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Abstract

Introduction: This study aimed to analyze the growing phenomenon of adolescent alcohol consumption and its association with behavioral disorders, focusing particularly on the "impulsiveness" trait and seeking any gender-related differences.

Subjects and methods: The sample consisted of 273 secondary school pupils (in 9th to 13th grade), 140 of them males and 133 females, with a mean age of 15.4 years ± 1.1 SD.

The following tests were administered:
- The Barratt Impulsiveness Scale (BIS-11) to measure their impulsiveness;
- The Youth Self Report 11-18 (YSR) to identify any psychobehavioral problems;
- The Adolescents' Saturday Nights Questionnaire (QAS) (Questionario Adolescenti Sabato Sera) (Gallimberti et al, 2011) to obtain information on the modality and quantity of their alcohol consumption.

Results: While a greater degree of impulsiveness was clearly associated with alcohol consumption, heavier drinkers were not more impulsive than more moderate drinkers. This would seem to confirm the hypothesis that a tendency for impulsiveness predisposes to alcohol consumption. On the other hand, our data indicate a higher prevalence of behavioral disorders among heavy drinkers than among more moderate drinkers: the higher the score on the behavioral disorder scales, the higher the alcohol consumption. This picture could represent the behavioral correlates associated with impulsiveness, exacerbated by the neurobiological effects of alcohol on the brain and particularly on the frontal regions (still immature in preadolescence and adolescence), i.e. the site of the functions that are altered in behavioral disorders.

The 'gender' variable did not influence the relationship between alcohol consumption and impulsiveness in our sample, but the two genders seemed to differ in their susceptibility to different subdomains of impulsiveness and behavioral disorders, i.e. non-planning impulsiveness with conduct disorder in males and motor impulsiveness with oppositional-defiant disorder in females.

Conclusion: This finding is of interest because it enables us to link the use and effects of alcohol in adolescence with certain psychopathologies and to identify a possibly alcohol-related tendency of one or other gender to develop a given disorder.
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Background

The neuronal remodeling characteristic of the brain's development in adolescence extensively involves the prefrontal cortex, which is believed to be implicated in memory, voluntary motor activity, impulse control, learning rules, spatial learning, and decision-making [1-4]. In particular, decision-making capacity relies on an exchange between the prefrontal cortex and the amygdala, and in adolescence it reflects a sort of imbalance in the rate of maturation of the cortical regions: areas performing more basic functions mature earlier, while those involved in higher-order functions mature later. The prefrontal cortex, which handles reasoning and other 'executive' functions, emerges late in our development and is among the last to mature, and this explains the propensity of adolescents to be impulsive and take risky decisions, including those relating to the use of psychoactive drugs such as alcohol [5,6]. The worrying increase in alcohol consumption in developmental age [7-9] coincides with reports on the harmful effects of ethanol on the brain, which are known to be more severe in young people because they interfere with the brain's ongoing maturation processes and can lead to severe cognitive and behavioral impairments. The dysfunctions caused by alcohol abuse are especially harmful on a level with the frontal and prefrontal areas, and can give rise to psychobehavioral correlates such as attention disorders and decision-making problems, failure to inhibit inappropriate behavior and difficulty in delaying gratification [10,11], all important facets of the multifactorial construct of impulsiveness.

Functional and neuroimaging studies have contributed to a more in-depth understanding of the adolescent brain's development, enabling the identification of deficiencies affecting the cerebral system that are caused by alcohol use. Most studies in this field have focused on the phenomenon of binge drinking, demonstrating early and overall electrophysiological impairments that influence perception and attention, and the cognitive stage relating to decision-making [12]. In particular, there is evidence of an impaired capacity for inhibitory control, making it hard for individuals to control their impulses [13]. It is worth noting that similar alterations are detectable in subjects who
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have conduct disorder whose impulsiveness becomes apparent, from a
teurocognitive standpoint, in tasks involving the need to inhibit motor
response [14] and in decision-making tasks when individuals need to
integrate changes in reinforcement and punishment contingencies in
their decisions [15-18]. From the morphometric standpoint, changes
affecting brain structures like the amygdala and the ventromedial
prefrontal cortex are already identifiable in preadolescents and
adolescents with antisocial conduct [19-21].

