Comparison of Antioxidative Effects of Biguanides and Sulfonylureas Monotherapy on Total Antioxidant Status in Newly-Diagnosed Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus
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Abstract

Objective: The objective of this study was to compare total antioxidant status (TAS) in type 2 diabetic patients treated with Biguanides monotherapy and Sulfonylureas monotherapy in a tertiary health care center.

Methods: Fifty subjects using Biguanides monotherapy and another 50 subjects using Sulfonylureas monotherapy for the management of type 2 DM were included. Their fasting plasma glucose (FPG), postprandial plasma glucose (PPG) concentrations were measured by spectrophotometric technique, percentages of glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) was estimated by a modified high-performance liquid chromatography. TAS was determined by a modified ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) assay.

Results: The mean age of the study subjects was 50 ± 9 years. Among them, 31% were male and 69% were female. Biguanides and sulfonylureas groups were matched for age, sex and glycemic status, but not matched for body mass index (BMI) and acute glycemic status (FPG and PPG). The mean value of TAS in subjects treated by Biguanides was 1386 ± 249 µmol/L and in subjects treated with sulfonylureas it was 1278 ± 275 µmol/L. Except PPG in the Biguanides treated group, acute or chronic glycemic status showed no significant relationship with TAS. Univariate linear regression analysis showed a significant association of TAS with Biguanides monotherapy (β=0.2039, p=0.0455, t=0.0455, p=0.9005).

Conclusion: It may be concluded that there is no difference between Biguanides and Sulfonylureas regarding their effects on total antioxidant capacity in type 2 diabetic subjects.
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Introduction

The prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) in Bangladesh has increased dramatically over the past two decades and continues to rise as a disease of immeasurable class [1]. Many researchers have been observing worldwide that antioxidants commonly are raised. As antioxidants are an assistant for our health, may delay the early onset of type 2 DM by mopping up reactive oxygen species (ROS) that may play a protective role to prevent the early stages of type 2 DM through enhancing insulin action. ROS are a byproduct in type 2 DM, generated during protein glycation and as a consequence of advanced glycation end-products-receptor binding; they impair insulin signaling pathways and induce cytotoxicity in pancreatic β (beta) cells [2]. Oxidative stress is now thought to be an important marker in the pathogenesis of type 2 DM and its complications through the impairment of pancreatic β-cells function [3].

Pharmacological therapy of type 2 diabetes has changed dramatically in the last 10 years, with new drugs and drug classes becoming available. These agents reduce hyperglycemia by decreasing hepatic gluconeogenesis (primary effect) and increasing peripheral insulin sensitivity (secondary effect). Biguanides is used as monotherapy or in combination with sulfonylureas as well as in combination with other antidiabetic drugs or with insulin. Sulfonylureas work by stimulating insulin release from the β cells of the pancreas and may slightly improve insulin resistance in peripheral target tissues (muscle, fat cells). On average, this class reduces glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) levels by 0.8-2.0 percent and fasting plasma glucose (FPG) concentrations by 3.3-3.9 mmol/L, with the greatest reductions observed in patients with the highest FPG concentrations at the initiation of therapy [4-6]. Whereas Biguanides (metformin) works by reducing hepatic glucose output and, to a lesser extent, enhancing insulin sensitivity in hepatic and peripheral tissues. Metformin has been shown to reduce HbA1c levels by approximately 1.5-2.0 percent and FPG levels by 2.8-3.9 mmol/L [4,5,7]. Despite the agreement on the increase of free radicals in diabetic patients, the level of antioxidants in diabetic patients has been reported to decrease [8,9] increase [10,11] or stay the same [12]. The effect of diabetes on total antioxidant levels seems to be complicated by the effect of diabetes on individual antioxidant systems. Increased oxidative stress is a widely accepted participant in the development and progression of diabetes and its complications [13,14]. In light of the major role of oxidative stress in the development, progression and...
complications of DM, antioxidants may serve as a potential therapy for combating the problems [15]. Thus the ideal therapy for DM would be a drug that not only possess antihyperglycemic effect, but which also enhances or protects the antioxidant defense systems.

