Progesterone and Melanoma Cells: An Old Story Suspended between Life and Death
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Abstract

Melanoma is a widespread cancer with poor prognosis. Female hormones are known to be capable of influencing melanoma progression but clinical data related to pregnancy, oral contraception and hormone replacement therapy are controversial. A few reports show that *in vitro* progesterone (PG) affects melanoma growth in nuclear progesterone receptor (nPR)-positive and nPR-negative cells, but the experimental protocols used are quite different and the results are not univocal. Further research on this topic is thus needed especially in view of the widespread use of PG in clinical practice. In this study, we used human melanoma cells (A-375), which were cultured *in vitro* in the presence or absence of a wide range of PG concentrations (from 0.01 to 1000 μM) in single treatment. Daily cell count, cell cycle analysis and apoptosis assay were performed. Our results show that the low PG concentrations (from 0.01 to 1.0 μM) promote a significant increase of melanoma cell proliferation but this growth-stimulatory effect is not observed at 10 μM PG and the higher PG concentrations (i.e. 100 and 1000 μM) induce a cell density reduction which is the result of both cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. These findings confirm and extend previous observations reported in the international literature. A higher caution in the clinical use of progesterone is thus mandatory, since PG concentrations capable of stimulating melanoma cell proliferation are very close to those commonly used in a wide spectrum of physio-pathological conditions.
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Introduction

Steroid hormones regulate cell proliferation, survival and development and have been involved in the origin and/or progression of cancer [1-6]. In the last decades, many epidemiological and experimental studies have suggested that steroid hormones may be etiologic factors in tumor generation, but whereas for some tumors, the link between hormones and cancer is well established, in other cases the relationship is not fully defined or even contradictory [1, 7-9].

Many human cancers, especially those of reproductive tissues, depend on progesterone (PG) [9]. PG is known to participate in the regulation of several physiological and pathological processes in mammals, such as ovarian function, growth and differentiation of the uterine endometrium and mammary gland [10]. However, PG also participates in non-reproductive processes, such as neural excitability, learning and memory, and in pathological processes like cancer [10-12]. In literature, however, data exploring the relationship between progesterone and cancer are controversial. One of the main problems lies in the fact that depending on the cell type, hormonal environment, growth conditions, and developmental stage, progesterone can either stimulate or inhibit cell proliferation or promote cell differentiation [13]. It has been reported that the lifetime exposure to reproductive hormones, in particular progesterone and/or estrogens, affects the risk of breast cancer and melanoma [12]. Melanoma is a widespread skin cancer with very poor prognosis. It is an old story, however still debated, that the outcome of established melanoma is influenced by endocrine status although the acquisition of melanoma does not seem to be influenced by female sex hormones [14, 15]. Early studies showed that female patients with malignant melanoma had some survival advantage [16], which in some studies was observed in pre-as opposed to post-menopausal women [17-19]. Others larger multivariate analyses, however, demonstrated that it was equally strong in pre- as well as in post-menopausal groups [20]. Parallely, the literature concerning melanoma progression in pregnancy is also controversial [21-24], although several recent reports demonstrate that pregnancy-associated melanomas have poorer outcomes than other melanomas [25-29].

*In vitro* progesterone influences melanoma growth [30-33], but several melanoma cell lines have been studied and very different experimental protocols have been used, so that the results actually available in literature are not univocal. Further research on this topic is thus needed, especially in view of the widespread use of PG in clinical practice. In this study, we report the results obtained by testing *in vitro* the effects of a wide range of concentrations of PG on the growth and viability of human melanoma cells.

Materials and methods

Cell Culture and Treatments

The human melanoma A-375 cell line was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Rockville, MD) and grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS) (Euroclone, Milan, Italy), 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 IU/ml penicillin and 100 g/ml streptomycin at 37 °C in a humidified 5% CO2 atmosphere. Cells were passaged two or three times a week after detachment from culture flasks with 0.05% trypsin and 0.02% EDTA solution in PBS. Progesterone Water-soluble was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Company, St. Louis, MO, USA.
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For dose-response curve experiments, exponentially growing A-375 were seeded into 6-well plates at a density of 1.5x10^5 cells/well in complete culture medium (CM). After an over-night of adherence, fresh medium containing various PG concentrations (i.e. 0.01 μM, 0.1 μM, 1 μM, 10 μM, 100 μM and 1000 μM) or control diluent was added to the wells and cell cultures were incubated at 37°C for additional 72 hours. Cell count and viability were evaluated daily in PG-treated and control cultures by light microscope after trypan blue vital-dye staining.

