

Resuspension of Sediment as a Possible environmental Management Method for Coastal Lagoons and Aquaculture Ponds

Mauro Lenzi

Lagoon Ecology and Aquaculture Laboratory (LEALab), Orbetello Pesca Lagunare srl, V. G. Leopardi 9, 58015 Orbetello, Italy

Coastal lagoons are highly productive environments where extensive aquaculture, based on juvenile fish entering from the sea, is conducted with excellent results in many parts of the world. In some cases, production is incremented by introduction of juvenile, prawns and molluscs of commercial value [1,2].

In the last 30 years, coastal areas, including lagoons and ponds, have been subject to man-made eutrophication [3, 4]. This process has devastated coastal communities of organisms, favouring opportunistic species, reducing species diversity and often causing die-offs of natural communities and cultivated species [3,4].

Although efforts have been made to reduce nutrient loads from civil wastewater treatment and industry (including land-based fish-farms), many lagoons still have serious environmental problems. The solutions usually used for remediation or to counteract the effects of eutrophication are often expensive and have a heavy impact on the lagoon and surrounding environments. They include earth-moving operations, excavation of channels and openings to the sea, deviation of rivers and drainage channels in farmland [5-15]. Not only do they denature lagoon characteristics and alter the coastal belt, but their cost/benefit ratio may be high and their effects short-lasting. For example, underwater channels excavated to improve internal water circulation in lagoons may silt up in a few years and require frequent costly maintenance. In the case of algal blooms, harvesting and disposal are often tried [16-22], but these operations are costly and frequently conducted with inappropriate methods and timing. Contrary to theory, the disposal of harvested algae is difficult and industrial uses of this material are rarely found.

As an alternative solution for the management of lagoon eutrophication, recent field experiments have been conducted to evaluate the efficacy of resuspension of surface sediments [23,24]. The surface layer of sediment accumulates bioavailable organic matter and with the intervention of microbes, provides nutrients for the development of opportunistic vegetation. Superficial sediment subject to frequent disturbance may undergo an increase in the oxidative mineralization rate of organic matter [25]. Oxidation accelerates nitrification and leads to predominance of nitrates over reduced forms, increasing denitrification (which occurs in anoxic microhabitats in an oxidative milieu), with the result that part of the nitrogen is lost as gases [26]. Resuspension may lead to oxidation of sediment iron to ferric oxides, which adsorb orthophosphates, removing them from interstitial water and the water column [27]. By virtue of the geo-chemico-physical effects it produces, resuspension leads to selection of microbial, plant and animal populations, in the opposite direction to the selection produced by eutrophication and dystrophy. Opportunistic macroalgae suffer phosphorus limitation and seagrasses that take up nutrients directly through their roots can recolonize the substrate [28]. Frequent disturbance of sediment does not have significant negative effects on the water column, such as increase in pH and nutrients or decrease in dissolved oxygen [23,24].

Resuspension of superficial sediment can therefore be used as a method to mitigate the effects of eutrophication and to manage

eutrophic lagoons, especially shallow atidal lagoons with little water exchange. It is not always possible to completely restore such lagoons, once they become eutrophic. The reasons include historical deposition of nutrients in sediments and the difficulty of completely stopping external inputs of nutrients in heavily settled coastal areas. Resuspension can be effective if performed with the appropriate frequency and timing for a particular environment. It is economical in terms of investments and running costs, unlike all other methods of environmental remediation and management.

Resuspension can also be applied to aquaculture, especially in land-based earth ponds, to maintain good farming quality. The fish themselves also disturb the sediment to some extent, improving their aquaculture environment [29], but it may be necessary to artificially increase disturbance every 24-48 h, depending on the type of fish and the size of the pond, to favour the development of aerobic bacteria [30]. This could be particularly useful for penaeids raised on fresh feed in relatively large earth ponds. In this case, sediments resuspended periodically by rotation in different parts of the pond could avoid processes of putrefaction and the development of disease.

