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Abstract

Objective: This study seeks to determine whether the addition of autologous Adipose-Derived Stem Cells (ADSCs) may safely synergize with the clinical effects of Low-Intensity Shock Wave Therapy (LIST) to improve Erectile Dysfunction (ED) in a small series of men.

Methods: Under IRB protocols, 52 patients with multifactorial ED were treated with LIST immediately followed by a single intracavernosal injection of lipoaspirate derived autologous Stromal Vascular Fraction (SVF). Human SVF derived using our protocols has been shown to contain Mesenchymal Stem Cells (MSCs) and Hematopoietic Stem Cells (HSCs). Subjective outcomes testing with the International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF) Score and Erectile Hardness Score (EHS) were administered to the patients at baseline and every 3 months for 2 years. All patients were queried for adverse reactions and all outcomes were self-reported.

Results: Excellent safety was seen and none of the patients experienced any adverse reactions related to LIST or harvesting and deployment of SVF. A retrospective review of efficacy indicated that 37 out of 52 patients (71%) responded positively to the question of whether they experienced “overall improvement” after their treatment. Mean IIEF reference questionnaire scores and Mean Erectile Hardness Grading Score (EHS) were also reported by patients. Mean IIEF scores went from 10.21 baseline to 18.40 at 6 months (p=0.0008). Mean EHS scores improved from 1.34 baseline to 2.17 at 6 months (p=0.012).

Conclusion: Intracavernosal deployment of autologous SVF combined with low-intensity acoustic shock wave therapy to the penis appears to be safe and may offer some benefits to a cross-section of patients who suffer from ED. Larger trials are indicated to identify which types of stem cells are optimal, which subsets of ED patients appear to benefit the most, and which types of shock wave protocols yield the best results.
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Introduction

Low-Intensity Shock Wave Therapy (LIST) has recently gained wide attention in the urologic community for its potential effects in improving ED [1-8]. Shock waves appear to stimulate vascular flow and erectile function and one possible mechanism of action are through an endogenous stem cell-mediated regenerative effect [9,10]. Assuming that endogenous progenitor cells are implicated in vascular repair and proliferation there might exist an opportunity for synergizing LIST with augmented numbers of autologous stem cells deployed into the corporal target tissues to amplify the regenerative effect.

Stem cell therapy alone for ED is starting to gain attention but the marketing appears to have outpaced the science. There have been numerous animal studies in the literature since 2004 dealing with the use of various progenitor cells deployed in different ways to improve erectile tissue histology or function, with the vast majority demonstrating positive results [11-13]. The number of human publications on the effects of cellular therapy on ED is extremely low considering that ED is prevalent in more than 30 million men in the United States alone [14-21].

We report on 52 patients with ED who received a concurrent combination of shock wave therapy and intracavernosal injection of autologous SVF (rich in MSCs and HSCs) from human lipoaspirate. SVF is a heterogeneous population of cells obtained from the enzymatic digestion of lipoaspirate. SVF composition generally includes ADSCs, similar in morphology to adult MSCs, HSCs, endothelial progenitor cells, pericyte progenitor cells, macrophages, red blood cells, platelets, and T-regulatory cells, and various growth factors [22]. There is extensive veterinary experience mostly for orthopedic purposes of positive outcomes in safely treating animals with SVF [23]. Using recent technologic advances, we are currently able to isolate autologous SVF from adipose tissue in a sterile, rapid, surgically “closed” system in the operating room or clinic and deploy the product back into patients during the same surgical setting.

Adult Stem Cells were serendipitously identified in lipoaspirate in 2001 [24] and were originally used by plastic surgeons in conjunction with cosmetic procedures. The ability to isolate and deploy autologous SVF containing a multipotent cell population to promote tissue repair is an exciting undertaking for the future of ED treatment.

Objective: This study seeks to determine whether the addition of autologous adult adipose-derived stem cells (ADSCs) may safely synergize with the clinical effects of low-intensity shock wave therapy (LIST) to improve erectile dysfunction (ED) in a small series of men.

Methods: Under IRB protocols, 52 patients with multifactorial ED were treated with LIST immediately followed by a single intracavernosal injection of lipoaspirate derived autologous stromal vascular fraction (SVF). Human SVF derived using our protocols has been shown to contain mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) and hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs). Subjective outcomes testing with the International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF) score and Erectile Hardness Score (EHS) were administered to the patients at baseline and every 3 months for 2 years. All patients were queried for adverse reactions and all outcomes were self-reported.

