Evaluation of Predictive Value of Uterine Artery Doppler Indices versus Histopathology Sampling in Detection of Abnormal Uterine Bleeding in Intrauterine Contraceptive Device Users
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ABSTRACT

Background: Contraceptive intrauterine device (IUD) is a successful method of contraception that has been used for more than 30 years. However, it is associated with excessive uterine bleeding, that might cause iron deficiency anaemia, making CIUD use inconvenient for many women especially those with severe menstruation.

Objective: The objective of our study was to evaluate the correlation between abnormal uterine bleeding in IUD users, Doppler uterine artery [Pulsitility Index (PI); Resistant Index (RI)] using transvaginal ultrasound and Doppler with endometrial sampling.

Methods: Study included 120 women from the obstetrics and gynaecology departments of Zagazig University Hospitals, divided into three groups: Group I included 40 women who used intrauterine copper (TCu-380A) and complained of menorrhagia or menometrorrhagia. Group II included 40 women using CIUD and not complaining of abnormal uterine bleeding. Group III included 40 women complaining of vaginal discharge or requesting CIUD insertion, and not complaining of abnormal uterine bleeding as a control group.

Results: PI and RI were significantly lower in IUD-induced irregular bleeding than in IUD-induced women who did not complain of abnormal vaginal bleeding.

Conclusion: Endometrial biopsy has no role in predicting the abnormal uterine bleeding cause. Transvaginal ultrasonography findings can be efficiently used to classify women at risk of experiencing excessive uterine bleeding following CIUD insertion and to make a preliminary diagnosis, thus, notify the pathologists.
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INTRODUCTION

The Intrauterine Device (IUD) is the most widely used reversible form of contraception in the World [1]. Menstrual disorders are a common indication for medical visits among women of reproductive age and heavy menstrual bleeding affects up to 30% of women throughout their reproductive lifetime. AUB may be defined as any variation from the normal menstrual cycle, and includes changes in regularity and frequency of menses, in duration of flow, or in amount of blood loss [2]. Ultrasound is the imaging modality of choice for the female pelvis. It is widely available, has broad acceptance by patients and is relatively inexpensive. High-resolution imaging of transvaginal ultrasound provides high diagnostic accuracy for pelvic pathology [3] used color Doppler ultrasonography to confirm the hypothesis that CIUD-induced bleeding is secondary to an increase in the uterine blood flow (as indicated by decreased PI and RI in uterine artery). They constructed the ROC curves for PI and RI to choose the cutoff values for discriminating between women using CIUD and complaining of menorrhagia or menometrorrhagia and women...
using CIUD and not complaining of abnormal uterine bleeding. These are a plot of the true positive rate (sensitivity) against the false positive rate (1-sensitivity) for the different possible cut points of a diagnostic test. In the first case (PI) they obtained a ROC area of 0.829 and we chose 2.07 as the cutoff point, the value of the area showed the accuracy of the test. In the second case (RI) we obtained a ROC area of 0.804 and we chose 0.7 as the cutoff point, the value of the area showed the accuracy of the test. PI has sensitivity 84.4% and specificity 83.3% in the detecting women with CIUD complaining of abnormal uterine bleeding and RI has sensitivity 78.1% and specificity 80% in the detecting women with CIUD complaining of abnormal uterine bleeding. So, trans vaginal color Doppler can be used to identify women at risk of developing abnormal uterine bleeding after CIUD insertion [4].

**Aim of the work**

To determine whether trans vaginal color Doppler can be used to identify women at risk of developing abnormal uterine bleeding after IUD insertion.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

This study was a prospective clinical study including women who have been wearing a CIUD, presenting to Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, Zagazig University Hospitals from May 2018 to May 2020.

**Study populations**

The study included 120 women. Sample size was calculated using Epi-Info® version 6.0 software, assuming a power of 80% and an α-error of 0.5%.

Included women were divided into three groups:

- Group I included 40 women using copper intrauterine device (TCu-380A) and complaining of menorrhagia or menometrorrhagia.
- Group II included 40 women using CIUD and not complaining of abnormal uterine bleeding.
- Group III included 40 women complaining of vaginal discharge or requesting CIUD insertion, and not complaining of abnormal uterine bleeding as a control group.

