“Fat-But-Active”: Does Physical Activity Play a Significant Role in Metabolic Syndrome Risk among Children of Different BMI Categories?
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Abstract

Objective: Physical inactivity and adiposity have relevant roles in Metabolic Syndrome (MS) expression. Given the high prevalence of overweight/obesity and low levels of Physical Activity (PA) among Portuguese children, this study intends to explore the idea of “fat-but-active” by analysing differences in MS risk factors across four distinct BMI and PA groups.

Methods: The sample comprises 389 Portuguese children from both sexes, aged 9-11 years. BMI was computed from measurements of height and weight, and PA was assessed by an accelerometer for 7 days. Moderate-To-Vigorous PA (MVPA) was used to classify children as active (≥ 60 min/day) or inactive (<60 min/day). Children were divided into four groups: normal weight and active, normal weight and inactive, overweight and active, and overweight and inactive. A continuous MS score (zMS) was computed from measures of waist circumference, glucose, triglycerides, HDL-cholesterol and mean arterial blood pressure.

Results: There was a high prevalence of overweight (51.9%) among children, and only 35.2% were physically active. In general, the overweight and inactive group had the worst metabolic profile, while the normal weight active group had the best. Except for glucose, differences (p<0.05) were found in the metabolic indicators and for zMS across groups, but they are mainly observed between BMI groups, but not between MVPA groups.

Conclusion: MVPA did not attenuate the MS risk factors in the overweight group, given that MS indicators do not differ in children of the same group when taking into account their MVPA levels. This is a significant result for public health, where strategies related to nutritional education as well as promoting PA should be used to reduce adiposity in children and decrease MS risk factors in this population.

Keywords: Physical activity; BMI; Weight categories; Metabolic risk; Children; Lifestyle; Portugal

Introduction

Although the Metabolic Syndrome (MS), defined as a cluster of three or more metabolic abnormalities such as abdominal obesity, high blood pressure, dyslipidemia and dysglycemia, is mostly an adult health hazard, there is evidence showing that it is also a health problem in the paediatric population [1,2]. The rise in MS prevalence in children parallels the worldwide increases in childhood obesity. For example, Saland [3] reported that in North America, Asia, and Europe, the prevalence of MS in obese youth ranges from 18% to 50%, but in normal weight youth the prevalence is 1% or less. Similarly, a recent review of MS prevalence in children from North America, Latin America, Europe, Asia, and Australasia (aged 2-19 years) reported that in the general population, values ranged from 1.2% to 22.6%, with rates up to 60% in overweight/obese youth, revealing their increased metabolic risk [2]. As obesity and metabolic abnormalities track well from childhood and adolescence to adulthood, it is of foremost importance to reduce these risk factors as early in life as possible to minimize the incidence of cardiovascular diseases later in life [4,5].

There is some evidence that physically active children have a better metabolic profile than the less active, suggesting an inverse association between physical activity and MS [6,7]. Higher physical activity levels are associated with greater insulin sensitivity and HDL-cholesterol, and lower levels of blood pressure, adiposity and triglycerides [8-11]. Moderate-To-Vigorous Physical Activity (MVPA) levels and patterns in all likelihood play an important role as a mediational path to a healthier body weight, thus attenuating the risk of developing MS in youth [7,12]. Furthermore, a recent review addressing the role of physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness concluded that both are separately and independently associated with metabolic risk factors in children and adolescents [7]. In addition, Brambilla et al. [12] pointed out that physical activity influences metabolic risk factors within body weight categories, where normal weight subjects with low physical activity levels have higher metabolic risk than more active ones, and obese subjects with high levels of physical activity have a lower metabolic risk than those who are inactive.

