New Genetic Mutation in a Patient with Charcot-Marie-Tooth Disease
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Short Communication

We present the case of a patient affected by Charcot-Marie-Tooth (CMT) disease with a mutation which has not yet been described.

The patient is a 39 year old man who was diagnosed during childhood with CMT disease type 1. His mother suffers from the same condition, with mild clinical involvement. His father and sister are healthy.

At the end of his adolescence, the patient already presented with significant distal areflexia and slight tactile hypoesthesia and distal hypopallesthesia. The physical examination results have not shown significant changes over the last years. Neurophysiological studies have always revealed a decrease in conduction velocity and in the amplitude of motor evoked potentials (last study: 2013, with 21 m/s and 0.2 mV in the median nerve and 23.9 m/s and 0.3 mV in the ulnar nerve), associated to an impossibility to evoke the sensory and the motor nerve potentials. The physical examination results have not shown significant changes over the last years. Neurophysiological studies have always revealed a decrease in conduction velocity and in the amplitude of motor evoked potentials (last study: 2013, with 21 m/s and 0.2 mV in the median nerve and 23.9 m/s and 0.3 mV in the ulnar nerve), associated to an impossibility to evoke the sensory and the motor nerve potentials. The patient shows severe manipulatory disability and disability of walking, and he is currently trying to have children.

In 2013, it was decided to carry out a genetic study. With a multistage procedure, genotyping was carried out by polymerase chain reaction and oligo-allele specific extension of a series of polymorphisms distributed along the CMT1/HNPP (17p11.2) region, without any evidence of duplication or deletion. Afterwards, a massive sequencing of 7 genes was carried out from the gene panel associated to autosomal dominant CMT disease type 1, and no clearly pathogenic variants associated to the disease were found. Given these results, the study was expanded to include 42 genes and a heterozygous mutation for the SBF1 [c.577C>T (p.Arg193Trp)] was found (mutations in this gene are associated to X-linked dominant inheritance).

We describe a new mutation in the GJB1 gene, located in Xq13.1, which encodes for the gap junction beta 1 protein called connexin 32 [2,3], in a case of demyelinating Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease with an X-linked dominant inheritance pattern. The involvement of this gene is the second most common genetic alteration after duplication in chromosome 17p11.2-p12 of the PMP 22 gene [3,4].

Gap junctions allow small molecules to diffuse through myelin sheaths. Consequently, it is posited that mutations in this gene prevent normal cell communication, which in turn leads to a dysfunction in Schwann cell myelination [5].

Strangely enough, in these X-linked inheritance patterns, motor conduction velocity is not homogeneous in the different nerves, and it is not as reduced as in autosomal dominant forms [4,5], such as in our case. On the other hand, some disorders with involvement of the central nervous system are occasionally associated to X-linked patterns [1], and this is the reason why a brain NMR was performed on our patient, with normal results.

Finally, we believe that it is important to characterize and record these alterations, both because of the possibility of genetic counselling (as in our patient) and because of the long-term prognostic and therapeutic implications which it may have, based on future research.
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