

# Qualitative and Quantitative Interpretation of Hand-digitized Aeromagnetic Data from Idah

Robert Bassey Umera<sup>1\*</sup>, Odong Peter<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Arthur Jarvis University, Ikot Effanga, Akpabuyo, Calabar, Nigeria; <sup>2</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, Arthur Jarvis University, Ikot Effanga, Akpabuyo, Calabar, Nigeria

## ABSTRACT

Qualitative and Quantitative interpretation of hand-digitized aeromagnetic data from Idah has been carried out by applying forward and inverse modeling technique. Qualitatively, wavelength and amplitudes of source bodies reveal lithologic contrast, basement structure and sedimentary magnetization contrast. The maximum depth to top of the magnetic source body obtained is 16.8 m and minimum depth is 0.5 m. The anomalous bodies' total magnetic intensity ranges from a minimum negative peak value of -153.5 nT to maximum value of 162.7 nT. Susceptibility values obtained reveals the presence of rocks such as granite, diabase, olivine-diabase, basalt/gabbro, quartzite and diorite. Results obtained shows that hand-digitized data competes favorably with computer digitized data.

**Keywords:** Basement complex; Anomaly; Rock units; Granite; Diabase; Olivine-diabase; Basalt/gabbro; Quartzite; Diorite; Suprabasement; Magnetic; Modeling; Susceptibility; Basic igneous rocks

## INTRODUCTION

Magnetometer is a potential field method of geophysical survey whose basic task in prospection geophysics is to differentiate the subsurface according to its magnetic properties. Its operative physical property is magnetic susceptibility. Magnetic survey carried out on air is normally termed aeromagnetic survey. It is a common type of geophysical survey that employs the use of a magnetometer toed behind an aircraft. The principle of the survey is similar to that carried out on land (ground magnetic survey) except that it allows coverage of larger area for regional reconnaissance. The end result being an aeromagnetic map, nowadays digitized using computer tools, upon which interpretation is made. The main use of any aeromagnetic data and their derivative maps in mineral prospecting is to make geological deduction from them Dobrin and Savit. This article however looks at the interpretation of hand digitized aeromagnetic map with a view of showing its effectiveness in interpreting aeromagnetic data [1].

Qualitative and Quantitative interpretation of hand-digitized aeromagnetic data from Idah has been carried out by applying forward and inverse modeling technique. Qualitatively, wavelength and amplitudes of source bodies reveal lithologic contrast, basement structure and sedimentary magnetization contrast. The maximum depth to top of the magnetic source body obtained is 16.8 m and minimum depth is 0.5 m. The anomalous bodies' total

magnetic intensity ranges from a minimum negative peak value of -153.5 nT to maximum value of 162.7 nT. Susceptibility values obtained reveals the presence of rocks such as granite, diabase, olivine-diabase, basalt/gabbro, quartzite and diorite. Results obtained shows that hand-digitized data competes favorably with computer digitized data.

The study area was chosen based on the anomalies observed on aeromagnetic contour map of Ilesha. The geology of Ilesha has been discussed in detail. It consists of Precambrian rocks which forms the basement complex. The major rocks associated with the area form part of the Proterozoic schist belts in Nigeria as shown in Quartz-schist, quartzite, amphibolite's, granite-gneiss, amphibolite's schist and migmatite-gneiss complex are the major rocks in Ilesha. Other minor rocks according to Kayode, Folami and Rahaman are garnet, quartz chlorite bodies and dolerite's.

## The study area

Idah is a town in Kogi state, Nigeria, which lies between latitude 7000, N and 7030, N and longitude 6.00 E and 6.30 E. Geologically, the study area (Figure 1) is located partly in Anambra basin and partly within the basement complex of South Western Nigeria. The geology of Nigeria is dominated by crystalline and sedimentary rocks both occurring approximately in equal proportions [2-5].

**Correspondence to:** Robert Bassey Umera, Department of Physics, Arthur Jarvis University Ikot Effanga, Akpabuyo, Calabar, Nigeria, Tel:+22707039884389;E-mail:robertumera@gmail.com

**Received:** March 1, 2021, **Accepted:** March 15, 2021, **Published:** March 22, 2021

**Citation:** Umera RB, Peter O (2021) Qualitative and Quantitative Interpretation of Hand-digitized Aeromagnetic Data from Idah. J Geol Geophys. S2:002.

**Copyright:** © 2021 Umera RB, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.




Figure 1: Geology map of the study area.

The crystalline rocks are made up of Precambrian basement complex and the phenerozoic rocks which occur in the eastern region of the country and the north central part of Nigeria. The Precambrian basement rocks in Nigeria consist of the migmatite, gneissic-quartzite complex dated archean to early proterozoic (2700-2000 ma). Other units include the NE-SW trending schist belts mostly developed in the western half of the country and the grantoid plutons of the older granite suit dated late proterozoic to early phanerozoic (750- n 450 Ma). Kogi, reported that the eastern flank of the state is on the alluvium (youngest and most recent sedimentary rocks) and other sedimentary rocks which form part of cretaceous to recent sediments of Nigeria. The crystalline complex contain economic minerals such as Iron-Ore, gem-stone, quartz, feldspar and other associated minerals while the pan Africa granite/older granite contains cassiterite, tantalite, columbite, gemstones and other associated minerals.

