Microfilariae in Fine Needle Aspirates From a Coastal District of India: An Experience With Brief Review of Literature
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Abstract

Filariasis is a major public health problem in tropical countries like India. Conventional diagnosis is by demonstrating microfilariae (MF) in the peripheral blood smear. Nocturnal periodicity of the species endemic in India makes it difficult to find microfilariae in blood. Incidental detection of microfilariae (MF) in fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) smears of various sites in clinically unsuspected cases of filariasis without microfilariae in the peripheral blood has been rarely documented in Indian literature. We are reporting interesting detection of MF in 10 aspirates over a period of one year, form various sites as well as lesions ranging from inflammatory to malignancy. This article is intended to highlight that microfilaria can be a finding in clinically unsuspected cases and also without peripheral blood eosinophilia.
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Introduction

Filariasis is a global problem. It is a major social and economic scourge in the tropics and subtropics of Africa, Asia, Western Pacific and parts of the America affecting over 83 countries [1].

More than 1.3 billion people live in areas where there is a risk of infection of which 120 million are infected and in need of treatment, including 40 million people with overt disease [1].

In India, lymphatic filariasis is a major public health problem and is increasing yearly due to mismanagement of the environment.

An estimated 600 million people are at risk of lymphatic filariasis infection in 250 endemic districts in 20 states/Union territories in India.

The disease is endemic throughout India but heavily infected areas are found in Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Jharkhand, Andhra Pradesh, Odisha, Tamil Nadu, Kerela and Gujarat [1-3].

Filaria is a nematode belonging to the order spirurida and superfamilies filarioidia [4]. The conventional diagnosis of filariasis relies on finding microfilariae on a peripheral blood smear. However, incidental detection of microfilariae in various cytological specimens has also led to the diagnosis in unsuspected case.

The finding of microfilaria in fine needle aspirates is uncommon [5]. The literature contains occasional reports of finding microfilariae in various locations; including the thyroid, breast, skin and soft tissue swellings, epididymis, salivary glands, the liver, lymph nodes, ovarian cysts, urine, endoscopic brushings and effusion fluids [6].

Even though the incidence is high, microfilaria in fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) smear and body fluids are unusual and it is rare to find microfilariae along with smears aspirated from neoplastic lesions.

There are only few reported cases of coexistent microfilaria with neoplasm in the cytology literature. Adult worm lives in the lymph node while microfilaria circulates in peripheral blood.

The cause of appearance of microfilariae in tissue fluid and exfoliated surface material may be due to either lymphatic/vascular obstruction, extravasation due to scar/tumor or inflammation or tumors causing damage to the walls [7].

The present article describes the incidental finding of microfilaria in 10 unsuspected cases of FNAC.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted at Department of Pathology, Maharaja Krishna Chandra Medical College, Berhampur, India over a 12 month period (Jan 2014-Dec 2014).

All the 10 cases in which microfilariae were detected incidentally in various cytosmears were included.

The smears were immediately fixed in 95% alcohol for H&E stain and Pap stain, air dried smears were evaluated using Diff Quick stain.

In case of cystic lesion the sample was centrifuged and sediment was spread over a slide with routine cytology staining.

Results

Total of 2926 (FNAC 2426, Fluid cytology 301, Scrape cytology 199) cases were performed and 10 cases of filariasis were diagnosed on routine FNAC material.