The association between physical fitness and MS in children has been investigated, within the concept of “fat but fit”, where the role of body fatness and fitness levels on metabolic risk profiles has been explored [13,14]; however, the results have not always been in the...
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same direction. There is some evidence that high fitness attenuates the effects of fatness on cardiovascular risk [13]; on the other hand there is also evidence that fatness may attenuate the benefits of fitness on cardiovascular risk [15]. Regarding physical activity, even with its known role on reducing cardiovascular risk factors, there is no clear evidence if it can attenuate the effect of fatness on cardiovascular risk factors in youth [11,12].

Given the high prevalence of overweight and obesity in Portuguese youth, as well as the moderate prevalence of MS and the low percentage of children and adolescents that achieve the MVPA guidelines, it seems relevant to explore the idea of “fat-but-active” by analysing differences in MS risk factors across distinct BMI and PA groups [16-23].

Methods

Sample

The sample is from an ancillary study of the International Study of Childhood Obesity, Lifestyle and the Environment (ISCOLE), a research project conducted in 12 countries from all major world regions [24]. The ISCOLE sample is a two-level random sample of children aged 9-11 years old, from 23 schools from the Northern region of Portugal. Children were from the 5th grade and a sample of 363-40 children was randomly selected from each school (50% for each gender). The ISCOLE study was approved by the physical education department, the school principal and the parental council, from each school, before starting data collection.

From the 777 5th grade Portuguese children aged 9-11 years old (mean age 10.0 ± 0.23) taking part in ISCOLE, an opportunistic sub-sample comprising 389 children (219 girls, 170 boys) accepted to participate in an ancillary study to understand the relationship between physical activity, overweight/obesity and MS. All parents or legal guardians provided written consent for their child to take part in the study. Data were collected from September 2011 to January 2013. The study protocol was approved by the University of Porto ethics committee, as well as by the schools’ directorate councils.

Anthropometry

Height, weight, waist circumference and sitting height measures were taken according to standardized ISCOLE procedures [24]. Each child was measured twice and, when necessary, a third measurement was taken if the difference between the previous two was outside the permissible range for each measure and its replica (0.5 cm for height, sitting height and waist circumference, and 0.5 kg for weight). The mean value of each measured variable was used for analysis.

Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated using the standard formula [weight (kg)/height (m)²], and subjects were classified in two groups [normal weight, and overweight (including obese)] according to the cut-off points from the World Health Organization (WHO), based on BMI z-scores (normal weight: < +1 SD; overweight/obese: ≥ +1 SD) [25].

Physical activity

Actigraph GT3X+ accelerometers (ActiGraph, Pensacola, FL), attached on the right wrist, were used to monitor physical activity. The devices were activated at midnight on the first day and data were recorded with sampling rate of 80 Hz. Children were instructed to wear the accelerometer for at least 7 days (including two weekend days), 24 hours/day. The delivery, reception and information about accelerometer use were made personally.

Accelerometer information was divided into daytime activities and nocturnal sleep time using an automated algorithm [26,27]. Non-wear time during the awake period was defined as any sequence of at least 20 consecutive minutes of zero activity counts [26,27]. To be eligible for this analysis, children had to have at least 4 days (with at least one weekend day) with a minimum of 10 hours of wear time per day; all 389 children fulfilled this condition.

Although the accelerometer provides information related to different physical activity phenotypes, only MVPA was used in the present study. Mean MVPA, according to the cut points defined by Evenson et al. [28] from valid days, was used to classify children into two groups, according to World Health Organization recommendations [29]: active children (mean MVPA ≥ 60 minutes) and inactive children (mean MVPA<60 minutes). In addition, the frequencies of days children meet the MVPA guidelines among valid days were computed. MVPA was defined as greater than 574 activity counts using 15 second epochs, which has been shown to classify children accurately into physical activity intensity categories [28,30].

Biological maturity

An estimate of biological maturity was obtained using the maturity offset method [31]. Using information on sex, age, and individual physical growth (sitting height, stature and body weight) this method estimates in decimal years the time from peak height velocity (PHV). A positive maturity offset indicates the number of years a child is beyond PHV; a negative maturity offset indicates the number of years before PHV.

