Comparison between Carotid Artery Doppler Ultrasound and Coronary Calcium Score as Predictors of Significant Coronary Artery Disease in Patients Undergoing Computed Tomography Coronary Angiography
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Abstract

Background: The association between carotid artery Doppler ultrasound (US) or coronary Calcium Score (CCS) and the presence of significant CAD has been suggested but not largely documented. The purpose of this study was to compare carotid artery Doppler US and CCS as predictors of significant CAD.

Methods: 56 patients (47 males, mean age 62 ± 8 years) with no history of CAD, who had undergone computed tomography coronary angiography (CTCA), Calcium Score evaluation and US, entered the study. Distribution of main socio-demographic and health related characteristics were described. On the basis of the CTCA results patients were classified as with no/non-significant CAD or with significant CAD. The presence of carotid plaques and the intima-media thickness (IMT) value were assessed with US stratifying patients into 3 groups: IMT ≤ 0.5 mm (free from disease); IMT 0.6-1 mm (non-significant disease); IMT >1 mm (significant disease). Volume, Mass and Agatston Score were calculated using computed tomography (CT). Considering Agatston Score absolute values patients were classified into 5 groups: Agatston Score <10; 10-99; 100-399; 400-999; ≥ 1000; on the basis of the risk percentiles patients were classified into 4 groups: <25° percentile, <50° percentile, <75° percentile, >75° percentile. Association between Calcium Score and IMT with CAD at CTCA were assessed.

Results: Age, gender, hypertension, diabetes, high blood cholesterol, familiar history of CAD and smoke habit were similar in patients with and without significant CAD, whilst chest pain was significantly (p=0.001) associated with CAD. At univariate analysis, IMT (p>0.001) and Calcium Score (p<0.001) were associated with significant CAD. However, after adjusting for potential confounders, multivariate analysis indicated Calcium Score as the only significant and independent predictor of significant CAD.

Conclusion: Calcium Score is a more powerful marker of significant CAD compared to atherosclerotic burden of the carotid artery.
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Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases represent the first cause of death in the industrialized western world and, in the near future, it will probably be the first also all over the world [1-3]. In spite of this knowledge and notwithstanding the progress recently made in treating patients with acute cardiovascular diseases, no similar progress has been reached in secondary prevention [4]. As a matter of fact, it was seen that using only the Framingham Risk Score was effective in identifying a population at risk, but not in characterizing the individual risk [5]. If it is true that most of major cardiovascular events arise in people with many risk factors, it is also true that up to 40% (or up to 70% in young populations) of patients with myocardial infarction would have not been labelled as “patients at risk” by utilizing the present predicting models (Framingham Score or Procam Score), and sudden death, as the primary manifestation of cardiac pathology, hits 20% of patients [1].

The early identification of asymptomatic coronary artery disease (CAD) represents a basic target in the field of secondary prevention. In particular, the selection of a non-invasive diagnostic technique that could be used as a screening tool, sufficiently accurate and cost-effective, represents a major target in cardiology. Several studies have underlined the association between carotid artery Doppler ultrasound (US) or coronary Calcium Score (CCS) and the presence of significant CAD [5-8]. However, few data on direct comparison between the two methods exist in literature [5,9,10].

The aim of our study was to compare the capability of carotid artery
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Doppler US and CCS to predict the presence of significant CAD in patients without known cardiovascular disease.

Materials and Methods

Patient Population

The sample used come from the patients referred to our Centre to undergo computed tomography coronary angiography (CTCA) in the period between January 2009 and May 2010 (n=136) retrospectively selected. We excluded patients with history of CAD (myocardial infarction, previous percutaneous or surgical revascularization). Among the remaining patients we selected the ones who underwent also carotid artery Doppler US with a maximum interval of 1 month between the two examinations. Finally, the study population was composed of 56 patients (47 males and 9 females; mean age ± SD: 62 ± 8 years; median age: 62 years; age range 41-88 years); their clinical features, collected in a specific form at the time of the exam, are summarized in Table 1. Table 2 shows clinical indications to CTCA for patients selected.

CT coronary angiography

CT coronary angiography examinations were performed using a Dual Source CT scan (Somaton Definition, Siemens, Forcheim, Germany), with retrospective ECG gating (automatic dose modulation), during intravenous administration of iodine contrast media (Iopamidol 370 mgI/ml, 75-85 ml) at 5 ml/sec followed by the administration of a mixed bolus of contrast media (20%) and saline solution (80%) at the same injection rate, using the bolus test (10 ml of contrast media) technique. The acquisition parameters were: tube voltage 100-120 kVp, tube current 700 mAs, cranio-caudal acquisition from the carena to the diaphragm, automatic pitch variation.

