Metabolic Bone Disease and Bariatric Surgery
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Abstract

The prevalence of obesity is increasing worldwide and the past decade has witnessed an exponential rise in the number of bariatric operations performed. As a consequence, it is expected that an increasing number of patients are likely to be at risk of long-term complications that may not manifest until years or decades later. Several studies have investigated the short and long-term complications of bariatric surgery but there is little available data on the long-term consequences on bone metabolism and the consequences of this after bariatric surgery. This paper reviews the current literature for further information on this clinically relevant issue.
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Introduction

The prevalence of obesity is increasing worldwide with more than 500 million people estimated to be clinically obese worldwide [1]. Obesity is an established risk factor for several cardiovascular, metabolic and respiratory conditions and is considered to be a major cause of increased mortality. It is estimated that more than 30,000 deaths each year in England are attributed to obesity alone, taking up to 9 years off a normal lifespan [2].

The dramatic increase in the prevalence of obesity, coupled with the poor long-term outcomes of current nonsurgical treatment, has led to a rapid growth in the number of bariatric procedures performed worldwide. Data from the USA and Europe indicate that the number of bariatric procedures performed has increased exponentially. For example, the number of bariatric procedures performed in England has more than doubled in 2010 (10,000 procedures) compared to 2009 (~5000) [3]. In the USA the number rose by 50% to 120, 000 compared with 2002 [4].

Whilst the beneficial effects of bariatric surgery have been clearly demonstrated and some of the short and long term complications have been extensively investigated [2,5,6], the data on the long-term effects on bone metabolism are scarce.

It is widely accepted that bariatric surgery is likely to place the patient at risk of developing metabolic bone disease, whether that is by reducing the intake of calcium or vitamin D or by impairing its absorption. This paper will review the current literature for further information on this clinically relevant issue.

Methods

We conducted a search of the following electronic databases: MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL and the Cochrane Library with no date restrictions through October 06, 2011. The following keywords and Medical subject headings (MeSH) terms used were:

“bariatric surgery” AND “bone diseases”

“bariatric surgery” AND “metabolic bone diseases”

“bariatric surgery” AND “osteoporosis”

We screened the reference lists of included articles to identify any further relevant studies, and then reviewed the titles, abstracts and full text of apparently relevant articles to determine their eligibility.

Eligibility criteria

Only comparative studies, analytical studies and case series written in English were included. We excluded studies that were not human, reviews and posters and articles not related to the aims of this study. All relevant articles were cross-referenced between two of the present authors, and the selected studies were assessed further for quality and validity (Figure 1).

Articles identified and screened for retrieval following literature search N = 237

Articles meeting inclusion criteria N = 43

Articles retrieved for detailed review N = 16

Further articles selected from reviews or references of selected articles

Figure 1: Flow chart showing number of full text articles at each stage of selection.
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Types of bariatric procedure

To fully appreciate the potential long-term consequences of bariatric surgery on bone metabolism it is essential to have a basic understanding of the different bariatric procedures that are currently in common use. It is also important to be aware of normal vitamin D and calcium homeostasis and physiology.

Bariatric procedures are generally classified as restrictive procedures that include laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding (LAGB) and sleeve gastrectomy (VGS) or restrictive with malabsorption procedures that include Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) and biliopancreatic diversion with a duodenal switch (BPD-DS) [2,7]. LAGB involves the insertion of an adjustable silicon band around the upper part of the stomach creating a small gastric pouch with a narrow stomal size, thereby restricting the overall caloric intake and slowing the passage of food. The degree of intake restriction can be controlled by injecting normal saline into a subcutaneous port, which is connected to the band via a silicon catheter. VGS involves the creation of a narrow gastric tube through the excision of most of the stomach. In addition to the restrictive nature of the procedure, weight loss is aided by removing most of the endocrine and paracrine function of the gastric mucosa, including ghrelin-producing cells. RYGB involves the creation of a small gastric pouch from the cardia of approximately 20 ml in size. The distal stomach and proximal small bowel are bypassed by anastomosing the gastric pouch to the divided jejunum (Roux alimentary limb). The biliary pancreatic limb is then anastomosed to the small bowel at about 80–150 cm distal to the point of division of the jejunum. The 'common channel' for food digestion and absorption following RYGB is usually in excess of 2 m. BPD-DS involves excising most of the stomach, leaving only a gastric sleeve. The first part of the duodenum is divided, and then reconstituted by a long Roux-en- Y anastomosis to the distal jejunum, leaving a common digestive channel of 75–100 cm.

