Evaluation of Pattern of Drug Use in Tertiary Health Care Setting in Central Tigray Using WHO Prescribing Indicators
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ABSTRACT

Background: Rational drug use entails a multi-collaborative effort which encompasses various professionals. Prescribing indicator is among the WHO core drug use indicators used to investigate the rational drug use in healthcare facilities. The study was aimed to evaluate drug utilization pattern using WHO prescribing indicators in Aksum University Comprehensive Specialized Hospital (AkUCSH).

Methods: A facility based cross sectional study design was employed which was conducted between April and May 2019. Records of patients at Out-patient Pharmacy administered from April 01 2018 to March 31 2019 were the study populations. Based on WHO recommendation, a total of 600 patient prescriptions were included. A systematic random sampling technique was employed to include patient prescriptions fulfilled the inclusion criteria. A structured data collection tool was used to collect data and necessary supervision was done during the data collection process. Data was entered in to, checked, and analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 24.

Results: Totally, 1053 drugs were prescribed in 600 prescriptions. The average number of drug prescribed per encounter was found to be 1.78 (SD ± 0.913). Encounters prescribed using generic name were 95.63% (1007). Moreover, about 99.5% (1048) prescribed medicines were within the Essential Medicines List (EML). In this study from a total of 1053 prescribed medicines, 49.2% (295) and 4.0% (24) were antibiotics and injections, respectively.

Conclusion: Our finding revealed, the pattern of rational drug use using WHO prescribing indicators is suboptimal.
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INTRODUCTION

Drugs are the main intervention mechanisms used for the treatment, diagnosis, mitigation and prevention of diseases [1]. Therefore, rational way of drug use needs to be always in the forefront practice. The World Health Organization (WHO) defined, Rational Drug Use (RDU) is when patients receive medications appropriate for their clinical needs, in doses that meet their own individual requirements, for an adequate period of time, and the lowest cost to them and their community [2-4]. The ultimate goal of RDU is to foster better quality of pharmaceutical care, to minimize the cost of drug therapy, to avoid preventable adverse drug reactions and drug interactions, to maximize therapeutic outcomes and to promote patient adherence [5,6].

In contrast to this, the use of drugs when no drug therapy is indicated, the use of the wrong drug for a specific condition requiring drug therapy, the use of drugs with doubtful or unproven efficacy, the use of drugs of uncertain safety status, failure to provide available, safe, and effective drugs, the use of correct drugs with incorrect administration, dosages, and duration, the use of unnecessarily expensive drugs is deemed as irrational use of drugs
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[7,8]. Overuse of antibiotics and indiscriminate use of injections are some of the common encounters of inappropriate prescribing practice in many health care facilities [7,9].

Although higher level of medical knowledge was associated with a higher percentage of drugs prescribed from the Essential Medicines List (EML) prescriber differences in final academic degree and specialty leads to differences in all of the five prescriptions quality indicators [10-12].

Though, there is a dearth of information on the pattern of rational drug use in our setting. Therefore, the study was aimed to evaluate the rational drug utilization pattern using WHO prescribing indicators in Aksum University Comprehensive Specialized Hospital (AkUCSH).

**METHODS**

**Study setting, area and design**

A facility based cross sectional study design was employed to evaluate the drug utilization pattern using WHO prescribing indicators in AkUCSH. It is a tertiary healthcare hospital located in central Tigray in the northern part of Ethiopia. It is considered to provide a diagnosis, treatment and rehabilitation services for a population of more than 3.5 million. The pharmaceutical service is organized as Out-patient Pharmacy Department, Inpatient Pharmacy Department, Emergency Pharmacy Department, Operation room pharmacy, and Central store. The study was conducted between April and May 2019.

**Study population and sampling procedure**

Records of patients at Out-patient Pharmacy administered from April 01 2018 to March 31 2019 were the study populations. Prescriptions containing only medical supplies like syringe and gloves were excluded. These were selected based on WHO [13] recommendation and a total of 600 encounters were included. A systematic random sampling strategy was employed to include the predetermined sample. Therefore, every eighth prescription was included among 4782 prescriptions prescribed in the review period until the predetermined sample was obtained.

**Data collection and management**

A data collection tool was used adopted by WHO [13] to collect the data. This data collection format was completed by trained data collectors. Strict and necessary supervision and checking was done to ensure completeness and consistency of data during the data collection process. Data was entered in to, checked, and analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Science version 24.

**Measurements**

Prescribing indicator is one of the WHO core drug use indicators which help to assess the rational drug use in health care facilities. It includes various indicators to assess the prescribing pattern. In our study it is used to assess the pattern of rational drug use as follows [13].

**Indicator 1: Average number of drugs per encounter**

It indicates the average number of drugs per prescription to measure the degree of poly pharmacy. It is calculated by dividing the total number of drug products prescribed, by the number of prescriptions surveyed. It is not relevant whether the patient actually received the drugs.

**Indicator 2: Percentage of drugs prescribed by generic name**

This measures percentage of drugs prescribed by generic name and its purpose is to measure the tendency to prescribe by generic name. It is calculated as dividing the number of drugs prescribed by generic name by the total number of drugs prescribed, multiplied by 100.