Based on these premises, it is clear that the relationship between
impulsiveness, behavioral disorders and alcohol intake in young people
is an area of research that warrants further analysis, with potential
implications for primary and secondary prevention efforts, given the
damage that ethanol can cause in the still-developing brain.

**Aim**

The present study focuses on the functional and behavioral correlates
of the neurobiological changes induced by ethanol on the developing
brain, with specific reference to impulsiveness and behavioral disorders.
In general, our aim was to compare the literature on adults concerning
the relationship between impulsiveness and alcohol consumption with
data emerging from a case study on adolescents. In particular, the study
investigated in a sample of adolescents whether, and to what degree
alcohol consumption was associated with traits of impulsiveness and/
or aggressive/impulsive behavior, also considering any gender-related
differences.

**Methods**

**Sample**

The sample consisted of 273 secondary school pupils (60 attending
grammar schools, 126 at technical colleges, and 87 at vocational
schools), comprising 140 males and 133 females, between 13 and 19
years of age (mean 15.4 years ± 1.1 SD). The schools involved were all
in the province of Padua, in the Veneto region of north-east Italy, and
had volunteered to take part in the study subject to the students’ and
their parents’ consent.

**Procedures**

The questionnaires were administered in the second week of
October 2011. The date was chosen because it was far away from any
public holidays or particular events that might prompt an unusual
alcohol consumption, in order to investigate the situation on any typical
Saturday evening. The questionnaires were kept anonymous by using
acronyms for pairing the two tools. Informed verbal consent to the
students’ participation was required first from the school director, then
from all parents and from each student enrolled. None of the parents or
students refused. The study was conducted in full compliance with the
Padua General Hospital’s ethical principles (Deliberation of the Ethical

**Tools**

The sample of students was administered three questionnaires: the
Barratt Impulsiveness Scale-11 (BIS-11) to measure impulsiveness,
the Youth Self Report in the version for 11-18 year-olds (YSR 11-18)
to identify elements of psychopathological vulnerability, and an ad
hoc questionnaire on how adolescents spent their Saturday evenings
(Questionnaire on Adolescents’ Saturday nights - QAS) to obtain
information on their modalities and quantities of alcohol consumption.

The Barratt Impulsiveness Scale-11 (BIS-11) [22] is a widely-
used measure of impulsiveness. It includes 30 items that are scored to
yield six first-order factors (attention, motor, self-control, cognitive
complexity, perseveration, and cognitive instability impulsiveness)
and three second-order factors (attentional, motor, and non-planning
impulsiveness) [22]. Initially developed in the United States, the BIS-11
has since been applied all over the world, including Italy with reported
internal consistency coefficients for the BIS-11 total score in the range
of 0.79 to 0.83 for separate populations of undergraduates, substance
abusers, general psychiatric patients, and prison inmates.

The YSR 11-18 [2] is one of the most commonly-used scales for
rating juvenile behavior and it is used internationally in the clinical
setting and in research. It is in the form of a questionnaire completed
by adolescents, and has been translated and validated for Italians too
[2,23]. The questionnaire yields two profiles: one for competences
(activities, social functioning, school performance), the other for
behavioral and emotional problems, which can be assessed as “normal”,
“borderline” or “clinical” on 8 specific syndrome scales. The syndrome
scales relating to the various psychopathological pictures are: anxiety/
depression, withdrawal, somatization, social problems, thought-
related problems, attention problems, aggressive and rule-breaking
behavior. The problems are grouped into: internalizing problems
(anxiety, depression withdrawal somatization); externalizing problems
(impulsive and rule-breaking behavior); and other problems (social
problems, thought-related problems, attention problems). The DSM
IV oriented scales of the YSR 11-18 were considered in this study, and
specifically those referring to externalizing behavioral disorders, i.e.
conduct problems, oppositional-defiant problems and attention deficit/
hyperactivity problems.

