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Abstract

Background: Internal rotation contracture is the most frequent and important secondary deformity of the shoulder in birth palsy. Many techniques have been tried to solve this problem.

Aim: To improve shoulder abduction and external rotation in obstetric brachial plexus injuries (OBPIs) by performing an ordinary Single Muscle Transfer (SMT) or Bidirectional Muscle Transfer (BMT) around the shoulder. Patients and methods: 40 patients: 21 male and 19 female their age ranged from 2 to 10 years complaining of lake of shoulder abduction and external rotation due to OBPIs. They were divided into two groups according to the performed operation: group 1 (17 patients) operated with BMT and group 2 (23 patients) operated with SMT.

Results: There was significant postoperative improvement in shoulder abduction, external rotation and flexion in both procedure “BMT and SMT”. In addition, the young age gives better results as there are negative correlation between patient age and degree of shoulder functions improvement in both groups. Moreover, BMT procedure had significant higher degree of improvement in shoulder abduction and external rotation than SMT procedure.

Conclusion: Bidirectional muscle transfer is recommended as an optimal procedure to improve shoulder abduction and external rotation in late obstetric brachial plexus injuries.
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Introduction

Obstetric brachial plexus injuries (OBPIs) is a mixed nerve and musculoskeletal complication that happens during the process of difficult delivery [1]. A considerable percent of cases tends to acquire lifelong limb deficits [2]. The fast limb growth with marked asymmetry in the pathology of nerve involvement within the brachial plexus, may lead to a lot of sequelae as muscle contracture, weakness, and imbalances. These deformities become more evident with failure of growth of the denervated muscles, or at least developed at a lower rate than the antagonist innervated muscles. Thethering effect may develop gradually, that limits the passive range of motion around the shoulder joint. And muscle imbalances and contractures can lead to a lot of bony deformities [3]. In addition, bony deformities can also result from decreased innervation from the brachial plexus to shoulder joint bones [4,5].

All the previous prerequisites can lead to progressive and persistent glenohumeral (GH) joint dysplasia and shoulder joint subluxation and dislocation [6].

Muscle balance between agonists and antagonists around the shoulder joint is the main aim of the treatment. This aim can be achieved firstly by release of the thethering contractures of the internal rotators. Many techniques had been published for this release, as posterior subscapularis muscle slide, or through anterior approach lengthening of the tendon of subscapularis. Through this anterior approach the tendon of pectoralis major can be also lengthen, and any ligamentous or capsular contractures can be dealt with [7,8].

If the active external rotation and abduction were not recovered well, muscle transfer can be done in supple and congruent GH joint. If there is severe glenohumeral dysplasia with internal rotation contraction especially in older children, then external humeral osteotomy is preferred [9,10].

In this research, the bidirectional double muscle transfer is proposed to improve shoulder functions after late OBPIs and compared with the single muscle transfer.

Patients and Methods

Patient data analysis

From January 2011 to June 2014 forty patients {21 male (52.5%) 19 female (47.5%)} were operated. They were divided into two groups according to the performed operation (Table 1). Ethical committee permission was granted for this study (approved by the Institutional Review Board and ethics committee of faculty of medicine- Zagazig University) and written consent of patients was also taken.

Group 1 (17 patients) operated with bidirectional double muscle transfer (BDMT); their age ranged from 2 to 10 years mean ± SD (4.18 ± 2.24). Affected side was the right side in 10 cases (58.82 %) and left side in 7 (41.18%). The affected roots were C5-6 in 1 case (5.89 %), C5-6-7 in 11 cases (64.70 %) and total in 5 cases (29.41%). The follow up period: ranged from 6 to 36 months mean ± SD (18.76 ± 7.35). Before operation, degree of shoulder abduction ranged from 30 to 75 degrees, shoulder external rotation ranged from zero to 20 and shoulder flexion ranged from 0-30.

Group 2 (23 patients) operated with Single Muscle Transfer (SDMT); their age ranged from 2 to 9 years mean ± SD (4.48 ± 1.93). Affected side was the right side in 8 cases (34.78 %) and left side in 15 (65.22%). The affected roots were C5-6 in 3 cases (13.04 %) and C5-6-7 in 20 cases (86.96 %). The follow up period: ranged from 6 to 38 months mean ± SD (19.74 ± 9.25). Before operation, degree of shoulder...
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abduction ranged from 40 to 90 degrees, shoulder external rotation ranged from zero to 30 and shoulder flexion ranged from 10-25.

In this study, two methods of transfer around the shoulder joint were done.

**Operative technique of the single direction muscle transfer (SDMT)**

In this technique I used a procedure similar to that of Gilbert [11] and Ahmad [12].

