Renal Disease in Diabetes Mellitus: Recent Studies and Potential Therapies
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Abstract

Diabetic kidney disease is the predominant cause of end stage kidney disease in North America, estimated to be 152 per million population in 2010. New guidelines published by KDIGO on Chronic Kidney Disease classification are discussed. In light of recent clinical trials, better insight has been gained on how improve management of diabetic patients to prevent renal disease and its progression, especially with regards to metabolic and blood pressure control. Unfortunately, studies of newer therapies such as endothelin 1 antagonists and bardoxolone methyl have been disappointing, but several other possible therapeutic agents are under investigation and may provide hope for patients with diabetes mellitus in the future.
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Introduction

Type 2 diabetes mellitus continues to rise in prevalence within North America and around the world. In 2010, the prevalence of diabetes mellitus was estimated to be 8.3% in the U.S [1]. In Canada, diabetes mellitus has risen from 5% in 2000 to 6.8% by 2008 [2]. There are several reasons accounting for the growth in type 2 diabetes in North America, but the predominant factors include an aging population, growing obesity rates, and shifts towards a sedentary lifestyle.

Diabetes among children and youth is also increasing. In Canada, children of age 0-9 have increased their incidence rates of type 1 diabetes, 0.1% or 3,726 cases between 1998 and 99 to 0.2% or 5,201 cases between 2008 and 2009 [2]. USA data also shows an increase in the incidence of type 1 diabetes, 19 per 10,000 population [1]. Possible causes that have been considered are genetic predisposition, vitamin D deficiency and absence of breast feeding [3].

Diabetic kidney disease is also the leading cause of end stage kidney disease in North America, estimated to be 152 per million population in 2010 [4], and accounts for 44% and 34% of incident cases in USA and Canada, respectively [1,2]. The significant socioeconomic burden imposed by diabetic nephropathy in the form of hospitalizations, cardiovascular disease, and mortality highlights the importance of identifying at-risk individuals and managing them aggressively.

Diabetic glomerulopathy can only be definitively diagnosed based on histology from a kidney biopsy. The clinical diagnosis of diabetic nephropathy requires a history of type 1 or type 2 diabetes mellitus along with elevated albuminuria and/or progressive kidney function (glomerular filtration rate) decline [4,5].

Chronic Kidney Disease in Diabetes: Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Guidelines

The US National Kidney Foundation’s 2002 guidelines (KDOQI) defined CKD for those with an estimated GFR (eGFR) less than 60 ml/min/1.73 m² (stage 3-5) for more than 3 months duration, or a GFR greater that 60 ml/min/1.73 m² (stage 1-2) if there is evidence of kidney damage, such as albuminuria [6]. The international KDIGO guidelines updated this classification, now favouring the use of the CKD-Epi creatinine or cystatin C equation to estimate GFR. The eGFR categories have also been modified to recognize the difference in cardiovascular outcomes for 45-59 ml/min/1.73 m² (stage G3a) compared with 30-44 ml/min/1.73 m² (stage G3b) [7] (Table 1).

KDIGO has also highlighted the importance of albuminuria, which is categorized as normal to near normal (A1), moderately elevated (A2), or severely elevated (A3) (Table 2) [7]. Within every GFR category, albuminuria substantially increases the risk of ESRD, cardiovascular outcomes and all-cause mortality. Therefore albuminuria is now included in the GFR categories for the classification of CKD. The preferred measure of albuminuria is spot Albumin-to-Creatinine Ratio (ACR), followed by a spot Protein-to-Creatinine Ratio (PCR), automated urine protein reagent stick, or manual urine protein reagent stick [7]. The urine should ideally be an early morning urine sample. The terms microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria are now discouraged, as they were mistakenly interpreted as being insignificantly small in quantity or qualitatively different than albuminuria. For this article we will only employ the terms ‘microalbuminuria’ and ‘macroalbuminuria’,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GFR Category</th>
<th>GFR (ml/min/1.73m²)</th>
<th>Terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>≥ 90</td>
<td>Normal or High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>60-89</td>
<td>Mildly decreased*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3a</td>
<td>45-59</td>
<td>Mildly or moderately decreased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3b</td>
<td>30-44</td>
<td>Moderately or severely decreased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
<td>15-29</td>
<td>Severely decreased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5</td>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>Kidney failure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Categories of GFR: addition of G3a and G3b.

Abbreviations: CKD: Chronic Kidney Disease; GFR: Glomerular Filtration Rate *Relative to young adult level In the absence of evidence of kidney damage, neither GFR category G1 nor G2 fulfill the criteria for CKD Reprinted by Permission from Nature Publishing Group, KDIGO 2012 Clinical Practice Guidelinefor the Evaluation and Management of Chronic Kidney Disease, Kidney International supplement 3: 27, table 5, Copyright (2013)
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In type 2 diabetes, moderate albuminuria was more prevalent in the studies prior to 1990, ranging from 9-22% [8]. Viberti et al. reported microalbuminuria (A2) in 12.6% of the 87 type 1 diabetics, from whom 88% progressed to severe albuminuria when followed for 14 years [9]. Similar progression rates from microalbuminuria (A2) to macroalbuminuria (A3) by Mogensen and Christensen reported among 43 studied 1969-1976 and re-evaluated in 1983 [10].

Over time, the prevalence of severe albuminuria has decreased [8]. Hovind et al. reported a decline in prevalence of proteinuria from 1965-1969 to 1979-1984, 31.2% and 13.7% respectively [11]. In 2004, Nordwall et al. also published similar results (Table 3) [12].

Interestingly, moderate albuminuria does not mean the individual with type 1 diabetes is destined to progress to severe albuminuria. Regression to normoalbuminuria (A1) has been noted in association with good metabolic and BP control [13]. The EDIC trial found a regression of albuminuria in 40%, despite ACE inhibitors and Angiotensin II receptor blockers only making up a quarter of the population [13]. The incorporation of albuminuria in the CKD classification by KDIGO was an important change, and strongly influenced by the consistent interaction of albuminuria on cardiovascular mortality.

Table 2: Albuminuria Categories in CKD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>AER (mg/24 hours)</th>
<th>ACR (mg/mmol)</th>
<th>Terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>Normal to mildly increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>30-300</td>
<td>3-30</td>
<td>Moderately increased*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>&gt;300</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>Severely Increased**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AER: Albumin Excretion Rate; ACR: Albumin-to-Creatinine Ratio; CKD: Chronic Kidney Disease
*Relative to young adult level **Including nephrotic Syndrome (albumin excretion usually > 2200mg/24 hours [AER>2200 mg/g; >220 mg/mmol])


GFR of 60-90 ml/min/1.73 m² [30]. The most common cause of death was cardiovascular mortality (about 50% of all deaths).

Similarly, an Austrian cohort of 684 subjects with type 1 DM followed for 20 years found that microalbuminuria (A2) and macroalbuminuria (A3) were associated with 2- and 4- fold increase in mortality compared to normoalbuminuria at baseline [31]. Astrup et al. compared cardiovascular events and mortality in 199 types 1 DM with nephropathy to 192 with normoalbuminuria followed for ten years [32]. Fatal and nonfatal cardiovascular events occurred in 40% of the nephropathy group and 10% in the normoalbuminuric group. Similarly, all-cause mortality, the majority cardiovascular, was much higher in the nephropathy group, 30% vs. 8% [32].