Bone metabolism

Ninety-nine per cent of the body’s calcium is contained within bone. These stores are not static and through formation and resorption, bone plays a vital role in calcium homeostasis. Calcium has a number of important roles beyond bone formation. It is involved in signal transduction during synapse function, muscle contractility and cell division; it also maintains excitable cell membranes. It is a co-factor for many enzymes, particularly those involved in cell death and coagulation.

Calcium homeostasis is maintained, principally, by the actions of three hormones, the active metabolite of vitamin D3, 1,25-dihydroxycholecalciferol, parathyroid hormone (PTH) and calcitonin.

The complex control of calcium homeostasis is illustrated in figure 2 and highlights the importance of the alimentary canal in maintaining homeostasis through endocrine and absorptive mechanisms.

Obesity, metabolic disease and the metabolic complications of bariatric surgery

Weight loss induces bone loss that correlates with the amount and speed at which it is lost. However the degree of this loss following bariatric surgery is significantly higher [8]. Further, if bone loss continues after three years then it cannot be explained by weight loss alone, as weight loss should have plateaued and patients may even be...
regaining weight [9]. This strongly suggests that bone mass loss is not
due to weight loss alone and may be explained by the interplay of at
least three distinct factors.

**Inadequate intake of vitamin D and calcium**

Hypocalcaemia has been observed in some obese individuals;
however this may be due to poor diet [10]. Up to 60-90% of the obese
are known to have vitamin D deficiency, even without surgery [11,12],
and an inverse correlation between serum vitamin D levels and obesity
has been documented [13,14]. Despite this, the deficiency does not seem
to translate to clinically relevant consequences such as osteoporosis.

Bone health and obesity have a complicated relationship and obesity
has been shown to exert a protective effect against osteoporosis. This is
likely a result of Wolff’s law that states bone is laid down in response
to the stresses placed upon it, in this case the skeletal loading that obesity
generates. Meanwhile the interplay of osteokines and adipokines has
shown homeostatic feedback between bone and the adipose tissue [15].
Leptin, produced by adipose tissue, increases trabecular bone though
reduces overall bone mass [16]. Hyperinsulinaemia also seems to plays
a role, as mice osteoblasts respond by increasing osteocalcin secretion
and subsequently bone turnover and mass [17]. In humans, although
weight loss has been shown to reduce insulin, it is unclear whether it is
an additional factor [18].

**Altered vitamin D metabolism and absorption**

Reduced bioavailability of vitamin D in the obese [19], poor diet,
reduced sun exposure and reduced production of vitamin D precursors
in the liver are all thought to contribute [20] to reduced levels of
vitamin D in the obese. A degree of hyperparathyroidism has also been
observed, though it is thought that low vitamin D is only partially to be
the cause of this.

Bariatric surgery can exacerbate this as it involves significant
to changes to the foregut and normal digestion causing poor gut absorption
by design. This poor gut absorption leads to bypass of the duodenum
and proximal jejunum, the main site of vitamin D3 absorption [21].
Poor fat absorption also leads to insoluble soap formation and further
decreases calcium absorption.

Together this can cause a vitamin D3 deficiency that leads to
inadequate mineralisation of bone matrix (osteomalacia) and defective
endochondral bone formation (rickets). This differs from osteoporosis
which features reduced trabecularisation of bone and subsequent loss
of strength and increased fracture risk.

**Compromised calcium absorption**

Calcium is absorbed from the gut in two ways. Active transcellular
transport in the duodenum involves entry into intestinal epithelial cells,
transport across and exit out. Vitamin D plays a large role in achieving
this; the protein required for intracellular transport (calbindin)
and basolateral transport are upregulated by the active metabolite
1,25-dihydroxycholecalciferol.

Passive paracellular absorption of ionized calcium occurs elsewhere
in the small intestine and in the colon. Unlike the duodenum, however,
the calcium needs to be ionized and this requires an acidic environment.
In bariatric surgery there may be significant changes not only to the site
of active calcium absorption but also to the site of calcium ionization,
namely the stomach [22].

