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Abstract

Aims: To assess the clinical profile, glycemic control associated risk factors, co-morbidities and complications of patients with diabetes who were referred from primary health care facilities.

Methods: A highly specialized National Diabetes and Endocrine center (NDEC) has been established in Muscat, Oman in 2013. Clinical details, glycemic control and co-morbidities of all referred patients between February 2013 to January 2014 were recorded from their medical records. A total of 611 patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes of any age and either sex were included in this study. The center receives cases requiring a better care for complicated patients with diabetes from primary, secondary and tertiary care facilities on referral base.

Results: A total of 611 patients were registered and among them 476 were with type 2 and 135 with type 1 diabetes. The mean age was 45.3 years. Nearly, 31% and 50% were overweight and obese among type 2 patients. The study highlights that above 40% patients had an HbA1c of >10% (85.8 mmol/mol) at baseline. A greater reduction in HbA1c (1.4%) was observed in type 2 patients whereas it was 0.5% in type 1. Majority of type 2 had dyslipidaemia (84%) and hypertension (62.8%). Nephropathy was found in 40.1 and 24.4% with type 2 and type 1 diabetes whereas retinopathy was reported in 14.3 and 8.9% respectively. Female gender and longer duration of diabetes emerged as significant risk factors associated with poor glycemic control.

Conclusions: Patients with diabetes referred to a tertiary care setup in Oman had high percentage of overweight and obesity. Majority of them had poor glycemic control with associated presence of co-morbidities. Effective strategies, including education of staff and patients, are highly recommended to improve the current setup at primary care level to facilitate early detection of complications.

Introduction

Non communicable diseases have emerged as an epidemic worldwide. Globally, the prevalence of diabetes is increasing each year and has become a significant health problem. The number of adults with diabetes worldwide is expected to reach 392 million in the year 2035 from 382 million in 2013 with major increase in developing countries [1]. It has also been reported that the majority of the people with diabetes are in the age range of 40–59 years [1]. The burden of diabetes has sharply increased in Oman, as well as across the globe. The World Health Organization (WHO) has predicted 217,000 diabetic cases in the year 2025 rising from 75000 cases in 2000 [2]. Oman’s 2.4 million population has undergone rapid social and economic growth in the past two decades which resulted in high rates of diabetes, obesity and hypertension in the population [3]. The huge burden of the mortality and morbidity associated with diabetes in the near future is a greater threat to the developing countries [4,5].

Understanding the disease burden and profile of patients with diabetes can help in identifying the high risk group, risk factors and associated co-morbidities and complications of diabetes. It also helps clinicians in working towards better glycemic control and improving quality of life for patients with diabetes. This paper aims to assess the profile of patients with diabetes (type 1 and type 2), who were referred from primary health care facilities to a tertiary care center to determine their glycemic control at baseline and after a follow up period of three months and to look at associated risk factors, co-morbidities and complications of diabetes.

Materials and Methods

A highly specialized National Diabetes and Endocrine Center (NDEC) has been established in Muscat, Oman in 2013. Clinical details, glycemic control and co-morbidities of all referred patients between February 2013 to January 2014 were recorded from their medical records. A total of 611 patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes of any age and either sex were included in this study. The center receives cases requiring a better care for complicated patients with diabetes from primary, secondary and tertiary care facilities on referral base. Written informed consent was obtained from all the patients. NDEC Institutional review board approved the study.

Type 2 diabetes was defined by lack of absolute requirement for insulin, absence of ketonuria and Type 1 diabetes was defined as having absolute lack of insulin secretion [6].

The patient’s demographic and anthropometric details such as height and weight were recorded and the body mass index (BMI kg/m2) was calculated. Details on age at onset of diabetes, type of diabetes,
duration of diabetes and the co morbidities were recorded. Presence of micro and macro vascular complications of diabetes was also recorded. HbA1c was measured by enzymatic method with fructosyl dipeptide oxidase using Abbott Architect c 8000 chemistry analyzer. Baseline and 3 months follow up HbA1c values were recorded. Total cholesterol was measured by cholesterol oxidase enzymatic assay, HDL cholesterol by ultra HDL assay based on accelerator selective detergent method, Triglycerides by glycerol phosphate oxidase method and LDL cholesterol was calculated by using Friedewald equation.