Among 3228 subjects from the combined IDNT and RENAAL trial data, incident ESRD, cardiovascular events, and mortality rates were 7.1, 2.9 and 4.6 per 100 person years [33]. The risk was highest if the eGFR was less than 30 ml/min/1.73 m² and ACR was more than 2 mg/g [33].

An Italian cohort of 1538 type 2 DM patients were followed for 11years to assess the relationship of GFR, albuminuria and mortality [34]. Albuminuria (>200 µg/min) had a 2-fold increase in all cause and cardiovascular mortality compared to normoalbuminuria. GFR less than 60 ml/min/1.73 m² showed an adjusted HR 1.23 (95% CI 1.03-1.47) for all-cause mortality and 1.18 (95% CI 0.92-1.52) for cardiovascular mortality [34].

The incorporation of albuminuria in the CKD classification by KDIGO was an important change, and strongly influenced by the consistent interaction of albuminuria on cardiovascular mortality.

Genetics

Nephropathy in type 2 DM is more likely to occur if the individual has a relative with diabetic nephropathy. If one parent has diabetic nephropathy, the prevalence of proteinuria is 14% among their offspring [35]. This risk increases further if both parents have nephropathy. Similar risks were noted in DCCT for relatives with type 1 diabetic kidney disease [36].

Diabetic renal disease is a complex genetic trait and cannot be attributed to a monogenic mutation. Genome wide association and linkage studies have identified several loci associated with renal disease in diabetes, including 3q,7q, 10p, 14q, and 18q [37]. As an example, the candidate gene ELMO-1 was associated with diabetic nephropathy in Caucasians (type 1), African American (type 2) and Japanese populations [38]. In the DCCT/EDIC cohort, chromosomal regions 9q and 11p were significant, but were not validated in Japanese with type 2 diabetic nephropathy [39]. A great deal more work will have to be done to identify all potential genetic polymorphisms and validate these findings in different populations.

Histopathology

The typical histopathological changes noted in diabetic nephropathy include glomerular basement membrane thickness, mesangial expansion, podocyte foot processes effacement, and afferent and efferent arteriolar hyalinosis [40]. These findings are not consistently seen in type 2 diabetes mellitus.

A recent classification for diabetic nephropathy has been published by Tervaert et al. which was created by consensus of international experts [41]. They combined type 1 and type 2 DM for development of this classification. The pathology is classified into 4 groups, class 1: mild...
changes on light microscopy with electron microscopy evidence of glomerular basement thickening, class IIa: mild mesangial expansion, class IIb: severe mesangial expansion, Class III: nodular sclerosis with at least one Kimmelstiel-Wilson lesion, and class IV advanced glomerulosclerosis with more than 50% of the glomeruli showing global glomerulosclerosis [41]. The authors score separately the interstitial, vascular and non-diabetic glomerular lesions. This classification is the first to try to have studies report the pathology in diabetic renal disease in the same manner.

Combining the type 1 and type 2 DM pathology has it shortcomings. Type 2 DM progression of renal disease is different from type 1 diabetic nephropathy [42]. In type 1 DM, the early findings include glomerular basement membrane thickening, mesangial expansion with afferent and efferent arteriolar hyalinosis. Large vessel arteriosclerosis, tubulointerstitial inflammation and focal and global glomerulosclerosis tend to occur later in the disease course. Najaian and Mauer have reported glomerular tubular junction abnormalities (GTJA) that are late features of diabetic nephropathy [43]. These are described as atrophic tubules (subdivided into short atrophic tubules, long atrophic tubules and atrophic tubules with no observable glomerular opening) [43]. GTJA was associated with the tip lesion seen in FSGS and decline in GFR. The histopathology usually correlates well with the clinical progression of type 1 DM renal disease [43].

However, in type 2 DM, the renal biopsy literature is biased, because most studies report the results of biopsies performed in a setting where the subjects had clinical presentations that were uncharacteristic for diabetic nephropathy [44]. This selection bias permits over estimation of non-diabetic renal disease in the diabetic population. Several studies

<p>| Table 3: Prevalence of Albuminuria, progression and regression in type 1 DM. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Years of follow up</th>
<th>Moderately increase Albuminuria (A2)</th>
<th>Severely increased albuminuria (A3)</th>
<th>Progression A2 to A3</th>
<th>CKD</th>
<th>Regression to A1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Viberti et al. 1982 [9]</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Insulin dependent DM</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andersen 1983 [17]</td>
<td>1303</td>
<td>Type 1 DM</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mogensen and Christensen 1984 [10]</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>type 1DM (A1, A2)</td>
<td>1969-1976 and 1983</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathiesen 1984 [15]</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>type 1DM (A1, A2)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borch-Johnsen 1985 [18]</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>Type 1 DM</td>
<td>1933-1952 To 1982</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parving 1988 [16]</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>Age less than 41 with insulin dependent DM</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perkins 2003 [21]</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>Type 1 DM (A2)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steinke 2005 [19]</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>type 1DM (A1)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zerbini 2006 [20]</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>Type 1 DM (A1)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Boer 2011 [14]</td>
<td>1441</td>
<td>Type 1 DM (A2)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Table 4: Prevalence of Albuminuria, Normoalbuminuria, and CKD in type 2 DM. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Type 2 DM | n | Years of follow up | Population | Microalbuminuria (A2) | Macroalbuminuria (A3) | CKD | Normoalbuminuria (A1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Valmadrid 2000 [22] | 840 | Population study of type 2 DM | 24.8% | 20.5% |
| Gerstein 2001 [23] | 3498 | 4.5 | Age over 55 with history of CV disease (including DM) | 32.6% |
| Kramer 2003 [24] | 1197 | Cross-sectional | NHANES III: DM type 2 | 32% | 5% | 13% | 30% |
| Tapp 2004 [25] | 11247 | Cross-sectional | Australian population-based study | 21% | 4.3% |
| Meisinger 2008 [28] | 581 | Cross-sectional | KOA Augsburg Diabetes Family Study | 27.2% | 9% |
| Jia 2009 [27] | 3714 | Cross-sectional | Shanghai diabetic complications study | 22.8% | 3% | 29.6% |
| Dwyer 2011 [28] | 11527 | Cross-sectional | DEMAND | 39% | 9.8% | 22% | 17% |
have shown a high prevalence of non-diabetic glomerular disease in cases with proteinuria and type 2 diabetes mellitus, reported 12 to 53% [45,46]. Table 5 describes the non-diabetic renal disease found in these reports. Type 2 DM Patients with albuminuria may have near normal renal pathology, yet over a third may have signs of hypertensive nephrosclerosis or tubulointerstitial disease [42].

Decrease of GFR is associated with glomerular basement membrane thickening and mesangial expansion [54]. Mesangial expansion is an important glomerular change that results in decrease renal function. The glomerular changes may not be as advanced with albuminuria as in type 1 diabetes. Particularly, type 2 diabetic subjects without retinopathy are more likely to have albuminuria related to non-diabetic renal disease [55]. Thus the decline in GFR and albuminuria do correlate with the structural changes of diabetic nephropathy seen on histopathology, such as glomerular basement thickening and mesangial expansion but not as strongly as in type 1 diabetes [56]. In fact Okada et al. applied Taevert’s classification in a study of 69 patients with type 2 diabetes found the interstitial fibrosis was a strong predictor of renal end points, not the glomerular changes [57].

