Can CRISPR Win the Battle against Huanglongbing?
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Abstract

Huanglongbing (HLB) is the most destructive disease of citrus. The causal agent is Candidatus Liberibacter spp. The pathogen is phloem-limited gram-negative bacterium. HLB has caused huge loss in citrus fruit production. All commercial citrus cultivars can be infected by this disease and there is no cure for it. Disease detection, host responses upon infection are under review in this article. Because conventional breeding can’t confer citrus resistance to HLB, transgenic approach is an alternative way to produce disease resistant citrus facing the urgent need. Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat (CRISPR) is the most recent and advanced genome editing technology that has been successfully applied to develop canker resistant citrus. In this review, the potential application of CRISPR technology in citrus resistance to HLB is discussed.
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Introduction

Huanglongbing (HLB) is the most destructive disease of citrus. The typical symptoms are yellowing of the leaf veins and adjacent tissues, premature defoliation, dieback of twigs, ultimately leading to death of infected citrus trees [1]. The affected trees show retarded growth, off season flowering, bearing unattractive, smaller fruits that are bitter in taste. Recently, outbreak of HLB has been a major problem in citrus production because conventional breeding can’t confer citrus resistance to HLB, transgenic approach is an alternative way to produce disease resistant citrus facing the urgent need. Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat (CRISPR) is the most recent and advanced genome editing technology that has been successfully applied to develop canker resistant citrus. In this review, the potential application of CRISPR technology in citrus resistance to HLB is discussed.

HLB infected citrus worldwide, including Asia, Africa, and Americas. In USA, it was first reported in south Florida. The causal agent Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus (CLas) spread rapidly to Louisiana, Georgia, Texas etc. HLB is transmitted and vectored by Asian citrus psyllid (ACP) [5]. Grafting can transmit HLB too [6]. Till now, there is no effective management for HLB. Normally, insecticide is used to control vector to suppress spreading of HLB [7]. To prevent the HLB spreading, the infected trees need to be removed and destroyed. Heat treatment can reduce the symptoms of infected trees by elimination of CLas [8]. However, heat treatment can’t prevent the treated trees from secondary infection and it is impractical to treat big trees in field. The topics of how to detect the disease, responses of host plant to HLB, and the perspective of CRISPR in controlling HLB are discussed in this review.

Disease Review

Disease detection

HLB has long latent period, and it is difficult to distinguish HLB infected trees from zinc deficient trees due to similar appearance of leaves [9]. Therefore, it is necessary to confirm disease infection by molecular tools. Southern blot was used to detect bacterium from plant tissue at first [10]. Southern blot is time consuming and the sensitivity is lower as compared to polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based methods. Due to the low titer and uneven distribution of CLas in citrus, PCR was used to detect and distinguish Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticum and Candidatus Liberibacter africanum combined with XbaI enzyme digestion [11]. Quantitative real-time PCR was used to detect pathogen based on 16S rDNA [12]. To increase the sensitivity, primers from wxrB which has five copies in genome were used to reduce Ct value of 1.68 (SYBR Green PCR) and 1.77 (TaqMan PCR) [13]. Now, a rapid field test system has been developed to detect CLas from psyllid as well as plant [14]. PCR based methods are rapid and sensitive, but it is difficult to be used in screening at larger scale. Therefore, imaging techniques were developed for the purpose of large screening [15,16].

Citrus responses to HLB infection

Based on plant symptoms and ability to grow post infection with CLas, 30 different genotypes of citrus were divided into four classes: 1) sensitive, which showed severe chlorosis on leaves, growth was inhibited greatly, and the infected plants were dead, such as Valencia sweet orange. Duan grapefruit etc.; 2) moderately tolerant, plants showed distinguished symptoms but grew normally; however, died, such as Sour orange and Mexican lime etc.; 3) tolerant, which showed minimal symptoms such as Eureka lemon, Persian lime, Carrizo citrange, and Severinia buxifolia; 4) seven genotypes showed variable symptom. The titer of CLas were not related with the severity of the symptoms [17].