The QAS, consisting of questions with multiple-choice answers, was
prepared for the purpose of exploring the consumption of recreational
drinks, both alcoholic and non-alcoholic, during the previous Saturday
evening (intended as the hours elapsing between dinner-time and bed-
time); it had been used in other study aiming to explore juvenile alcohol
consumption and related factors [7-9]. The questionnaire enabled us to
collect information on the quantity of alcoholic and soft drinks ingested
by our sample during the evening. Using the results obtained with the
QAS, our students were divided according to their self-reported
alcohol-drinking habits into three groups: non-drinkers (0 alcohol
units [AU]), moderate drinkers (1-2 AU), and heavy drinkers (≥ 3 AU).
The term alcohol unit (AU) was used in the sense of the number of
drinks containing approximately 12 g of ethanol (corresponding to a
small glass of medium-bodied wine, a can of beer, or a shot of spirit).

The impulsiveness trait was interpreted on the strength of the results
of the BIS-11, focusing on the three subscales concerning
motor impulsiveness, non-planning impulsiveness, and attentional
or cognitive impulsiveness (these subscales are combined to form the
BIS TOT): the higher the score on the BIS 11, the greater the level of
impulsiveness identified [24].

As concerns aggressive-impulsive behavior, this was measured
from the results of the YSR 11-18, with a particular focus on the DSM
IV oriented scales relating to oppositional-defiant disorder (YSR-
ODD), conduct disorder (YSR-CD), and attention-deficit/hyperactivity
disorder (YSR-ADHD): here again, the higher the score in the YSR,
the greater the behavioral problems identified.

**Data Analyses**

The data were analyzed using the SPSS 20 statistical software.
Univariate analyses of variance (ANOVA) were used to test our research
hypotheses, alongside contrast analyses to check for differences
between subsamples. The variable used in the grouping for ANOVA
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Results

In our sample 147 persons (53.8%) were non-drinkers, 99 (36.3%) were moderate-drinkers, while the remaining 27 (9.9%) individuals were heavy drinkers.

The 10.8% of our sample scored above the clinical cut-off in YSR-ADHD, the 18.6% in YSR-ODD and the 11.5% in YSR-CD. Means and standard deviations for the four scales of BIS-11 and for the three scales of YSR considered in this study are reported in Table 1.

A comparison between males and females with respect to the prevalence of alcohol use and to the scores obtained at the subscales of BIS-11 and YSR was conducted and results are reported in Table 2.

Results showed significant differences between genders only for YSR-ADHD and YSR-CD scales where males scored higher than females. Furthermore, it emerged that males tend to drink more than females.

We sought an association between alcohol consumption and impulsiveness and/or aggressive-impulsive behavior. Our data showed that a higher alcohol consumption coincided with a higher score in the BIS-11, indicating a greater impulsiveness (F2,232=10.34; p<.05). This was also true when we considered the single subscales: attentional (F2,234=3.42; p<.05), motor (F2,239=6.42; p<.05) and non-planning (F2,239=6.10; p<.05).

The same results emerged for the scores obtained in the YSR 11-18: a higher alcohol consumption was significantly associated with an increase in oppositional-defiant disorder (F2,266=5.72; p<.05) and conduct disorder (F2,266=19.34; p<.05), while there was no significant difference in relation to ADHD (F2,266=2.03; p=n.s.).