Progression of Renal Disease in Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus

The traditional pattern of renal progression in diabetic kidney disease often begins with glomerular hyperfiltration, leading to microalbuminuria, followed by overt proteinuria, and finally GFR decline. Elevated albuminuria is thus used in the definition of diabetic nephropathy, yet renal function can decrease even before elevated albuminuria is detected as noted in type 1 diabetes mellitus [20]. There has been increased regression of proteinuria with the introduction of ACE inhibition and ARB; however, ESRD rates have not declined [58,59]. Rosolowsky et al. showed that between 1991-1995, 1996-2000, 2001-2004, the incident rates for ESRD were 5.3, 5.5, 6.8 cases per 100 person years [58]. Similar results were found by Forsblom et al. with rate of ESRD at 5.1 cases per 100 person years [59]. The incident rates have remained the same, indicating delay or slowing of renal progression, but not complete cessation of the process.

Individuals may progress from moderate levels of albuminuria to CKD without developing severe levels of albuminuria. About half the patients who developed new onset microalbuminuria did not progress to proteinuria even though GFR declined significantly [56]. Cosacou et al. showed similar findings of those subjects who had a GFR decline, 26% did not increase in albuminuria [60]. ACE inhibition was not used in these subjects, so RAAS blockade was not an explanation [60]. Misclassification of individuals with normal renal function labeled to have CKD because of the inaccuracies of estimated GFR equations may explain some of the GFR decline in stable albuminuric patients. Improvement in glycemic control alone may explain the decrease in albuminuria. Other non-diabetic causes for renal injury caused by NSAIDs, radiocontrast dye, ATN and AIN may contribute to the decline of GFR but no worsening in albuminuria [60].

Progression of Renal Disease in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

Diabetic nephropathy progresses from normoalbuminuria to microalbuminuria (A2) then to macroalbuminuria (A3) at the rate of 2.0%, 2.8%, 2.3% per year [61]. Since patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus often have multiple comorbidities including obesity and hypertension, renal disease may be present far before the first presentation to a physician. Therefore, chronic kidney disease maybe present even at the time of diagnosis of diabetes mellitus, about 7% in data from UKPDS [62].

Data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) have demonstrated that only 65% of diabetics with low kidney function have micro albuminuria (>30 mg/day of albumin excretion) Furthermore, studies have demonstrated that even diabetic nephropathy can progress without development of incident proteinuria [63-65]. Population data from NHANES III (population estimate 1.1 million), as well as cohort analyses from the NEFRON (N=3,893) and AusDiab (N=11,247) studies have established that 30-55% of type 2 diabetics with CKD (GFR <60 ml/min/1.73 m²) are normoalbuminuric [66,67]. The increased recognition of non-proteinuric diabetic chronic kidney disease may in part be due to more successful glycemic, lipid, and BP control. Data from the UKPDS, for example, show that HbA1c reduction is associated with reduced albuminuria, but not improved GFR [68].

Since escalating albuminuria may not be reliable as a predictor for GFR decline, better diabetic nephropathy markers are needed. Neutrophil Gelatinase-Associated Lipocalin (NGAL), Kidney injury molecule 1 (KIM1) and Liver-Type Fatty Acid-Binding Protein (LFABP) have emerged as possible markers [69]. Urine Proteomics has recently facilitated Züribg et al. to create a panel of urinary peptides to predict CKD progression [70]. They examined the urinary proteome of diabetic patients with normoalbuminuria, low/moderate albuminuria, and diabetic nephropathy. Reduced quantities of several collagen fragments were present in diabetic individuals, as compared to healthy controls. The urinary proteome was also able to differentiate diabetic patients with those having other chronic kidney diseases. The next stage is to assess whether the urine proteomics identify the subjects who are at risk of diabetic nephropathy and an intervention prevents progression of the disease. Such a study has been started, named Priority in Europe [71].

<p>| Table 5: Renal biopsies and renal pathology in the diabetic population. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>Diabetic features</th>
<th>Glomerulosclerosis</th>
<th>Membranous</th>
<th>FSGS</th>
<th>Minimal change</th>
<th>GN</th>
<th>IgA</th>
<th>AIN/ATN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fiorettoet al. 1996 [47]</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>30% A2 50% A3</td>
<td></td>
<td>35%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wirta et al. 2000 [48]</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td></td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone et al. 2005 [53]</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang et al. 2007 [51]</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>61.5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin et al. 2009 [52]</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mou et al. 2010 [50]</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>47.8%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>37.7%</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang et al. 2011 [49]</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td></td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trajectories of Diabetic Nephropathy

One hundred and sixty one patients with type 1 diabetes and albuminuria were studied at the Joslin Diabetes Centre, measuring eGFR with CKD-Epi formula [72]. The GFR trajectories varied, from stable (less than 3.5 ml/min/1.73 m² per year) in one third of the subjects, to a linear decline in 30%, and non-linear decline in the remaining. The median decline in GFR was -2.9 ml/min/1.73 m² per year (-7.1 to -1.27 ml/min/1.73 m² per year) [72].

Two other studies have shown that the decline in renal function in type 1 diabetes with normoalbuminuria is less frequent and not as fast as with albuminuria [56,73]. However, early decline in GFR occurs with the appearance of microalbuminuria (moderate albuminuria). In the Oxford Regional Prospective study, 102 type 1 diabetics were followed with serum creatinine measurements. GFR decreased by mean of 3.4 ml/min/1.73 m²/year and was associated with a rise in albuminuria [73]. Perkins and Krolewski reported in normoalbuminuric subjects a 9% decline in GFR per year compared to 31% GFR decline per year in those with albuminuria, with a follow-up of 8-12 years of subjects with GFR that was normal at baseline, though the group included cases of hyperfiltration [56].

In type 2 DM, non-diabetic low to moderate levels of albuminuria is often present with a slower rate of decline in renal function than diabetic nephropathy with albuminuria or other non-diabetic glomerular disease [74]. The lower urinary albumin excretion may indicate atherosclerosis or glomerular ischemia associated with endothelial dysfunction. On the other hand, microalbuminuria (A2) that progresses to macroalbuminuria (A3) and then CKD is more consistent with the natural history of diabetic nephropathy.

Non-diabetic glomerular disease is seen among those with diabetes, especially in association with the following clinical features: no retinopathy, microscopic hematuria, or nephrotic-range proteinuria greater than 5 grams per day [75].

Diabetes mellitus type 2 may not follow the natural history noted above due to non-diabetic glomerular diseases contributing to renal function. The mean annual GFR decline noted in 2 studies was 1.3-3 ml/min/1.73 m² for microalbuminuric individuals and 2.8-5.0 ml/min/1.73 m² among those with macroalbuminuria [76,77]. In the Pima Indians, the degree of albuminuria and early decline in renal function i.e. GFR greater than 3.3% per year in the first four years, predict the development of ESRD [78].

GFR decline is occurring both in type 1 and type 2 DM, with increase rate of decline in the presence of albuminuria when compared to normoalbuminuria.

Metabolic Factors

Pathways of pathogenesis of diabetic nephropathy

Several pathways have been identified that initiate and potentiate the progression of diabetic kidney disease. The common pathways are summarized below.

Oxidative stress: mitochondrial ROS is induced by hyperglycemia. Glucose is metabolized through the polyol pathway, leading to a decrease in the amount of NADPH which in turn lowers the availability of glutathione. With less glutathione, reactive oxidative species will further increase intracellularly [79].