Resuspension of sediment has been regarded with scepticism by coastal management bodies, where there is the widespread belief that sediment should never be disturbed because it could damage communities and stir up contaminants. However, occasional resuspension by strong winds or occasional human activity occurs in any case, and we know that benthic communities decline when dystrophic processes are frequent. As regards contaminants, it is necessary to determine their presence and type, but anoxic conditions in sediment are known to promote the bioavailability of certain contaminants [31].

I propose extending this solution for mitigation of eutrophication to fish farms. I hope this proposal arouses interest and debate. Often the results of research remain isolated, especially if restricted to research environments or journals with relatively limited circulation. The great advantage of an open-access journal, like Aquaculture Research & Development, lies in the fact that it can go everywhere and reach everyone, without the economic constraints posed by most scientific journals. Open access has triggered an even bigger cultural revolution than expected, enabling ideas, hypotheses and results to travel as never before. It is accelerating progress (and perhaps also democracy) everywhere.

***Corresponding author:** Mauro Lenzi, Lagoon Ecology and Aquaculture Laboratory (LEALab), Orbetello Pesca Lagunare srl, V. G. Leopardi 9, 58015 Orbetello, Italy, E-mail: lealab1@gmail.com

Received March 22, 2011; **Accepted** April 10, 2011; **Published** May 09, 2011

Citation: Lenzi M (2011) Resuspension of Sediment as a Possible Environmental Management Method for Coastal Lagoons and Aquaculture Ponds. J Aquac Res Development 2:104e. doi:10.4172/2155-9546.1000104e