Results: Excellent safety was seen and none of the patients experienced any adverse reactions related to LIST or harvesting and deployment of SVF. A retrospective review of efficacy indicated that 37 out of 52 patients (71%) responded positively to the question of whether they experienced “overall improvement” after their treatment. Mean IIEF reference questionnaire scores and Mean Erectile Hardness Grading Score (EHS) were also reported by patients. Mean IIEF scores went from 10.21 baseline to 18.40 at 6 months (p=0.0008). Mean EHS scores improved from 1.34 baseline to 2.17 at 6 months (p=0.012).

Conclusion: Intracavernosal deployment of autologous SVF combined with low-intensity acoustic shock wave therapy to the penis appears to be safe and may offer some benefits to a cross-section of patients who suffer from ED. Larger trials are indicated to identify which types of stem cells are optimal, which subsets of ED patients appear to benefit the most, and which types of shock wave protocols yield the best results.
with fat as a way to fortify the graft material for improved uptake in reconstructive cosmetic procedures. Yoshimura, et al. [25] have been particularly significant in advancing this concept in Japan and in 2008 coined the term “Cell Assisted Lipo-Transfer.” The Cell Surgical Network began using similar technology for procuring SVF in 2010 but focused on therapeutic purposes rather than cosmetic enhancement indications. Since that time, Cell Surgical Network clinical research teams have performed over 9000 procedures under IRB protocols collecting data in an online database for a variety of conditions including orthopedic, neurologic, pulmonary, urologic, cardiac and several other areas including chronic pain and auto-immune diseases.

Flow cytometry was utilized to evaluate the cellular composition of SVF samples on 44 patients not included in this ED study but who underwent identical processing of their lipo-aspirate using the Time Machine protocol. Flow cytometric evaluation was performed using the FACSscalibur (BD Biosciences Franklin Lakes, NJ). Cellular analysis showed that freshly isolated SVF was very heterogeneous (as expected with an autologous biologic) and harbored four major subsets of progenitor cells specific to adipose tissue; CD34high CD45-CD31-CD146- ADSCs, CD34 low CD45+ CD206+CD31-CD146- HSC-progenitors, CD34high CD45+ CD31+CD146+ adipose tissue-endothelial progenitor cells and CD45-CD34-CD31-CD146+ pericytes progenitor cells. The clinical correlations underscore the regenerative role of HSCs since the ratio of mesenchymal ADSCs to HSCs within SVF may impact clinical outcomes [26]. The majority of clinical information on HSCs has traditionally been based on cancer research supporting bone marrow ablation and replacement strategies with the regenerative contributions of HSCs now just coming to light.

There is a plethora of evidence [27-33] based information to suggest that adult MSCs may have a significant beneficial use for a large variety of inflammatory, autoimmune and degenerative conditions. SVF has been used extensively for degenerative orthopedic conditions and arthritis. Michalek [34], from the Czech Republic, reported excellent safety data and very favorable outcomes using intra-articular SVF on 1128 patients evaluated for arthritic conditions. Cell Surgical Network published a safety paper in 2016 on 1524 patients for the safety of 1128 patients evaluated for arthritic conditions. Cell Surgical Network safety data and very favorable outcomes using intra-articular SVF on arthritis. Michalek [34], from the Czech Republic, reported excellent with fat as a way to fortify the graft material for improved uptake in reconstructive cosmetic procedures. Yoshimura, et al. [25] have been particularly significant in advancing this concept in Japan and in 2008 coined the term “Cell Assisted Lipo-Transfer.” The Cell Surgical Network began using similar technology for procuring SVF in 2010 but focused on therapeutic purposes rather than cosmetic enhancement indications. Since that time, Cell Surgical Network clinical research teams have performed over 9000 procedures under IRB protocols collecting data in an online database for a variety of conditions including orthopedic, neurologic, pulmonary, urologic, cardiac and several other areas including chronic pain and auto-immune diseases.

Flow cytometry was utilized to evaluate the cellular composition of SVF samples on 44 patients not included in this ED study but who underwent identical processing of their lipo-aspirate using the Time Machine protocol. Flow cytometric evaluation was performed using the FACSscalibur (BD Biosciences Franklin Lakes, NJ). Cellular analysis showed that freshly isolated SVF was very heterogeneous (as expected with an autologous biologic) and harbored four major subsets of progenitor cells specific to adipose tissue; CD34high CD45-CD31-CD146- ADSCs, CD34 low CD45+ CD206+CD31-CD146- HSC-progenitors, CD34high CD45+ CD31+CD146+ adipose tissue-endothelial progenitor cells and CD45-CD34-CD31-CD146+ pericytes progenitor cells. The clinical correlations underscore the regenerative role of HSCs since the ratio of mesenchymal ADSCs to HSCs within SVF may impact clinical outcomes [26]. The majority of clinical information on HSCs has traditionally been based on cancer research supporting bone marrow ablation and replacement strategies with the regenerative contributions of HSCs now just coming to light.

