Penta-1,4-Diene-3-One Oxime Derivatives Strongly Inhibit the Replicase Domain of Tobacco Mosaic Virus: Elucidation Through Molecular Docking and Density Functional Theory Mechanistic Computations
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Abstract

Tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) is one of the major concerns to the farmers as it infects several crops of economic importance such as tomato. The mechanism of viral infection in host initiates on the entry of TMV in the host cell and production of a capping enzyme i.e. RNA polymerase. Replication of virus produces multiple mRNAs which further encodes multiple proteins including coat proteins, movement proteins and an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp). In the present study, TMV replicase domain has been targeted using a set of novel penta-1,4-diene-3-one oxime derivatives bearing a pyridine moiety. To further assess the reactivity of these compounds against TMV, molecular orbital energy descriptors were calculated using Density Functional Theory (DFT) correlations. The pharmacokinetics and pharmacological properties have also been analysed as the crop yields are to be consumed by the humans. Results revealed that among the 16 derivatives of penta-1,4-diene-3-one oxime, compound C, J, O and P showed the highest inhibitory potential. Reactivity of these compounds was also high, however, only compound C showed effective pharmacokinetics and pharmacological properties. Based on these results, it is concluded that compound C can be used as a potent inhibitor against TMV and the yields produced by a crop will be safe to be consumed by humans.
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Introduction

Tobacco mosaic virus (TMV; genus Tobamovirus; family Virgaviridae) was the first virus that was discovered and crystallized in 1886. It is an extensively distributed and a most destructive phytopathogen with a wide host range relating to the family Solanaceae. TMV has 6.3 to 6.6 kb positive single-stranded RNA genome. The gRNA and sgRNAs contain m7GPPPG (5’-cap) and a 3’-terminal tRNA like structure accepting histidine [1].

TMV is known to encode at least four proteins [2]. The first two replication proteins, 124-132 kDa and 181-189 kDa, are translated directly from the genomic RNA (gRNA). The two other proteins, 28-31 kDa movement protein and 17-18 kDa coat protein translate from two different 3’ co-terminal subgenomic RNAs (sgRNAs). Replication of TMV is cytoplasmic most certainly associated with the endoplasmic reticulum [1].

The virus is not transmitted through insect vectors, pesticides, therefore, cannot be used to cope with the infection. However, several other compounds have been investigated for their anti-viral activities. Most of these were used to enhance defence related gene expression. Fatty acids, like cottonseed oil, derived oleic acid promote moderate production of a capping enzyme i.e. RNA polymerase. Replication of virus produces multiple mRNAs which further encodes multiple proteins including coat proteins, movement proteins and an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp). In the present study, TMV replicase domain has been targeted using a set of novel penta-1,4-diene-3-one oxime derivatives bearing a pyridine moiety [11]. Keeping in view the economic importance of TMV, the 16 derivatives of penta-1,4-diene-3-one oxime ether derivatives were docked against the replicase domain of TMV, in this study. The reactivity of strongly inhibiting compounds is also analysed by calculating molecular orbital energy descriptors and their band energy gap. Furthermore, pharmacological properties and pharmacokinetics have also been analysed for the compounds.

Materials and Methods

Homology modelling

The current study targeted the TMV-RdRp protein to identify the potential of reported inhibitors by Wang et al., however, there was no crystal structure available for this protein. Due to this reason, homology modelling was performed to model the tertiary structure of the protein. The sequence of TMV-RdRp domain (UniProt Accession ID: P03586) was used for modelling. NCBI-BLAST was used to find the homologous proteins of TMV-RdRp and homology modelling was performed using Modeller 9.18 [12,13]. The Modeller initially built the profile and alignment of template and query sequence, and later on, based on this alignment, predicted the structure for query sequence.

Compound set

A total of 16 compounds were used for docking against TMV-
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RdRp, taken from a recent study in which TMV has been targeted using series of novel penta-1,4-diene-3-one oxime ether derivatives bearing a pyridine moiety [11]. The structures were drawn using ChemSketch [14]. Energy minimization and 3D structure optimization was carried out for all the compound structures using protocols defined in ACD ChemSketch.