Oral beta-blockers (50-100 mg metoprolol) one hour before the test, if no contraindicated, were given to the patients with cardiac rhythm >65 beats per minute (bpm).

In case of persistence of high but stable cardiac rhythm (65-70 bpm after beta-blockers administration), the examination was performed as well. Moreover, sublingual nitrates (nitroglycerine 0.30 mg) were administered to all the patients just before the examination.

All the examinations have been interpreted by a radiologist certified in non-invasive cardiac imaging, in blind respect to the Doppler US evaluation of carotid IMT. On the basis of the CTCA results all the patients were classified into two different groups: patients with no or non-significant coronary artery disease (stenosis<50%) and patients with significant coronary artery disease (at least one stenosis ≥ 50%) [11].

Carotid artery Doppler US

Carotid artery examination was performed with a GE Logiq 9 ultrasound system (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, UK), with an 8 MHz linear probe. The protocol used to obtain images was consistent with the American Society of Echocardiography recommendations [12] and with the guidelines of the Società Italiana di Diagnostica Vascolare (SIDV) [13].

On longitudinal images obtained using B-mode ultrasonography, the maximum and the overall mean IMT value of the common carotid artery and the presence of carotid plaques (defined as isolated and focal areas of abnormal intima protruding into the lumen more than 1.5 mm or at least 50% of the surrounding IMT value) [12], were evaluated. IMT represents the thickness of the intima plus the media component of the vessel wall; three measurements were performed on the far wall of both common carotid arteries, 1 cm below the carotid bulb, along a straight arterial segment of 10 mm length (Figures 1 and 2). Patients were stratified into 3 groups according to the IMT value: patients with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Patients (n°)</th>
<th>patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>62 ± 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoke</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms*</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Risk factors were defined according to the “Framingham Risk Score” [2]

Table 1: Clinical features of the study population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Patients (n°)</th>
<th>patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doubtful or inconclusive provocative test in asymptomatic patient</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>55.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubtful or inconclusive provocative test in patient with atypical pain</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive provocative test in patient with atypical pain</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discrepancy between the results of two different provocative tests</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple cardiovascular risk factors</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episodes of atypical pain</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep apnea</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known atherosclerotic disease in other districts</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Clinical indications to CT coronary angiography in the 56 patients selected.
IMT <0.5 mm were considered free from disease; patients with IMT between 0.6-1 mm were considered affected by non-significant disease; patients with IMT>1 mm were considered affected by significant disease [14,15]. Therefore, we considered carotid atherosclerosis either the presence of plaques or a IMT>1 mm [4,16].

**Calcium score**

Coronary Calcium Score data were acquired through 64-slice dual source CT scan (Somatom Definition, Siemens, Forcheim, Germany) with the following acquisition parameters: tube voltage 120 kVp, tube current 80 mAs, prospective ECG triggering and acquisition window at 70% of the R-R interval. No contrast media administration was required and the acquisition was performed with patient holding his breath. Volume, Mass and Agatston Score were calculated using the dedicated software (Figure 3). Considering Agatston Score absolute values patients were classified into 5 groups: Agatston Score <10; 10-99; 100-399; 400-999; ≥1000; on the basis of the risk percentiles patients were classified into 4 groups: <25° percentile, <50° percentile, <75° percentile, >75° percentile. Patients with Agatston Score >1000 did not undergo CTCA following the Centre guidelines and were not included in the study; in all the other individuals of our sample CTCA was then performed.