Using contrast analysis and dividing the whole sample into two groups, i.e. non-drinkers (group 0=0 AU) and drinkers (group 1=≥1 AU) [Contrast 1], there was a statistically significant difference for the impulsiveness construct [BIS TOT (t232=-4.43; p<.05) and subscales], for ADHD (t266=-1.99; p<.05), for ODD (t266=-3.34; p<.05), and for CD (t266=-6.04; p<.05).

In a second contrast study, we divided the group of drinkers into group 1 (moderate drinkers=1-2 AU) and group 2 (heavy drinkers ≥3 AU). In this case, there was evidence of a statistically significant difference only inasmuch as concerned CD (t266=-4.12; p<.05). Table 3 summarizes the results obtained for the two contrasts studies carried out on the whole sample.

In short, therefore: (i) adolescent drinkers were more impulsive than non-drinkers; and (ii) adolescent drinkers had higher scores for diagnoses of CD and ODD, while the findings relating to ADHD were statistically less noteworthy. Items (i) and (ii) were confirmed by contrast analysis, which emphasized statistically significant differences between groups 0 and 1 (young non-drinkers versus drinkers) for each subscale investigating the impulsiveness construct, i.e. attentional, motor, non-planning and total impulsiveness, CD, ODD, and ADHD. The same trend was expected to emerge from the contrast analysis between groups 1 and 2 (moderate versus heavy drinkers), but in this case there was only evidence of a statistical significance for CD.

Secondly, we investigated whether there were any significant differences between males and females in relation to their alcohol use and impulsiveness. For this purpose, we conducted descriptive analyses and ANOVA. To do so, we divided the sample into two groups by gender.

For males, the scores for impulsiveness [total (F2,117=6.6; p<.05) and non-planning (F2,124=6.7; p<.05)] and for CD (F2,135=14.64; p<.05) revealed significant differences depending on their reported alcohol consumption (0 AU, 1-2 AU, ≥3 AU). For females too, there were significant differences on the scales for impulsiveness [total (F2,112=3.78; p<.05) and motor (F2,122=5.99; p<.05)], ODD (F2,128=3.67; p<.05), and CD (F2,128=3.67; p<.05) correlating with their alcohol consumption.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean (sd)</th>
<th>Cut-off</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIS-ImpAtt</td>
<td>17.13 (3.38)</td>
<td>Z=2.43, ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIS-ImpMot</td>
<td>21.13 (3.62)</td>
<td>Z=2.43, ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIS-ImpNPlan</td>
<td>27.73 (4.49)</td>
<td>Z=2.43, ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIS-TOT</td>
<td>65.87 (8.46)</td>
<td>Z=30.12, ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSR-ADHD</td>
<td>55.22 (7.57)</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSR-ODD</td>
<td>50.86 (6.42)</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSR-CD</td>
<td>56.38 (8.23)</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Means (standard deviations) and cut-offs for the subscales included in the study. * No cut-offs are available for BIS-11. Thus, the potential range of scores is 8-40.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean (sd)</th>
<th>Test statistic Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol Use</td>
<td>Mean Rank* 147.09</td>
<td>Z=2.43, &lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIS-ImpAtt</td>
<td>17.38 (3.52)</td>
<td>Z=2.43, &lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIS-ImpMot</td>
<td>21.15 (3.49)</td>
<td>Z=2.43, &lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIS-ImpNPlan</td>
<td>27.47 (4.61)</td>
<td>Z=2.43, &lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIS-TOT</td>
<td>65.95 (8.80)</td>
<td>Z=2.43, &lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSR-ADHD</td>
<td>56.31 (8.03)</td>
<td>Z=2.43, &lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSR-ODD</td>
<td>57.39 (6.46)</td>
<td>Z=2.43, &lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSR-CD</td>
<td>58.21 (6.91)</td>
<td>Z=2.43, &lt;.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Means (standard deviations) for males and females together with the test statistics used for their comparison. * The variable e non-parametric Wilcoxon test was conducted on the ranks.
The contrast analyses were also conducted by gender.