A BMI of <18.5 kg/m² was considered as leanness and in the range of 18.5-24.9 as normal, 25-29.9 as overweight, 30-34.9 as class 1 obesity, 35-39.9 as class 2 obesity and above 39.9 as class 3 obesity [7]. Patients with systolic/diastolic blood pressure levels > 130/80 mmHg or who were on antihypertensive medication were defined as having hypertension. Glycemic status was categorized as good glycemic control if HbA1c <7% (53 mmol/mol) and poor glycemic control if HbA1c >7% (53 mmol/mol) [8]. Hypercholesterolemia refers to a total cholesterol level > 5.18 mmol/L, HDL cholesterol was considered as low when the level is < 1.04 mmol/L in males and <1.3 mmol/L in females. LDL cholesterol was considered high when the level is > 2.59 mmol/L. Hyper triglyceridaemia refers to a level > 1.69 mmol/L. Dyslipidemia was defined as the presence of one or more of the above lipid abnormalities [9].

To assess the presence of diabetic retinopathy, the pupils are dilated using tropicamide and fundus examination was done both on slit lamp using +78 Diopter lenses and also by indirect ophthalmoscopy. Retinopathy was graded according to the International Clinical Disease Severity Scale. To assess the foot sensitivity a 10 g monofilament was applied to nine sites on each foot. Each site was tested three times in random order. Two or more failures per site to feel the monofilament was considered incorrect. Peripheral neuropathy was diagnosed if one or more incorrect answers were given for either foot. Nephropathy was diagnosed using the albumin to Creatinine ratio of > 30 milligram albumin per millimole creatinine. eGFR (estimated Glomerular filtration rate) is used to stage the nephropathy. Urinary Albumin is estimated by immunoturbidimetry method and urinary creatinine by kinetic Jaffe’s method.

Patients who had previous history of cardiovascular disease such as angina, myocardial infarction or any other coronary vascular event or who underwent any procedure or surgery for the same were considered having CAD (Coronary Artery Disease). Patient with history of Transient ischemic attack, infarction or hemorrhage in the brain were diagnosed as having stroke.

Statistical Analysis

The patients details have been recorded in excel sheets using double entry system, to minimize the data entry error. The excel sheet has been imported to stata ver.10, and processed for descriptive analyses and chi-square analyses. Mean and standard deviations are reported for continuous variables. Group comparisons of continuous variables were done using Students ‘t’ test and categorical variables were compared by Chi-square test. Binary logistic regression analysis was done to determine factors that are associated with poor glycemic control. The dependent variable was HbA1c <7% versus > 7%. The independent variables were age [(years) categorized in 10 units<20 (reference), 20-30, 31-40, 41-50, 51-60, >60], sex, BMI [(kg/m²) categorized as <25 (reference),25-29.9, 30-34.9, 35-39.9, > 40], presence of complications (No versus Yes), duration of diabetes [(years) (categorized as <5/reference), 5-10, >10]. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. The whole data set has been bifurcated by type of diabetes and reported accordingly.

Results

During the study period, 611 patients were referred to and treated for diabetes at NDEC in Muscat, Oman and among them 135 (22.1%) were with type 1 diabetes and 476 (77.9%) with type 2 diabetes. Among the total subjects, the males and females distribution was similar in both type 1 and type 2 diabetes (p=0.923).41% of the patients with type 2 diabetes were on oral hypoglycemic drugs, 15% on insulin therapy and 44% of them were on a combination of oral hypoglycemic drugs and insulin therapy.

(Table 1) shows the demographic and body mass index details of the study patients. The mean age of the patients referred to NDEC was 45.3±16.7 years, while as expected patients with type 2 diabetes were much older as compared to patients with type 1 diabetes. The mean age was 51.5 ± 13.3 and 24.2 ± 7.9 years respectively (p<0.0001). Majority of patients with type 2 diabetes were diagnosed at an age of above 40 years while age at onset was less than 30 years for type 1 diabetes.