Some researchers have carried out interpretation of aeromagnetic data using one or more combined methods including forward and inverse modeling. This article seeks to show the effectiveness of hand-digitized data in aeromagnetic data interpretation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Aeromagnetic survey in Nigeria is mostly conducted by the Nigeria Geological Survey Agency (NGSA), the end result being a sheet of aeromagnetic map for the surveyed area and or computer digitized magnetic data for the surveyed area. Idah sheet (267) was

surveyed at a flight line spacing of 500 m and terrain clearance of 80 m. Magnetic instrument used include air plane, toed magnetometer, recording altimeters, magnetometer stinger and digital data acquisition system, track recovery system, magnetic compensation unit and Doppler navigation system. IGRF was applied to remove geomagnetic gradient from the data [6].

The map was hand-digitized using a clearly calibrated ruler, HB pencil and white sheet of paper. The format of data presentation is shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Format of hand-digitized.

Format of hand-digitized			
7025	7025	7025	7025
7025	7025	7025	7025
7025	7025	7025	7025

The Y and Z are set to zero while x (cm) is the corresponding value on the calibrated ruler that touches the magnetic contour line whose value is to be recorded. TMI (nT) is the total magnetic intensity. Care was taken throughout the course of hand-digitizing the map to avoid parallax error. Although hand digitization is adjudged by some geoscientists such as Bath, to be the most elementary least efficient method of digitization, however, if carefully done, its accuracy is comparable to other sophisticated methods. Also hand-digitization method does not in any way alter the recorded values of the total magnetic intensity (TMI) on the map, thus TMI values used for the forward and inverse modeling reflects the true values obtained during field measurement. Hand-digitized data were modeled using potent v4.11.06 geophysical software. Qualitative and quantitative data interpretation was carried out.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Results**

Profile method of magnetic data presentation was adopted. Five profiles were taken on the sheet across areas of interest as shown in profiles (Figures 2-6). Summary of the modeled profiles are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Trend experiment result.

Profiles	X(m)	Y(m)	No. of bodies	K values (SI)	Dept.(m)	Type of body	Dip (deg.)	Plunge (deg)	Strike (deg.)	Suspected rock type based on value
1	8	-26.1	4	-0.0031	-5.8	Slap	-1.8	-18.4	-88.3	(Diamagnetic)
	22	-25.8		0.1134	-4.9	Slap	3.9	-0.6	-86.1	Ultramafic rocks
	34	1.8		0.0829	-4	Slap	-5.9	2.5	94.3	Diorite
	47	-14.2		-0.2696	-16.8	Rect. prism	11.2	2.1	-2.1	(Diamagnetic)
2	4.1	-0.4	3	0.0393	-0.8	Slap	-1	-1	4.6	(Unknown)
	6.9	-0.9		-0.1144	-1.1	Slap	0.1	-0.1	0.8	(Diamagnetic)
	1.6	-0.5		0.004	0	Rect. prism	0.2	0	88.1	Quartzite

3	9.4	0	4	-0.0017	-0.6	Slap	3	2.4	88.1	(Diamagnetic)
	6.8	0.1		-0.0173	-0.6	Slap	-2.2	2	89.9	(Diamagnetic)
	1	-0.8		0.0157	-2	Slap	1.5	1	-0.5	(Unknown)
	5	0.2		-0.0171	-0.5	Slap	0.7	1	0.5	(Diamagnetic)
4	2.5	-1.5	2	0.0654	-0.5	Sphere	0	0	-90	Basalt/gabbro
	11.3	-1.5		0.6148	-5.7	Sphere	0	0	-90	(Unknown)
5	0.2	-2.3	4	-0.0025	-0.6	Dyke	1	1	7	Granite (Diamagnetic)
	3.7	-2.9		-0.00262	-0.7	Dyke	0	0	0.9	Granite (Diamagnetic)
	7.3	-2		-0.0565	-0.7	Dyke	-8	0	-178.9	Diabase (Diamagnetic)
	10	-2.7		0.0298	-0.9	Dyke	-11.8	-9.1	-33	Olivine -Diabase




Figure 2: Results obtained with corresponding rock types-Profile 1.




Figure 5: Results obtained with corresponding rock types-Profile 4.




Figure 3: Results obtained with corresponding rock types-Profile 2.




Figure 6: Results obtained with corresponding rock types-Profile 5.




Figure 4: Results obtained with corresponding rock types-Profile 3.




Figure 7: Profile 5 showing the characteristics features of the anomalous bodies in terms of wavelength and amplitude.