Metabolic syndrome

MS indicators included Waist Circumference (WC), Mean Arterial Blood Pressure (MAP), Fasting Glucose (GLU), Triglycerides (TRI), and High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (HDL-C). Resting SBP and DBP were measured using a digital Omron sphygmomanometer (5 Series™ Upper Arm Blood Pressure Monitor – BP742, England) after subjects had been at rest for at least 10 minutes [32]. Three measurements were taken with a 3-minute interval between successive measurements, and the mean value was used. The Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP) was calculated as: [(SBP-DBP/3)+DBP]. Finger-stick blood samples were collected after 10-12 hours of fasting and GLU, TRI and HDL-C were analyzed with a LDX point of care analyser [33]. The blood collection was performed in a private room, by a trained technician, and blood analysis was done immediately at the same place of blood collection. All of these procedures (blood collection and blood analysis) took about 5-10 minutes.

A standardized MS score (zMS) was computed using MAP, WC, GLU, TRI, and HDL-C, as previously described [34]. Using a stepwise regression analysis, all MS indicators were adjusted for sex and biological maturity, and the maturity- and sex-standardized residuals (z-score) from each one were obtained. The zMS was derived by summing the continuously distributed MS indicators, with the HDL-z-score been previously multiplied by -1 (given the negative relationship between MS and HDL). A lower zMS is indicative of a better metabolic score been previously multiplied by -1 (given the negative relationship between MS and HDL). A lower zMS is indicative of a better metabolic profile. Notwithstanding the many cut-points proposed to define MS in children, there is still no consensus regarding which indicators should be used and their respective values, varying from one criterion to another. This fact, in association with the relatively low prevalence of MS in general in youth justifies the use of the zMS. As pointed out by Eisenmann [34], this low relative prevalence would require large sample sizes for association studies, limiting the power to detect any
relationship between exposure factors (such as physical activity, BMI) and a dichotomous outcome (having, or not, MS based on defined cutpoints). Further, several studies have used the zMS to represent the MS clustering components, with significant associations with physical activity [6,35].

Data Analysis

Physical activity and BMI categories were used to determine the frequency of children classified in four groups (normal weight and active; normal weight and inactive; overweight and active; and overweight and inactive). Weight status/physical activity groups’ mean differences in each of the individual MS indicators, as well as for zMS, were analysed with ANCOVA (Analysis of Covariance), controlling for sex and biological maturity. A Bonferroni adjusted multiple-comparison test was also used. All analyses were done in SPSS 20, and the significance level was set p<0.05.

Results

Descriptive statistics are presented in Table 1. The average accelerometer valid days was 7; children had recorded about 910 minutes/day of waking wear time, and more than 95% of the sample had physical activity information for 6 or more days. On average, children’s daily MVPA is 55.5 minutes, and only 35.2% of them reached the recommendations, considering the mean value. Taking into account the daily time spent in MVPA, only 2.3% of the sample achieved the WHO guidelines on all valid days, and 18.5% did not reach the guidelines on any day. More than half of the sample was classified as overweight or obese.

The frequency of children classified into the four groups was as follows: 17.2% in the normal weight and active group; 30.8% in the normal weight and inactive group; 18.0% in the overweight and active group; and 33.9% in the overweight and inactive group. Table 2 shows the ANCOVA results for individual risk factors, as well as the zMS, across all four BMI-physical activity groups. In general, the overweight and inactive group had the worst metabolic profile, while the normal-weight and active group had the best. Except for glucose, statistically significant differences (p<0.05) were found for the metabolic indicators and for the zMS across groups. Further, a linear and significant trend was found for MS indicators (except for glucose) and zMS, across groups. In general, significant differences are mainly observed between BMI groups (normal weight versus overweight/obese), but not within groups (active versus inactive).

Discussion

It has been suggested that high levels of physical activity can attenuate the risk of MS in youth. We explored this idea across four distinct BMI and physical activity groups with Portuguese children aged 9-11 years, but firstly produced important descriptive epidemiology information. We showed that a high percentage of Portuguese 10-year-old children are overweight or obese, and also a high percentage does not reach the recommended levels of daily MVPA. These are apprehensive results, as the overweight prevalence differs somewhat from those found worldwide. For example, in a review of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Means ± sd or Percentages (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>19.7 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maturity Offset (years relative to PHV)</td>
<td>-1.9 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVPA (min/day)</td>
<td>55.5 ± 21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average accelerometer valid days</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average awake wear-time (min)</td>
<td>910.0 ± 53.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>66.8 ± 8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mg/dl)</td>
<td>52.5 ± 13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dl)</td>
<td>76.4 ± 54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dl)</td>
<td>89.7 ± 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>107.3 ± 10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>61.6 ± 7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>76.8 ± 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic Syndrome z Score</td>
<td>0.0 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Descriptive statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Active (n=67)</th>
<th>Inactive (n=120)</th>
<th>Active (n=70)</th>
<th>Inactive (n=132)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Pairwise comparisons</th>
<th>p-value for linear trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>62.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>62.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>70.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>71.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>75.11</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NA&lt;OA; NA&lt;OI; NI&lt;OA; NI&lt;OI</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mg/dl)</td>
<td>55.4 ± 1.6</td>
<td>54.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>52.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>49.4 ± 1.1</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>NA&lt;OI; NI&lt;OI</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRI (mg/dl)</td>
<td>62.9 ± 7.0</td>
<td>67.1 ± 5.3</td>
<td>81.2 ± 6.9</td>
<td>89.2 ± 4.9</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>NA&lt;OI; NI&lt;OI</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLU (mg/dl)</td>
<td>86.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>89.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>90.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>86.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>0.712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>104.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>106.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>107.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>110.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>NA&lt;OI; NI&lt;OI</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>59.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>61.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>61.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>63.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>NA&lt;OI</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>74.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>76.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>76.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>78.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>NA&lt;OI; NI&lt;OI</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZMS</td>
<td>-1.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>-1.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>26.55</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NA&lt;OA; NA&lt;OI; NI&lt;OA; NI&lt;OI</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Differences in metabolic risk indicators and zMS across BMI-physical activity groups, controlling for sex and biological maturity.
overweight obesity prevalence among Brazilian youth (aged between 2-19 years), a prevalence of overweight obesity up to 28.2% was found [36], and Janssen et al. [37] reported prevalences higher than 15% in several countries. Previous Portuguese studies, using children aged 10-11 years, reported that the prevalence of overweight and obesity ranged between 18.7%-30.4% and 5.8%-28.0%, which is lower than what we reported in the present study; and these differences can be related to the use of different cut-points (WHO, CDC, IOTF), as well as differences in sample characteristics (since these two previous studies used samples from Portugal mainland, and in the present study the sample came from the Porto region) [14,15].

In any case, these results highlight the actual overweight obesity trends in paediatric populations, namely among Western and developed countries [37]. The main hypothesized reasons for these changes in obesity are related to changes in nutrition and physical activity habits observed in the last decades, which are influenced by social, environmental, interpersonal, community, governmental and biological characteristics, and that are closely related to increases in metabolic risk factors in children and adolescents [38-40].

Although there is some disagreement concerning a physical activity decline across time or the presence of low physical activity levels among youth, our results are in line with those from Baptista et al. [23], where they show that ≈ 64% of Portuguese children aged 10-11 years did not achieve the guidelines for daily MVPA [41,42]. Additionally, a recent review reported that about 48%-63% of children do not meet the guidelines for MVPA [43]. This scenario (low levels of physical activity and high prevalence of children with overweight or obesity) are hypothesized to be the result of children’s adverse lifestyles, mostly characterized by increases in sedentary activities and over-consumption of unhealthy, energy-dense food [44].

