</td>
<td>35/65</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>5.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: Results from the robustness study of method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tablet</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Label claim (mg)</th>
<th>Amount found</th>
<th>% of lable claim (n=5) ± % RSD (n=5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brand A</td>
<td>PCM</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>324.8</td>
<td>99.583 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brand B</td>
<td>PCM</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>325.01</td>
<td>100.17 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMP</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.03</td>
<td>100.1 ± 0.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMD</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>37.48</td>
<td>99.32 ± 0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Limits of detection (LOD) and Limits of quantification (LOQ):

The limit of detection (LOD) is defined as the lowest concentration of an analyte that can reliably be differentiated from background levels. Limit of quantification (LOQ) of an individual analytical procedure is the lowest amount of analyte that can be quantitatively determined with suitable precision and accuracy. LOD and LOQ were calculated using following equation as per ICH guidelines (Table 3).

### Robustness:

Acceptable %RSD values obtained after making small deliberate changes in the developed HPTLC method indicate that the method is robust for the intended purpose (Table 4).

### Solution stability:

The solutions at analytical concentration DMP (1 µg/ml), PCM (32.5 µg/ml) and TMD (3.75 µg/ml) were prepared and stored at room temperature for 24 h and analyzed at interval of 0, 6, 12 and 24 h for the presence of any band other than that of PCM, DMP and TMD and the results were simultaneously compared with the freshly prepared PCM, DMP and TMD standard solution of the same concentration in the form of change in %RSD of the response obtained.

### Method application

The proposed, developed and validated method was successfully applied to analysis of PCM, DMP and TMD in their marketed formulations (Brand A & B). There was no interference of excipients commonly found in tablets as described in specificity studies. The assay results obtained were satisfactory, accurate, and precise as indicated by the good recovery and acceptable standard deviation values (Table 5). The good performance of the method indicates that it can be used for the determination of PCM, DMP and TMD in pharmaceutical formulations.

### Conclusion

This developed and validated method for simultaneous analysis of PCM, DMP and TMD in pharmaceutical preparations is very rapid, accurate, and precise. The method was successfully applied for analyzing PCM, DMP and TMD in presence of excipients like t alc, polyethylene glycol, lactose and micro-crystalline cellulose were used for tablet formulations. The bands of PCM, DMP and TMD were confirmed by comparing Rt values and respective spectra of sample with those of standards. The peak purity of PCM, DMP and TMD was assured by comparing the chromatogram (Figure 4, Table 1).

### Accuracy:

The accuracy of the method was determined by calculating recoveries of PCM, DMP and TMD by method of standard additions. Known amount of DMP (80%, 100%, 120%), PCM(80%, 100%, 120%) and TMD (80%, 100%, 120%) were added to a pre quantified sample solution, and the amount of PCM, DMP and TMD were estimated by measuring the peak areas and by fitting these values to the straight-line equation of calibration curve (Table 2).

### Precision:

The intra-day and inter-day precision studies were carried out by estimating the corresponding responses 3 times on the same day and on 3 different days for three different concentrations of DMP (0.2, 0.6, 1 µg/ml), PCM (6.5, 19.5, 32.5 µg/ml) and TMD (0.75, 2.25, 3.75 µg/ml), and the results are reported in terms of relative standard deviation. The instrumental precision studies were carried out by estimating response of 3 different concentrations of DMP (0.2, 0.6, 1 µg/ml) PCM (6.5, 19.5, 32.5 µg/ml) and TMD (0.75, 2.25, 3.75 µg/ml) and the results are reported in terms of relative standard deviation.
determination of PCM, DMP and TMD in its pharmaceutical capsule formulations. Moreover it has advantages of short run time and the possibility of analysis of a large number of samples, both of which significantly reduce the analysis time per sample. Hence this method can be conveniently used for routine quality control analysis of PCM, DMP and TMD in its pharmaceutical formulations.
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