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INTRODUCTION

Hardly anyone died of Covid-19 according to the newest epidemiological study from China, which found a paltry fatality rate of just 0.04% to 0.12%, thus far lower than the seasonal flu, which has a mortality rate of about 0.1%. As a reason for the overestimated fatality of Covid19, the researchers suspect that initially only a small number of cases were recorded in Wuhan, as the disease was probably asymptomatic or mild in many people. Now that we know the mortality rate of Covid-19 to be two times lower than that of the seasonal flu, why are our governments locking us up and denying us the most fundamental rights and liberties? Clearly not for medical reasons and certainly not for our protection. According to the latest European monitoring report, overall mortality in all countries (including Italy) and in all age groups remains within or even below the normal range so far. In other words, there are no more deaths this year, in Italy and anywhere else in Europe, than there were last year or any other year. In fact, there are fewer deaths this year.

The Swiss government claimed that the situation in southern Switzerland (next to Italy) is “dramatic”. The mortality profile of COVID-19 remains puzzling from a virological point of view because, in contrast to influenza viruses, children are spared and men are affected about twice as often as women. On the other hand, this profile corresponds to natural mortality, which is close to zero for children and almost twice as high for 75-year-old men as for women of the same age. In other words, mortality is within normal parameters and has nothing to do with Covid-19. The test currently used for diagnosing coronavirus infections, the so-called SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus Multiplex RT-qPCR Kit, should only be used for research and not for diagnostics according to its makers. No wonder, since studies have shown to give 80% false positives. In other words it diagnoses inaccurately 8 out of 10 times, which means that Joe the plumber could do better than that. Professor Sucharit Bhakdi, a world renowned expert in medical microbiology, says blaming the new coronavirus alone for deaths is „wrong” and „dangerously misleading”, as there are other more important factors at play, notably pre-existing health conditions and poor air quality in Chinese and Northern Italian cities. Professor Bhakdi describes the currently discussed or imposed measures as „grotesque”, „useless”, „self-destructive” and a „collective suicide” that will shorten the lifespan of the elderly and should not be accepted by society. In other words, it is the quarantine measures, the bad air, and the poor health of the elderly that are killing people not the so-called pandemic. A model from Imperial College London predicted between 250,000 and 500,000 deaths in the UK from Covid-19, but the authors of the study have now conceded that many of these deaths would not be in addition to, but rather part of the normal annual mortality rate, which in the UK is about 600,000 people per year. In other words, excess mortality would remain low. A new French study in the Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, titled SARS-CoV-2: fear versus data, concludes that „the problem of SARS-CoV-2 is overestimated”, since „the mortality rate for SARS-CoV-2 is not significantly different from that for common coronaviruses identified at the study hospital in France”. The UK has removed Covid19 from the official list of High Consequence Infectious Diseases (HCID), stating that mortality rates are „low overall”. The director of the German National Health Institute (RKI) admitted that they count all test-positive deaths, irrespective of the actual cause of death, as „coronavirus deaths”. The average age of the deceased is 82 years, most with serious preconditions. As in most other countries, excess mortality due Covid19 is likely to be near zero in Germany. The President of the World Doctors Federation, Frank Ulrich Montgomery, argues that lockdown measures as in Italy are „unreasonable” and „counterproductive” and should be reversed. German immunologist and toxicologist, Professor Stefan Hockertz, explains in a radio interview that Covid19 is no more dangerous than influenza (the flu), but that it is simply observed much more closely. More dangerous than the virus is the fear and panic created by the media and the „authoritarian reaction” of many governments. Professor Hockertz also notes that most so-called “corona deaths” have in fact died of other causes while also testing positive for coronaviruses. Hockertz believes that up to ten times more people than reported already had Covid19 but noticed nothing or very little. The Argentinian virologist and biochemist Pablo Goldschmidt explains that Covid19 is no more dangerous than a bad cold or the flu. It is even possible that the Covid19 virus circulated already in earlier years, but wasn’t discovered because no one was looking for it. Dr. Goldschmidt speaks of a global terror” created by the media and politics. Every year, he says, three million newborns worldwide and 50,000 adults in the US alone die of pneumonia. Professor Martin Exner, head of the Institute for Hygiene at the University of Bonn, explains in an interview why health personnel are currently under pressure, even though there has hardly been any increase in the number of patients in
Germany so far: On the one hand, doctors and nurses who have tested positive have to be quarantined and are often hard to replace. On the other hand, nurses from neighboring countries, who provide an important part of the care, are currently unable to enter the country due to closed borders. Professor Julian Nida-Ruemelin, former German Minister of State for Culture and Professor of Ethics, points out that Covid19 poses no risk to the healthy general population and that extreme measures such as curfews are therefore not justified. Using data from the cruise ship Diamond Princess, Stanford Professor John Ioannidis showed that the age-corrected lethality of Covid19 is between 0.025% and 0.625%, i.e. in the range of a strong cold or the flu. Moreover, a Japanese study showed that of all the test-positive passengers, and despite the high average age, 48% remained completely symptom-free; even among the 80-89 year olds 48% remained symptom-free, while among the 70 to 79 year olds it was an astounding 60% that developed no symptoms at all. This again raises the question whether the pre-existing diseases are not perhaps a more important factor than the virus itself. The British Medical Journal (BMJ) reports that, according to the latest data from China, 78% of new test-positive individuals show no symptoms. An Oxford epidemiologist said that these findings are "very, very important." He added that if the results are representative, "then we have to ask, 'What the hell are we locking down for?'" Dr. Andreas Sönnichsen, head of the Department of General and Family Medicine at the Medical University of Vienna and chairman of the Network for Evidence-Based Medicine, considers the measures imposed so far to be "insane". The whole state is being paralyzed just to "protect the few it could affect". In a world first, the Swedish government has announced that it is going to officially distinguish between deaths "by" and deaths "with" the coronavirus, which should lead to a reduction in reported deaths. Meanwhile, for some reason, international pressure on Sweden to abandon its liberal strategy is steadily increasing. In Germany, the Hamburg health authority now has test-positive deaths examined by forensic medicine in order to count only "real" corona deaths. As a result, the number of deaths has already been reduced by up to 50% compared to the official figures of the Robert Koch Institute. A leaked confidential strategy paper of the German government shows that the German government, in conjunction with the media and some scientists, is apparently following a "shock strategy" to make people afraid of a "worst case scenario". The general population – for whom the virus is largely harmless – should be warned against "painful suffocation"; likewise, children playing in playgrounds could cause the "painful death" of their parents.

Several German law firms are preparing lawsuits against the measures and regulations that have been issued. A specialist in medical law writes in a press release: "The measures taken by the federal and state governments are blatantly unconstitutional and violate a multitude of basic rights of citizens in Germany to an unprecedented extent. This applies to all corona regulations of the 16 federal states. In particular, these measures are not justified by the Infection Protection Act, which was revised in no time at all just a few days ago. Because the available figures and statistics show that corona infection is harmless in more than 95% of the population and therefore does not represent a serious danger to the general public."