**Statistical analysis**

Distribution of main socio-demographic and health related characteristics were described. Continuous variables were expressed as mean and standard deviation and categorical variables as percentages and absolute numbers. Differences between groups were compared using Wilcoxon and Pearson Chi-Square test or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate. Association of “CT coronary angiography” and “carotid artery Doppler US” variables was investigated using a multivariable logistic regression model, adjusted by relevant covariates. All variables considered were entered into the model “as is”, i.e. without any transformation or cut-off. If significant non-linearity using a score test was present, the specific covariate’s effect was modeled using a restricted cubic spline. Selection criteria was the AIC (Akaike Information Criterion) applied backward for selecting significant covariates. First, the base model was selected using only covariates’ information. Then, “CT coronary angiography” and “carotid artery Doppler US” variables were considered producing the final model, selected if superior in terms of AIC at a significance level of 0.05. P-values have been explicitly indicated if below the 0.25 threshold, otherwise the “NS” indication was used. To account for possible over fitting in the regression model secondary to high ratio between covariates and events, cross-validation and bootstrap (200 runs) techniques were applied. For the logistic regression model, Somer’s concordance Index Dxy (the closer to one in absolute value the better) were obtained and evaluated for this purpose. Multivariable Odds Ratios are presented along with their 95%
confidence intervals. The statistical significance was settled at p<0.05. The R System (release 2.7.0) statistical package and the Harrell’s Design and Hmisc libraries were used for analysis.

Results

CT coronary angiography

Twenty-three percent of the patients (13/56) had significant coronary artery disease, 54% (30/56) had non-significant stenosis in one or more epicardial vessels and 23% (13/56) had patent coronary arteries.

IMT and Calcium Score

No patients had significant carotid artery stenosis, 88% (49/56) of the patients had non-significant disease, 12% (7/56) had no carotid disease. Considering absolute Calcium Score values 21% (12/56) of the patients had Agatston Score 0, 14% (8/56) had Agatston Score <10; 21% (12/56) between 10-99; 23% (13/56) between 100-399, while 20% (11/56) had Agatston Score >400.

Agatston Score absolute values >400 are associated with high likelihood of significant coronary artery disease [1,17].

Table 3 summarizes IMT and Calcium Score percentiles distributions in the studied population.

Significant CAD predictors

At univariate analysis IMT and Calcium Score resulted significantly associated with significant CAD. However, after adjusting for potential confounders, multivariate analysis indicated Calcium Score as the only significant and independent predictor of significant CAD. Table 4 summarizes the results of the univariate analysis for prediction of significant coronary artery disease. Among clinical variables only chest pain resulted significantly related to the presence of CAD. On the contrary, all the instrumental variables, either Doppler-US or CT based, representing the global atherosclerotic burden, resulted significant predictors of CAD. However, after adjusting for potential confounders using a multivariate analysis, only the Calcium Score remained a significant and independent predictor (OR 1.55, 95%; unit increase C.I.1.04-2.31).

Discussion

The relationship between peripheral and coronary atherosclerosis is well documented and, actually, various imaging techniques are capable of identifying the atherosclerosis of the carotid district; these methods have been employed as indirect index of coronary disease [6,18,19]. As a matter of fact, it has been demonstrated that the carotid IMT can be

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMT (mm)</th>
<th>patients (n°)</th>
<th>patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6-1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calcium Score</th>
<th>patients (n°)</th>
<th>patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25°</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50°</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;75°</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;75°</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Intima-media-thickness and Calcium Score distributions in the studied population.

be attributed to the fact that the IMT becomes more predictive at more advanced age [9,10].

Evidences in literature are based on perspective studies on numerous populations, where risk evaluation is obtained by monitoring events during the follow-up or on studies which evaluate the presence of CAD at coronary angiography examination [8,9,25]. The evaluation of the presence of disease at coronary angiography examination, considering its invasiveness, makes it that the examined patients, sent to coronary angiography, even if only with a diagnostic aim, may result less representative of the general population at risk of CAD. The advent, in the last decade, of the CTA with the possibility to study coronaries by means of non-invasive imaging, of which the accuracy and the high negative predictive value are more and more confirmed [27-29], can represent a turning-point in the study of subjects at low to medium risk of coronary artery disease. Our data therefore, being based on a population of patients sent to CTA, and not to conventional coronary angiography, in our opinion, can better represent the actual target population of the secondary prevention.

This study has some limits the main of which is certainly represented by the scarce numerosity of the sample. Moreover, we have to point out that at our Centre we do not perform CTC patients with Calcium Score>1000 and therefore some patients potentially at high risk might have not been included in the study population. A further limit of the study may be due to the time of execution of the examinations: in fact the evaluation of Calcium Score was made at the same time of the CTA, while the carotid artery Doppler US could have been made even 1 month before or later, and this might have influenced the possible greater accuracy of CCS compared to the IMT evaluation.

In conclusion, in accordance with our results, in a population at medium risk of CAD, the markers of carotid atherosclerosis burden are less significant and only partially useful in predicting the presence of obstructive coronary pathology and cannot represent a substitute of the markers of local atherosclerotic burden.
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