There is a plethora of evidence [27-33] based information to suggest that adult MSCs may have a significant beneficial use for a large variety of inflammatory, autoimmune and degenerative conditions. SVF has been used extensively for degenerative orthopedic conditions and arthritis. Michalek [34], from the Czech Republic, reported excellent safety data and very favorable outcomes using intra-articular SVF on 1128 patients evaluated for arthritic conditions. Cell Surgical Network published a safety paper in 2016 on 1524 patients for the safety of SVF deployment over a 5 year period. 97% of the patients treated also received systemic intravenous therapy in addition to their regional SVF deployment. As in most studies using SVF, safety was shown to be excellent with no severe adverse events related to SVF deployment into the soft tissue [35].

Materials and Methods

Fifty-two patients with ED of various etiologies but mostly vascular origin received a short weekly series (1-6) of low-intensity acoustic shock waves This IRB approved (International Cell Surgical Society) pilot study evaluated men who met selection criteria for ED due to various etiologies including post-surgical iatrogenic trauma, microvascular disease, diabetes mellitus, and idiopathic. Contraindications to inclusion in the study included age less than 18, severe coagulopathy, significant concurrent infections, and uncontrolled cancer. Patient’s age range was 27-80 (mean 59).

Patients were told that they would be treated with intracavernosal SVF deployment with concurrent LIST and signed IRB approved informed consents emphasizing the investigational nature of their SVF deployments and the "off-label" use of shock wave therapy. All patients underwent history and physical exams prior to their procedures. Serum testosterone and nitric oxide levels were optimized when indicated. 

The CSN ‘Time Machine’ system (USA trade name for the MediKhan Lipokit system - Los Angeles, CA, USA) was used to harvest, centrifuge, incubate, and isolate the SVF product. To harvest lipo-aspirate, patients underwent sub-dermal (non-tumescent) instillation of an approximately 20 cm² region of posterior flank skin using local anesthetic consisting of lidocaine 0.5% with epinephrine 1:400,000 and sodium bicarbonate 8.4%. The patients then received a sterile prep and drape and a mini-liposuction was performed through a #11 blade puncture wound using a gentle negative pressure syringe technique through a 3 mm cannula. 50 cc of lipo-aspirate solution was collected in a TP101 syringe (TP-101 syringe by Medikhan, Los Angeles, USA) and condensed by centrifugation at 2800 rpm for 3 min in the Time Machine’ centrifuge. Next, 12.5 Wunsch units of ‘T-MAX’ Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) grade collagenase (private label name for Liberase by Roche, Indianapolis, IN) in 25 cc of normal saline was added to 25 cc of condensed lipo-aspirate and incubated at 38°C in the Time Machine’ incubator for 30 min to digest the collagen matrix in order to procure the SVF in closed Time Machine Syringes (TP-102 syringe by Medikhan, Los Angeles, USA). The product was washed with D5LR Lactated Ringer’s solution sequentially three times and then the SVF isolated by concentrating to 10 cc final volume. The entire SVF processing was done in the operating room at the bedside in approximately 80 min. Photomicrography using the Invitrogen by Countess (Invitrogen, Thermofisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) was used to document lack of aggregation, count cells, and measure cell viability using 0.4% trypan blue. Cell viability ranged from 65% to 95% with most patients yielding viability ranging from 90%-98%. The wide variability in cell viability is customary with the point of care extraction of SVF and is dependent on the susceptibility of the cells to centrifugation. Cell viability did not appear to impact clinical outcomes. Cell count, viability, and dosing varied in SVF in all patients as expected with an autologous biologic product.

While SVF was being prepared for injection, patients received shock wave treatments using the Storz D- Actor machine, (Karl Storz, Tägerwilen, Switzerland) set at 15 Hz, 6000 shocks, 1-2.6 Barr with a 20 mm radial head and coupling jelly. Shockwaves were delivered along the lateral aspect of the penile shaft just prior to intracavernosal injection of the SVF. A few patients received a short (weekly) series of shocks (1-6) over a 6 week period but the majority received shock treatment only on the day of SVF injection.