Males, Contrast 1: when the sample was divided into two groups, non-drinkers (group 0=0 AU) versus drinkers (group 1=1-2 AU), there were statistically significant differences for the BIS TOT scale (t(232)=-3.63; p<.05), for the subscale referring to non-planning impulsiveness (t(133)=−3.64; p<.05), and for CD (t(135)=-5.15; p<.05).

Males, Contrast 2: when group 1 (moderate drinkers=1-2 AU) was compared with group 2 (heavy drinkers ≥3 AU), a statistically significant difference only emerged for CD (t(135)=-3.79; p<.05).

Females, Contrast 1: in the comparison between groups 0 and 1 in this sub-sample, significant differences emerged for the BIS total scale (t(112)=−2.77; p<.05), and the motor impulsiveness subscale (t(122)=-3.32; p<.05), and for ODD (t(128)=-2.69; p<.05) and CD (t(128)=−2.57; p<.05).

Females, Contrast 2: conducting the contrast analysis between group 1 (moderate drinkers=1-2 AU) and group 2 (heavy drinkers ≥3 AU), a statistical significance only emerged for CD (t(128)=−1.96; p=.05).

Table 4 summarizes the results of the two contrasts studies carried out separately for males and females.

**Discussion**

Recent research indicates that young people with impulsive tendencies are more likely to drink considerable amounts of alcohol in adolescent age and/or to have alcohol-related problems as they grow older [24]. This goes to show that although a greater impulsiveness was associated with alcohol consumption, drinking more heavily was not associated with any further increase in impulsiveness. It would therefore be wrong to claim that the adolescents who drank more heavily were more impulsive than those who drank more moderately. For conduct disorder, on the other hand, the inference emerging from the first contrast analysis still hold. Our findings therefore suggest that impulsiveness and behavioral disorders are associated with alcohol consumption, with statistically significant differences between adolescents who drank and those who did not. In addition, we found a significant difference for conduct disorder between those who drank more or less heavily, higher scores for CD coinciding with higher levels of alcohol consumption.

Our findings show that impulsive traits are indeed associated with alcohol consumption, but higher levels of impulsiveness do not coincide with heavier drinking. This would seem to confirm the hypothesis that impulsive traits predispose individuals to alcohol use.

We also found evidence of gender-related differences in the impulsiveness subdomains involved, non-planned impulsiveness being more typical of males and motor impulsiveness of females. In fact the second part of our analysis conducted to ascertain the relationship between alcohol intake and impulsiveness and behavioral disorders by gender showed that this relationship was confirmed, albeit with some gender-related differences. For males the most significant findings associated with alcohol consumption related to impulsiveness (particularly non-planning) and CD; for females there were significant differences relating to impulsiveness (particularly motor) and to ODD, as well as CD. We thus concluded that, although the “gender” variable does not influence the global relationship between alcohol consumption and impulsiveness, it does seem to distinguish a different sensitivity to certain subdomains of impulsiveness and behavioral disorders. Male gender is more associated with the non-planning component of impulsiveness and with CD, female gender with the motor component of impulsiveness and with ODD.