**Inclusion criteria**

- Regularly menstruating women before CIUD insertion.
- Age between 20 and 35 years.
- Hormonal treatment not taken at least 2 months before the study.
- Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory not taken 24 hours before the examination

**Exclusion criteria**

- Pregnancy.
- Nulligravida.
- Present or past history of pelvic inflammatory disease.

Other causes of abnormal uterine bleeding such as adenomyosis and the presence of pelvic pathology as ovarian cysts, pelvic endometriosis, endometrial polyps and uterine fibroids.

**Methods**

After taking verbal and written consent to participate in the study, each patient was subjected to the following: Full history taken and examination

**Routine investigations**

Blood group and Rh factor, Complete blood count, Random blood sugar.

**Ultrasound examination**

All included women had a transvaginal ultrasound performed after instructing the patient to evacuate the bladder. The ultrasound set used was (7.5 MHz transvaginal transducer with color Doppler facilities (Voluson 530-D Medison). Measurements of dimension of the uterus (sagittal, transverse) sections were performed in addition to comment on: Position, Endometrial thickness measured in sagittal section, Myometrial fibroids or adenomyosis, Endometrial polyps, thickness and irregularities. Adnexa and any abnormalities as ovarian cysts. Comment on IUCD: including site, displacement, partial expulsion, partial perforation, embedding (Figure 1).

The IUCD was detected by its echogenicity compared to the normal endometrium. The sonographic appearance of an IUCD is determined by its shape and composition. Intratu...
After identification of the IUCD within the uterine cavity the distance between the top of the vertical arm of the device to the endo-myometrial junction (IUCD myometrium) was measured.

Blood flow indices of the uterine artery

Transvaginal probe is placed in the anterior fornix, moved laterally to visualize the paracervical vascular plexus, Color Doppler is turned on and the uterine artery is identified as it turns cranially to make its ascent to the uterine body. Measurements are taken at this point, before the uterine artery branches into the arcuate arteries. The same process is repeated on the contralateral side (Figure 4).

Blood flow indices of the uterine artery; the Pulsatility Index (PI) and the Resistance Index (RI) were calculated according to the following equations: $\text{PI} = \frac{(A-B)}{\text{mean}}$, and $\text{RI} = \frac{(A-B)}{A}$, where A is the peak systolic, B is the end-diastolic Doppler shift, and mean is the mean maximum Doppler shift frequency taken over the cardiac cycle. The mean PI and RI were calculated by combining three waveforms of the left and right uterine artery and were used for subsequent statistical analysis.

Statistical analysis

Data were entered checked and analyzed using Epi-Info version 6 and SPP for Windows version 8.

RESULTS

This prospective clinical study included 120 women who have been wearing an IUD for at least 6 months, presenting to Outpatient Gynecologic Clinic at Zagazig University Hospital.

The study included 120 women, divided into three groups.

- Group I included 40 women using copper intrauterine device (TCu-380A) and complaining of menorrhagia or menometrorrhagia.
- Group II included 40 women using CIUD and not complaining of abnormal uterine bleeding.
- Group III included 40 women complaining of vaginal discharge or requesting CIUD insertion, and not complaining of abnormal uterine bleeding as a control group.

In our study, there were no significant statistical differences between the studied groups concerning age, parity, duration and timing of IUD insertion (Tables 1 and 2). There were no statistically significant differences between groups concerning uterus dimensions and endometrial thickness measure by TVS (Table 3). RI was significantly lower in women of group I than in women of groups II and III ($p<0.001$), PI was significantly lower in women of group I than in women of groups II and III ($p<0.001$) (Table 4). At a cutoff value of 2, PI has a sensitivity of 90% and specificity of 92.5% and RI at a cutoff value of 0.7 has a sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 87.5% in predicting induced uterine bleeding (Tables 5 and 6).
DISCUSSION

The objectives of our study were to evaluate the prediction between abnormal uterine bleeding in IUD users and uterine artery Doppler [Pulsatility Index (PI); Resistant Index (RI)] using transvaginal ultrasound and Doppler. We found in our study that RI and PI were significantly lower in women using CIUD and complaining of abnormal uterine bleeding (indicating increase in the uterine blood flow) than in women using CIUD and not complaining of abnormal uterine bleeding and women not using CIUD. In our study, there were no significant statistical differences between the studied groups concerning age, parity and duration of IUD use. Our results are in agreement with Vercellini, et al. stated during his work on the levonorgestrel IUD that there is no correlation between endometrial thickness and days of bleeding or spotting observed in both groups [5] (Figure 5).