Biguanides and sulfonylureas are the effective antihyperglycemic drugs for the management of type 2 DM. Some studies claimed that these drugs have antioxidant property [16,17] while other claimed an opposite or no effect on oxidant/antioxidant balance [17,18]. Moreover, oxidative stress may partly be associated with eating habit, lifestyle and heredity. So the present study was designed to compare plasma total antioxidant status (TAS) between type 2 diabetic subjects treated with Biguanides monotherapy and Sulfonylurea monotherapy in a tertiary health care center.

Materials and Methods

Study designs and subjects

This cross-sectional observational study was carried out in the Department of Applied Laboratory Sciences, Bangladesh University of Health Sciences (BUHS) for a period of four months between March-June 2013. According to inclusion-exclusion criteria, one hundred (100) subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus were included purposively: fifty (50) subjects received Biguanides (Metformin) monotherapy (500-1700 mg/day) as an oral hypoglycemic agent and 50 subjects received Sulfonylureas monotherapy (Glibenclamide, 2-5 mg/day) as oral hypoglycemic agent for the management of DM during last six months or more. The subjects were included irrespective of race, religion and socioeconomic status. Subjects with serious comorbid diseases (infection, stroke, myocardial infarction, major surgery, malabsorption etc.), pregnant or lactating women, subjects with liver or kidney dysfunction, history of using drugs other than Biguanides or Sulfonylureas which significantly affect glucose metabolism (anti-hypoglycemic agents, glucocorticoids, oral contraceptives, thiazide diuretics etc.) or taking vitamin supplements were excluded. Verbal consent was taken before data collection, clinical examination and specimen collection. Clinical history, anthropometric data, duration of DM, duration of drug use and type of oral antihyperglycemic agents were recorded from participant’s information or hospital registry (Bangladesh Institute of Health Sciences & Hospital, BIHSH, Dhaka).

Anthropometric measurements

Anthropometric indices included height and weight. All the individuals were measured wearing light clothing without shoes and hats. Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a portable stadiometer and weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using calibrated platform scales.

Clinical measurements

Fasting venous blood was collected between 8.00-9.00 am. Venous blood (5 ml) was taken by venipuncture with the subject sitting comfortably in a chair in a quiet room. A portion of blood specimen was poured into a test tube containing sodium fluoride to measure plasma glucose concentrations. Another portion of blood specimen was poured into another test tube containing Ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) to measure plasma antioxidant capacity. After 15 minutes blood samples were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 3000 rpm to obtain plasma. The EDTA treated plasma was preserved in capped microtubes at -20ºC until analysis. After 2 hours of breakfast, 2 ml blood was collected for the measurement of postprandial plasma glucose concentration.
the upward trend in the incidence of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) of which DM are a major component. Oxidative stress may play a major role in the development and progression of short-term and long-term complications of DM. For the management of DM, several approaches are taken to achieve glycemic goal. The pharmacological agents, i.e., Biguanides, Sulfonylureas, Thiazolidinediones, α-glycosidase inhibitors are frequently used for the management of DM due to potential benefit on glycemic status.

In this study, a significantly increased plasma TAS was observed in type 2 diabetic subjects treated with Biguanides compared to those treated with Sulfonylureas (p=0.042). This finding is consistent with findings of Abdulkadir et al. [17] who reported a significant rise in total antioxidant status (TAS) after a 2 months Biguanides monotherapy compared to Sulfonylurea monotherapy [17]. Faure et al. [20] also reported a significant increase in antioxidant protection as evidenced by increased TAS in type 2 diabetic subjects receiving Biguanides monotherapy [20]. Tessier et al. [21] observed a significant rise in serum Vitamin E level after a 24-week Biguanide monotherapy [21]. This may be due to increase in endogenous antioxidants (Cu-, Zn- superoxide dismutase, catalase and glutathione reduce levels) [22,23] or exogenous antioxidants [22] in type 2 diabetic subjects treated with Biguanides. In this study, two groups were matched for age, sex, waist-hip ratio, blood pressure and chronic glycemic status (HbA1c). However, acute glycemic status i.e., fasting plasma glucose (p=0.007) and postprandial plasma glucose levels (p=0.002); duration of DM (p=0.011) were not matched between Biguanides treated subjects and Sulfonylureas treated subjects. So the difference in TAS between Biguanides and Sulfonylurea treated was apparent. Plasma TAS also differed significantly between males and females (p=0.016). But fasting plasma glucose (p=0.004), BMI (p=0.009), duration of DM (p=0.035) and duration of drug use (p=0.004) differs significantly.