Patient after being anaesthetized, was lied in lateral decubitus while the affected side being up. At the start of this surgery, assessment of the passive range of movement (PRoM) around the shoulder joint is so essential. Any contractures, hypertrophy of the internal rotators is to be considered. I used posterior approach at the lateral edge of the scapula in a zigzag incision. Through this approach, posterior subscapularis muscle slide and release was achieved. Then after, the conjoint tendon was dissected from surrounding structures, and the part related to latissimus dorsi (LD) muscle in the conjoint tendon was separated from that of Terrie's major muscle (tmm). Dissection and mobilization of the LD muscle to enable successful transfer to a new insertion site was done. All previous steps (posterior subscapularis muscle release, conjoint tendon dissection, and mobilization of the upper part of LD muscle), the pedicles of the LD muscle, and tmm, should be saved and protected. After that, detach the tendon of LD muscle from the medial side of the humerus with great protection and care to avoid injury of the fibers of the axillary nerve. I used heavy polyprolene suture to fix the
tendon of LD into the point at the greater tuberosity of the humerus at the site of insertion of the infraspinatous. Little sutures was taken between infraspinatous muscle and transferred LD muscle belly, to make some synergism in function and direction of pull. Closure of the wound, (while the arm was in 90° abduction, 90° external rotation, and the elbow at 90° flexion) was achieved. In the same position, shoulder spica cast was applied for 6 weeks. After removal of the cast airplane custom made splint was applied with gradual weaning for 3 months, after that physiotherapy was scheduled.

Operative technique of the bidirectional double muscle transfer (BDMT)

In this group, I used the same position, incision, and subscapularis release of previous SDMT. After release of the part of LD muscle of the conjoint tendon from the tmm part (Figure 1A and 1B).

The tendon of tmm was fixed to the inferior surface of the head of the humerus below the site of lesser tuberosity in full abduction. Care to avoid injury of the neurovascular bundle of the upper limb is essential. After that, the tendon of the LD muscle was fixed like the previous transfer to the upper most part of the greater tuberosity of the humerus, while the arm was at 90° abduction and 90° external rotation. I used the same fixation position, period of cast fixation, same proid of custom made brace, and the same physiotherapy schedule like SDMT (Figure 2A and 2B).

Statistical analysis

Data were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical analysis was performed using the 20 version of SPSS statistical software for windows (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA). Paired student t test used in the comparison between preoperative and postoperative evaluation and unpaired student t test used in the comparison between 2 groups. Person correlation used to relate patient’s age and postoperative improvement. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Table 2 shows a comparison between shoulder abduction improvements after the two operative procedures. There was significant postoperative shoulder abduction improvement in both procedure "BDMT and SDMT" when compared with the degree of abduction before operation (P=0.000). In addition there is negative correlation between patient age and degree of shoulder abstraction improvement in both groups ($\tau=-572$ and $-575$, P=0.016 and 0.004 respectively). Moreover, BDMT procedure had significant higher degree of improvement in shoulder abstraction than SDMT procedure (P=0.000) with percentage of change 602.64% in comparison to 470.73% in SDMT.

Table 3 shows a comparison between shoulder external rotation improvements after the two operative procedures. There was significant postoperative shoulder external rotation improvement in both procedure "BDMT and SDMT" when compared with the degree of external rotation before operation (P = 0.000). In addition there is negative correlation between patient age and degree of shoulder external rotation improvement in both groups ($\tau=-720$ and $-578$, P = 0.001 and 0.004 respectively). Furthermore, BDMT procedure had significant higher degree of improvement in shoulder external rotation than SDMT procedure (P = 0.009) with percentage of change 1285.54% in comparison to 1095.89% in SDMT.

Table 4 shows a comparison between shoulder flexion improvements after the two operative procedures. There was significant postoperative shoulder flexion improvement in both procedure "BDMT and SDMT" when compared with the degree of flexion before operation (P=0.000). In addition there is negative correlation between patient age and degree of shoulder flexion improvement in both groups ($\tau=-523$ and $-448$, P=0.031 and 0.032 respectively). However, there was non-significant statistical difference between the degree of improvement in shoulder flexion in both procedures (P=0.061) with percentage of change 602.64% in comparison to 470.73% in SDMT.

Discussion

Progressive and lifelong deformities of the shoulder represent the most common complications of OBPIs are [13-15].

Many authors considered muscle release procedure is indicated in internal rotation contracture before the age of two years, and the muscle transfer to achieve good external rotation and abduction in older children [16].

It is logic to use the procedure of muscle release and transfer before evident dysplasia of GH joint that usually happened at age of 3 or 4 year [17].

Gilbert et al. [18] and many authors suggested that the release of the internal rotation contracture is indicated if passive external rotation is
assessing the PROM of the shoulder joint at the start of the surgery.

less than 20°. I used the same concept in this work, especially while
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release in all cases at the same time, to avoid reoperation with suspected

If the active abduction is more than 90°. In other

transfer is sufficient for shoulder functions restoration (abduction and external rotation), if the active abduction is more than 90°. In other

words, if active external rotation was between 45°-90° the LD muscle transfer was not sufficient. So he advised to add trapezius transfer for shoulder abduction in this situation.