Since CLas is restricted in phloem all over the infected plant, different parts of sweet orange were observed under microscope to detect the cellular structural change due to HLB infection. HLB infected sweet orange leaves showed plugging of sieve pores [18]. The HLB infected stem exhibited cell wall thickness and collapse were observed in phloem, and the symptoms were more severe in stem than in root [19]. The phloem was damaged in HLB tolerant rough lemon and susceptible sweet orange at the similar level, but phloem transportation was maintained much better in rough lemon [20]. The damage of cell wall and cell membrane in phloem by CLas was caused by movement of pathogen between cells [21].
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Comparative metabolomics between HLB infected, zinc-deficient, and healthy ‘Valencia’ showed difference among the different samples [22]. In the HLB infected leaves, proline accumulated at the highest level. Beta-elemene, (-) trans- caryophyllene, and alpha-humulene exclusively accumulated at lower level in HLB infected leaves. But, the concentrations of proline and arginine were lower in Las positive fruit than in healthy fruit of ‘Valencia’ [23]. Metabolomic changes were found in HLB infected Hamlin as well [24]. Phenylalanine, tryptophan, lysine and asparagine accumulated more in phloem sap of HLB tolerant varieties than in HLB sensitive varieties [25,26]. Volatile organic compounds (VOC) that protect plants from attack of insect and pathogen were analyzed among HLB sensitive varieties and tolerant varieties.

Microarray and RNA-Seq were employed to analyze the differences between health citrus and HLB infected citrus to figure out the mechanisms of disease development. Gene differential expression at transcriptional level upon HLB infection in leaf, stem and root of sweet orange was analyzed from microarray analysis [18,19]. RNA-Seq was employed to compare gene transcriptional profiling of leaf and fruit or fruit upon HLB infection [27,28]. Microarray study revealed that the numbers of significantly regulated genes in leaf, stem, and root were 624, 885 and 111, respectively [18,19]. Among the differentially expressed genes, the numbers of upregulated genes were 307, 551 and 56 in leaf, stem, and root, respectively. The differentially expressed genes covered many aspects of cellular function, including response to stress, cell wall biogenesis, signal transduction, carbohydrate metabolism, protein degradation, phytohormones, metal transportation etc. Due to the advantages of RNA-Seq over microarray [29], RNA-Seq was performed to determine the disease mechanisms of HLB [27,28]. Source-sink disruption and weak plant immunity response were the main mechanisms of disease. But, it showed that HLB symptom development depended on host response rather than on carbohydrate starvation from the microarray data by comparing fruits from HLB infected sweet orange and girdled fruit [30].

Transcriptional responses to CLas infection between HLB susceptible and tolerant citrus were analyzed to study the mechanisms of disease tolerance. It could be hypothesized that tolerance in Rough lemon to HLB may be from the minimized influence in phloem transportation and fast response to CLas by comparing transcriptional profiling with susceptible sweet orange at 5, 17 and 27 WAI (weeks after inoculation) [20]. Another microarray study showed that the HLB tolerant US-897 had more genes involved in pathogen defense expression at higher level without CLas infection compared to susceptible ‘Cleopatra mandarín’. Constitutive disease resistance protein (CDR1), 2-oxoglutarate (2OG) and Fe (II)-dependent oxygenase may contribute to tolerance of US-897 to HLB [31]. The citrus cultivars in the above two studies had different genetic background. It is difficult to draw a conclusion on the mechanisms of tolerance to HLB. Recently, RNA-Seq was conducted using two genetically close related cultivars HLB tolerant ‘Jackson’ grapefruit hybrid and HLB susceptible ‘Marsh’ grapefruit. The results showed that basal defense played an important role in resistance to HLB [32]. Because small RNAs participate in disease resistance [33], small RNA profiling was determined in HLB infected sweet orange. MiR399 was upregulated by HLB infection and resulted in phosphorus deficiency of infected plant [34].