Following delivery of the acoustic shocks, a tourniquet was applied to the base of the penis and 10 cc of SVF was injected into one side of the corpora cavernosa. The tourniquet was removed after 20 min.

Patients were followed for adverse events related to lipo-harvesting and penile SVF injection. No serious adverse events were reported to the IRB. Safety and outcomes data were collected via email and telephone and entered into a customized TrackVia (Denver, CO, USA) HIPPA compliant database to protect patient privacy. In most cases, patients electronically self-reported efficacy feedback or adverse outcomes directly to the database to mitigate the potential for a Hawthorne effect (i.e., observer effect on responses). All patients were treated in clinics and by affiliated doctors of the Cell Surgical Network. All affiliated sub-investigator research sites were trained by the authors and used the same devices, techniques, and IRB approved protocols to isolate and deploy SVF and follow outcomes.

Results

A few patients noted mild ecchymosis and/or transient swelling of the penis after the shock wave and SVF penile injection. The mild soft tissue trauma was self-limited to the first 24 hours in those cases and no
patient complained of any sustained penile injury. No patients required any form of pain medication post procedure. No long-term adverse events were reported.

All patients received testing with IIEF scores and EHS at baseline and at 6 months and every 3 months for 2 years. Outcomes were assumed significant when p<0.05.

For the EHS (normal is 0-3), scores went from 1.34 at baseline to 2.17 at 6 months (Figure 1). EHS Scores showed a statistically significant improvement that sustained up to 2 years (Figure 2).

For the IIEF Erectile Function Score (Questions #1,2,3,4,5, and 15 with maximum score=30), baseline 10.21 increased to 18.40 at 6 months (Figure 3). This also showed improvement even up until 2 years 23.50 (Figure 4).

The IIEF Total Score (Questions #1-15 with maximum score=70), baseline 31.5 increased to 52.21 at 6 months with statistical significance p<0.0001 (Figure 5).

Overall, 37 out of 52 patients (71%) reported “yes” to the question of whether there was an overall improvement in erectile function after combined SVF and LIST. None of the other fifteen patients reported worsening of their erectile function after treatment.

Discussion

There have been several reports describing the combination of adipose-derived MSCs with penile shock wave in a Racine model demonstrating positive effects on the cavernosal tissue [9,36,37]. In one such study, combination treated penile tissue showed significantly increased levels of cavernous nerve beta-III tubulin expression, smooth muscle actin, neural and endothelial NOS nitric oxide synthase, cGMP, and reduced cavernosal apoptotic index [37].

This is the first reported study of the combination of stem cells and shock wave in humans. Fresh autologous SVF containing four diverse types of progenitor cells has shown tremendous promise as a regenerative therapy. The ADSC population in SVF has demonstrated the ability to differentiate along mesenchymal lines into many other
Although SVF has shown tremendous potential as a cell therapy in treating arthritis and many autoimmune and neurological conditions, ED presents a unique challenge since much of the efficacy of cell therapy depends on the biologic state of the target tissue. One of the clinical lessons learned after evaluating cell therapy efficacy response in over 9000 patients treated with cell therapy by Cell Surgical Network CSN is that both "cell factors" and "patient factors" influence clinical outcomes. Cell factors may include the cell type, viability, and proliferative quality. Patient factors represent the patient’s regenerative micro-milieu. The "patient factor" has a larger impact on clinical outcomes when there are "loud cellular signals" such as severe or acute tissue damage, inflammation or degeneration. Such conditions are more likely to rapidly home and activate stem cells to effect healing. SVF has been shown to significantly enhance recovery of ED in rats with acute cavernosal injury [39]. However, the chronic smoldering relatively quiescent vascular degeneration associated with many cases of ED may not adequately confer these repair signals onto the stem cells. One good example of the patient factor affecting clinical outcomes is with Peyronies disease which often has an early inflammatory component (the "patient factor") and results with SVF combined with shock waves has shown excellent efficacy improving curvature and erectile function in a small pilot study performed by these authors [40].

One further point about cell therapy that should be emphasized is that at least some of the beneficial effects of stem cells on penile vasculature may not be due to direct cellular engraftment and trans-differentiation, but rather the "paracrine" effect of stem cells. The paracrine effect is mediated by complex cell-to-cell communication via several mechanisms which may involve mRNA, micro RNA, exosomal mRNA, and peptide cytokines. This effect has been described in animals where exogenously deployed stem cells appear to migrate physically from the penile tissue to bone marrow but may have prolonged delayed systemic effects on promoting vasculature without direct engraftment [41].