Another concept presented by Muhlig et al. [16] is remarkable. They described a policy for management of internal rotation contracture deformity. They suggested to do muscle release when passive external rotation is less than 30°. And in cases without shoulder joint subluxation or dislocation, they were doing posterior approach muscle slide operation. In other situation, when there was dislocation or subluxation of the shoulder, then anterior subscapular tendon lengthening was preferred. They added that, in cases showed no recovery of infraspinatus muscle at the age of 2 years old, then LD muscle transfer was added to the release. I did muscle transfer and release in all cases at the same time, to avoid reoperation with suspected fibrosis and adhesions in the field if the rotator cuff muscles were not regained function after the release surgery. The other reason is to avoid internal rotation contracture recurrence. Also is to provide the cases with rapid, sufficient, and long lasting improvement. Lastly is to limit the costs of 2 surgeries in a country with financial problems.

Hoffer et al. [20] used tmm in the technique to improve active outward rotation of the shoulder. They listed the indications for this when the flexors and abductors of the shoulder are weak against gravity, and when tmm shows cocontraction during active abduction of the shoulder. The third indication is to augmente the muscle volume of the cranial part of trapezius muscle transfer.

Bahm and Ocampo-Pavez [21] supposed that the monopolar transfer of the tmm around its vascular pedicle by keeping the insertion of the muscle intact is a perfect transfer to improve shoulder abduction, especially if there is tmm cocontraction during active shoulder abduction.

In group I, I used BDMT by transferring the tendon of tmm to a site proposed to aid in abduction and stabilization of the GH joint. From my opinion my proposed transfer is easier, feasible, and safe for the pedicles of the LD muscle, and tmm muscle. The significant improvement in abduction after BDMT in comparison to SDMT, makes me to say that this technique is a safe, easy, and effective as regard achieving perfect abduction and external rotation function restoration.

Gilbert [11] after his work on 44 cases stated that LD muscle transfer is sufficient for shoulder functions restoration (abduction and external rotation), if the active abduction is more than 90°. In other words, if active external rotation was between 45°-90° the LD muscle transfer was not sufficient. So he advised to add trapezius transfer for shoulder abduction in this situation.

Chen et al. [22] agreed with previous idea, and they added a modification in the transfer. After insertion of the tendon of LD muscle to the insertion of the infraspinatus muscle, they did tenotomy of the tendon of tmm and reattached it to the muscle belly of the LD muscle in its new position to augment its function.

Ahmad et al. [23] asserted that the concomitant transfer of trapezius muscle with LD muscle transfer given marked improvement in both shoulder abduction and external rotation, rather than LD muscle transfer only.

Al-Qattan [24] has a different concept. He argued that there is no differences in the results of LD muscle transfer in correlation with preoperative abduction degrees after his work on 12 cases with deficient shoulder abduction and external rotation.

The derotation osteotomy of the humerus is a traditional method to improve cases with late resistant internal rotation contracture, But this procedure doesn’t care about the GH joint dysplasia or shoulder joint dynamics, rather than its high failure rate [25,26].

Another surgical idea by opening the GH joint anterior capsule, then tilting the humeral head laterally within the deformed glenoid cavity was done by some surgeons. But this surgical technique carries the risk of severe external rotation contracture, which is might be disabling more than internal rotation contracture itself [3,27,28].

Finally, Bidirectional double muscle transfer procedure had significant higher degree of improvement in shoulder abduction and external rotation than single muscle transfer procedure. The young age gives better results. Therefore, this technique is recommended as an optimal procedure to improve both abduction and external rotation of shoulder joint.
**Table 2:** A comparison between shoulder abduction improvements in the two procedures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shoulder Abduction</th>
<th>Group 1: BDMT (n=17)</th>
<th>Group 2: SDMT (n=23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>before</td>
<td>after</td>
<td>before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>30-75</td>
<td>95-160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>51.76</td>
<td>129.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>11.45</td>
<td>20.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of change</td>
<td>149.45%</td>
<td>80.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3:** A comparison between shoulder external rotation improvements in the two procedures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shoulder External rotation</th>
<th>Group 1: BDMT (n=17)</th>
<th>Group 2: SDMT (n=23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>before</td>
<td>after</td>
<td>before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>65-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>81.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td>8.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of change</td>
<td>1285.54</td>
<td>1095.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4:** A comparison between shoulder flexion improvements in the two procedures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shoulder Flexion</th>
<th>Group 1: BDMT (n=17)</th>
<th>Group 2: SDMT (n=23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>before</td>
<td>after</td>
<td>before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0-30</td>
<td>50-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>10.59</td>
<td>74.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>11.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of change</td>
<td>602.64%</td>
<td>470.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References: