Evaluation of Antibacterial, Antifungal and Phytochemical Screening of Solanum nigrum
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Abstract

The present study aimed at evaluating the antimicrobial potential of aqueous and methanolic extracts of Solanum nigrum, a traditionally used medicinal plant with multiple therapeutic properties. The susceptibility of microbial strains to the two extracts was determined using agar well diffusion method. The bacterial strains employed were Bacillus subtilis, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Proteus vulgaris and Escherichia coli. The fungal strains used were Penicillium chrysogenum, Aspergillus fumigatus, Candida albicans and Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Phytochemical screening was performed using standard methods. A dose dependent increase in the antibacterial activity was observed with both the methanol and aqueous extracts. Highest antibacterial activity was exhibited by aqueous extract with Escherichia coli (16 ± 0.23 mm) followed by Staphylococcus aureus (15 ± 0.15 mm) at the concentration of 100 mg/ml plant extract. Methanolic extract showed highest antibacterial activity against Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa with zone of inhibition (14 ± 0.11 mm) and (14 ± 0.26 mm) at the same concentration (100 mg/ml) respectively. The highest antifungal potential was exhibited by the methanolic extract against Saccharomyces cerevisiae (26 ± 0.27 mm) and Candida albicans (22 ± 0.13 mm), while the aqueous extract exhibits the highest antifungal potential against Saccharomyces cerevisiae (23 ± 0.14 mm) followed by Candida albicans (21 ± 0.10 mm) and Aspergillus fumigatus (16 ± 0.11 mm) at the concentration of 100 mg/ml. Phytochemical analysis revealed the plant is rich in various secondary metabolites like alkaloids, saponins, flavonoids, phenols and volatile oils. Cardenolides and phlobatannins were found absent. The study concludes that the plant possess novel compounds with significant antibacterial and antifungal properties. Isolation and characterization of these novel compounds could provide potent antimicrobial agents to combat pathogenic infections.
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Introduction

Pathogenic microbes always posed serious threats to the health of humans and other animals. Microbes such as bacteria, viruses, fungi or protozoans are the causative agents of numerous infectious diseases like tuberculosis, AIDS, syphilis, candidiasis, aspergillosis, amoebiasis, poliomyelitis, etc. In fact infectious diseases are the second leading cause of death worldwide [1]. Human beings are being infected by infectious microbes from times immemorable till now. Microbes like Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella pneumonia, and Escherichia coli cause skin infections, septicaemia, upper and lower respiratory infections. E. coli is also involved in causing gynecological infections. Staphylococcus aureus causes intra-abdominal infections, bone and joint infections, lower respiratory infections as well as skin infections. Proteus vulgaris is an opportunistic pathogen responsible for causing urinary tract infections (UTI) and wound infections [2]. Bacillus subtilis acts as an opportunistic pathogen, producing harmful toxins that can sometimes lead to food poisoning [3]. Candida albicans is the causative agent of candidiasis. Aspergillus fumigatus causes allergic broncho-pulmonary aspergillosis and chronic pulmonary infections [4] Penicillium chrysogenum causes infection in people with severely suppressed immune systems like those with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and is characterized by pulmonary infection such as pneumonias, localized granulomas and systemic infection. The airborne asexual spores of Penicillium chrysogenum act as allergens for human beings, inducing allergic reactions [5]. While as 1% of all vaginal yeast infections occur due to Saccharomyces cerevisiae [6].

Medicinal plants were the first weapons used by man to treat pathogenic infections. With the discovery of antibiotics in the beginning of 20th century, scientists begin to develop synthetic antimicrobials, derived from microbial sources. Ironically, excessive use of these synthetic drugs in human beings and other animals, besides their growing presence in soil, water and food contributed to the serious problem of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) [7]. Today, AMR is a major health concern that has rendered many antibiotics ineffective. After more than 50 years of widespread use of so called "miracle drugs", synthetic antibiotics are no longer as effective as they once used to be. There is an alarming increase in the number of multiple drug resistant (MDR) strains. In fact, most of the bacterial infections throughout the world are becoming resistant to antibiotics [8,9]. There is also a drastic decline in the production of new synthetic antibiotics [10]. Further, synthetic antibiotics are associated with adverse effects on host by causing hypersensitivity, immune suppression and allergic reactions [11]. Therefore there is desperate need to develop alternate antimicrobial drugs for the treatment of various infectious diseases from medicinal plant sources [12].

The plant kingdom is a treasure house of potential therapeutic
drugs. It is estimated that there are about 2.5 lakh to 5.0 lakh species of plants on earth [13]. Among them only a negligible percentage has been explored for phytochemicals and medicinal properties. In fact only less than 1% of some 250,000 higher plants have been screened for their phytochemistry or pharmacology [14]. Medicinal plants are rich sources of antimicrobial phytochemicals notably flavonoids, saponins, tannins, alkaloids, phenols, terpenes and terpenoids [15,16]. Scientific studies have proven the antimicrobial potential of herbal extracts against different pathogenic microbes [17,18]. It is worth to mention that antimicrobial Phytoconstituents are the emerging alternatives for the control of pathogenic microorganisms.

The present study was conducted to evaluate the antimicrobial potential of the plant against some bacterial and fungal strains. Solanum nigrum, commonly known as black nightshade is a member of the Solanaceae family. Locally, it is known as "Kambai". It is a short lived perennial shrub that usually grows in moist habitats. Solanum nigrum is a traditionally used important medicinal plant with multiple therapeutic properties such as antiproliferative, antiseizure, anti-inflammatory, anti- poiso, antioxidant and antipyretic activity [19]. Some traditional uses of the plant include the treatment of pain, inflammation and fever [20,21]. In India, its roots are boiled with a little sugar to increase fertility in women. Root juice is also used to treat asthma and whooping cough. Leaf paste is used to treat rashes and for wound healing. In Algeria, whole plant decoction is traditionally used to treat burns and dermal infections [19].

Materials and Methods

Collection and identification of plant material

Fresh whole plant samples of Solanum nigrum were collected from higher reaches of Kashmir Valley, India in the month of September (2014). The plant was identified by the courtesy of Centre of Plant Taxonomy (COPT), Department of Botany University of Kashmir and authenticated by Mr. Akhtar Hussain Malik (Curator). The specimen/plant sample was properly labeled, numbered, noted with the date of collection and is well preserved in the herbarium of COPT, University of Kashmir under a specific voucher specimen number 205S-KASH.

Preparation of extracts

Whole plant sample was allowed to shade dry at 30 ± 2°C. The dried plant material was ground into coarse powder with the help of grinder and extracted using methanol and water as solvents, by using Soxhlet extractor (60°C to 80°C). The solvent extracts so obtained were concentrated with the help of rotary evaporator under reduced pressure to obtain solid extracts. Five different concentrations i.e., 10, 30, 50, 80 and 100 milligram/milliliter (mg/ml) of both aqueous and methanolic extracts were prepared by dissolving solid extracts in 10% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO). Extracts so prepared were stored in a refrigerator at 4°C for further use.

Test micro-organisms

Six bacterial strains including two Gram positive bacteria namely Staphylococcus aureus (MTCC-2940), Bacillus subtilis (MTCC-441) and four Gram negative bacteria namely Proteus vulgaris (MTCC-426), Klebsiella pneumoniae (MTCC-139), Escherichia coli (MTCC-739), and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (MTCC-424) were employed for antibacterial assay. Four fungal strains, Candida albicans (MTCC-227), Saccharomyces cerevisiae (MTCC-170), Aspergillus fumigatus (MTCC-1811) and Penicillium chrysogenum (MTCC-947) were employed for antifungal assay. The Bacterial and fungal strains were obtained from Microbial Type Culture Collection, Institute of Microbial Technology (IMTECH), Chandigarh, India. Bacterial and fungal strains were maintained by sub-culturing them on Mueller Hinton Agar (Himedia) and Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (Himedia) respectively after every fifteen days and then stored at 4°C.

Gentamycin discs and Nystatin powder was obtained from EOS Laboratories, India and served as positive controls for antibacterial and antifungal assays respectively. 10% Dimethylsulfoxide (Himedia) was used as negative control.

Antibacterial assay

Antibacterial assay for aqueous and methanolic extracts was performed by agar well diffusion method as described by Irshad et al. [22] with some modification. 100 µl of standardized inoculum (0.5 Mc Farland) of each test bacterium was inoculated on molten Mueller Hinton Agar (Himedia), homogenised and then poured into sterile petri plates to yield a uniform depth of 4 mm. The petriplates were allowed to solidify inside the laminar hood. Sterile cork borers of 5 mm in diameter were used to make uniform and equidistant wells into each petriplate. 100 µl of each concentration (10 mg/ml, 30 mg/ml, 50 mg/ml, 80 mg/ml and 100 mg/ml) of plant extracts, prepared in 10% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) were loaded into different peripheral wells. Gentamycin (10 µg/disc) disc was placed at the centre of each petriplate and served as positive control, while as 10% dimethylsulfoxide served as negative control in a separate petri plate. The petri plates were then incubated at 37°C for 18 to 24 hours in an incubator. The plates were then observed for the zones of inhibition. Antibacterial potential was evaluated by measuring the diameters of zones of inhibition in millimeters (mm) with the help of a standard measuring scale.