### Table 1: Characteristics of the Biguanides and Sulfonylureas group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Biguanides group (n=50)</th>
<th>Sulfonylureas group (n=50)</th>
<th>Significance of test (p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>50 ± 8</td>
<td>50 ± 10</td>
<td>0.8589*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (Male/Female)</td>
<td>16(32%)/34(68%)</td>
<td>19(38%)/31(62%)</td>
<td>1.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.9 ± 4.0</td>
<td>25.3 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist-Hip ratio</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.577†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid upper arm circumference (cm)</td>
<td>30.4 ± 2.6</td>
<td>29.5 ± 2.6</td>
<td>0.098§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (yes/no)</td>
<td>29(58%)/21(42%)</td>
<td>21(42%)/29(58%)</td>
<td>0.1612*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.007§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>7.7 ± 1.9</td>
<td>9.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>0.002§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.220§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of DM (from 1st detection: yrs)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 3.3</td>
<td>5.5 ± 4.9</td>
<td>0.011†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Independent sample t test; †, Fisher’s exact test.

### Table 2: Association of TAS with different variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient (r)</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient (r)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biguanides group (n=50)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.3299</td>
<td>0.0193</td>
<td>0.2797</td>
<td>0.0492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.1181</td>
<td>0.4139</td>
<td>0.1141</td>
<td>0.4302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>-0.1499</td>
<td>0.2899</td>
<td>0.1571</td>
<td>0.2759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUAC</td>
<td>-0.0444</td>
<td>0.7596</td>
<td>-0.0203</td>
<td>0.8886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPG</td>
<td>-0.1423</td>
<td>0.3241</td>
<td>0.0893</td>
<td>0.5373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPG</td>
<td>-0.3297</td>
<td>0.0194</td>
<td>0.1173</td>
<td>0.4171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c</td>
<td>-0.1931</td>
<td>0.1791</td>
<td>-0.1133</td>
<td>0.4335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of DM</td>
<td>0.0732</td>
<td>0.6134</td>
<td>0.0278</td>
<td>0.8482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of drug use</td>
<td>0.1163</td>
<td>0.4212</td>
<td>0.2301</td>
<td>0.1080</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sulfonylureas groups were matched for age (p=0.8589), sex (p=1.00), chronic glycemic status (p=0.220) and hypertension (p=0.1612) but not matched for BMI, acute glycemic status (FPG and PPG, p<0.01) and duration of DM (p=0.011) (Table 1).

### Total antioxidant status

The mean value of TAS in the total study subjects (n=100) was 1332 ± 266 µmol/L. The mean value of TAS in Biguanides treated subject was 1386 ± 249 µmol/L and in Sulfonylureas treated subjects it was 1278 ± 275 µmol/L. Figure 1 shows the comparison of TAS between Biguanides treated and Sulfonylureas treated subjects. Two-tailed unpaired t test showed that the t value of the comparison was 2.062. The difference between the means was 108 ± 52 µmol/L (p=0.042).

### Association of TAS with biguanides and sulfonylureas

The Pearson correlation coefficient of TAS with anthropometric, clinical parameters and glycemic status in subjects treated with Biguanides and Sulfonylureas is presented in (Table 2). Except age and PPG, no parameters showed significant correlation with TAS. Linear regression analysis considering TAS as an outcome variable and Biguanides-sulfonylureas groups as independent variable showed a significant positive association of TAS with Biguanides monotherapy (β=0.0309, p=0.042) but it disappeared when adjusted for acute/chronic glycemic status, age, sex, BMI, duration of DM, and duration of drug used (Table 3).