**Cell cycle kinetics and apoptosis**

Twenty-four, 48 and 72 hours post-treatment, A-375 cells were harvested and cell cycle analysis and quantification of apoptosis were performed by flow cytometry using a FACScan flow cytomter (Becton Dickinson Immunocytometry Systems, San Jose, CA, USA) equipped with an argon-ion laser (488 nm). The assay of cell cycle kinetics was carried out as described previously [34]. Cell cycle analysis was performed using ModFit software. Two different approaches were used to study apoptosis. The appearance of a Hypo diploid DNA peak (sub-G1 fraction) indicates the presence of an apoptotic cell population [35]. Induction of nuclear fragmentation characteristic of apoptosis was also determined by the DAPI staining of treated and untreated cells according to the standard technique [36].

**Statistics**

All experimental points were carried out in duplicate. Average of the duplicate samples was taken as mean value and standard error was calculated. Each experimental point was expressed as Mean ± SEM. Each experiment was repeated at least three times. Significance of differences calculated. Each experimental point was expressed as Mean ± SEM. Each duplicate samples was taken as mean value and standard error was calculated. 

**Results**

**Effect of PG addition on human melanoma A-375 cell density**

Dose and time course experiments were carried out using different PG concentrations (from 0.01 to 1000 μM) addition to human melanoma A-375 cells. As shown in Figure 1, low PG concentrations, i.e. 0.01, 0.1 and 1.0 μM, induce a clear-cut elevation of the A-375 cell growth above the control values (p<0.05 vs untreated controls, for untreated controls at each time point tested). The block of cell proliferation induced by 100 μM PG shows a recovery trend at 72 hours post-treatment, whereas 1000 μM PG produce a more dramatic and prolonged effect (Figures 1 and 2). Although A-375 cells exposed to the highest PG dose were found to be suppressed up to 72 hours post-treatment, they showed a normal pattern of cell growth after being seeded in fresh medium without PG (data not shown). No macroscopic change in cell shape or spreading was observed in A-375 cells exposed to the lower doses PG (from 0.01 to 1.0 μM) when compared to the untreated control cells (data not shown). By contrast, evidence of reduced cell density was found in A-375 cells treated with the highest doses of PG, as assessed by means of microscopic examination (Figure 2).

**Effect of PG addition on human melanoma A-375 cell cycle kinetics and apoptosis**

The effect observed on cell density in the dose-response curve experiments led us to investigate whether PG could affect cell cycle and/or cell viability. Thus, flow-cytometry analysis was performed on A-375 cells treated or not with different PG concentrations, as shown in (Figure 3) (left panel), no significant change in the percentage of cells found in the various phases of the cell cycle was observed in cells treated with PG from 0.01 to 10.0 μM, throughout the whole period of observation. By contrast, cells exposed to 100 μM and 1000 μM PG showed an alteration of the cell cycle. In particular, we observed an increase in the percentage of the cells in S-phase with a concomitant reduction of the cells in G1-phase. No significant changes in G2/M-phase were observed. Moreover, a slight increase in the percentages of sub-G1 fraction, consistent with cell death, was observed in A-375 cells exposed at the highest doses of PG, starting from 48 hours post-treatment and increasing further in the following days. This finding was confirmed by the detection of characteristic aspects of nuclear fragmentation, which were observed at microscopic examination (Figure 3). All together these results indicate that high PG concentrations induce cell cycle arrest, which appears to be most likely associated with a reduction in S-G2/M transition, rather than cell death.
Figure 2: Effects of high-dose Progesterone on human melanoma A-375 cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PG (µM)</th>
<th>Time (hrs)</th>
<th>G2/G1 (%)</th>
<th>S (%)</th>
<th>G2/M (%)</th>
<th>Sub-G1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PG (µM)</th>
<th>Time (hrs)</th>
<th>G2/G1 (%)</th>
<th>S (%)</th>
<th>G2/M (%)</th>
<th>Sub-G1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Effects of Progesterone on human melanoma A-375 cell cycle kinetic and apoptosis.
Discussion

The results of our experiments show that progesterone affects the human melanoma A-375 cell growth and viability in a complex and opposite direction depending on the dose employed. Indeed, low PG concentrations (from 0.01 to 1.0 μM) induce a significant increase of cell proliferation, but the effect seems to vanish at 10 μM PG and higher PG concentrations (100 and 1000 μM PG) induce both cell cycle arrest and a slight increase in cell death.

