Do Late Phase Hyperbaric and Normobaric Oxygen Therapies Have Effect on Liver Damage? An Experimental Sepsis Model
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ABSTRACT

Background: Sepsis is fatal presentation which affects many systems with possible progression to organ dysfunction and organ failure. Among these organs liver plays an important role in the prognosis of this syndrome. This study investigated the effects of hyperbaric oxygen (HBO) and normobaric oxygen (NBO) therapies on liver damage and oxidative stress in an experimental sepsis model.

Materials and methods: Forty males Wistar rats were randomized into 4 groups as sham group (n=10), control (Sepsis+Cefepime) group (n=10), HBO (Sepsis+Cefepime+HBO) group (n=10), and NBO (Sepsis+Cefepime+NBO) group (n=10). Five days after sepsis induction, animals were sacrificed. The oxidative stress parameters, malondialdehyde (MDA) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) and glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) for antioxidant response were measured in liver tissue. Neutrophil migration using myeloperoxidase (MPO) activity and its contribution to liver damage was evaluated.

Results: While MDA levels in HBO group were found to be lower than those in the control group, and comparable to those in the sham group, no difference was detected in the MDA levels between the control and NBO groups. SOD levels in NBO group were detected to be significantly higher than the control group. GSH-Px enzyme activity in HBO and NBO groups was at similar levels. Even though MPO levels in HBO group appeared to be lower than the control group, the difference did not reach statistical significance. When MPO levels and histopathological examination were evaluated, it was observed that neither HBO nor NBO administration in addition to antibiotherapy provided decrease in neutrophil infiltration which has an important role in liver damage.

Conclusion: The benefit of HBO in the treatment of sepsis in addition to the use of antibiotics has also been confirmed to be successful in this study. Furthermore the data obtained from NBO applications in this study, is thought to be potentially useful for sepsis treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

Sepsis is a complex syndrome affecting many systems with progression to shock, organ dysfunction, organ failure and death by causing hemodynamic changes in particular [1-3]. Life-threatening organ dysfunction after impaired response of host against infection is implicated in this process [4,5]. Several bacterial agents are implicated in sepsis etiology. While the most frequent agents causing sepsis before antibiotics became available were Streptococci and Staphylococci, with the use of antibiotics, gram negative bacteria started to be isolated at increasingly more rates as the cause of sepsis [6,7].

Bacterial antigenic structures initiate the release of many mediators (cytokines) from endothelial cells and other cells [6,8,9]. The target organ is the vascular endothelium. When endothelial damage occurs, organ perfusion is impaired and organ failure occurs [10,11]. The most common findings in the sepsis are pulmonary, renal, intestinal, hepatic and heart failure [6,9,12].

Liver is believed to play a key role in sepsis as an active player and affected organ of the syndrome [13]. Hepatic damage in sepsis is
caused by the reduced hepatic arterial blood flow due to septic shock [14]. Together with all these events in sepsis, a generalized oxidative stress occurs due to increased free radicals in the body [2,11,15]. The major antioxidant enzymes directly involved in the neutralization of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) are: Superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and glutathione reductase (GRx) [15]. The other hand, Malondialdehyde (MDA) is the most frequently used biomarker of oxidative stress in many health problems such as cancer, psychiatry, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, or cardiovascular diseases [15,16]. In addition, MPO in neutrophils is commonly used as a marker of neutrophil infiltration and MPO levels in sepsis are shown in height [17].

Hyperbaric oxygen (HBO) administration is a treatment modality which was developed to prevent oxidative damage [18]. HBO has been shown to be beneficial as monotherapy or adjuvant therapy [19,20] in sepsis treatment [2]. Though there are no comparative trials in sepsis, there are some studies suggesting these benefits may also be obtained with normobaric oxygen (NBO) administration instead of HBO administration which bears difficulties regarding its use under intensive care conditions [21].

This study investigated the late phase effects of HBO and NBO therapies administered in addition to the anti-biotherapy as the current backbone sepsis therapy on liver damage which has a key role in sepsis in rats with sepsis induced by bacterial inoculation method.

METHODS

With the decision numbered 09/4K of Ethics Committee of Gulhane Faculty of Medicine, research and development department, 40 healthy, young-adult, male Wistar rats with the weights between 300 and 400 grams were used. Animals were kept under same laboratory conditions throughout the study and fed with commercial rat feed and normal tap water.

Administration of HBO and NBO oxygen therapy

For HBO and NBO administration, specially designed and manufactured cylindrical high-pressure chamber with the diameter of 40 cm and length of 60 cm with a nickel-chromium steel body tested for its resistance against 10 ATA pressure was used. For HBO, a pressure of 2.4 ATA was applied, and for NBO a pressure of 1 ATA was applied [22]. Rats were divided into four groups using ‘simple random sampling’ method.