This gives the impression that, as far as alcohol use is concerned, a response inhibition deficit plays a major part in females’ behavior, as opposed to a prevalent role of contextualization and planning difficulties in males. This data about gender-related impulsiveness’ components recalls studies on the brain’s morphology and the neuropsychological effects of binge drinking in adolescent age which have demonstrated its association with specific gender-related differences in the cortical thickness of the frontal areas and in the quality of cognitive performance: females are more susceptible to the negative effects of alcohol on their neural development [25]. As for the psychopathological comorbidities, consistently with other studies [7,9,25,26], our data show a significant difference in behavior in the sphere of conduct disorder between heavier and more moderate drinkers, higher alcohol consumptions coinciding with higher scores for CD. This picture could be seen as representing the behavioral correlates associated with impulsiveness and further exacerbated by the neurobiological effects of alcohol on the brain, and particularly on the regions that are still immature in preadolescence and adolescence, i.e. the sites of higher functions such as judgment, emotional control, self-control, organization and action planning [27,28], functions that are typically affected in CD. Impulsiveness could thus be considered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Male g1 (sd)</th>
<th>Male g2 (sd)</th>
<th>Female g1 (sd)</th>
<th>Female g2 (sd)</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>M g1 (sd)</th>
<th>M g2 (sd)</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIS-ImpAtt</td>
<td>16.86 (3.52)</td>
<td>17.86 (3.54)</td>
<td>-1.92</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>17.64 (3.17)</td>
<td>18.67 (4.45)</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIS-ImpMot</td>
<td>20.63 (3.64)</td>
<td>21.60 (3.14)</td>
<td>-1.67</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>21.47 (3.46)</td>
<td>22.07 (2.87)</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIS-ImpNPlan</td>
<td>26.05 (4.46)</td>
<td>28.70 (4.41)</td>
<td>-3.64</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
<td>28.39 (4.36)</td>
<td>29.81 (4.58)</td>
<td>-1.14</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIS-TOT</td>
<td>63.19 (8.26)</td>
<td>68.44 (8.32)</td>
<td>-3.63</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
<td>67.73 (8.72)</td>
<td>70.93 (7.90)</td>
<td>-1.25</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSR-ADHD</td>
<td>55.06 (9.34)</td>
<td>57.42 (5.84)</td>
<td>-1.72</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>57.24 (6.93)</td>
<td>58.13 (4.72)</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSR-ODD</td>
<td>56.35 (6.47)</td>
<td>58.31 (6.40)</td>
<td>-1.85</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>58.10 (6.26)</td>
<td>59.13 (6.89)</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSR-CD</td>
<td>55.32 (8.39)</td>
<td>60.78 (8.23)</td>
<td>-5.15</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
<td>58.91 (8.13)</td>
<td>68.00 (8.40)</td>
<td>-3.79</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIS-ImpAtt</td>
<td>16.54 (2.99)</td>
<td>17.42 (3.84)</td>
<td>-1.51</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>17.32 (3.26)</td>
<td>17.80 (4.59)</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIS-ImpMot</td>
<td>20.27 (3.31)</td>
<td>22.51 (4.12)</td>
<td>-3.32</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
<td>22.30 (4.01)</td>
<td>23.40 (4.40)</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIS-ImpNPlan</td>
<td>27.60 (4.15)</td>
<td>29.29 (5.04)</td>
<td>-1.45</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>28.51 (4.99)</td>
<td>29.27 (6.01)</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSR-ADHD</td>
<td>54.10 (9.42)</td>
<td>54.02 (8.94)</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>53.23 (9.53)</td>
<td>56.82 (8.45)</td>
<td>-1.52</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSR-ODD</td>
<td>55.21 (5.31)</td>
<td>58.04 (7.59)</td>
<td>-2.69</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
<td>57.59 (7.41)</td>
<td>59.64 (7.83)</td>
<td>-0.96</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSR-CD</td>
<td>53.59 (6.35)</td>
<td>55.82 (7.31)</td>
<td>-2.57</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
<td>54.85 (6.81)</td>
<td>59.27 (7.89)</td>
<td>-1.96</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: (Means (standard deviations) for whole sample and t values for the contrast analysis divided by gender. In Contrast 1 the two compared groups were the non-drinkers (g0) versus drinkers (g1), while in Contrast 2 the moderate drinkers (g1) were compared to the heavy drinkers (g2).
a factor that first predisposes adolescents to alcohol use and, due to the resulting neurobiological impairment in the frontal region, it would subsequently promote further behavioral issues attributable to CD and ODD. A useful model for explaining much of the behavior characteristic of CD refers to Koob's model [29,30] according to which drug addiction impacts multiple motivational mechanisms and can be conceptualized as a disorder that progresses from impulsivity (positive reinforcement) to compulsivity (negative reinforcement). The construct of negative reinforcement is defined as drug taking that alleviates a negative emotional state, which would change from the impulsive intake of a substance to seek gratification into a compulsive intake to avoid the negative somatic states deriving from a reduction of the pleasure [29,30].