PKC: High intracellular glucose levels increase diacylglycerol, which stimulates Protein Kinase C activity [80]. PKC has an important role in increasing extracellular matrix production through the pathway of TGF beta. PKC beta is associated with mesangial expansion and renal hypertrophy [80].

AGE: Advanced glycation end products are compounds produced through non enzymatic glycosylation of certain proteins in the setting of excess glucose. These compounds accumulate in glomerular epithelial cells and changes permeability of the GBM [81]. Further stimulation of cytokines and TGF beta occurs due to activation of the receptor for AGEs. RAGEs also participate in the transformation of tubular cells to myofibroblasts, which induce tubulointerstitial fibrosis [81].

RAAS: Hyperglycemia is associated with elevated Ang II levels. Ang II stimulates certain cytokines, such as TGF beta and VEGF, chemokines, MCP-1 and growth hormones [82]. These substances further exacerbate the tubulointerstitial renal disease.

Glomerular Hemodynamic Factors

Glomerular hyperfiltration is seen more often in type 1 rather than type 2 DM, and occurs with increase extracellular volume, increased glomerular capillary pressures with renovascular vasodilation. Usually renal hypertrophy will coincide with hyperfiltration, which occurs due to hyperglycemia. Hyperglycemia induces systemic hormones to further stimulate the release IGF-1, which is a growth factor [83]. TGF beta is also increased in levels in the setting of hyperglycemia and high Ang II levels. Subsequently, TGF beta stimulates proximal tubule cell growth [83]. Other factors implicated in renal hypertrophy are VEGF, Protein Kinase C and reduction in AMP protein kinase [83].

Not any of these processes are occurring in isolation in diabetes. Therefore, in the future, therapies for diabetic kidney disease will be targeting multiple sites to ameliorate the disease.

Management

Glycemic control: Type 1 DM

In 1993, the DCCT trial was a landmark randomized clinical trial that studied the effects of intensive glycemic control with an external insulin pump compared to two injections a day in the conventional care group [84]. The target HbA1c was 6.05% in the intensive glycemic control group. The study recruited 1441 subjects, and followed them for mean 6.5 years. Microalbuminuria (A2) was reduced by 34% in the intensive group compared to the conventional arm in the primary prevention cohort and by 43% in the secondary prevention cohort [84]. Albuminuria (A3) was reduced by 56% in the intensive group compared to conventional therapy [84].

Combined macrovascular events of cardiovascular and peripheral vascular disease were reduced in the intensive group by 41% but were not found to be statistically significant [84].

Those who were enrolled and completed the DCCT were then followed as a prospective cohort (EDIC) [85]. The combined mean follow up period was 22years. 1222 had serum creatinine values to assess CKD (impaired renal function of eGFR below 60ml/min/1.73 m²) [85]. CKD was reduced by 50% in the intensive group when compared to conventional treatment. The annual decline of eGFR was less in the intensive group compared to the conventional. When CKD and death were combined, the intensive therapy group had a reduction risk of 37% that was statistically significant [85].

Intensive glycemic control lowers development of albuminuria and decline in GFR. There is a “metabolic memory”, the renal and vascular tissues are susceptible to long term effects created by the environment of glycemia early in the disease [86].
Another method to normalize glucose levels in type 1 DM is pancreas transplantation. Fioretto and Mauer biopsied native kidneys in 13 patients who received a pancreas transplantation alone and found at 5 years to have stable lesions of diabetic nephropathy, particularly glomerular basement membrane thickness and mesangial matrix [87]. But when these individuals were biopsied at 10 years post pancreas transplant, these lesions had regressed. GBM and TBM thickness normalized and total mesangial matrix volume decreased at 10 years [87]. At the same time, the tubulointerstitial fibrotic changes seen at 5 years, and attributed to cyclosporine therapy, had improved by 10 years.

It is hypothesized that the metabolic memory from the elevated glucose levels prior to transplant must take a long time to recognize a normoglycemic environment [87].

Patients must be chosen appropriately for pancreas transplantation alone because 2 small studies have shown worsening renal function post transplant [88,89]. One found age, gender, preoperative GFR and duration of diabetes factors associated with decline in renal function [88]. Many perioperative factors can facilitate renal function decline, such as medications, AKI, infections and volume management [88].

**Glycemic control: Type 2 DM**

UKPDS study showed more intensive blood glucose control with median HbA1c of 7.4% compared to the liberal control of 8.0% reduced the relative risk of developing microalbuminuria or worsening of albuminuria by 33% [60,90]. The relative risk of all microvascular complications was lowered by 25% as well.

In the ACCORD study 10251 type 2 diabetes mellitus subjects were randomized to HbA1c of 6.4% (intensive control) versus 7.5% (standard control) [61,91]. The study terminated early at 3.6 years due to higher mortality rates in the intensive control group, HR 1.22 (95% CI 1.01-1.46). The intensive control subjects had more episodes of significant hypoglycemia and weight gain. After 5 years, the data published shows a 25% reduction in incident microalbuminuria, consistent with the findings of ADVANCE and VADT. The increase risk of mortality with intensive therapy was postulated to be due to several possibilities, including the increased use of medications of different classes (1-2 medications with insulin), higher use of insulin and possible weight gain as a result of insulin to lower Hba1c and/or the drug combinations/interactions were contributing to this difference [91].

In ADVANCE, tight glycemic control, median 6.5% versus standard of 7.3%, resulted in a 21% reduction in new and worsening nephropathy [92].

VADT also followed over 500 subjects with type 2 diabetes and found intensive glycemic control (6.9%) versus 8.4% [93]. No difference in macrovascular outcome was found. A minor benefit in worsening of albuminuria was noted in the intensive glycemic control group.

These three large RCTs looking at cardiovascular mortality and intense glycemic therapy did not show any benefit and possibly harm. Although about a 20% reduction in albuminuria occurs with intense glycemic control, this was counterbalanced by an increased risk of serious hypoglycemia and possibly higher mortality. Therefore intensive control should be offered to a select group that senses hypoglycemic events and has minimal cardiovascular risk.

Several guidelines suggest targeting a HbA1c about 7% in both type 1 and type 2 diabetes, but that physicians should tailor glycemic control to each individual patient [7,94]. Canadian Diabetes Association recommends aiming for a HbA1c below 7% in type 2 DM that have minimal hypoglycemic events, and higher than 7% in those with significant burden of comorbidity or decreased in life expectancy.

**Primary Prevention**

**Type 1 DM**

**Normotensive:** Mauer et al. published a landmark study assessing the effects of ACE inhibitor, ARB and placebo on the progression of renal disease in type 1 DM among 256 subjects, with renal biopsy data [95]. Interestingly, no benefit was found by ACE inhibitor or ARB treatment in the progression of diabetic nephropathy. The DIRECT investigators pooled their studies looking at the effects of candesartan on the retinopathy development and progression [96]. They found that new onset microalbuminuria was not decreased with ARB treatment compared to placebo. The study population had low cardiovascular risk as they had normal BP or controlled hypertension. Therefore, it is not recommended to treat type 1 DM with ACE inhibition or ARB if normotensive with normoalbuminuria.