**Indicator 3: Percentage of encounters with an antibiotic prescribed**

It is to measure the percentage of prescriptions with antibiotics and to assess the overall use of antibiotics. This is calculated by dividing the number of patient encounters during which an antibiotic was prescribed, by the total number of prescriptions surveyed, multiplied by 100.

**Indicator 4: Percentage of encounters with an injection prescribed**

It is to measure the percentage of prescriptions with injections and to assess the overall use of injections. This is calculated by dividing the number of patient encounters during which an injection was prescribed, by the total number of prescriptions surveyed, multiplied by 100.

**Indicator 5: Percentage of drugs prescribed from the essential drug list or formulary**

This is to measure the degree to which prescribing practices follow to the National EML [14]. It is calculated by dividing the number of drugs prescribed which are listed on the essential drugs list or local formulary, by the total number of drugs prescribed, multiplied by 100.

**RESULTS**

In the one-year review period, from a total of 600 systematically randomly selected prescriptions 1053 drugs were prescribed. Majority of the encounters (44.3%) were contained one medicine per encounter followed by two medicines per encounter. The average number of drugs prescribed per encounter was found to be 1.78 (SD±0.913), and ranging from one to seven medicines per encounter Table 1.

The encounters prescribed using generic name was found to be 95.63% (1007). Moreover, most (99.5%, n=1048) prescribed medicines were found to be in the National EML. Furthermore, our study indicated from a total of 1053 prescribed medicines, 49.2% (519) were antibiotics. Almost one half of the overall

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.no.</th>
<th>n = number of drugs per encounter</th>
<th>Frequency (x*n)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>One</td>
<td>266*1</td>
<td>44.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Two</td>
<td>240*2</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Three</td>
<td>66*3</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Four</td>
<td>19*4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Five</td>
<td>6*5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Six</td>
<td>16*1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Seven and above</td>
<td>2*7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1053</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The present study found; the average number of medicines prescribed per encounter was found to be 1.78. Earlier study conducted in Southern Ethiopia reported comparable finding (1.77) [15]. This was with the WHO recommended range (1.6-1.8) [13], but lower compared to other studies; (1.84-2.93) [16-21]. Our finding revealed there was a minimum tendency of poly-pharmacy. Only nine prescriptions were prescribed with five and above medicines. This might be due to prescriber’s experience, lower comorbid conditions and increased hospital visit as the commencement of community-based health insurance.

The percentage of drugs prescribed in generic name was accounted to 95.63% (1007). Although majority of these medicines were prescribed by their generic name this was slightly lower to the acceptable level. In line to this study, a study conducted by Birhanu et al. [16] reported comparable finding (93.3%). Prescriber experience and different professional and nonprofessional trainings might be the plausible explanations. However, this necessitates an extra effort from professionals, regulatory bodies and other responsible organizations.

Our study found, the encounters with antibiotic prescription were 49.2%. This was very high as compared to the ideal range (20.0-26.8) [13]. Similar to our study, other studies also reported prescriptions with antibiotics were higher compared to other group of medicines; (31.8-65.4%) [18,22-24]. This overuse of antibiotics might result the emergence of antimicrobial resistance and can force patients to demand unnecessary expenditure which in return leads to reduced access and attendance rates due to medicine stock-outs and loss of patient confidence in the health care system [7,25-27]. In contrary to this, other studies reported a lower level of antibiotic prescription (6.6-17.7%) [17,20]. This might be due to the difference in type of the population and prevalence of infectious disease conditions in the study area.

Consistent to other studies (8.1-10.9%) [19,20], our study revealed, a few percent of injectable drugs 4% (24) were prescribed. This could be due to the outpatient pharmacy is mainly organized for ambulatory clients while patients with severe and emergent conditions are served in other pharmacy dispensing areas. The national EML is considered to contain medicines with higher public health relevance, optimum safety, efficacy and quality and reasonable cost. Health care facilities procure medicines based on EML and required to develop their own hospital formulary [28]. In the present study, almost all medicines 99.5% (1048) were from the national EML. This was concurrent with other studies conducted in Ethiopia; 99.3% [17], and 100% [18]. This might be due to prescriber’s experience, type of disease conditions and nature of medicines procured.

**DISCUSSION**

As with any study this study might not without limitations. First, our findings could not be generalized for the whole Ethiopia and should not be extrapolated to the international world. Secondly, the nature of the study design and data collection technique might introduce information bias, other studies might be necessary to assess the types of medications frequently prescribed, common disease conditions, and prescriber’s experience and qualification for further recommendations. Our finding might however add to the growing literature, particularly around rational drug use and pharmaceutical health systems in developing countries.

**LIMITATION OF THE STUDY**

Our finding revealed, the pattern of rational drug use using WHO prescribing indicators were suboptimal. The number of antibiotics and injections per encounter were beyond. However, the average number of drugs per encounter was within the recommended range and nearly all these drugs were from EML.

**CONCLUSION**

Our finding revealed, the pattern of rational drug use using WHO prescribing indicators were suboptimal. The number of antibiotics and injections per encounter were beyond. However, the average number of drugs per encounter was within the recommended range and nearly all these drugs were from EML.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We would like to thank the data collectors and the hospital staff for their genuine support during the study.

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that they have no any conflicts of interest.

**REFERENCES**

4. El Mahalli AA. WHO/INRUD drug prescribing indicators at primary...