The genes differentially expressed at translational level were much less than at transcriptional level in ‘Madam Vinous’ sweet orange [35]. Only 10 and 20 proteins were differentially expressed in non-HLB symptomatic sample and HLB symptomatic sample when compared with mock-inoculated controls. Among the 20 differentially expressed proteins, 13 were up-regulated and 7 were down-regulated. The down-regulated proteins were annotated as unknown function. Seven proteins involved in stress/defense response were induced in the two LAS+ samples, including chitinase, four mirulin-like proteins, lipoygenase, and Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase. ATPase alpha subunit was only induced in leaves with HLB symptoms. HLB infected grapefruit showed the similar results regarding to the up-regulated proteins [36]. There were 69 proteins that showed differential expression in Las positive leaves compared with healthy leaves. Among them, 13 proteins were up-regulated. Besides chitinase, mirulin-like proteins and Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase were induced in sweet orange, and lectin-related proteins, peroxiredoxins, CAP 160 and granule-bound starch synthase were induced in grapefruit. The 56 down-regulated proteins were associated with protein synthesis, protein folding and photosynthesis. On the contrary, the defense-related proteins were down-regulated in lemon that is tolerant to HLB, such as lectin-related proteins, chitinase, and mirulin-like proteins [37]. Upon HLB infection, chaperones HSP 70 and an isoflavone reductase-related protein were up-regulated in lemon. Proteins associated with photosynthesis and starch synthesis were similar to grapefruit and sweet orange [37]. The differences in responses between HLB susceptible and tolerant cultivars were determined by proteomes between Navel orange (susceptible) and Volkameriana (moderately tolerant) [38]. This study highlighted the detoxification pathways in Volkameriana contributing tolerance to HLB infection. Since heat treatment can reduce HLB symptoms [8], proteomics analysis was conducted between four grapefruit samples Las heat, Las heat, ‘Las heat, and ‘Las heat to elucidate the mechanisms of thermotherapy. Compared with Las heat sample, only Las infection caused 23 proteins down-regulated and 31 proteins up-regulated in ‘Las heat sample. Heat treatment resulted in induction of 74 proteins and suppression of 9 proteins. Combined heat treatment and Las infection together, 83 proteins were up-regulated and 10 proteins were down-regulated. Two proteins that belong to chaperones, a HSP70-like protein and a RubiscoCO-binding 60 KDa chaperonin, were down-regulated in Las infected samples, but they were highly up-regulated by heat treatment. Las infection could repress photosynthesis. But, heat treatment could increase photosynthesis by chlorophyll II up regulation. Four proteins, a ferritin-like protein, a putative lipoygenase protein, glucosidase II beta subunit-like protein, and a glutathione S-transferase that are related to disease resistance were up regulated with heat treatment but down regulated in HLB infected sample without heat treatment. Proteins related with redox homeostasis were down regulated in ‘Las heat plants, but all identified differentially expressed proteins associated with redox homeostasis were induced in ‘Las heat plants [39]. Therefore, heat treatment reverses the expression pattern of proteins suppressed by CLas infection, the proteins are related to disease resistant protein, photosynthesis protein, redox homeostasis to contribute plant resistance to HLB.

Transgenic approach is the only way to confer citrus HLB resistance at present

Plants resistance to bacterial disease can be divided into two categories: PTI (pathogen-associated molecular patterns triggered immunity) and ETI (effector-triggered immunity). Plant transmembrane pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) recognize conserved microbial- or pathogen-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs or PAMPs) to trigger plant disease resistant response. ETI is triggered by interaction of plant R protein and pathogen Avr protein directly or indirectly, typical reaction is HR (hypersensitive response) [40]. PTI can confer plant broad spectrum disease resistance. But, the PTI immunity may be subverted by pathogen effectors and the extent of PTI varies from one species to another species.
and is controlled by multi-genes. ETI can enhance PTI and confer plant complete resistance to a specific pathogen. PTI and ETI pathways are overlapping [41]. Conventional breeding of HLB resistance citrus can’t be achieved due to the unavailability of HLB resistant citrus in nature. It is extremely difficult to make mutant library of citrus to screen HLB resistant plant or susceptible plant due to long growing time and large number of plants required. Therefore, transgenic approach is the feasible choice for breeding HLB resistant citrus.

Recently, three reports described citrus enhanced HLB resistance by transgenic approach [42-44]. \( \text{NPR1} \) is a core factor in plant systemic acquired resistance [45] that has been widely used to enhance plant resistance to pathogens, such as wheat [46], strawberry [47], cotton [48,49], carrot [50], rice [51] etc. The over expressed \( \text{NPR1} \) transgenic citrus showed enhanced resistance to HLB in field trial [42]. \( \text{Thionins} \) are low molecular weight proteins solely present in higher plants, and they are toxic to bacteria and fungi \( \text{in vitro} \) [52]. \( \text{Thionins} \) that belong to \( \text{PR13} \) family are considered as plant antimicrobial peptides (\( \text{AMPs} \)) [53]. A modified thionin was transformed into citrus and the transgenic citrus showed stronger resistance to citrus canker and HLB [43]. \( \text{Cecropin B} \), an antimicrobial peptide, from Chinese oak silkworm (\( \text{A. pernyi} \)) was expressed under phloem-specific promoter to reduce the susceptibility of Tarocco blood orange to HLB [44].