Mean BMI was significantly higher among patients with type 2 diabetes as compared to patients with type 1 diabetes (30.5 ± 7.1 Vs 24.4 ± 5.2) (p<0.0001). 9.6% of type1 patients had lean BMI. The prevalence of overweight and obesity among type2 patients were 31.3% and 50% respectively. Mean duration of diabetes was similar both in type 1 and type 2 patients (p=0.556). Nearly 40% of type 1 patients had duration of diabetes of less than 5 years whereas majority of type 2 patients had longer duration of diabetes of more than 5 years.

(Table 2) shows the glycemic status of patients at baseline and follow
up visit. 85% of type 1 patients came for review whereas only 62% of type 2 patients came for follow up. The results showed that about 40% of both type 1 and type 2 patients had uncontrolled diabetes (HbA1c >10%; 85.8 mmol/mol) at baseline visit with a mean HbA1c of nearly 10% in both the group of patients indicating poor glycemic control. At follow up visit, only 20% of type 2 patients had poor glycemic control which revealed that there was an improvement in majority of patients at review visit. There was not much difference in the glycemic control at baseline and review visit among type 1 patients. (10.3 ± 2.9 vs 9.8 ± 2.9; p=0.179). A greater reduction in HbA1c was observed in type 2 patients (9.7 ± 2.8 vs 8.3 ± 2.4; p<0.0001). There was 1.4% reduction in HbA1c in type 2 patients whereas it was 0.5% in type 1 patients.

(Table 3) shows the treatment details and presence of micro and macro vascular complications of diabetes. It was noted that most of the patients with type 2 diabetes (83%) have undergone lipid treatment, whereas a lesser percentage of patients with type 1 diabetes had dyslipidaemia (40%) and 39.3% were on lipid treatment. Nearly 63% had hypertension in type 2 and 7.4% in type 1 patients (p=0.009). Diabetes nephropathy was highly prevalent among type 2 patients (40.1% vs 24.4; p=0.001). The prevalence of retinopathy among type 2 patients was 14.3% and 8.9% in type 1 patients. CAD, stroke and foot infection were present in 9.7%, 2.5% and 0.6% respectively in type 2 patients.

(Table 4) shows the results of binary logistic regression analysis which revealed that female gender with odds ratio of 1.8; (p=0.026), duration of diabetes categories 5-10 and >10 years with odds ratio of 2.3; (p=0.009) and 2.0; (p=0.036) respectively were significantly associated with poor glycemic control at baseline among patients with diabetes.

**Discussion**

This study highlights that diabetic patients referred from primary and secondary care health centers to a multidisciplinary NDEC in Muscat, Oman had high rates of overweight and obesity, poor glycemic control and high rates of micro and macro vascular complications. Female gender and duration of diabetes emerged as significant factors for poor glycemic control.

The onset of complications of diabetes is directly associated with the duration of the disease and poor glycemic control but the long asymptomatic stage of diabetes may likely to develop complications even at the time of diagnosis [10,11]. A review article has reported approximately one half of the patients diagnosed with type 2 diabetes dies prematurely due to cardiovascular diseases, while 10% die due to renal failure [12]. High HbA1c levels are associated with an increased risk of mortality and development of micro and macro vascular complications of diabetes [13,14]. Complications cause huge burden on health system due to cost involved in the management of diabetes. A hospital based cross-sectional study in Oman reported 14.4% of diabetic retinopathy, with men having significantly higher rates than women, and it was significantly high among those with longer duration of diabetes [15]. Considering the huge cost involved in the management of diabetes and its complications, undertaking early screening for diabetes and its complications remains an important cost effective strategy.

Our population, like all developing nations, is experiencing rapid socio economic transition with an increase in the consumption of high fat calorie dense food, refined sugar and with increased rates of smoking [16]. A description of the profile of patients with diabetes seen in a tertiary care center revealed that a significantly higher proportion of patients were overweight and obese. Nearly half of the patients registered had an HbA1c of more than 85.8 mmol/mol at baseline visit indicating poor glycemic control. A large proportion of the patients had the presence of micro and macro vascular complications of diabetes. However, a greater reduction in HbA1c was noted among patients with type 2 diabetes at review after 3 months with not much improvement in patients with type 1 diabetes. This difference in HbA1c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Type 1 patients (N=113) (%)</th>
<th>Type 2 patients (N = 476) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c % (mmol/mol) details at base line</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;=7 (53)</td>
<td>10.3 ±2.9</td>
<td>9.7±2.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;7 &lt;10 (54.1-63.9)</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1 – 9(65-74.9)</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1 – 10(76-85.6)</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10(&gt;85.8)</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Mean + SD | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type 1 (N= 113)</th>
<th>Type 2 (N = 292)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c % (mmol/mol) details at review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;=7 (53)</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;7 &lt;10 (54.1-63.9)</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1 – 9(65-74.9)</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1 – 10(76-85.6)</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Type 1 versus Type 2 patients; * p<0.031, ** p<0.0001.