Table 2: Difference between the studied groups concerning timing of IUD insertion (analysis using Chi-square test).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Postpartum</th>
<th>Post-abortion</th>
<th>Postmenstrual</th>
<th>(X^2)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Difference between the studied groups concerning uterus dimensions and endometrial thickness measured by TVS (analysis using one-way anova test).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Uterus length (mm)</th>
<th>Width (mm)</th>
<th>Endometrial thickness (mm)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I Mean ± SD</td>
<td>76.4 ± 9.6</td>
<td>48.5 ± 13.8</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II Mean ± SD</td>
<td>81.7 ± 14.1</td>
<td>49.1 ± 12.7</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Mean ± SD</td>
<td>82.6 ± 12.6</td>
<td>46.9 ± 11.68</td>
<td>7.6 ± 2</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Comparison between the RI of the women of the three groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RI</th>
<th>Group I Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Group II Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Control (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.59-0.8</td>
<td>0.6-0.89</td>
<td>0.61-0.9</td>
<td>15.69</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Validity of PI and RI in prediction of induced uterine bleeding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cutoff</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>PPV (%)</th>
<th>NPV (%)</th>
<th>Accuracy (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>94.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>89.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: ROC curve of PI for prediction of induced uterine bleeding.

Asymptotic 95% Confidence interval

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Asymptotic Sig</th>
<th>Lower bound</th>
<th>Upper bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.903</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>1.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: Figure showing ROC curve of PI for prediction of induced uterine bleeding.
On the other hand in our study, RI and PI were significantly lower in women of group I (women using CIUD and complaining of abnormal uterine bleeding) than in women of group II (women using CIUD and not complaining of abnormal uterine bleeding) and group III (control group) (p<0.001). Our results are correlated with Momtaz et al. They measured the PI and RI of uterine arteries in 68 women, including 44 using intrauterine contraceptive device and 24 control women who were not using a method of contraception. Both the PI and RI were significantly lower in women with CIUD-induced bleeding than in those using CIUD and not complaining of abnormal vaginal bleeding. In addition, there were no statistically significant differences in PI and RI between women using CIUD without complaining of abnormal vaginal bleeding and women in the control group.

They reported that the PI was less than 2 in women with CIUD-induced bleeding, while the mean PI in women using IUD without complications was 2.38 with the lowest PI being 1.98 [6]. Frajndlich et al. measured resistance and Pulsatility indices in 101 women, 74 of whom were using an intrauterine contraceptive device, and 27 controls, who were not using any contraceptive method. The intrauterine contraceptive device users were divided into three groups: those with normal bleeding (n=34); those with abnormal uterine bleeding without medication (n=16); and those with abnormal bleeding corrected with use of prostaglandin inhibitors (n=24). The resistance and Pulsatility values were significantly lower in the group of women using intrauterine contraceptive devices who had abnormal bleeding than in all other groups. A Pulsatility index of less than 2 may be associated with a higher risk for development of intrauterine contraceptive device-induced bleeding [7]. Yigit et al. measured PI, RI, and systole/diastole ratio(S/D) in the uterine artery and its myometrial branches in 28 patients before and after the insertion of CIUD. They reported that the PI and S/D values in the uterine artery increased significantly after the insertion of the CIUD (p<0.05).

Patients with increased bleeding scores after insertion of CIUD had significantly lower uterine artery PI compared with those without increased bleeding scores (p<0.05). They concluded that low uterine artery PI values recorded in the early phase of the menstrual cycle in patients with a CIUD were associated with an increased bleeding risk [8]. In contrast to our results, other study measured the PI and RI in 100 patients before and 30 days after the insertion of CIUD, no statistically significant changes in PI and RI values were detected [9]. Jimenez et al. reported that there were no statistically significant differences in PI and RI between women with CIUD-induced bleeding and women using IUD with normal menstruation [10].

CONCLUSION

The results of our study confirm the hypothesis that there is an increase in the uterine blood flow (indicated by decreased PI and RI in the uterine artery) in patients with CIUD-induced abnormal uterine bleeding. The uterine artery Doppler could be used to identify patients at risk of developing excessive bleeding after copper IUD insertion.
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