## Discussion

Qualitatively, wavelength and amplitude of an anomaly are useful tools in magnetic data interpretation. Wavelength of an anomaly is proportional to the depth of the magnetic source body that produces it. More correctly, depth is related to the horizontal distance of the slope of the anomaly Dale. Thus long wavelength is characterized by deep seated bodies while short wavelength depicts shallow sources. Amplitude on the other hand is proportional to magnetic susceptibility contrast in the rocks and it decreases with increasing distance. Amplitudes are generally divided into categories of hundreds of nT, tens of nT and ones of nT. Lithologic variation in magnetic basement or presence of igneous rocks within the sedimentary section, generally produce anomalies with the highest amplitude Dale. In summary, anomalies with amplitude of the order [7-10].

100 snT may be related to lithologic variation in basement or igneous rocks with the sedimentary section, 10 snT may be related to basement structure (suprabasement), 1snT are related to sedimentary magnetization contrast.

Consequently, a quick view of profiles 5 in terms of wavelength reveals the characteristics features of the causative bodies as labeled in Figure 7. Interms of order of amplitudes, profile 1 has a total magnetic intensity with minimum peak value of -153 nT and a maximum positive peak value of 162.7 nT. This is related to lithologic variation in basement or igneous rocks with the sedimentary section. Profiles 2,3,4 and 5 has minimum negative values of -28.0 nT, - 70.2 nT, -80 nT, and - 56 nT, respectively to maximum positive peak values of 32.0 nT, 41.2 nT, 60.2 nT, and 32.0 nT, respectively. These are related to suprabasement. [11] In general, the magnetic intensity of the area ranges from minimum value of - 153.5 nT, to maximum value of 162.7 nT. The area is marked by both high and low magnetic signatures which could be attributed to several factors such as variation in depth, difference in magnetic susceptibilities, difference in lithology and degree of strike.

Qualitatively, susceptibility values 0.0025, 0.00262, 0.0565, 0.0298, 0.0654, 0.0040, 0.0829 suggest the presence of rock types such as granite, diabase, olivine-diabase, basalt/gabbro, quartzite and diorite respectively. Other negative susceptibility values indicate the presence of rock types that are diamagnetic in nature. Diamagnetic materials are characterized by constant small negative susceptibilities only slightly affected by changes in temperature (Encyclopedia Britannica) (Table 2) gives summaries of results obtained with corresponding rock types.

## CONCLUSION

Hand-digitized aeromagnetic map of Idah has been analyzed using forward and inverse modeling technique. Qualitative

and quantitative interpretation of obtained results competes favorably with those submitted. Anomalous bodies recorded include granite, diabase, olivine-diabase, basalt/gabbro, quartzite and diorite. Susceptibility values with negative sign suggest that the area is characterized by diamagnetic materials. The principle of the survey is similar to that carried out on land (ground magnetic survey) except that it allows coverage of larger area for regional reconnaissance. The end result being an aeromagnetic map, nowadays digitized using computer tools, upon which interpretation is made. The main use of any aeromagnetic data and their derivative maps in mineral prospecting is to make geological deduction from them Dobrin and Savit. This article however looks at the interpretation of hand digitized aeromagnetic map with a view of showing its effectiveness in interpreting aeromagnetic data.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to God Almighty for the success of this work. We are also grateful to the Nigeria geologic Survey agency for providing the Map upon which hand digitization was carried out.

## REFERENCES

1. Bath M. spectral analysis in geophysics. Elsevier. 1974.
2. Daniel NO. Interpretation of Aeromagnetic data of Idah area in North central Nigeria using combined methods Introduction to geophysical prospecting . Am Assoc Pet Geol Bull. 2006;93:1063-1086.
3. Egboka BCE, Nwakwor GI, Orajaka IP. Implications of palæo-and neotectonics in gully erosion -prone areas of south eastern nigeria. Nat Hazards. 1990;93:1049-1058.
4. Folami SL. Interpretation of aeromagnetic anomalies in Iwaraja area, south western Nigeria. J Mining Geol. 1992;17:176-179.
5. Kayode JS. Ground magnetic study of Ilesa east, Southwestern Nigeria. African Environmental Sci Tech. 2006.
6. Dobrin MB, Savit CH. Introduction to geophysical prospecting. McGraw - Hill Book Co Inc.New York. 1998.
7. Lowrie W. Fundamental of applied geophysics, Cambridge, University Press. 1997.
8. Schneidegger AD, Ajakaiye DE. Mass movement in hilly areas with examples from Nigeria. National hazards. 1994;9:191-196.
9. Obi DA, Okereke CS, Obei BC, George AM. Aeromagnetic modeling of subsurface intrusives and its implication on hydrocarbon evaluation of the lower Benue trough. Nigeria Eur J Sci Res. 2010;47:347.
10. Telford WM, Geldart LP, Sheriff RE. Applied geophysics (2nd edn), Cambridge, University Press, Cambridge. 1990.
11. Woakes M, Ajibade CA, Rahaman MA. Some metallogenic features of the Nigeria Basement. J Afr Earth Sci. 1987;655-664.