Antifungal assay

Antifungal assay for aqueous and methanolic extracts was performed by agar well diffusion method as described by Ahmad et al. [23] with some modification. 100 µl of standardized inoculum (0.5 Mc Farland) of each test fungi were inoculated on sterile molten Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (Himedia), homogenised and poured into a sterile petri plate to yield a uniform depth of 4 mm. The petriplates were allowed to solidify inside the laminar hood. Sterile cork borers of 5 mm in diameter were used to make five wells at periphery and one well at centre of each petriplate. 100 µl of each concentration (10 mg/ml, 30 mg/ml, 50 mg/ml, 80 mg/ml and 100 mg/ml) of plant extract, prepared in 10% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) were loaded into five different peripheral wells. 100 µl of standard antibiotic Nystatin (0.5 mg/ml) was loaded into the central well while as 10% dimethylsulfoxide alone was used as negative control in a separate petri plate. The petri plates were then incubated at 32°C for 24 to 36 hours. After incubation period, the plates were observed for the zones of inhibition. Antifungal potential was evaluated by measuring inhibition zone diameters in millimeters (mm) with the help of standard measuring scale.

Phytochemical analysis

Preliminary phytochemical screening of aqueous and methanolic extracts of Solanum nigrum was done according to methods described by Harborne [24].

Statistical analysis

The values of zones of inhibition were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) of three independent experiments. The results were evaluated by using SPSS (version 12.0) and evaluated by one way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni t-test. Statistical significance was considered when value of P<0.05.
Results

Anti-bacterial activity

The antibacterial activity of the plant varied with the type of bacterial strain and the extract used. Out of the two extracts used, the aqueous extract was found more potent against *Escherichia coli* (16 ± 0.23 mm) (Figure 1) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (15 ± 0.15 mm) (Figure 2) at the concentration of 100 mg/ml respectively, while the methanolic extract was found most effective against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (14 ± 0.26 mm) (Figure 3) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (14 ± 0.11 mm) (Figure 4). The results were compared to a standard antibacterial drug, Gentamycin (G) which showed the zone of inhibition of 25 ± 1.32 mm against *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Bacillus subtilis*, 25 ± 1.02 mm against *Proteus vulgaris*, 25 ± 1.36 mm against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, 20 ± 1.92 mm against *Escherichia coli* and 27 ± 1.25 mm against *Staphylococcus aureus* respectively (Figure 5). 10% DMSO (negative control) showed no activity against any of the tested bacterial strains. The detailed results of the antibacterial potential of plant extracts are shown in Table 1.

Anti-fungal activity

Methanolic extract showed maximum activity against all the tested fungal strains with the zones of inhibition equal to 17 ± 0.20 mm (*Aspergillus fumigates*), 22 ± 0.13 mm (*Candida albicans*) (Figure 6), 15 ± 0.19 mm (*Penicilliumdigitatum*), 15 ± 0.24 mm (*Pseudocercospora coniophila*) and 16 ± 0.18 mm (*Fusarium oxysporum*). The detailed results of the antifungal potential of plant extracts are shown in Table 2.
± 0.22 mm (Penicillium chrysogenum) and 26 ± 0.27 mm (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) (Figure 7) respectively at the concentration of 100 mg/ml. Aqueous extract was observed more potent against Saccharomyces cerevisiae with zone of inhibition 23 ± 0.14 mm (Figure 8) and Candida albicans with zone of inhibition 21 ± 0.10 mm (Figure 9). The results were compared to that of standard antifungal drug, Nystatin (N) which exhibited the zone of inhibition equal to 30 ± 1.59 mm against Candida albicans, 30 ± 1.81 mm against Saccharomyces cerevisiae, 27 ± 1.11 mm against Aspergillus fumigatus and 25 ± 1.06 mm against Penicillium chrysogenum (Figure 10). 10% DMSO (negative control) showed no activity against any of the tested fungal strains. The detailed antifungal potential of plant extracts is provided in Table 2.