Molecular docking and binding energy estimation

Molecular docking of TMV-RdRp with selected compounds was performed using Autodock Tools and Autodock Vina [15,16]. Using the Discovery Studio 2.5 software, CHARMM27 force field was applied onto the protein structure. Subsequently, steric overlaps were removed, and the structure were processed 1,000 steps using the smart-minimizer algorithm. Auto dock tools were used to prepare TMV-RdRp model by the addition of polar hydrogen bonds which optimized the interactions between inhibitors and TMV-RdRp. Torsion adjustment was performed for the ligands as the ligand needs information regarding torsion. This illustrates which torsions are to be treated as rotatable during the docking. Exhaustiveness was used as E=128 with smaller search spaces to find out the correct conformation. The three-dimensional grid was designed to define the search space within the receptor, focusing the binding pocket comprised of the catalytic site. The volume of the grid was 22 x 22 x 30 Å³. For all compounds, interactions were analysed and binding energies were calculated using Autodock Vina. Moreover, Ki was calculated as ∆G (1) where ∆G represents the binding free energy, R (gas constant) has a value of 1.9872cal/mol while T (temperature) has a value of 298.15K.

DFT analysis

To study the reactivity and efficiency of the compounds against TMV-RdRp, molecular orbital energy descriptors were calculated such as Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital (HOMO) and Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital (LUMO) energy using a DFT-based analysis by applying the Becke3-Lee-Yang-Parr (B3LYP) correlation function of DFT [17,18]. The band energy gap (AE) was calculated using the expression. The energy calculations were made using ORCA program [19].

The ADMET and drug likeness prediction

Compounds were filtered on the basis of Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, Excretion and Toxicity (ADMET) studies and drug likeness prediction, using the PreADMET server [20]. Compounds were assessed for the Solubility (ESOL), Gastro-Intestinal (GI) absorption, Blood Brain Barrier (BBB) penetration, Lipinski’s rule of five and their toxicity.

Results

The tertiary structure of TMV RdRp domain

The tertiary structure of TMV-RdRp domain was modelled through Modeller9.19, due to unavailability of the crystal structure. For searching homologous templates, BLAST was used, and results indicated that RdRp from human rhinovirus serotype 14 (PDB ID: 1XR5) was maximum homologous with TMV-RdRp. Based on the template, 10 models were generated and among those 10 models, a structure with lowest discrete optimized protein energy (DOPE) and highest GA341 assessment score i.e. -16121.53711 and 0.07650, respectively, were considered for further processes. For the refinement of the model, ModRefiner server was used which performed the atomic-level, high-resolution protein structure refinement [21]. The refined tertiary structure was comprised of 8 α-helices and 5 β-strands (Figure 1).

Molecular Docking

Active site was identified prior to the docking process. To verify the active site regions in TMV replicase, ClustalW alignment was performed using the sequences of TMV replicase, Rehmannia mosaic virus, and Potato virus X (strain X3). The conserved domain is found at GDDS amino acid motif in all these sequences. The binding site residues were found to be Asp7, Ile8, Ser9, Lys10, Tyr11, Asp12, Arg64, Ser66, Thr71, Asn75, Gly99, Asp100, Asp101, and Lys128. From these studies, GDD motif is chosen to be the active site region for RNA-dependent RNA polymerase domain of TMV replicase. All the 16 compounds are docked against the TMV-RdRp (Table 1).

Among the 16 derivatives, compound C, J, O and P showed the highest inhibitory potential. Compound C docked with binding affinity -9.3 kcal/mol (Ki =0.15 µM), greater than affinities of all other compounds and interacted with Lys94 and Ala96 (Figure 2).

Compound J docked with binding affinity -9.2 kcal/mol (Ki =0.18 µM) and interacted with Lys94 and Ala96, Asp100, Asp101 and Leu103. Compound O docked with binding affinity -9.1 kcal/mol (Ki =0.21 µM) and interacted with Lys94 and Ala96, Asp100 and Leu103. Compound P docked with binding affinity -9.0 kcal/mol (Ki =0.25 µM) and interacted with Cys98 and Asp101, Ser102, Tyr105 and Pro107. Remaining compounds docked with lower binding affinities, ranged from -8.9 kcal/mol (Ki =0.29 µM) to -6.8 kcal/mol (Ki =10.23 µM). The compounds were taken from a study by Wang et al. and the results are in accordance with the present study.