Shock wave therapy has been used extensively on human tissue for various purposes. High-intensity shock wave therapy is used for urinary stone lithotripsy because of its focused mechanical destructive nature. Medium-intensity shock waves have been shown to have anti-inflammatory properties and are used for treating a wide range of orthopedic conditions, such as non-union fractures, tendonitis, and bursitis. Low-intensity shock waves probably have angiogenic properties and are used in the management of chronic wounds, peripheral neuropathy, and in cardiac ischemic tissue [7].

Low-intensity shock waves applied to tissue appear to stimulate endogenous resident MSCs and Schwann cells to repair or form new tissues with blood vessels and nerves [9]. Studies in rats using LIST to treat damaged cavernous nerves demonstrated progenitor cell recruitment, penile angiogenesis, tissue restoration, and penile nerve regeneration including nNOS-positive nerve fibers along with Schwann cell activation and proliferation [8,42]. LIST has also been shown to recruit endothelial progenitor cells required for new blood vessel formation in ischemic tissue [10]. LIST has also been shown in vitro to activate cultured Schwann and endothelial penile progenitor cells [9].

The mechanism of action of focused shock waves applied to the targeted deep tissue is the creation of transient micromechanical shear forces that initiate several biological changes [7]. Many of these biologic effects are mediated through signaling molecules in addition to cellular factors. LIST has been shown to stimulate the expression of angiogenesis-related growth factors, such as endothelial Nitric Oxide Synthase (NOS), Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF), and endothelial cell proliferation factors, e.g., Proliferating...
Cell Nuclear Antigen (PCNA). These factors lead to the induction of neovascularization and cell proliferation [7].

Shock wave may also assist in “homing” stem cells into the penile target tissues. In one animal study of stem cell migration, ADSCs injected into the corpora left the target tissue and migrated to bone marrow within 2 days but then returned to the corpora in response to additional local trauma [41]. Such cell migration phenomena may support the use of repetitive shock wave treatments to keep stem cells supplied to the area for optimal healing. Some of these homing effects may be mediated through stem cell migration to the major pelvic ganglion MPG via SD-1 homing cytokine expression ultimately leading to neuro-regeneration of nerve cell bodies after iatrogenic trauma [43].

Although there is an abundance of recent literature supporting the use of shock wave therapy for ED, there is a lack of consensus on optimal shock wave protocols leading to confusion in the urologic literature since different technologies, power settings, shock counts, and numbers of treatments vary over dozens of studies. Only recently are standardized controlled trials being conducted to evaluate shock wave type, energy used, and optimal delivery schedule. The result has been that shock waves alone are generally considered promising for ED but standardized protocols are still being developed [44].

One of the limitations of studies evaluating surgical procedures like SVF deployment is the lack of a control arm, but that would require that some patients receive placebo SVF which would constitute a sham surgery. This has ethical implications since the patients have to undergo an invasive surgical procedure to procure their SVF rather than opening a bottle to receive a placebo drug.

Overall, results suggest that many patients with ED who had shock wave therapy combined with SVF injection had positive subjective outcomes suggesting a role for exogenous cell therapy in combination with shock wave therapy. However, at this time, more detailed controlled studies are needed. LIST alone or stem cells alone may contribute independently to positive outcomes for ED adding complexity to the data interpretation on this combined study. Review of world human literature for the use of stem cells alone for ED shows limited efficacy with results generally ranging in the 33-67% range [15-20].

In this study, 71% (37/52) ED patients responded to combined SVF and LIST treatment. Although the number of patients enrolled in this study was relatively few, safety was excellent with no serious adverse events related to liposuction, SVF deployment, or shock wave therapy reported. The results suggest that SVF combined with LIST may be helpful in mitigating ED of various causes but larger studies are indicated. The role of shock wave as an added benefit to cell therapy and vice-versa is still not entirely clear but is conceptually appealing and the benefits seem to outweigh any detriments in view of the safety. It will be important to determine if ED of certain etiologies may respond better than others and it is unclear whether SVF is the optimal cell therapy product to combine with shock wave therapy for ED.

Future studies may benefit from utilizing penile vascular studies with ultrasound in addition to subjective outcomes testing which will be useful to better identify objective evidence of erectile improvement. Larger placebo randomized trials are indicated here to better delineate the effects of SVF with shock wave on ED. Further expanded studies to identify optimal shock wave devices, energy settings, and deployment protocols are also needed since such standards are lacking at this point in the science of LIST for ED. This pilot study suggests that intracavernosal deployment of autologous SVF in combination with LIST is overall safe and well tolerated and may have the potential to play a role in the mitigation of human ED.
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