Efficacy of Autologous Platelet-Rich Plasma alone or in Combination with a Lotion Containing Growth-Factor like Polypeptides and Taurine in the Treatment of Androgenic Alopecia: A Randomized, Prospective, Assessor-Blinded Trial
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ABSTRACT

Introduction and Objectives: Autologous Platelet-Rich Plasma (PRP) dermal injections are considered as a therapeutic option for the medical treatment of androgenic alopecia (AGA). However, clinical efficacy of this approach in some cases could be disappointing. Positive effects of PRP in AGA seem to correlate, at least in part, with a growth factors mimicking action. A hair scalp lotion containing high-purified, growth factors-like polypeptides (octapeptide 2, acetyl decapeptide 3, oligopeptide 20 and copper tripeptide) with the addition of glycine and taurine (GFM-L) is available. So far, no data regarding the potential synergistic effect of autologous PRP treatment combined with GFM-L are available.

We therefore conducted a prospective, randomized, assessor-blinded trial to compare the efficacy and tolerability of PRP alone vs. PRP and GFM-L treatment in men and women with AGA.

Materials and Methods: The study was a prospective, randomized (with a balance ratio of 2:1), assessor-blinded, 3-month trial. Thirty subjects (18 men and 12 women; mean age: 41 years) were enrolled after their written informed consent. Twenty subjects were allocated to PRP treatment cycle followed by GFM-L application for 3 months (2 ml of lotion every other day) (Group A) and 10 subjects were allocated to PRP treatment alone (Group B).

In both groups autologous PRP injections on the affected area were performed over a period of 3 months at interval of 3-4 weeks, using a volume of 10 cc of PRP for each session treatment. Primary end point was the Investigator Global Assessment (IGA) score of clinical efficacy at month 3 in comparison with baseline.

IGA score ranged from 0 (no improvement) to 3 (strong improvement). Secondary endpoint was the evolution of Hamilton scale grading (HSG), evaluated at baseline and at the end of study period. IGA score and HSG were performed by an investigator unaware of the treatment allocation group.

Results: All the enrolled subjects concluded the study period. At baseline HSG was 2.0 ± 0.6 in Group A and 2.1 ± 0.3 in Group B. At month 3, a significant reduction of HSG was observed in Group A subjects only, both in comparison with baseline and in comparison, with Group B values (1.3 ± 0.5 vs. 2.1 ± 0.37; P=0.0028). At month 3, IGA score was 2.1 ± 0.9 in group A and 0.8 ± 0.3 in group B (P=0.0031). GFM-lotion was well tolerated.

Conclusion: The combination of GFM-lotion containing growth factors like peptides and taurine with autologous PRP treatment is superior in term of efficacy to the treatment with PRP alone in subjects with AGA.
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INTRODUCTION

Androgenic alopecia (AGA) (also known as pattern alopecia) is the most common form of a chronic non-scarring hair loss, affecting both men and women [1]. AGA is characterized by progressive hair loss, especially of scalp hair, and has distinctive patterns of loss in women versus men, but in both genders the central scalp is most severely affected [2].

Follicular miniaturization and local high dihydrotestosterone (DHT) activity are considered the main pathological mechanisms involved in AGA [3]. Microinflammation is also a relevant pathogenetic mechanism involved in this clinical condition [4].

Approved therapeutic options are limited to topical minoxidil [5] for both men and women and oral finasteride [6] for men. However, both drugs possess side effects and are effective in less than 50% of treated patients [7].

Autologous Platelet-Rich Plasma (PRP) dermal injection is an additional therapeutic option for the medical treatment of AGA [8]. However, clinical efficacy of this approach in some cases could be disappointing [9]. Positive effects of PRP in AGA seem correlated, at least in part, with a growth factors mimicking action [10].

Taurine is a relevant amino acid with interesting hair growth promoting mechanisms [26]. This lotion has shown to be effective as stand-alone approach in the treatment of excessive hair loss in men and women [27].

The peculiar composition of this lotion (mixture of growth factor like peptides and taurine) suggests a potential synergistic effect on hair growth with other therapeutic strategies like PRP treatment. So far, no data regarding the potential synergistic effect of autologous PRP treatment combined with GFML are available.

We therefore conducted a prospective, randomized, assessor-blinded trial to compare the efficacy and tolerability of PRP alone vs. PRP and GFML treatment in men and women with AGA.

STUDY AIM

We conducted a prospective, randomized, assessor-blinded, monocenter trial to evaluate and compare the potential additional clinical effect of a lotion containing a growth-factor like mixture and taurine (GFML) in subjects with AGA treated with PRP.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Study design

The clinical setting was the Dermatology and Hair pathology Unit outpatient service at the Montallergo Hospital; Genoa (Italy). The local IRB approved the study protocol in April 2018. The trial was conducted according to Good Clinical Practice Guidelines and Helsinki Declaration (update 2014) [28]. There was no change to the trial protocol after it commenced.

The study took place from June 2018 and March 2019. The study was a prospective, randomized (with a balance ratio of 2:1), assessor-blinded, 3-month trial. For allocation of the participants, a computer-generated list of random numbers was used.