Once any albuminuria develops, ACE inhibitors can decrease urinary albumin. Bilous et al. [96] presented a meta-analysis of 12 studies to answer whether type 1 DM with microalbuminuria and normotension should receive ACE inhibitors [97]. Interestingly, 5 out of 12 studies included what would now be considered hypertensive type 1 DM subjects. Regardless, they showed a 62% decrease in progression in nephropathy and a three-fold greater risk of regression to normal or low albuminuria with use of ACE inhibitor therapy [97]. Therefore, ACE inhibitors should be prescribed to diabetics with evidence of microvascular disease (ie. albuminuria).

**Hypertension:** Prior to the availability of ACE inhibitors, antihypertensive therapy decreased albuminuria by 50% and slowed the rate of decline of GFR [98,99]. Medications used to show this benefit were metoprolol, hydralazine, furosemide, and spironolactone. Mean BP achieved was 120-140/80-90 mmHg in these studies [98,99].

In 1993, Lewis et al. published the RCT that compared captopril to placebo in type 1 diabetics with albuminuria and CKD [100]. The captopril arm showed favorable results with respect to albuminuria reduction, less doubling of serum creatinine, decrease in creatinine clearance decline rate and the combined end-points of dialysis, transplantation and death. However some of the benefit seen could have been due to the 2/4 mmHg BP difference in the two groups [100].

Therefore, as supported by KDIGO, hypertension with albuminuria or CKD, ACE inhibition is the first choice, with ARBs a possible alternative if intolerant to ACE inhibitors. If BP above 130/80 mmHg with normoalbuminuria and normal renal function, then choices include ACE inhibitor/ARB, CCBs and thiazides (alphabetical order) [7].

**Type 2 primary prevention**

Two studies have studied interventions to prevent microalbuminuria in normoalbuminuric patients with diabetes. The BENEDICT trial, a multicentre double blind randomized study, followed 1204 subjects in four groups, trandolapril plus verapamil, trandolapril alone, verapamil alone and placebo [101]. After 4 years of follow-up, the placebo group had a higher rate of progression to microalbuminuria than the trandolapril plus verapamil group [101]. Similarly, the ROADMAP study, assessed the effect of olmesartan on the rate of development of microalbuminuria in normoalbuminuric type 2 diabetics [102]. Time to first onset of microalbuminuria was increased in the olmesartan
group compared to placebo by 23%, with a hazard ratio of 0.77 (0.63-0.94), which reached statistical significance. There was increased risk of mortality detected in the olmesartan group which could be attributed to by chance due to the low number of events. There was a tendency towards more events in the quartile with lowest BP and the quartile with the highest BP change, i.e. decrease in subjects who had pre-existing cardiac disease. The aggressive BP target may be the reason for the increased mortality noted in the subjects with known cardiac disease.

For now, in normotensive type 2 diabetic with normoalbuminuria it is not recommended to start an ACE inhibitor or ARB.

**BP Target for CKD with Normal Albuminuria**

In the UKPDS trial, 1148 individuals with type 2 DM were randomized to a target blood pressure of 150/85 mmHg or below versus less tight control (less than 180/105 mmHg) using atenolol or captopril [103]. Mean follow-up for the original study was 8.4 years. Risk of progressive diabetic nephropathy was included in the microvascular complications, which were reduced by 37% with “tight” blood pressure control.

HOT studied 18790 subjects, with 8% diabetes to evaluate the association of cardiovascular events and diastolic blood pressure, randomized to 90, 85, or 80 mmHg or less [104]. Among the diabetics, there was a 51% reduction of cardiovascular events in the 80 compared to 90 mmHg group. Albuminuria information was not available.

ABCD were 2 randomized clinical trials that assessed 950 type 2 DM normotensive and hypertensive subjects with respect to intensive and standard blood pressure control [105]. The hypertensive group were divided to target 75 mmHg or between 80-90 mmHg of diastolic BP. The normotensive group were divided to target a 10 mmHg decrease in BP in the intensive group and no change in the control group. The intensive group did not show any improvement in kidney or cardiovascular outcomes. This may be due to the effect of nisoldipine, which was associated with increased cardiac events. However, a significant decrease in mortality was noted in the intensive BP group (5.5 vs. 10.7% p=0.037) [105].

In the normotensive groups, the intensive control group showed decrease in albuminuria progression, retinopathy progression and stroke events.

The ACCORD study randomized 4687 type 2 diabetics with hypertension to systolic BP goal of less than 120 mmHg versus less than 140 mmHg [106]. No benefit in cardiovascular disease events was found with the intensive group, except for a lower risk of stroke.

The ADVANCE trial examined 11,140 type 2 diabetic patients over the age of 55 and showed that intensive blood pressure control (below 135/80 mmHg) with fixed dose perindopril and thiazide had a risk reduction of 21% for all renal events, defined as new-onset albuminuria and macroalbuminuria [107]. The study achieved a 5.6 mmHg difference in systolic and 2.2 mmHg difference in diastolic blood pressures over the 4.3 years. Combined macrovascular and microvascular events decreased by 9% with more intensive BP control. On secondary analyses, lower BP less than 120/70 mmHg had lower risk of diabetic nephropathy progression [108].

MRIFT was a large observational study that followed 347,978 men for 12 years [109]. The cohort included 5,163 diabetics. When baseline BP was divided into quintiles, the highest quintile was associated with highest risk of ESRD. In the subgroup of diabetics, the risk of cardiovascular disease was strongly associated with BP.

In another observational study, 11,912 men were followed for 15 years and confirmed the association between high pre-treatment hypertension (165-180 mmHg systolic and >180 systolic mmHg) and increased risk of ESRD [110]. This study included 901 diabetics. Similar results were found by the Okinawan study, a large cohort of men and women who were followed for 17 years and described a significant association between baseline BP and ESRD risk [111].

For type 1 diabetes, 10 year observational cohorts of 589 subjects were studied for predictors of mortality and cardiovascular events [112]. With reference of <110 systolic BP, 120-129 mmHg and >130 mmHg had a RR of 3.0 and 7.2 for mortality and 2.5 and 6.0 for cardiovascular events, respectively. A diastolic BP 90 mmHg or greater was most significantly associated with mortality and cardiovascular events.

Post hoc analyses of the IDNT revealed that BP less than 120/85 mmHg was associated with higher risk of fatal cardiovascular and congestive heart failure events but a lower risk of stroke [113]. Blood pressure approaching 120/85 mmHg was associated with decreased fatal cardiovascular and congestive heart failure events. The safety of targeting BP below 120/85 mmHg is questionable with these results.

Similarly post hoc analyses of the ONTARGET study showed that BP <130/80 mmHg among the diabetic patients in the study resulted in a J-shaped curve response to cardiovascular events and cardiovascular mortality [114]. Essentially a systolic BP less than 130 mmHg had a risk of increased events in the subgroup compared to 130-140 mmHg. The subjects who had an event with systolic BP below 130 mmHg were more likely to have pre-existing vascular disease [114].

In the subgroup analyses of INVEST, looking at hypertensive diabetics and intensity of BP control found a significant increase in mortality and combined outcome of death, stroke and MI if the systolic BP was greater than 140 mmHg [115]. There was no difference between usual care (130-140 mmHg) and intense BP control (<130 mmHg).

From these studies, there is strong evidence to lower BP below 140/90 mmHg. Below 130/80 mmHg has brought up some concerns, especially the subgroup of diabetics who may have glomerular nephrosclerosis and other vascular disease. These individuals could be at risk of cardiovascular mortality as per the post ad hoc analyses. Thus, KDIGO recommended BP target of less than 140/90 mmHg in adult with DM, CKD and normoalbuminuria [7]. A separate recommendation has been given for BP goal of <130/80 mmHg in adult with DM, CKD and albuminuria [7].