**The clinical presentation of osteomalacia**

Osteomalacia, literally “soft-bones”, is characterised by the poor
mineralisation of bone and thickening of the osteoid. It is most
commonly caused by vitamin D deficiency or abnormal metabolism
but can also be caused by phosphate deficiency. The symptoms are
normally non-specific and onset is insidious. This makes diagnosis
difficult, especially by clinicians unfamiliar or unsuspecting of the
condition. Usually there is deep vague bony pain, proximal muscle
weakness/pain and gradual bony deformity. Pathological fractures
can be common but for many years the only complaint maybe
chronic fatigue. Eventually the patient may develop clinically evident
hypocalcaemia, a waddling gait or loss of mobility [23].

**Methods for Assessing Metabolic Bone Changes**

Several methods/techniques have been used to quantify bone
changes particularly in the context of osteomalacia. Bone biopsy is
considered as the gold standard for confirming a suspected diagnosis
of osteomalacia [24] but can be impractical for routine follow up and
is not widely practiced. Plain radiographs are useful in identifying
osteomalacia as it can cause some typical features. These relate to
the softening of bone and include kyphosis, Looser’s zones (pseudo-
fractures), amyloid deposition and osteopaenia. Dual-energy x-ray
absorptiometry (DEXA) is also useful and frequently shows reduced
calcium mineral density (BMD) throughout the skeleton, reflecting the
osteopaenia seen in plain radiographs. Radionuclide uptake displays
more widespread patterns; these can be diffuse (a super scan) or be
discrete (hotspots) [22,25]. However, these changes can frequently be
mislabeled for metastatic disease, limiting its use in isolation [24,26].

Biochemical studies are routinely used in the identification
of metabolic bone disease and whilst hypocalcaemia and
hypophosphatemia can be characteristic of osteomalacia, an increase
in the levels of serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP) is the most common
biochemical sign. Levels can rise to more than eight times the normal
value [27]. Secondary hyperparathyroidism is also characteristically
seen; as vitamin D levels fall, its ability to suppress PTH levels fall too.
This rise in PTH increases osteoclastic activity and bone turn over,
resorbing calcium from bone. Serum calcium, however, is an unreliable
marker, as although total body calcium maybe reduced, serum calcium
is often maintained by the action of PTH. Bone turnover can also
be measured using markers such as osteocalcin, which in surgically
induced weight loss is significantly raised [27,28].

**Changes associated with bariatric surgery**

The signs and symptoms of metabolic bone disease in the bariatric
surgical patient are infrequently mentioned outside case reports.
Progressive and persistent bone and joint pain, muscle weakness and
fatigue were commonly described in the literature and in one study
occurred in 75% of the patients. These symptoms, once established,
require aggressive therapy with calcium, phosphate and vitamin
D supplementation [24,29,30]. Localised bone pain may be due to
underlying lesions such as lytic lesions like osteitis fibrosa cystica or
pseudofractures [26] and an increased reporting of fractures and height
reduction has been seen in patients following RYGB [31].

Low levels of both serum calcium and urinary calcium
excretion and elevated levels of serum ALP and PTH are commonly
reported amongst the articles reviewed. In some an increase in
1,25-dihydroxycholecalciferol was noted, this was thought to be due to
a drive in vitamin D 1-α-hydroxylase activity by PTH [12,24,26,29,32].

Three studies stood in contrast though to the common biochemical
findings; Bano et al. [33] investigated five groups of people all of whom
had had surgical treatment for obesity, pre-menopausal women,
post-menopausal women, post-menopausal women with oestrogen,
The management of metabolic disease after bariatric surgery

Several articles have been published outlining management for metabolic disease following bariatric surgery. Although they differ in specifics they share common principles. Each recommends pre-and post-operative nutritional screening, investigation and evaluation and appropriate calcium and vitamin D supplementation [36-38] (Table 1).

The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists, the Obesity Society and the American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery guidelines discuss a range of metabolic disturbances and their corresponding clinical manifestations. Electrolyte abnormalities, fat-soluble vitamin deficiencies, osteoporosis and secondary hyperparathyroidism were explored and their management suggested.

Bisphosphonate therapy has been suggested to treat the low bone mass density, but in studies has not shown to resolve symptoms. Additionally, it has been pointed out that bisphosphonate therapy in the hypocalcaemic can exacerbate the hypocalcaemia and be life threatening [8,30].

Ensuring patients have adequate follow up and management to prevent or control deficiencies may be just one way of preventing bone disease. Srikanta et al, [39] argued that in long-term follow-up patients who display signs and symptoms of malabsorption are typically those who are non-compliant with supplement therapy and, importantly, had shorter common digestive channels. They suggested that a RYGB with a common digestive channel of > 150 cm together with a small gastric pouch provided a balance between weight loss and malnutrition [39].