**Table 2:** Glycemic status of type 1 and type 2 patients at baseline and at review visit
reduction between type 1 and type 2 diabetic patients is due to the fact that there is absolute lack of insulin and could be due to the lack of strict adherence to medication, diet and monitoring in type 1 diabetes patients.

The reported prevalence of obesity was significantly higher in this study. Around 31% and 50% of patients with type 2 diabetes were overweight and obese respectively. In a previous report, it was shown that one fifth of the adult populations are obese with higher rates in men than in women [16]. The present study findings highlights the urgent need of all concerned authorities to work, focus and amalgamate all their efforts, directed towards weight reduction in the high risk group by appropriate life style interventions.

The mean age of patients with type 2 diabetes was 51.5 ± 13.3 years in this study. Development of diabetes at this productive age is an important issue as these patients are at higher risk of developing complications due to long exposure to hyperglycemia. Another important finding was that nearly half of the patients had an HbA1c of more than 85.8 mmol/mol at base line visit indicating severe hyperglycemia. However, at review visit after three months, an improvement in HbA1c was seen in type 2 patients whereas not much improvement was noted in type 1 patients. Nearly 1.4 % reduction in HbA1c was noted in type 2 with only 0.5% in type 1 patients. Further studies are needed to explain the differences in long term glycemic control among different types of diabetes. A very recent study in Zimbabwean population also reported the differences in long-term glycemic control with 54% of type 1 patients having poor control compared to 24% in type 2 diabetes [17].

Several studies have demonstrated the potential benefits of improving glycemic control to achieve HbA1c targets in patients with type 2 diabetes [18,19]. For each one percent reduction in HbA1c there is a relative risk reduction of 21 percent for any diabetes-related end point, 21% for diabetes-related deaths, 14% relative risk reduction for myocardial infarction and 37% for micro vascular complications [20]. A higher percentage of patients had presence of both macro and micro vascular complications in this study. These results highlight the need for structured care provided by competent health care staff. Attention is needed for provision of facilities for doing regular physical activity and also appropriate resources. Certain challenges such as patient related factors in diet control and physical activity should be considered while formulating interventions.

In the current study, female gender emerged as a significant risk factor for poor glycemic control at baseline. Another risk factor associated with poor glycemic control was longer duration of diabetes. The results highlight that an educational program for female gender that emphasizes diabetes self-care management behaviors would be of great benefit in maintaining good glycemic control. The odds ratio for duration of diabetes was above 2.0 in this study. The finding is consistent with that reported in Jordanian population [21].

The main limitation of this study was that the results were based on hospital data and are not a representative of entire population of the country. Moreover, comparison of these results with data from general population would have highlighted the differences and thrown more light but we do not have data collected from general population. However, the results of this study highlight the need for early screening of diabetes and its complications, correction of hyperglycemia and dyslipidemia. Also, it calls for a fully integrated care for people with dysglycaemia in abide to curtail the development of complications and ameliorate their progression.

In conclusion, patients with diabetes referred to a tertiary care center had high rates of overweight and obesity. Female gender and longer duration of diabetes are significant risk factors for poor glycemic control. Presence of co morbidities was high among the patients referred from primary health care centers. This could be due to late referral, reasons related to organizational, professional or patient causes or other reasons related to culture and social commitments. Strengthening the health care system for a standard care delivery for diabetes and its complications will resolve the burden of diabetes. Effective strategies are highly recommended to improve the current set up at primary care level to focus on education and motivation on behavioral changes and also to facilitate early detection of complications.
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