The main aim of the present study was to investigate if differences in MS risk factors could be linked to different BMI and physical activity groups: normal-weight and active; normal weight and inactive; overweight and active; overweight and inactive. As expected, the worst metabolic profile was found among the overweight and inactive group which makes them an important group to target for interventions, while the best metabolic profile was from the normal weight and active children, implying that children with high physical activity levels and low BMI are less prone to develop MS.

It has been suggested that physical activity and adiposity have relevant roles on the development of MS risk factors in children, but it is not clear if these traits act separately and independently or in conjunction [45,46]. Regarding physical activity, a recent review by Guinhó et al. [47] reports that the impact of physical activity on MS appeared to be either independent of other factors, or mediated by adiposity in youth. There is some evidence that children with higher physical activity levels have a better metabolic risk profile than their peers with lower physical activity levels [6,7]. In this context, Ekholm et al. [9], concluded that physical activity is inversely associated with metabolic risk, independent of cardiorespiratory fitness and adiposity. According to the authors, this result has several implications for public health, since increasing overall physical activity, such as through play, active transport, and involvement in sport have beneficial effects on children’s metabolic risk profile.

If on one hand, physical activity prevents the development of metabolic risk, it seems clear that adiposity acts in the opposite fashion, being a stronger predictor of metabolic risk in children than physical activity or even physical fitness [11,48]. Additionally, previous studies [2,3] have also reported that among overweight obese youth the prevalence of MS is higher than in normal weight youth, highlighting the adverse role of adiposity in the development of cardio-metabolic risk factors, namely MS.

Few studies have demonstrated that physical activity can attenuate the negative association between adiposity and metabolic risk, where high levels of physical activity improves the MS profile among obese subjects, increasing muscle mass and thus having a direct effect on metabolic function, changing cardiovascular risk factors [12,49]. However, in our study we did not find a significant physical activity effect on zMS, and no difference was observed within BMI groups (active versus inactive), meaning that physical activity does not attenuate the MS risk among normal weight children or even among those who are overweight. This result can be related to different factors. Firstly, it can reinforce the notion that adiposity is more strongly correlated with MS risk factors than physical activity. The second possible explanation for this result is that the 60 minutes of MVPA used to classify subjects as active and inactive, as this cut-point may not be sufficient for preventing the clustering of risk factors in children [6,50].

Notwithstanding the importance of the present results, this study has several limitations that must be discussed. Firstly, although we have a somewhat small sample size and limitations in the number of cases in the four groups, we had enough power to detect differences [a posteriori power analysis showed that, with the exception of glucose (observed power=0.24) and DBP (observed power=0.62), the power was higher than 0.80 in all other variables]. It should be noted that available research linking MS and objectively measured physical activity have similar sample sizes as ours [51]. Secondly, the sample comes from one Portuguese region, and the results do not reflect all Portuguese children. Thirdly, this study has a cross-sectional design which does not allow for clearly determining if the role of PA in attenuating the BMI effects on MS risk changes over time. Despite the limitations, this investigation has several important strengths: first, the use of an objective method to estimate children’s PA; secondly, the use of the accelerometer for a whole week; thirdly, the use of rigorous standard methods and trained personnel to collect high reliable data, and finally to explore the idea of “fat-but-active”, cross-tabulating our sample into four groups according to BMI and physical activity levels.

Conclusion

In summary, MVPA did not attenuate the MS risk factors in overweight Portuguese children, given that MS indicators do not differ in children of the same weight group when taking into account their MVPA levels. On the other hand, weight category seems to be the important link to MS, since the metabolic profile found in the normal weight and active group was significantly better than the one found in overweight and active children. In our view this may represent a significant result in terms of public health to enhance interventions associated with nutritional education and consumption of healthy food which may help the reduction of children MS risk factors. Further, since sustained and systematic MVPA acts on weight control, namely through adiposity reduction, strategies to improve physical activity must also be considered.
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