Reactivity analysis of penta-1,4-diene-3-one derivatives

For analysing the reactivity of docked compounds in the binding pocket of TMV-RdRp, compounds and the binding pocket residues was the point of interest for energy calculations. Results revealed that compound C was most reactive compound within the binding pocket of RdRp as band energy gap was narrow as compared to others i.e. 0.119 eV (Table 2).

Figure 1: Predicted tertiary structure of TMV-RdRp. Magenta represents the α-helices and blue represents the β-strands while green is for random coil.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Interacting Residues</th>
<th>Binding affinity (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$K_i$ (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td><img src="165x584" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>-9.3</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td><img src="165x683" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>-9.2</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td><img src="306x578" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>-9.1</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td><img src="306x488" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>-9.0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td><img src="306x393" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>-8.9</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td><img src="306x393" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>-7.9</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>-7.8</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>-7.7</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>-7.6</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>-7.5</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>-7.4</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td>-7.3</td>
<td>4.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pharmacological properties and pharmacokinetics of the compounds

Pharmacological assessment of the compounds was necessary...
as the passing compounds would not cause any lethal effects during consumption of crop yields by a human. All the 16 derivatives were analysed for their pharmacological properties and pharmacokinetics. Properties being analysed included solubility, gastrointestinal (GI) absorption, blood-brain barrier (BBB) permeability, Lipinski’s rules violation and toxicity. The criteria set for selecting suitable compounds were: (BBB-permeability=Yes) & (Solubility = High) & (GI-absorption=High) & (Lipinski’s violations=0) & (Toxicity=No).

At each level of criteria set, the number of compounds selected for further analysis was reduced. Among all the compounds, compound B and C passed the criteria while the other 14 compounds violated the criteria (Table 3).

### Table 3: Pharmacological assessment of penta-1,4-diene-3-one derivatives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>ESOL Class</th>
<th>GI absorption</th>
<th>BBB permeant</th>
<th>Lipinski violations</th>
<th>Rodent Test</th>
<th>Ames Test</th>
<th>Carcinogenic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Moderately soluble</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Carcinogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Soluble</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Carcinogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Soluble</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Carcinogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Moderately soluble</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Carcinogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Moderately soluble</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Carcinogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Moderately soluble</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Carcinogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Moderately soluble</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Carcinogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Moderately soluble</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Carcinogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Moderately soluble</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Carcinogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Moderately soluble</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Carcinogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Moderately soluble</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Carcinogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Moderately soluble</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Carcinogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Moderately soluble</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Carcinogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Moderately soluble</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Carcinogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>Moderately soluble</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Carcinogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Moderately soluble</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Toxic</td>
<td>Non Carcinogenic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

In the present study, RdRp domain of TMV is targeted to control the TMV infection in plants as this virus infect several crops of economic importance and is one of the major concerns of farmers [22]. RdRp is an important biological target for inhibition of TMV complex as this domain provides the catalytic activity for the synthesis of TMV RNA and mediates the replication of TMV [23]. Here, a set of compounds reported by Wang et al. is docked against TMV-RdRp to identify the potential ligand that could inhibit the catalytic domain of TMV replicase [11]. The inhibitory potential of these small molecules is also analysed in terms of reactivity through post-docking quantum mechanistic calculations to identify the small molecules that target a much larger RdRp domain involving catalytic region amino acid residues (GDD) [24].

Among the 16 derivatives, compound C, J, O and P showed the highest inhibitory potential. Compound C docked with binding affinity -9.3 kcal/mol (Ki=0.15 µM), greater than affinities of all other compounds. Wang et al., reported that according to the results of bioassays, several compounds elucidated good antiviral activities against TMV and the compounds C, J, O and P showed highest curative activities among all the others against TMV, with EC50 values of 274.8, 299.2, 251.8 and 287.7 µg/mL, respectively [11].

In literature, no study has been reported previously targeting the specificity and efficiency of that inhibitor. Based on results it is
concluded that compound C can be considered as a most effective inhibitor against TMV as it docked with a high binding affinity with high reactivity and showed effective pharmacological properties and pharmacoceutics.
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