Subjects

Eligible subjects included men and women aged ≥ 18 years with a diagnosis of AGA, suitable for a Platelet Rich Plasma (PRP) treatment. Exclusion criteria were other forms of hair loss, dermatitis of the scalp and a positive history of allergy or intolerance with one or more components of the tested lotion, pregnancy or breast feeding.

Thirty subjects (18 men and 12 women; mean age: 41 years) were enrolled in the trial after their written informed consent. For both groups, autologous PRP injections on the affected area were performed over a period of 3 months at interval of 3 weeks, using a volume of 10 cc of PRP for each session treatment.

In total 4 PRP injections were performed in each enrolled subject. In group A the GFML was applied using the dosage of 2 ml every other day for a total of 3 months.
Study outcomes

Primary end point of the trial was the Investigator Global Assessment (IGA) score of clinical efficacy at month 3 in comparison with baseline. IGA score ranged from 0 (no improvement) to 3 (strong improvement).

Secondary endpoint was the evolution of Hamilton scale grading (HSG), evaluated at baseline and at the end of study period. IGA score and HSG were performed by an investigator unaware of the treatment group (PRP alone vs. PRP and GFM-L).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Statistical Software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). The primary outcomes of the study to evaluate and compare the evolution of IGA score.

For inferential statistical analysis we use the ANOVA multiple comparison test and the Paired Student T Test. We calculated also the 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) of the difference in all the variables evaluated.

This was a superiority trial. Sample size calculation was performed calculating the hypothesized difference in IGA score between the two groups (A and B) at end of the treatment: with an effect size (Cohen’s d value) of 0.38, with an alpha value of 0.05 and a power of 80% a total of at least 30 subjects should be enrolled to detect this difference.

The sample size was calculated using G-Power statistical software version 3.9 (Kiel, Germany). A p-value of <0.05 was considered as significant. Analysis was performed based on intention-to-treat (ITT) principle.

RESULTS

A total of 30 subjects were enrolled in the trial. Twenty subjects were allocated to PRP treatment followed by GFM-L application for 3 months (Group A) and 10 subjects were allocated to PRP treatment alone (Group B). At baseline HSG was 2.0 ± 0.6 in Group A and 2.1 ± 0.3 in Group B. All the enrolled subjects concluded the trial. In comparison to group B, group A showed a greater increase of IGA score and a more pronounced reduction in HSG.

At month 3, IGA score was 2.1 ± 0.9 in group A and 0.8 ± 0.3 in group B (P=0.0031) (Figure 1) with an absolute difference of 1.3 (95% CI: from 2 to 0.5).

At month 3, a significant reduction of HSG was observed in Group A subjects only, both in comparison with baseline values and in comparison with Group B values (1.3 ± 0.5 vs. 2.1 ± 0.37; P=0.0028) with an absolute difference of -0.7 (95% CI: from -1.1 to -0.2) (Figure 2).

Figure 3 shows the scalp pictures of three enrolled subjects at baseline and after treatment, (two in the group A and one in group B). GFM-lotion was well tolerated. No serious side effects were reported.
DISCUSSION

AGA is a very common hair disorder with a relevant impact on quality of life [29]. Oral finasteride and topical minoxidil are the only two drugs approved for the treatment of AGA [30]. Both drugs, however, possess side effects [31] and are effective in less than 50% of treated patients [32]. PRP is considered an alternative therapeutic approach for AGA [33]. PRP is an autologous preparation of plasma with platelets that are capable of secreting growth factors and cytokines, which stimulate stem cells [34]. The stimulatory factors in PRP, including fibrin, fibronectin and vitronectin, may be involved in hair follicle growth and development [35]. PRP contains a mixture of growth factors molecules such as PDGF, IGF and VEGF [36]. However, the results of studies on the use of PRP in AGA treatment remain controversial [37]. PRP contains also TGF-β which could have a detrimental effect on hair growth [9], promoting the catagen phase and fibrotic processes. Rodrigues has shown that efficacy of PRP in AGA seems do not correlate with the amount of the most common growth factors present in the PRP preparation, suggesting that the efficacy could be, at least in part, ascribed to additional mechanisms of action [38]. In this trial we demonstrated that the addition of a lotion containing a

Figure 3: Scalp pictures of enrolled subjects (2 Group A (PRP+GFM-L) and 1 Group B (PRP alone)) at baseline and after treatment.
Collins et al. have demonstrated that taurine blocks the copper-tripeptide, octapeptide 2, acetyl-decapeptide and deleterious effect of TGF-β. A limitation should be taken into account in evaluating our results. First, this trial was not a double-blind study. To increase the internal validity of the trial results, we decided to adopt an assessor-blinded approach in evaluating the main clinical efficacy outcomes of the study (evolution of IGA score). Another limit of the present trial is the relatively small sample size (30 patients in total). However, taking in account previous trials, we have performed an accurate sample size calculation which justifies the number of subjects we have enrolled in the trial.

CONCLUSION

The combination of GFM-lotion containing growth factors like peptides and taurine with autologous PRP treatment is superior in term of clinical efficacy to the treatment with PRP alone in subjects with AGA.
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