**RAAS Blockade**

The landmark studies in type 2 DM for RAAS blockade were the RENAAL and IDNT trials that both used ARBs [116,117]. RENAAL showed losartan therapy compared to placebo led to a reduction in the doubling of serum creatinine by 25% and ESRD by 28%. Similarly in IDNT among type 2 DM with nephropathy, irbesartan was able to lower doubling of serum creatinine by 33% and ESRD by 23% in the 2.6 years of follow-up. Further analyses of IDNT showed that lowering proteinuria lowered the risk of kidney failure proportionally [118]. The repeat analyses were done to further justify irbesartan effect on lowering proteinuria, which in turn lowers the risk of ESRD, not related to the blood pressure.

An early reduction in albuminuria with angiotensin blocking agents attenuates the decline in GFR [119]. The larger the decline in albuminuria using irbesartan resulted in a slower decline in estimated
GFR [119]. Those who exhibited more than 50% reduction in their albuminuria, had a rate of decline of 1 ml/min/1.73 m² compared to those who had a rise of more than 34%, who had more than a 2 ml/min/1.73 m² decline in GFR.

Other studies of significance with respect to cardiovascular mortality include the following.

Steno Diabetes Centre enrolled 160 patients with type 2 DM and randomized them to intensive multifactorial intervention, including lifestyle modification, smoking cessation, physical activity, treatment of dyslipidemia, glycemic control and blood pressure management or conventional care [120]. There was an increase proportion of patients on ACE inhibitor or ARB at the end of the study. After 7.8 years of treatment, they found a strong association between intensive group and decreased cardiovascular mortality (HR 0.43; 95% CI, 0.19 to 0.94) and cardiovascular events (HR 0.41; 95% CI, 0.25 to 0.67) [121].

The LIFE study was designed to assess the difference between losartan and beta blockade in the development of cardiovascular outcomes in patients with LVH and hypertension [122]. In subgroup analyses of the diabetics in the population (1063), increasing albuminuria was associated with increased cardiovascular events [123]. Reduction in albuminuria occurred more with losartan than atenolol groups. Losartan was protective against cardiovascular events.

Low dose ramipril was not found to be effective in preventing renal or cardiovascular outcomes in a randomized trial of over 4000 subjects with type 2 DM [124].

In a randomized controlled study of 94 subjects with type 2 DM, normotensive with albuminuria were treated with ACE inhibitor or placebo. There was an absolute risk reduction of nephropathy of 42% from the treatment [125]. Similar results were noted with telmisartan in a RCT which enrolled 527 subjects with type 2 DM and microalbuminuria [126]. The progression to severe albuminuria was decreased in a dose response manner, since there were three treatment arms, 80mg or 40mg of telmisartan and placebo.

There are many studies that show that ARBs and ACE inhibitors decrease albuminuria [125,127-129]. The reduction in albuminuria ranges varies 15% to 50%, depending on the dose of the agent and duration of treatment. Higher doses and longer duration is associated with largest reduction.

Individual ACE inhibitor or ARB doses can be maximized to lower proteinuria, irrespective of blood pressure lowering; however, the long-term effects of a high dose monotherapy strategy is not known [130,131]. Increasing the dose of irbesartan from 300 mg to 900 mg daily, resulted an additional reduction in urine albumin excretion of 15% [130]. There was also a further decline among the high dose irbesartan group in the systolic and diastolic BP. High dose valsartan at 640 mg daily increased the regression to normoalbuminuria by double compared to 320mg dose in type 2 DM with moderate albuminuria [131]. One should only consider this approach if the serum potassium levels are monitored regularly and remain in safe levels.

**ACE inhibition vs. ARB**

ACE inhibitors are first choice for type 1 DM with hypertension or albuminuria because of the DCCT trial [100] showing captopril to reduce renal outcomes and combined dialysis, transplantation and death compared to the control group. In type 2DM, IDNT [117] and RENAAL [116] have shown significant reduction in renal outcomes alone and combined renal and mortality outcomes. The two classes are able to reduce albuminuria and renal outcomes comparably. In a 5 year randomized control trial comparing telmisartan to enalapril use in type 2 DM subjects with albuminuria, these medications were equivalent in GFR decline and ESRD events. There was a low rate of mortality and similar in both groups [132].

Systematic review and meta-analysis was completed by Strippoli et al. to compare ACE inhibitors trials and ARB trials with respect to renal outcomes and mortality [133]. The two were comparable when they were compared to placebo groups in reduction of risk of ESRD, reduce progression from moderate to severe albuminuria, and doubling of serum creatinine. However, ACE inhibitors were associated with lower overall mortality whereas ARBs were not [133].

For now we do assume they are equivalent, but one should consider ace inhibition first in type 1 DM and ARB in type 2 DM.

**Dual angiotensin II blockade**

If RAAs blockade is protective with one agent, then more than one agent should lower proteinuria even further and improve outcomes. Several studies have tested this paradigm. As anticipated, the CALM study showed that a further decline in proteinuria with combination ACE inhibitor (lisinopril) and ARB ( candesartan) by 50% [134]. Yet among those with microalbuminuria, combination therapy did not lower the albuminuria more than the lisinopril group alone [134].

Even if reduction in proteinuria occurs, it may not lead to better outcome, especially with those individuals with known higher risk of cardiovascular disease. ONTARGET randomized over 25,000 subjects with high cardiovascular risk including diabetic patients in three groups: ramipril, telmisartan or both [135]. The combined therapy was associated with higher risk of hypotension and worsening renal outcomes. Moreover, there was no macrovascular outcome benefit [135]. A recent meta-analysis supports the same result that combination therapy is associated with a higher risk of worsening renal failure, more events of hypotension and hyperkalemia [136].

Two reviews have been published on this topic. Makani et al. published a meta-analysis of all trials that had studied ACE inhibitor an ARB combination [136]. In the 33 randomized studies reviewed, no benefit was noted with all-cause mortality, or mortality in CHF [136]. However an increased risk of 41% in renal failure and 55% increased risk of hyperkalemia [136]. Maione et al. carried out a systematic review of 35 studies, and found that ACE inhibitors compared to placebo had a significant reduction in nonfatal cardiovascular events (RR 0.88, 95% CI 0.82-0.94) which were not found with ARB compared to placebo or combination therapy of ARB and ACE inhibition [137]. Combination therapy did not show any benefit in mortality but increased risk of hyperkalemia and hypotension were noted.

Combination therapy may have a role in those individuals who have severe albuminuria. Further studies would be needed to answer this question in macroalbumuric type 2 diabetes populations. Two large studies LIRICO [138] and VA NEPHRON-D [139] will address the effects of combination ACE inhibitor and ARB on renal outcomes.

As of now, dual therapy is not recommended due to lack of evidence showing benefit and there is now evidence that it may cause harm.

**Direct Renin Inhibitors**

Since RAAs has been implicated in potentiating tubulointerstitial fibrosis in kidney disease, inhibition of renin would decrease angiotensin II levels further [140]. Aliskiren, a direct renin inhibitor,
has been found to be effective in lowering BP and lower proteinuria [141,142]. However the ALTITUDE study to combine ARB and Aliskiren, resulted in early termination of the study to due higher risk of cerebrovascular events [143]. The combination therapy of ARB or ACE inhibition and Aliskiren is not deemed to be safe.