Sham group (Sham) (n=10)

Intraperitoneal (IP) normal saline was administered at an equal volume to Escherichia coli given for sepsis induction.

Control group (Sepsis+Cefepime) (n=10)

Following sepsis induction, 50 mg/kg cefepime via IP route was given twice a day with no additional therapy [21].

NBO group (Sepsis+Cefepime+NBO) (n=10)

Following sepsis induction, 50 mg/kg cefepime via IP route was given twice a day together with NBO (5 L/min 2.2 × 90 min/day, 5 day) therapy [21].

HBO group (Sepsis+Cefepime+NBO) (n=10)

Following sepsis induction, 50 mg/kg cefepime via IP route was given twice a day together with HBO (at 2.4 ATA, 2 × 90 min/day, 5 day) therapy. Five days after sepsis induction, animals were sacrificed and liver tissue samples were collected.

Sepsis induction

E. coli ATCC 25922 strain H110 was used in the experiment. 1 cc of E. coli bacilli (ATCC 25922)/ml. The rats in the sepsis groups were given 1 ml SF IP containing 2.1 × 10⁹ CFU live E. coli. The sepsis table was confirmed by rectal temperature increase, respiratory rate and pulse rate. Rats in sepsis-induced groups (Control, NBO, HBO) were given 50 mg/kg cefepime IP twice daily after induction of sepsis [23].

Preparation of liver tissue: The hepatic tissue levels of malondialdehyde (MDA) for lipid peroxidation, and superoxide dismutase (SOD) and glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) for antioxidant response were measured. Neutrophil migration using myeloperoxidase (MPO) activity and its contribution to liver damage was planned to be evaluated [24]. First, animals were taken to ketamine-xylazine anesthesia. While on the one hand, blood was collected from the vena cava with a syringe, while the right ventricle was injected with NaCl (normal saline). The extracted liver was again washed out in cold normal saline and removed from blood debris and placed in pre-labeled plastic ependorf tubes and placed in a liquid nitrogen tank to be stored at -80°C at the end of the treatment [25].

Biochemical analysis

Malondialdehyde (MDA) measurement: MDA measurement was performed using the method described by Okhawa et al. For this purpose, 10% trichloracetic acid (TCA) and 0.67% thiobarbituric acid (TBA) were used. A 0.5 ml sample (homogenate) was added to 10% TCA and vortexed. Then the samples were incubated at 90°C for 15 minutes. The samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 20 min. After that, 2 ml supernatants were added to 1 ml 0.67% TBA and vortexed. The samples were incubated at 90°C for 15 minutes. After an incubation period of 15 minutes at 90°C, the samples were absorbance at 530 nm was measured using a spectrophotometer. The extinction coefficient (1.56 × 10⁵ cm⁻¹M⁻¹) of the TBA-MDA complex was used in the calculation [26].

Superoxide Dismutase Measurement (SOD): SOD measurement was performed using the method described by Sun et al. This method is based on the principle of determining the formation of blue colored formazan at 560 nm in the spectrophotometer. Therefore, reagent consisting 0.3 mmol/l xanthine, 0.6 mmol/l EDTA, 150 μmol/l NBT, 400 mmol/l NaCO₃ and 1 g/l bovine serum albumin (BSA) were prepared for measuring. Then 0.8 mmol/l CuCl₂, 2M (NH₄)₂SO₄ (Ammonium sulphate) were prepared and 167 U/l xanthine oxidase (XO) were prepared in ammonium sulphate. The supernatants were obtained by centrifugation at 3220 rpm for 30 min at +6°C. Supernatants and chloroform-ethanol solution were mixed in a 1:1 ratio and then vortexed [27]. The samples were centrifuged at 3220 rpm at +4°C for 40 min. 50 μl of samples were added to 1425 μl measuring reagent and 25 μl 167 U/l XO. Blind tube was prepared. Following
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the incubation period of 20 minutes at 25°C, 200 μl of samples, and 100 μl 0.08 mM/l CuCl₂ were added to Elisa Reader plate. Then the plate was absorbance at 560 nm was measured using an Elisa Reader. The absorbance values were calculated by adding the following formula:

\[ ((K-N)/N) \times D \times 20/E = U/g-protein \]

In this formula: K, the absorbance of the blind; N, sample absorbance; D, the amount of dilution; E, the amount of extract protein (g/ml).

Glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) measurement: GSH measurement was performed using the method described by Paglia et al. In this method GSH-Px buffer (50 mM phosphate buffer pH 7 and 5 mM EDTA), 3.2 M (NH₄)₂SO₄, 150 mM reduced glutathione (GSH), 8 mM reductive NADPH, 0.1 M Na₂S₂O₂₃ (Sodium Azide), GSHReductase enzyme and 2 mM H₂O₂ were prepared. After an incubation period of 30 minutes at 25°C, 290 μl of samples and 10 μl 2 mM H₂O₂ were added to Elisa reader plate. Then the plate was absorbance at 340 nm was measured using an Elisa Reader. Values were expressed as U/g-protein [28].

Myeloperoxidase (MPO) activity measurement: The extracts required for MPO measurement were obtained as follows; the homogenates were centrifuged at 3220 rpm for 30 minutes at +6°C. Supernatants were used to. Samples for MPO measurement were studied with USCNLIFE ELISA KIT (USCN LIFE SCIENCE INC. WUHAN). The results were determined as U/L.

Statistical analysis
All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 11.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) statistics package software. Analysis was performed using “Kruskal Wallis” test, followed by, “Mann Whitney-U” test for the pairwise comparison of groups with significant results. In this study “Hepatic Injury Severity Scoring” was used to grade hepatic tissue damage in histopathological examination [29].

RESULTS
MDA level in the control (0.43 ± 0.11 mmol/g protein) and NBO groups (0.39 ± 0.09 mmol/g protein) was detected to be higher than the sham group (0.29 ± 0.04 mmol/g protein) (p<0.05). While MDA levels in HBO group (0.33 ± 0.05 mmol/g protein) were found to be lower than the control group (p<0.05) and comparable to the sham group, no difference was detected between the control and NBO groups (Table 1).

SOD levels in the control (61.39 ± 14.88 U/g protein) and NBO groups (70.48 ± 11.48 U/g protein) were found to be higher than the sham group (55.03 ± 8.07 U/g protein) (p<0.05). SOD levels in HBO group (47.88 ± 9.34 U/g protein) were detected to be significantly higher than those in the control groups (Figure 1 and Table 1) (p<0.05). GSH-Px levels in the control (73.50 ± 16.79 U/g protein) and HBO groups (64.64 ± 12.62 U/g protein) were found to be higher than the sham group (55.03 ± 8.07 U/g protein) (p<0.05). GSH-Px enzyme activity levels in HBO (68.79 ± 10.94 U/g protein) and NBO groups were determined to be comparable (Figure 2 and Table 1).

MPO levels in the control (13.30 ± 2.77 U/L), HBO (12.53 ± 2.54 U/L) and NBO groups (14.65 ± 2.42 U/L) were detected to be higher than the sham group (6.55 ± 1.94 U/L) (p<0.05). While MPO levels in HBO group appeared to be lower than the control group, the difference did not reach statistical significance. While MPO levels in NBO group appeared to be higher than in the control group, no statistically significant difference was detected between the groups. On the other hand MPO levels in HBO group were found to be significantly lower than in NBO group (p<0.05) (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>MDA (mmol/g protein)</th>
<th>SOD (U/g protein)</th>
<th>GSH-Px (U/g protein)</th>
<th>MPO (U/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.04</td>
<td>39.59 ± 7.82</td>
<td>55.03 ± 8.07</td>
<td>6.55 ± 1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.11a</td>
<td>61.39 ± 14.88a</td>
<td>73.50 ± 16.79a</td>
<td>13.30 ± 2.77a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBO</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.05b,d</td>
<td>47.88 ± 9.34b,d</td>
<td>68.79 ± 10.94c</td>
<td>12.53 ± 2.54c,d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBO</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.09c</td>
<td>70.48 ± 11.48c</td>
<td>64.64 ± 12.62</td>
<td>14.65 ± 2.42c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p<0.05, significant decrease in HBO group compared to the control group, p<0.05, significant increase in HBO and NBO groups compared to the sham group, p>0.05, significant decrease in HBO group compared to NBO group, p<0.05, significant decrease in HBO group compared to NBO group.
Histopathological examination

When sepsis-induced groups (Control, HBO, NBO) were evaluated, while a decrease was observed in total damage in HBO and NBO groups in terms of inflammation and liver damage, the difference was not statistically significant (Table 2 and Figure 3). No difference was detected between the control group and sepsis-induced groups.

Table 2: Evaluation of the hepatic injury severity scoring (aneurysm, inflammation and congestion/sinusoidal dilation scores) of liver.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Sham (n=10)</th>
<th>Control (n=10)</th>
<th>HBO (n=10)</th>
<th>NBO (n=10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aneurysm (score)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aneurysm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 (0-1)a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 (0-1)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Median (Minimum-Maximum)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portal (score)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenchymal (score)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total inflammation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0-2)a</td>
<td>1 (0-2)a</td>
<td>1 (0-2)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Median (Minimum-Maximum)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestion/sinusoidal dilation (score)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestion/sinusoidal dilation [median (minimum-maximum)]</td>
<td>0 (0-1)a</td>
<td>0 (0-1)a</td>
<td>0 (0-1)a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total damage</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0-4)a</td>
<td>1 (0-2)a</td>
<td>1 (1-3)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Median (Minimum-Maximum)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05, significant increase in the control, HBO and NBO groups compared to the sham group.