The age, sex and site distribution of the cases along with provisional diagnosis are listed (Table 1).
Table 1: Site distribution of cases with diagnosis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No</th>
<th>Age/sex</th>
<th>Complaints</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Provisional diagnosis</th>
<th>Final Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>22 M</td>
<td>Lymphadenopathy</td>
<td>Right axillary lymph node</td>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td>Filarial lymphadenitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13 M</td>
<td>Arm swelling</td>
<td>Left arm</td>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td>Filarial nodule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>41 F</td>
<td>Pleural fluid</td>
<td>Pleura</td>
<td>Malignant effusion</td>
<td>Malignant effusion with microfilariae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>55 F</td>
<td>Bone marrow</td>
<td>Rt iliac spine</td>
<td>Malignancy (Metastatic deposit)</td>
<td>Metastatic adenocarcinomatous deposit with microfilariae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>25 M</td>
<td>Lymphadenopathy</td>
<td>Inguinal region</td>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td>Filarial lymphadenitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>36 M</td>
<td>Ascitic Fluid</td>
<td>Peritoneum</td>
<td>Malignancy</td>
<td>Inflammatory effusion - filarial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>28 F</td>
<td>Breast mass</td>
<td>LUSOQ</td>
<td>Fibroadenoma</td>
<td>Filarial granuloma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>34 F</td>
<td>Breast mass</td>
<td>Subareolar lump</td>
<td>Abscess</td>
<td>Filarial abscess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>36 F</td>
<td>Liver cyst</td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>Hydatid cyst</td>
<td>Inflammatory cyst - Filarial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>26 M</td>
<td>Arm swelling</td>
<td>Right arm</td>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td>Filarial nodule</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Microscopic observation in each case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Microscopic findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Right axillary lymph node</td>
<td>Sheathed microfilariae in a mixed inflammatory cell comprised of eosinophils, polymorphs and histiocytes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Left arm</td>
<td>A segment of adult worm packed with ova, ova with embryoid bodies, sheathed microfilariae in an inflammatory background comprised of eosinophils, polymorphs and histiocytes (Figure 1).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pleural fluid</td>
<td>Sheathed microfilariae along with adenocarcinomatous cells, reactive mesothelial cells and mixed inflammatory cells.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Right iliac spine Bone Marrow</td>
<td>Metastatic adenocarcinomatous deposit with microfilariae (Figure 2).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Inguinal lymph node</td>
<td>Sheathed microfilariae in a mixed inflammatory cell comprised of eosinophils, polymorphs and histiocytes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Peritoneal fluid</td>
<td>Sheathed microfilariae along with reactive mesothelial cells and mixed inflammatory cells.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Subareolar lump breast</td>
<td>Microfilariae in a granulomatous inflammatory background comprised of foreign body type giant cells and histiocytes (Figure 3).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>LUSOQ Breast</td>
<td>Sheathed microfilariae in a predominantly polymorphonuclear inflammatory cells and histiocytes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Liver cyst</td>
<td>Centrifuged deposit of the USG guided aspirate on wet mount preparation showed sheathed microfilariae (Figure 4).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Right arm swelling</td>
<td>Sheathed microfilariae in a mixed inflammatory cell comprised of polymorphs and histiocytes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To summarize the microscopic pictures (Figures 1–4) all cases showed sheathed microfilaria with tail tip free of nuclei. Eosinophils were not the predominant inflammatory cells in most of the cases. In the case of sub-areolar breast lump there was strong granulomatous inflammatory reaction with many foreign body type giant cells.
In two cases all stages of development of filarial worm was seen on the aspirate smears that includes the gravid body segment of the adult worm packed with the ova, ova containing the larva and microfilaria.

**Figure 1:** Swelling in left arm with cytosmears showing a segment of adult worm packed with ova, ova with embryoid bodies, sheathed microfilariae in an inflammatory background comprised of eosinophils, polymorphs and histiocytes (Diff Quik, x40).

**Figure 2:** Right iliac spine Bone Marrow aspirate, cell block showing microfilariae with metastatic adenocarcinomatous deposits (Diff Quik x40, H&E x40).

Life cycle of the nematode includes two hosts, female culex is the intermediate host and humans are the definitive host. Microfilariae are the infective agent.

To summarize the microscopic pictures all cases showed sheathed microfilaria with tail tip free of nuclei. Eosinophils were not the predominant inflammatory cells in most of the cases. In the case of sub-areolar breast lump there was strong granulomatous inflammatory reaction with many foreign body type giant cells.