To improve plant disease resistance by protein overexpression, \( \text{PRR} \) such as \( \text{FLS2} \) [54] and \( \text{EFR} \) [55] were used to enhance resistance to bacteria disease. \( \text{R} \) gene also can be transformed into plant to confer plant disease resistance. The third group of candidates is \( \text{AMPs} \). To knock out susceptible genes [56] can confer plant disease resistance. There are many question needed to be answered in order to confer citrus HLB resistance. What are the virulence mechanisms of CLas? How does CLas take advantage of citrus? Are there any genes in citrus that are manipulated by CLas to develop HLB symptoms? The research on the virulence mechanisms of CLas was hampered because CLas can’t be cultured. After CLas genome released, two reports shed light on the virulence mechanisms of CLas [57,58]. The second prophase of CLas encodes a peroxidase that can scavenge Reactive oxygen species (ROS) in plant demonstrated by transient expression in \( \text{N. benthamiana} \) leaves [57]. ROS plays a central role in plant disease response via programmed cell death (PCD) and serves as the signal molecules in systemic acquired resistance (SAR) [59]. Another signal molecule, salicylic acid, the plant defense hormone [60], is degraded by an enzyme \( \text{SaHA} \) encoded by CLas [58]. The transgenic \( \text{SaHA} \) tobacco suppressed HR caused by infection of non-host pathogen \( \text{Xanthomonas citri} \) \( \text{Subsp. citri} \) A306 [58]. An effector \( \text{Las5315} \) was transiently expressed in \( \text{N. benthamiana} \) and caused cell death in infiltrated leaves. The subcellular localization of \( \text{Las5315} \) is in chloroplast [61]. But, the role of \( \text{Las5315} \) in HLB symptom development is unknown. However, the virulence mechanisms of CLas will be addressed with more publications on effectors in the future.

Discussion

CRISPR is the third generation of genome editing tool after \( \text{ZFN} \) (Zinc-finger nucleases) and \( \text{TALEN} \) (transcription activator-like effector nucleases) [62]. CRISPR has advantages over \( \text{ZFN} \) and \( \text{TELEN} \), such as simple, able to use at large scale and targeting multi-loci simultaneously [63,64]. Genome editing tools were used to confer crop disease resistance successfully. \( \text{TALEN} \), was used to transmute multiple \( \text{MILDewResistance LOCUS} \) (\( \text{MLO} \)) alleles in bread wheat to confer resistance to powdery mildew [65]. CRISPR was used to edit \( \text{LOB1} \), a susceptible gene of citrus canker, at coding region and promoter region to confer citrus canker resistance, respectively [66,67]. CRISPR has one advantage over all other technologies: the modified plant may not contain foreign DNA, so the edited plant can be released to market as commercial production for human consumption [68]. Due to the vague virulence mechanisms of HLB, CRISPR can’t achieve the goal of conferring citrus HLB resistance at present, however, CRISPR is a powerful tool with great potential in breeding HLB resistant citrus after discovery HLB susceptible gene from citrus.

Conclusion

HLB is the biggest threat to citrus industry. Many efforts have been put into understanding the mechanisms on how CLas causes disease symptoms. But, there are large gaps in understanding the disease development. The time causes study did not give clue on how disease developed over time. It needs more time points to draw the fine map of gene regulation. Moreover, no specific phloem cell type transcriptome is available to understand how phloem response to CLas infection. Hence, the susceptible gene of HLB is not identified yet. Therefore, CRISPR is only a promising tool to control HLB at present. CRISPR requires identification of susceptible genes. It needs more works to identify pathogen effectors and elucidate the virulence mechanisms. Regarding to citrus HLB resistance, it mainly depends on plant basal defense. Heat treatment reducing HLB symptoms may be due to the activation of PTI at higher temperature [69]. To enhance citrus HLB resistance, more genes can be transformed into plant based on different strategies [70]. A system from Arabidopsis, \( \text{sORF} \)-mediated translation was used to express \( \text{AtNPR1} \) without fitness costs of rice [51], may benefit transgenic approach for citrus HLB resistance. Genes which can enhance PTI will help plant fight HLB as well as more powerful \( \text{AMPs} \). It needs more research on HLB from different disciplines and integration efforts from scientists, growers, and governments to win the battle against HLB.
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