Copyright: © 2011 Lenzi M. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

References

1. Pillay TVR, Kuttly MN (2005) Aquaculture: Principles and Practices. Wiley-Blackwell Eds. 624 pp.
2. FAO (1989) Aquaculture Systems and Practices: a Selected Review. FAO ADCP/REP/89/43
3. Raffaelli DG, Raven JA, Poole LJ (1998) Ecological impact of green macroalgal blooms. *Oceanogr Mar Biol: an Annu Rev* 36: 97-125.
4. Morand P, Briand X, (1996) Excessive Growth of Macroalgae: A Symptom of Environmental Disturbance. *Botanica Marina* 39: 491-516.
5. Murakami k, (1984) Dredging for controlling eutrophication of lake Kasumigaura, Japan. *Lake and Reservoir Management* 1:592-598.
6. Vandenbroeck J, Ben Charrada R (1992) Restoration and Development Project of South Lake of Tunis and its Shores. *Terra et Aqua* 49: 11-20.
7. Van Berk, AH, Oostinga H (1992) North lake of Tunis and its shores: restoration and development. *Terra Aqua* 49: 23-32.
8. Bettinetti A, Pypaert P, Sweerts JP (1996) Application of an Integrated management Approach to the Restoration Project of the Lagoon of Venice. *J Environ manage* 46: 207-227.
9. Jin W, Webb C (2003) Experience of creek and lagoon restoration feasibility study. International Conference on Estuaries and Coasts. Hangzhou China 708-711.
10. SCE (2005) San Dieguito Wetlands Restoration Project. Final Restoration Plan. Southern California Edison Company 260 pp.
11. Newton A, Mudge SM (2005) Lagoon-sea exchange, nutrient dynamics and water quality management of the Ria Formosa (Portugal). *Coast Shelf Sci* 62: 405-414.
12. Deheyn DD, Shaffer LR (2007) Saving Venice: Engineering and ecology in the Venice lagoon. *Technol Soc* 29: 205-213.
13. Tsihirintzis VA, Sylaios GK, Sidiropoulou M, Koutrakis ET (2007) Hydrodynamic modelling and management alternatives in a Mediterranean, fishery exploited, coastal lagoon. *Aquac Eng* 36: 310-324.
14. Ponti M, Pasteris A, Guerra R, Abbiati M, (2009). Impacts of maintenance channel dredging in a northern Adriatic coastal lagoon. II: Effects on macrobenthic assemblages in channels and ponds. *Estuar Coast Shelf Sci* 85:143-150.
15. Wijnhoven S, Escaravage V, Daemen E, Hummel H (2009) The Decline and Restoration of a Coastal Lagoon (Lake Veere) in the Dutch Delta. *Estuaries and Coasts*. On line at Springerlink.com
16. Lenzi M (1992) Experiences for the management of Orbetello Lagoon: eutrophication and fishing. *Science of the Total Environment*. Elsevier Science Publ. B.V. Amsterdam, (suppl. 1992) 1189-1198.
17. King RJ, Hodgson BR, (1995) Tuggerah Lakes System, New South Wales, Australia. *Eutrophic Shallow Estuary and Lagoons* (A. J. McComb, ed.) 19-29. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA.
18. Bettinetti A, Pypaert P, Sweerts JP (1996) Application of an Integrated management Approach to the Restoration Project of the Lagoon of Venice. *J Environ manage* 46: 207-227.
19. Runca E, Bernstein A, Postma L, Di Silvio G (1996) Control of macroalgal blooms in the Lagoon of Venice. *Ocean Coast Manag* 30: 235-257.
20. Guyoneaud R, De Wit R, Matheron, R, Caumette P (1998) Impact of macroalgal dredging on dystrophic crises and phototrophic bacterial blooms (red waters) in a brackish coastal lagoon. *Oceanologica Acta* 21: 551-561.
21. Lavery P, Bootle S, Vanderklift M, (1999) Ecological Effects of Macroalgal Harvesting on Beaches in the Peel-Harvey Estuary, Western Australia. *Estuar Coast Shelf Sci* 49: 295-309.
22. De Leo G.A, Batoli M, Naldi M, Viaroli P (2002) A First Generation Stochastic Bioeconomic Analysis of Algal Bloom Control in a Coastal Lagoon (Sacca di Goro, Po River Delta). *Marine Ecology* 23, Suppl. 1: 92-100.
23. Lenzi M, Finoia MG, Persia E, Comandi S, Gargiulo V, et al.(2005) Biogeochemical effects of disturbance in shallow water sediment by macroalgal harvesting boats. *Mar Pollut Bull* 50 : 512-519.
24. Lenzi M, Birardi F, Calzolari R, Finoia MG, Marcone F, et al. (2010). Hypertrophic lagoon management by sediment disturbance. *Mar Pollut Bull*, 61: 189-197 *Estuarine Ecosystems: Structure, Function and Management (ECSA-42 Symposium in Russia)*.
25. Wainright SC (1990) Sediment-to-water fluxes of particulate material and microbes by resuspension and their contribution to the planktonic food web. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 62: 271-281.
26. Novicki BL, Requentina E, van Kueren D, Kelly JR (1997) Nitrogen losses through sediment denitrification in Boston Harbour and Massachusetts Bay. *Estuaries* 20: 626-639.
27. Rozan TF, Taillefert M, Trouwborst RE, Glazer BT, Ma S, et al. (2002) Iron-sulphur-phosphorus cycling in the sediments of a shallow coastal bay: Implications for sediment nutrients release and benthic macroalgal blooms. *Limnol Oceanogr* 47: 1346-1354.
28. Lenzi M, (2010) Resuspension of Sediment as a Method for Managing Shallow Eutrophic Lagoons. *Journal of Ecology and the Natural Environment* 2: 230-234. ISSN 2006-9847 ©2010.
29. Barton DR, Kelton N, Eady RI, (2000) The effects of carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.) on sediment export from a small urban impoundment. *Journal of Aquatic Ecosystem Stress and Recovery* 8: 155-159.
30. Stahlberg C, Bastviken D, Svensson BH, Rahm L (2006) Mineralisation of organic matter in coastal sediment at different frequency and duration of resuspension. *Estuar Coast Shelf Sci* 70: 317-325.
31. Kim EH, Mason R, Porter ET, Soulen, HL (2006) The impact of resuspension on sediment mercury dynamics, and methylmercury production and fate: A mesocosm study. *Mar Chem* 102: 300-315.