Our data about gender related behavior disorder (with CD prevailing in males and ODD in females) arouses some considerations. In fact while the literature supports a male prevalence of CD, with or without any associated alcohol consumption [31-34] the prevailing tendency to develop ODD seen in our female adolescent sample is a novel finding. The reported rates of ODD range from 2% to 16%, depending on the nature of the sample population and the methods used to assess the disorder, and generally with a higher prevalence among males, though the differences in the proportions identified between the two genders probably tend to disappear after puberty [35]. Our finding may consequently be of interest because it appears to link alcohol use and its effects in adolescence with particular psychopathologies, hinting at a possible alcohol-related tendency of the two genders to develop a given disorder. Recent studies on adolescents alcohol use disorder (AUD) have found that comorbidities that may interact with alcohol use and neural development, having different effects on males and females: males with AUD have a higher likelihood of showing signs of conduct disorder, while females with AUD smoke more cigarettes [36]. The authors suggest that such gender-related differences in the effects of alcohol on the brain derive from a different timing of the brain's prefrontal development in the two genders, a different gene expression that makes alcohol more neurotoxic in females, higher blood concentrations of alcohol in females than in males for the same amounts of alcohol ingested, and alcohol dehydrogenase levels [37].

Limitations of the study and future developments

First of all, an immediately obvious limitation of this study concerns the sample: though it was broad-based and sizeable, it still represents only a particular territorial area so our findings may not be generalizable (even for other parts of Italy).

Another limitation concerns the temporal domain because the study was based on data collected from questionnaires that were administered only once, without retesting the sample after a certain time interval (which might have enabled us to collect information on the trend of the relationship between impulsiveness and alcohol over time) [38].

From a methodological standpoint, moreover, it would have been interesting to conduct a case-control study and consider data derived from a clinical sample [39].

These issues will provide food for thought when it comes to further developing this area of research.

Conclusions

Despite some cited studies about neurobiology of alcohol abuse, researches concerning effects of alcohol misuse in youths in terms of behavior, particularly under the light of impulsivity, are lacking; and more, only a few papers try to study these issues paying attention to differentiate by levels of alcohol intake, as we have done

What emerges from this study is that there are marked and partly gender-related differences in terms of impulsiveness traits between adolescents who drink alcohol and those who do not. There are also differences in the psychopathologies potentially associated with heavy drinkers by comparison with those who drink more moderately, here again with some gender-related differences. The value of this study lies not only in its contribution, albeit with the above-mentioned limitations, towards our understanding of the mechanism (and hypothetical “vicious circle of reinforcement”) lying behind the association between alcohol and behavioral disorders in adolescence, but also in its possible implications for the design of specific, differentiated prevention schemes for adolescents who have impulsive traits and would consequently be at higher risk of alcohol consumption and the related behavioral disorders. In this sense, impulsiveness could become the focus of measures in programs for the primary prevention of alcohol consumption (because it is a risk factor for adolescents' early alcohol consumption), while behavioral disorders and antisocial behavior could be the focus of secondary prevention programs on adolescent alcohol abuse. This approach would also be justified by the fact that, from the prognostic standpoint, there are reports of a rising prevalence and a greater severity and persistence of aggressive and destructive behavior, which is becoming manifest at an earlier age, and this consequently represents a major challenge in the field of mental health. From the developmental standpoint, the age of onset of antisocial behavior is a key issue because the extent of the influence of various causal factors varies with the age of onset of antisocial behavior and it has been suggested that a direct relationship exists between the time of onset and the severity of the resulting clinical picture [34].
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