**Aldosterone Antagonists**

Aldosterone is a profibrotic agent for myocardium and kidneys [144]. This hormone is able to activate NADPH oxidase which increases oxidative species in the mesangial cells [145]. Through the activation nuclear factor kB, it potentiate the production of proinflammatory and profibrotic agents such CTGF and TGF beta [144]. To prevent further progression of diabetic nephropathy, aldosterone antagonists have been used to lower proteinuria [146]. In combination with ARB and ACE inhibitors, further proteinuria reduction with aldosterone antagonists has been documented [147]. Spirolonolactone and eplerenone may show better long term results since the cardiovascular literature has found these medications effective in lowering risk of cardiovascular mortality in high risk congestive heart failure populations [148,149]. Aldosterone antagonists are associated with more episodes of hyperkalemia and serum creatinine rise [150]. It is best to avoid use of this class if the serum potassium is above 4.5 mmol/l, especially with evidence of CHF [151]. Large RCT studies still need to address the long term renal and cardiac outcomes.

**Calcium channel blockers**

Among type 2 DM patients with macroalbuminuria, non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers, i.e. diltiazem and verapamil have shown to significantly reduce proteinuria [152]. Bakris et al. compared ACE inhibitors, non-dihydropyridines and beta blockers on proteinuria reduction [152]. The results were similar for the lisinopril or non dihydropyridine groups. However, atenolol did not reduce proteinuria despite equivalent blood pressure reduction. This was also confirmed in a systematic review [153].

When sustained release verapamil was added totrandolapril and compared to a beta blocker, diuretic plus tandolapril arm in the INVEST study [154], no difference in the composite outcome of mortality and cardiovascular events was noted with a two-year follow up.

ASCOT BLA was another study of over 19,000 subjects randomized to combined ACE inhibitor and calcium channel blocker (amlodipine) and the group with beta-blocker and diuretic regimen in a high risk hypertensive population [155]. Amlodipine plus perindopril showed significant risk reduction in cardiovascular endpoints and new onset diabetes. The study was terminated earlier due to the beneficial results of amlodipine plus perindopril [155].

The subgroup with diabetes in ASCOT, 5137 subjects, the benefit of the amlodipine based regimen persisted with a reduction in cardiac events and procedures by 14%, which was statistically significant [156].

In another large hypertensive trial (ACCOMPLISH), about 60% of their enrollment of 11506 were diabetic [157]. The investigators randomized the subjects into two groups, benazapril plus amlodipine and benazapril plus thiazide. The mean follow up was 3 years. In this study, benazapril plus amlodipine arm showed a relative risk reduction of 19.6% in the composite outcome of cardiovascular mortality, MI, stroke, cardiac hospitalizations and coronary artery procedures [157].

For reduction in albuminuria, addition of a non dihydropyridine can be considered. However for cardiovascular risk management, ACE inhibitor combined with amlodipine as the second line therapy over beta blockers and thiazides should be considered. KDIGO will be reviewing the medications used in treatment of hypertension in the near future.

**Beta blockers**

Tighter control of blood pressure was achieved with captopril and atenolol in the UKPDS trial and both drugs were equally as effective in lowering risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes [158].

The ACCOMPLISH trial studied high risk cardiovascular hypertensive patients including diabetes mellitus cases and found benazapril and amlodipine combination lowered risk of CKD progression, as compared to benzaepril and thiazides [157]. Of note, the majority of the patients had microalbuminuria or microalbuminuria, again suggesting more nephrosclerosis rather than diabetic nephropathy.

With the results of ASCOT [155] and INVEST [154], beta blockers should not be the first choice in uncomplicated hypertension management. Definitely, if the patient has previous history of MI, angina, CHF or arrhythmias, then beta blockade is preferred.

Betablockers should not be combined with nondihydropyridine calcium channel blockers due to increased risk of bradycardia [7].

**Diuretics**

Since the purpose with the diuretics is to induce naturiesis, in order to help out especially in cases with fluid overload. The combination of an ACE inhibitor or ARB with thiazides, allow better control of hyperkalemia. Hydrochlorothiazide, chlorothalidone and indapamide are diuretics used to treat BP and fluid overload states [159]. Hydrochlorothiazides can induce metabolic derangements, hyperuricemia, hyperlipidemia and hyperglycemia, thus should be avoided in metabolic syndrome [160].

**Dyslipidemia**

Several studies have shown that statins lower proteinuria in animal models and clinical trials [161,162]. However, no conclusive studies have shown prevention or delay of the progression of renal disease in diabetes. The SHARP trial is the only one to show a benefit in a composite outcome with statin and ezetimibe in CKD and dialysis patients, which included individuals with diabetes mellitus [163]. Time to dialysis was no difference between the two groups among the CKD subgroups. With the intense lipid therapy studied by ACCORD lipid trial, where 5518 subjects with type 2 DM were randomized to fenofibrate or placebo in combination with simvastatin. The study did not show any reduction in progression of diabetic nephropathy [164].

Other studies conducted in CKD populations such as the 4D [165] and Fellström et al. [166] have been negative with respect to prevention of mortality. Possible reasons for lack of benefit shown with these studies were small sample size, low dose of statin (4D), prevalent patient on dialysis and duration of follow up. However in the subgroup of diabetes in Aurora, cardiovascular events were decreased with statin therapy [167].

To lower cardiovascular risk, there are several large RCTs that have addressed this question in the general populations. CARDS is a UK study that randomized 2838 type 2 DM subjects for primary prevention to atorvastatin 10mg daily and placebo groups [168]. Study
was terminated early due to a significant positive result of the treatment arm, rate reduction 37% [95% CI -52 to -17], p=0.001 [167].

An interesting subgroup analysis was published from the Treat to New Target study, which enrolled 9656 subjects with renal data [169]. The groups were divided into CKD vs. non-CKD populations, and DM represented 18% and 13% respectively. The original study randomized subjects to atorvastatin 10 mg daily or 80 mg daily [169]. In CKD subjects, the higher dose of atorvastatin reduced cardiovascular events by 32% and in the non CKD group by 15%. Higher doses in CKD can cause rhabdomyolysis and myopathy [169].

The CTT investigators reported a meta analysis studying over 18000 patient with type 2 DM and their risk of mortality and vascular events [170]. All-cause mortality had a proportional reduction by 9% in the treatment group and the proportional reduction of vascular events by 21%, both statistically significant [170].

Given the high risk of cardiovascular disease in DM, especially with CKD and albuminuria, statins are recommended at low dose and increase as tolerated to aim for LDL < or equal to 2.0 mmol/l [7].

Agents with Negative Results or Potential Harm

Thiazolidone/PPAR gamma agents lower serum glucose through improving insulin sensitivity [171]. Pioglitazone in particular has been shown to lower the proinflammatry transforming growth factor-beta 1 levels in the urine [171]. In short term clinical studies and in animal models, these drugs decrease proteinuria [172,173].

Due to higher cardiovascular complications, these agents have fallen out of favour [174]. Pioglitazone is the one available due no increased risk of cardiac mortality, however, it is known to cause fluid retention, and an increased risk of bladder cancer has been documented [174]. Other ligands for nuclear factor receptor are being investigated, including dual PPAR gamma and alpha along with partial PPAR gamma agents [175]. For now the use of these drugs should be used with caution until their adverse risk profiles are better understood.