Discussion

Evidence for metabolic bone disease after bariatric surgery is far from clear, whilst shorter studies do show evidence of bone loss these may just be reflecting Wolff’s law and early changes rather than the effect of the surgery itself (Table 2). For this reason, a follow up of three years or more was selected.

Previously in this article we discussed three factors that may contribute to the findings. The inadequate dietary intake of the patients who typically present for bariatric surgery is well known. The fact that they have increased weight and thus a higher BMI does not necessarily reflect a positive nutritional status. The obese patient may often have

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Williams 2008</th>
<th>Endocrine Society CPG 2010</th>
<th>Mechanick 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-operative nutritional screening</td>
<td>Albumin, calcium, magnesium, phosphorus, ALP, folate, Vit B12, TSH, Vit D and PTH</td>
<td>FBC, LFT, glucose, creatinine, electrolytes, iron, B12, folate, calcium, PTH, Vit D, albumin, zinc, B1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-operative investigation and evaluation</td>
<td>DEXA</td>
<td>DEXA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-operative calcium and vitamin D supplementation</td>
<td>Prophylactive multivitamins and minerals (pharmacological doses if deficient)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-operative nutritional screening and management</td>
<td>As above at 4 weeks, then 3, 6 and 12 months then annually</td>
<td>Vitamin D, calcium, phosphorus, PTH and ALP every 6 months for two years then annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-operative investigation and evaluation</td>
<td>DEXA as recommended by examination and patients risk factors</td>
<td>DEXA performed yearly until stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-operative calcium and vitamin D supplementation</td>
<td>IV multivitamins until able to take oral. 1800mg calcium with 800-1000IU vitamin D</td>
<td>Vitamin D deficiency to be treated with ergocalciferol 50000IU one to three times a week or if severe up to three times daily</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Summary of recommendations.
significant vitamin, mineral and nutritional deficiencies that, if not already causing undiagnosed bone changes prior to surgery, may leave them increasingly exposed to the changes introduced by surgery. These changes reduce not only the ability of the gut to absorb vitamin D but also fundamentally alter calcium homeostasis. In the absence of vitamin D, an important feedback mechanism in controlling PTH is lost and in the presence of exogenous calcium and elevated PTH levels calcium is increasingly resorbed from bone. Indeed the contribution to poor absorption of calcium and subsequent increase in the risk of osteoporosis and metabolic bone disease by gastric surgery has been documented [22].

An additional factor frequently commented on in the development of reduced bone mass after bariatric surgery was the menopause. It was noted that post-menopausal women, particularly those who did not receive HRT had significantly higher rates of osteopaenia and osteoporosis [33].

In one study 1.7% of patients had osteopaenia at the femoral neck and 10.2% had osteopaenia at the lumbar spine prior to surgery though 36 months after RYBG this increased to 15.3% at the femoral neck and 30.5% at the lumbar spine and several (8.5%) developed osteoporosis [34]. Interestingly when the individuals with low BMD were compared to others in the study the differences in BMI, weight loss, calcium, vitamin D or PTH did not reach statistical significance. Rather these women were older, had lower levels of lean mass and were more likely to be postmenopausal.

These findings reinforce the idea that loss of BMD in the post bariatric patient is multifactorial and metabolic deficiency may only be one component. In fact the paper suggests that menopause is the single most important variable implicated in bone disease and may be aggravated by the reduced conversion of androgens to oestrogens by adipose aromatases. This is important to consider when discussing metabolic bone disease, as a large proportion of patients studied are female.

**Conclusion**

Bone disease after bariatric surgery is not well understood and though several studies have been conducted few provide long-term follow up data. Whilst these studies are scant, they have provided a valuable insight into the metabolic consequences of bariatric surgery and awareness that pre-existing nutritional deficiencies can be exacerbated by reduced intake, decreased absorption, poor compliance with vitamin and mineral supplements and the menopause.

Aggressive and thorough evaluation with long-term follow up is mandatory in order to prevent potentially serious consequences in these groups. To achieve this multidisciplinary approach is required involving surgeons, dieticians, endocrinologists, gastroenterologists and primary care practitioners. The authors hope that this article will provide a heightened awareness of metabolic bone disease following bariatric surgery, which will assist in both the prevention of associated complications and the earlier recognition and treatment of any resultant pathology.
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