Table 1: Showing zones of inhibition (in millimeter) of aqueous and methanolic extract of Solanum nigrum against bacterial strains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration of plant extract</th>
<th>Bacterial strain</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>10 mg/ml</th>
<th>30 mg/ml</th>
<th>50 mg/ml</th>
<th>80 mg/ml</th>
<th>100 mg/ml</th>
<th>Gentamycin (10 µg/disc)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Klebsiella pneumoniae</td>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11 ± 0.13</td>
<td>12 ± 0.15</td>
<td>25 ± 1.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8 ± 0.23</td>
<td>9 ± 0.56</td>
<td>10 ± 0.34</td>
<td>10 ± 0.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>12 ± 0.26</td>
<td>13 ± 0.30</td>
<td>14 ± 0.33</td>
<td>15 ± 0.21</td>
<td>16 ± 0.23</td>
<td>20 ± 1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>9 ± 0.12</td>
<td>10 ± 0.17</td>
<td>11 ± 0.24</td>
<td>12 ± 0.14</td>
<td>13 ± 0.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proteus vulgaris</td>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>9 ± 0.15</td>
<td>10 ± 0.36</td>
<td>10 ± 0.20</td>
<td>11 ± 0.22</td>
<td>12 ± 0.29</td>
<td>25 ± 1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10 ± 0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>11 ± 0.10</td>
<td>12 ± 0.21</td>
<td>13 ± 0.27</td>
<td>13 ± 0.33</td>
<td>15 ± 0.15</td>
<td>27 ± 1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11 ± 0.13</td>
<td>12 ± 0.11</td>
<td>13 ± 0.14</td>
<td>14 ± 0.11</td>
<td>25 ± 1.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>9 ± 0.15</td>
<td>10 ± 0.36</td>
<td>10 ± 0.27</td>
<td>10 ± 0.19</td>
<td>11 ± 0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>10 ± 0.11</td>
<td>11 ± 0.28</td>
<td>12 ± 0.25</td>
<td>13 ± 0.31</td>
<td>14 ± 0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bacillus subtilis</td>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>9 ± 0.12</td>
<td>10 ± 0.32</td>
<td>11 ± 0.34</td>
<td>12 ± 0.13</td>
<td>13 ± 0.19</td>
<td>25 ± 1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Minus sign (-) indicates no activity
Each value represents the mean ± SD of three independent experiments and evaluated by one way ANOVA followed by the Bonferroni t-test. Differences were considered to be statistically significant if P<0.05.
Phytochemical analysis

Phytochemicals are non-nutritive substances of plants that have disease preventive properties. The phytochemical analysis of *Solanum nigrum* revealed the presence of alkaloids, cardiac glycosides, flavonoids, saponins, tannins and volatile oils in both aqueous and methanolic extracts. Anthraquinones and phenols were detected only in aqueous extracts while as the steroids and terpenoids were present only in methanolic extract. Cardenolides and phlobotannins were absent in both the extracts as shown in Table 3.

Discussion

In the present study, the aqueous extract of *Solanum nigrum* was found effective against most of the bacterial strains while the methanolic extract was found effective against fungal strains. This could be due to the presence of different phytochemicals in different solvents as a result of differences in their relative polarities/solubilities as reported by many studies [25-27]. Traditional healers used only water for the purpose of extraction but studies have proven the importance of the type of extracting solvent in determining the pharmacological activity of a medicinal plant [28]. As far as susceptibility of microbes is concerned, *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* were found most susceptible bacterial strain, while as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Candida albicans* were the most susceptible fungal strains. Similar results were reported by Antara and Amla, while studying the antimicrobial activity of different solvent extracts of *Melia azedarach* L [29]. Phytochemical studies indicate that the plant is rich in compounds like alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins, tannins, phenols, cardiac glycosides and volatile oils. Our results are in good agreement with those reported by Belkacem et al. while performing the phytochemical screening of various extracts of *Punica granatum* L [30]. The plant may possess antimicrobial activity due to the presence of any of these phytoconstituents which

### Table 3: Showing preliminary phytochemical screening of aqueous and methanolic extracts of *Solanum nigrum*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phytochemicals</th>
<th>Aqueous</th>
<th>Methanol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthraquinones</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac glycosides</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardenolides</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenols</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phlobotannins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saponins</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannins</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terpenoids</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile oils</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value represents the mean ± SD of three independent experiments and evaluated by one way ANOVA followed by the Bonferroni t-test. Differences were considered to be statistically significant if P<0.05.
may operate through varied mechanisms including the disruption of cell membrane, inhibition of cell wall formation, inactivation of microbial adhesins, suppression of enzymes or blocking of nucleic acid synthesis [31]. Further, the studies have proven that phytochemical compounds can even disrupt the activities of multiple drug resistant (MDR) microbes by influencing various parameters such as efflux pumps, beta-lactamase enzymes, resistance plasmids and bacterial gene transposition [32].

Conclusion

Present study has justified the claimed use of this plant in the traditional medicinal systems for the treatment of various infections. From the outcome of the study, it is concluded that the crude extracts of the plant possess considerable antimicrobial activity, especially against fungal strains used in the study. The plant may contain potent antimicrobial compounds, effective in the treatment of various fungal and bacterial infections. However, further investigation is needed in the direction of pure compound isolation, toxicological studies and clinical trials so as to use the promising compound(s) as effective antimicrobial agents.
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