A similar result was reported previously by Fang and coll. in a paper where PG treatments were carried out after an overnight of serum deprivation and the effects on the melanoma cells viability were evaluated by MTT assay exclusively 24 hours post-treatment [31]. In this regard, two considerations prompted us to repeat the experiments using a different protocol. First, previous evidence had been reported that human melanoma cells in vitro undergo a transition versus a more malignant phenotype associated with increased proliferation when exposed to stress conditions such as serum deprivation [37] and this fact could represent a potential bias for the observed PG effects. Secondly, the several limitations of the the MTT- and MTS-based assays have been discussed recently as well as the importance of careful evaluation of the methods used for the in vitro assessment of cell viability and proliferation [38]. Indeed, not even the cell-cycle kinetic studies appear to be reliable in this sense, as demonstrated by us in this report, since only microscopic cell counts after vital staining allowed us to check the stimulation of cell growth induced by low-dose PG. These seemingly trivial considerations, actually make us realize how important are the experimental conditions employed in the evaluation of the hormones’ effects in vitro with consistent reliability, and then explain why data in the literature are often conflicting. Moreover, what is new respect to previous work, we show that both the growth-stimulatory and the growth-inhibitory effects of PG, which were obtained by means of the treatment with a single dose of low-PG (≤1μM) or high PG (≥100 μM), lasted for at least 72 hours after PG addition, i.e. for the whole period of observation. Further experiments showed that the seeding of A-375 cells, which had been exposed or not to the different PG concentrations, in fresh medium without PG, produced normal cell growth patterns; conversely, the daily administration of PG to the cultures increased the hormone- induced effects, especially those induced by high-dose PG (data not shown). Other in vitro studies on different melanoma cell lines have failed to demonstrate the cell growth stimulatory effect induced by low-dose PG, although confirming that high-dose PG inhibit melanoma cell growth and viability by means of different mechanisms such as the induction of marked apoptosis and/or autophagy [32]. In our hands, the cell density reduction induced by high-dose PG was primarily the result of cell cycle arrest. However, a small contribution of cell death on cell number has been observed in our experimental setting.

The exact mechanisms underlying the effects of PG on A-375 cell growth and viability have not been completely elucidated. It is known that PG exerts its actions through various mechanisms that are classified as classical and non-classical [10]. The classical mechanisms are mediated by n-PR and induce modifications of gene expression, whereas the non-classical ones rapidly proceed and are mediated by different pathways including the interaction with membrane receptors (mPR), ionic channels, growth factors and their receptors coupled to G proteins. These last interactions are associated with increased cAMP production, phosphoinositide turnover and protein kinase C and MAP kinase activation [10]. The A-375 melanoma cell line used in our experiments is negative for the presence of the n-PR, but has not been characterized for the presence of mPR [31]. Also, the possibility that, depending on the dose employed, PG can use different cellular receptors which are present on melanoma cells, i.e. various growth factor receptors, which mediate different signal transduction pathways, cannot be ruled out [10]. Finally, it is worth mentioning that melanomas are, in addition to teratocarcinomas and human breast cancer, the third tumor type with enhanced expression of HERV-K [39], and A-375 melanoma cells have been found to be positive for HERV-K encoded proteins in different studies [37, 39, 40]. Interestingly, a study performed almost thirty years ago by Ono and coll. on the human breast cancer cell line T47D, which possess different steroid receptors and is positive for HERV-K, showed that the combination of estradiol and progesterone was capable of stimulating the HERV-K genome expression [41]. Recent studies also point to activation of HERV-K expression as one of the key elements contributing to both morphological and functional modifications during melanoma progression.

In conclusion, the mechanisms by which hormones induce cell proliferation in vitro are poorly understood. Further studies are needed for a better comprehension of the many and complex effects of progesterone and other steroid hormones on melanoma and other cancers. This need is made urgent especially when considering that progesterone and its synthetic progestin analogs are clinically employed as methods of contraception and in hormone replacement therapies [42–47], in the treatment of recurrent miscarriage and during assisted reproductive technologies [48]. A higher caution in the clinical use of progesterone is thus mandatory, since PG concentrations capable of stimulating melanoma cell proliferation are very close to the pharmacological doses of PG commonly used in a wide spectrum of physio-pathological conditions.
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