L3 larvae from mosquito enter the lymphatics of human beings and develop into adult worms that normally live in the lymphatics and release microfilaria into the peripheral blood to be taken up by another mosquito.

The most probable explanation to the finding of microfilariae in tissue fluids and body fluids is their extravasation secondary to lymphatic and vascular obstruction.

**Figure 3:** Subareolar lump in breast with cytosmears showing microfilariae in a granulomatous inflammatory background comprised of foreign body type giant cells and histiocytes (Diff Quik, x40).

**Figure 4:** Liver cyst in USG with cytocentrifuged preparation showing microfilariae (Wet mount, x40).

**Discussion**

Filariasis is a major public health problem in many countries, including India [1]. Filariasis is caused by nematodes *Wuchereria bancrofti*, *Brugia malayi*, *B. timori*, *Onchocerca volvulus*, *Mansonella perstans*, *M. streptocerca*, *M. ozzardi*, *Dirofilaria conjunctiva*, *D. magalhaesi*, *D. immitis* and *Loa-loa*. *Wuchereria bancrofti* and *Brugia malayi* are the most common species seen in India. They are transmitted by the bite of the Culex mosquito [1,7]. In India filariasis is common in Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Kerala, Jharkhand, Orissa, Tamil Nadu and Gujarat [1,2]. The present estimate suggests that over 120 million people in 80 countries are affected by filariasis and more than 1.1 billion people live in areas where there is risk of infection [1,2].

Life cycle of the nematode includes two hosts, female culex is the intermediate host and humans are the definitive host. Microfilariae are the infective agent. L3 larvae from mosquito enter the lymphatics of human beings and develop into adult worms that normally live in the lymphatics and release microfilaria into the peripheral blood to be taken up by another mosquito [4].
Lymphatics of lower limbs, retroperitoneal tissue, spermatic cord, epididymis and mammary glands are the commonest locations [5]. The clinical spectrum of manifestations are varied ranging from asymptomatic microfilaremia to acute lymphangitis, acute and chronic lymphadenitis leading to elephantiasis of limbs and genitalia and tropical pulmonary eosinophilia [8,9].

Diagnosis of filariasis can be clinically ascertained in endemic areas but the definitive diagnosis rests on demonstration of microfilariae in the peripheral blood [10]. Because of the nocturnal habit of the parasite night blood samples are ideal or alternatively a sample can be collected after a DEC provocation to increase the chance of getting the parasite. The most probable explanation to the finding of microfilariae in tissue fluids and body fluids is their extravasation secondary to lymphatic and vascular obstruction [5]. In most of the cases in the present study the detection of microfilariae was incidental.

A peripheral smear stained with Giemsa is the definitive diagnosis method for filariasis. Now a days QBC method is an alternative to this with increased chance of getting the parasite as it concentrates them to the particular region. Though FNAC is not routinely utilized for clinically suspected cases of filariasis, but microfilaria has been detected at various unusual sites either associated with other diseases or independently in unsuspected cases [7].

Microfilaria has been found at various sites like breast, neck lymph nodes, thyroid, testis, epididymis, axillary swellings, subcutaneous swellings, effusions, cervical scrape smears, bronchial washing, soft tissue, bone marrow, leprosy and others [11-15]. Microfilariae have been reported in association with neoplastic lesions such as hemangiomia of liver [16], Ewing's sarcoma of bone [17], squamous cell carcinoma of maxillary antrum [18], anaplastic astrocytoma of thalamus, low grade astrocytoma of C6-D1 spinal segment, Metastatic carcinoma breast to bone marrow, craniphyangioma of third ventricle and non- Hodgkin lymphoma [19].

Conclusion

In endemic areas, the diagnosis of filariasis should be considered in the differential diagnosis of swellings. Even in the absence of clinical indications and absence of eosinophils in peripheral smears, microfilaria can be detected at any site. This highlights the importance of careful screening of fine needle aspiration smears in asymptomatic patients.
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