DISCUSSION

In sepsis patients, alleviating liver damage and restoring liver function decrease the morbidity and mortality rates [13]. Liver dysfunction after sepsis is considered to be a risk factor independent from mortality induced by multiple organ dysfunction syndrome and sepsis [13]. When viewed from this aspect, many investigators are seeking adjuvant therapy in addition to specific anti-biotherapy for the treatment of sepsis [5].

Sepsis models using live bacteria have several advantages. The strain and infecting dose of bacteria can easily be standardized. In addition, the host immune response is directed at the whole microbe. As with endotoxin models, bacterial infection models can be manipulated to produce more clinically relevant results. Irrespective of limitations, these models have proven useful and provide insights into mechanisms of the host response to pathogens 3. A controlled inoculation model was preferred for sepsis induction. The most commonly used bacterium in this model is E. coli. For this reason E. coli ATCC 25922 strain H110 was used in the experiment [30,31].

Studies regarding HBO as adjuvant therapy in sepsis have also been performed in the past, and its beneficial effects have been reported [12,32]. The role of cellular oxidative damage in multiple organ dysfunction syndrome is well-known. When overly produced or inadequately cleared ROS directly attack lipid and protein structures in biological membranes and impair the membrane structure [33]. In our study, MDA levels were detected to be high in the control and in NBO groups, and comparable in HBO and the sham groups. Those results show that HBO administration in sepsis in addition to antibiotherapy decreases lipid peroxidation and oxidative damage.

Evidence demonstrating the association of endotoxemia, sepsis and septic shock with the formation and release of ROSs reveal that ROSs play an important role in sepsis and/or septic shock [34]. Gram negative bacteria such as E. coli increase oxygen consumption via lipopolysaccharides, activated neutrophils, TNFα and other cytokines, and cause ROS formation [35]. Markers of oxidative stress measured from the peripheral venous samples is poorly reflective of hepatic tissue oxidative stress. Therefore, we measured oxidative stress markers in liver tissue [36]. It is well-known that HBO administration increases both ROS formation and antioxidant enzymes [37]. In our study, increased activity of both SOD and GSH-Px was detected in sepsis-induced groups (Control, HBO, NBO). This result may be considered as the increase in antioxidant enzymes to remove ROSs formed in sepsis. SOD levels in this NBO group were found to be higher than those in the control group. MDA values in HBO group and NBO group were observed to be close to each other. Together with the fact that MDA levels are also lower in HBO group compared to the control and NBO groups, it can be explained by the lesser oxidative damage in HBO group and the fact that the evaluation was performed 5 days after the induction, thereby, reflects late phase data.

Liver appears to be a strong sweeper of the circulating bacteria and their products, and in E. coli bacteremia, neutrophil accumulation in liver sinusoids is well-known [38]. A new study has shown apparent polymorphonuclear leukocyte infiltration in liver cause by E. coli lipopolysaccharides [39-41]. As a main potential site for ROS
production, neutrophils play an important role in the development of oxidative stress. Neutrophils activate Kupffer cells by causing oxidative stress, and contribute to microvascular dysfunction and edema formation. Found in neutrophils, MPO is widely used as a marker of neutrophil infiltration and the MPO levels have been shown to be elevated in severe inflammatory processes such as sepsis.

In support of those results, MPO levels were also higher in sepsis-induced groups in our study. In HBO group the MPO levels were detected to be lower than the control group though no statistically significant difference was observed and detected to be lower than the MPO levels of NBO group.

CONCLUSION

In rats with sepsis even though HBO and NBO administration in addition to antibiotic therapy induced by controlled inoculation method using *E. coli* did not provide adequate histological recovery it was observed that HBO treatment reduced oxidative liver tissue damage. SOD levels in this NBO group were found to be higher than those in the control group. Studies aiming longer periods of histological recovery follow-up are needed. In NBO group the MDA and GSH-Px values were detected to be similar to those in the HBO group. In this study the benefit of using HBO in addition to the use of antibiotics has also been confirmed. Furthermore, the data obtained from NBO applications in this study, is thought to be potentially, as well. NBO administration which is an alternative choice in the treatment of sepsis happens to be easier and less invasive procedure. Nevertheless, additional clinical studies are still needed for this specific subject.
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