### Discussion

The global health burden is increasing due to the upward trend in the incidence of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) of which DM are a major component. Oxidative stress may play a major role in the development and progression of short-term and long-term complications of DM. For the management of DM, several approaches are taken to achieve glycemic goal. The pharmacological agents, i.e., Biguanides, Sulfonylureas, Thiazolidinediones, α-glycosidase inhibitors are frequently used for the management of DM due to potential benefit on glycemic status.

In this study, a significantly increased plasma TAS was observed in type 2 diabetic subjects treated with Biguanides compared to those treated with Sulfonylureas (p=0.042). This finding is consistent with findings of Abdulkadir et al. [17] who reported a significant rise in total antioxidant status (TAS) after a 2 months Biguanides monotherapy compared to Sulfonylurea monotherapy [17]. Faure et
between males and females. On the other hand, postprandial plasma glucose (p=0.189), HbA1c (p=0.831) and age (p=0.1639) showed no significant difference between males and females. Thus the difference in TAS between males and females may be linked to the levels of fasting plasma glucose, BMI, duration of DM and duration of drug use.

On Pearson’s correlation analysis, no other parameters except age showed a significant relationship with TAS. Finally, multiple linear regression analysis showed a significant positive association of Biguanides with TAS, but when adjusted for age, sex, BMI, acute or chronic glycemic status (HbA1c), duration of DM and duration of drug use the association of TAS with Biguanides disappeared. So the apparent difference in TAS in subjects treated with Biguanides and in subjects treated with Sulfonylureas was not associated with these antihyperglycemic monotherapy i.e., there was no difference between Biguanides and Sulfonylureas regarding their effects on total antioxidant status. Conflicting data regarding the effects of Biguanides and sulfonylureas on oxidative stress in type 2 diabetic subjects were reported. Yilmaz et al. [24] and Clugh et al. [25] found an insignificant increase in TAS levels in type 2 diabetic subjects treated with Sulfonylureas (Glibenclamide) [24,25] whereas Jennings et al. [26] found no significant change in endogenous antioxidant activity in type 2 diabetic subjects treated with Sulfonylureas (Glibenclamide) [26]. Thus the reported difference between the two agents may be linked to the confounding effects of age and sex.

There are several evidences that hyperglycemia enhances oxidative stress. Among these autooxidation of glucose [27], activation of the polyol pathway [28,29] is critically important. Since Biguanides or Sulfonylureas are effective antihyperglycemic agents, a decreased glucose autoxidation and deactivation of the polyol pathway may drastically improve the antioxidants by controlling blood glucose levels and this fact support our results as Biguanides group and Sulfonylureas group were matched for chronic glycemic status.

Again, in this study, TAS in type 2 diabetic subjects treated with Biguanides (309 μmol/L, p<0.0001) or Sulfonylureas (201 μmol/L, p<0.0001) are significantly higher compared to that found for newly diagnosed never treated type 2 diabetic subjects in another recent study carried out by the same research group [30]. Further, TAS values are much closer to that of nondiabetic controls (TAS was only 39 μmol/L lower for Biguanides, p=0.4855 and 147 μmol/L lower for Sulfonylureas, p=0.012) of the mentioned study [30]. Thus, both Biguanides and Sulfonylureas monotherapy are may partly be associated with the improvement of plasma antioxidant capacity having similar potency against oxidative stress.

Limitations of the study: The major limitation of this study includes cross-sectional design with small sample size, lack of follow up, lack of other confounding variables like eating habit, lifestyle and physical activity. Intense prospective studies including other confounding factors are required to be done in a Bangladeshi population to clarify the issues further.

Conclusion

Plasma antioxidant status is apparently higher in type 2 diabetic subjects treated with Biguanides compared to those treated with Sulfonylureas and there is no difference between Biguanides and Sulfonylureas regarding their effects on total antioxidant status in type 2 diabetic subjects.
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