Endothelin antagonists

Endothelin 1 through endothelin A receptors has an important role in vasoconstriction and regulation of the immune system [176]. In diabetic nephropathy, endothelin 1 levels are increased and contribute to proteinuria and glomerulosclerosis [177-179]. The ASCEND trial studied the effects of endothelin A receptor antagonist in the type 2 DM population and found increased cardiac deaths, higher fluid retention and congestive failure despite a reduction in the albumin creatinine ratios [180]. One of the possibilities put forth were that the endothelin B receptors were also inhibited at high levels of Avosentan [180]. It is unknown whether a more specific endothelin receptor inhibitor will have less cardiac adverse effects.

Bardoxolone methyl

Bardoxolone is an antioxidant with anti-inflammatory activity that works through the KEAP1-Nrf2 pathway [181]. Early studies seemed promising in improving GFR but the BEACON Trial was terminated early due to increase adverse events and mortality noted in the Bardoxolone arm [182]. Since the results have not been published with details, it is unclear if this class will have further to offer in the delay of progression of diabetic nephropathy. One plausible explanation for the negative outcomes is that the increase in GFR and increase in albuminuria was a sign of worsening hyperfiltration of diabetic nephropathy [183].

AGE inhibitors

Advanced Glycation End products (AGE) are by products of hyperglycemia induced metabolic state [184]. At the kidneys, ACE compounds promote mesangial expansion, induce TGF Beta overexpression in podocytes and increase GBM permeability [185]. Receptors for AGE have an important role to bind to AGE allow removal of these substances.

Areas to target in order to inhibit AGE activity are preventing the formation of AGE, inhibiting AGE cross linkage, and interaction with the AGE receptor [181].

Aminoguanidine (Pimagedine), an AGE inhibitor, was tested in a randomized control trial for type 2 diabetes mellitus but was terminated due to other significant adverse events, such as glomerulonephritis and lupus like reactions [186]. Pyridoxine, another AGE inhibitor, was studied as a randomized control trial but there was no significant improvement in renal function or albuminuria [187]. A B6-derivative, pyridoxal-5 phosphate is being studied in a randomized control trial in type 2 diabetics [181].

Vitamin E and haptoglobins

Haptoglobin is a hemoglobin binding protein [188]. Haptoglobin binds to hemoglobin, to make a complex that is recognized by CD163 receptor on macrophages from the liver. There are 2 alleles of haptoglobin, which results in three genotypes: Hp1 Hp1, Hp2 Hp2, Hp2 Hp1 [188]. Hp can bind to APOA1 on HDL alone or with hemoglobin. Normally Hp blocks the oxidation of LDL by hemoglobin, but in diabetics increased LDL oxidation occurs through haptoglobin [188].

Diabetic with Hp2Hp2 phenotype have a higher cardiac event rates compared to the other phenotypes [189]. Vit E has been used to decrease the LDL oxidation in this phenotype [189]. ICare Study randomized 1434 subjects to Vit E or placebo and followed them for 18 months [190]. A 50% reduction in the primary composite outcome, MI, stroke and cardiovascular death, was reported. There is even a further benefit when statins were combined with Vit E in this group when subanalyses of the ICARE study included 801 patients and found a 68% reduction in the primary outcome [190].

This is example of why certain therapies may fail if the underlying genetic information is not known.

Some Potential Therapies for Diabetic Nephropathy

Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors /GLP-1 mimetic incretins are hormones released in the gastrointestinal tract in response to a glucose load [191]. They stimulate pancreatic insulin secretion and inhibit glucagon secretion. GLP1 (glucagon-like peptide- 1) and GIP (gastric inhibitory peptid) are incretins that are broken down by DDP IV [192,193]. GLP-1 mimetics such as Exenatide as an incretin receptor agonist to prevent degradation [191]. There are two classes in this group: DDP IV inhibitors which prevent the breakdown of incretins and GLP-1 mimetic which works as an incretin receptor agonist to prevent degradation [191].

DDP IV inhibitors have shown to reduce albuminuria in humans and in animal models [192,193]. GLP-1 mimetics such as Exenatide and Liraglutide decrease glomerular injury in diabetic animal models [194,195]. Long term effects in humans have yet to be determined.

Sodium-coupled glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors

Another class of anti-glycemic agents is the sodium-coupled
glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors. Glucose reabsorption occurs in the proximal tubules using a secondary active cotransporter, coupled with sodium [196]. The majority of the glucose 90% is reabsorbed through the SGLT2, mostly found in renal and intestine. A phlorizin derivative is able to inhibit the activity of this cotransporter and result in glucosuria. Initial studies have shown these agents are able to lower serum glucose, lower weight, and lower blood pressure [197]. However this may come with the risk of increased urinary tract infections, genitourinary infections and volume depletion from osmotic diuresis. This class of medications lower GFR acutely, then increases after weeks, but remains below baseline. Albuminuria also decreases, but it is unclear whether this decline is due to a GFR decline or a response to better glycemic control [198].

**Connective Tissue Growth Factor (CTGF)**

CTGF increases the activity of profibrotic cytokines such as TGF beta and promotes fibrosis [199]. The high expression in podocytes is reported with increased activity in hyperglycemia [200]. FG-3019, a human monoclonal antibody to CTGF has shown to reduce proteinuria in type 2 DM subjects [201]. Larger randomized trials are awaited.

**Vitamin D**

In the proximal tubules, the 1- hydroxylase converts 25OH vitamin D to 1, 25 OH vitamin D (cholerical). In animal models, vitamin D receptor activation resulted in lower albuminuria and less severe glomerulosclerosis [202]. Vitamin D is also been shown to have an inhibitory effect on the RAAS [203]. 1, 25 OH Vit D directly suppresses plasma renin expression and activity [203]. In humans, 25OH Vit D deficiency and insufficiency was associated with higher Ang II and renin levels compared to sufficient Vit D 25OH individuals [204]. Vit D also has anti-inflammatory effects by decreasing proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF and IL6, and promotes anti-inflammatory cytokines like IL10 [204]. Endothelial dysfunction has been reported in type 2 DM with Vit D deficiency [205,206]. Also, severe 25 OH Vit D deficiency has been associated with increased cardiovascular mortality in an observational study [207].

Thus the VITAL study was carried out, randomized 281 subjects to type 2 DM with low Vit D levels and replaced one group with 10,000 units per week, another with 20000 units per week and third with placebo [208]. The study only was followed for 16 weeks, but a significant decrease in BP and decrease in BNP levels were noted.

Taevert’s histopathology scoring system is advantageous especially if you apply the scores for the tubulointerstitial disease. Albuminuria appearance, response to therapy, and baseline GFR determine the rate of decline in renal function. Angiotensin blockade is warranted, but dual angiotensin blockade for overt proteinuria should not be used due to safety concerns. Introducing an aldosterone antagonist is a potential approach to improve renal outcomes, but monitoring for hyperkalemia is essential. Recent large clinical trials such as ROADMAP, ADVANCE and ACCORD have challenged the targets for BP control in type 2 DM. Aiming below 120/85 mmHg is not universally appropriate. A glycated hemoglobin of 7% is supported by the ACCORD trial, with serious hypoglycemic events noted with more intensive therapy. The performance of newer therapies such as endothelin 1 antagonists and Bardoxolone has been disappointing, but other possible therapeutic agents remain to be evaluated.
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