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Abstract
Chlorination is used in the worldwide to produce drinking water in the most developing world. Frequently lack of proper sanitation and pollution control increases the organic content in water sources thereby increasing the potential of trihalomethanes (THMs) formation. This paper evaluated the lifetime cancer risk and the hazard index caused by THMs contained in drinking water from four areas of Alexandria Governorate, northern of Egypt. Oral exposure and the health risk were estimated using a probabilistic approach. This was done by monitoring the free residual chlorine and the THMs content in drinking water and by obtaining the actual population characteristics. Population characteristics considered, among other variables, the water intake rate, the body weight and the exposure time, and were expressed as empirical frequency distribution curves. Results showed that the 95th percentile of the carcinogenic risk estimated for bromodichloromethane (BDCM) and dibromochloromethane (DBCM) were above the acceptable level of one in a million (10-6) even though in 26% of the cases tap water did not meet the minimum free residual chlorine content required by the Egyptian drinking water standard (0.35 mg/l). Until proper sanitation is implemented and water is managed integrally (in quantity and quality), the Egyptian government need to consider alternate disinfection systems otherwise may be review integrally its water supply policy in these areas.
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Introduction
A water service is currently supplied to 99% of the Egyptian population. It is estimated that 99% of the distributed water is chlorinated. Chlorination of water has certainly contributed to reducing the incidence of gastrointestinal diseases such as cholera, typhoid fever, hepatitis, etc. Disinfection with chlorine has been recognized as one of the major public health achievements. However, appropriate levels of free residual chlorine in supply systems are needed to protect health and chlorine disinfectant is added to sources that are becoming polluted. The addition of chlorine reduces microbial risk but poses chemical risks when disinfection by-products (DBPs) are formed. DBPs occur when chlorine reacts with natural organic matter (humic and fulvic acids) presents naturally in water [1].

Natural organic matter, commonly measured as total organic carbon (TOC) is the organic precursor, while bromide ion is the inorganic one.

DBPs found in chlorinated water, trihalomethanes (THMs), which include chloroform (CHCl₃), bromodichloromethane (CHBr₂Cl), dibromochloromethane (CHClBr₂) and bromoform (CHBr₃), has been widely studied because they are considered potentially carcinogenic [2]. In addition, recent studies suggest that they also produce reproductive disorders [3] if ingested during pregnancy [4-6]. Therefore, water utility managers try to reduce their formation while maintaining a free residual chlorine content that should be enough to inactivate microorganisms and prevent their re-growth in the distribution system. To control health risks caused by THMs, several countries have established a maximum content in drinking water. In the USA, the US EPA has set a value of 0.08 mg/l, and also in Egypt it is 0.10 mg/l [8]. However, in Egypt, it is evidence that; water sources are becoming polluted due to a lack of sanitation raises concerns about the possible presence of DBPs in drinking water, so the risks are bigger because most of the population consumes tap water instead of bottled water.

Chlorination, the most commonly used method to disinfect tap water, has led to a sharp decrease in both mortality and morbidity from many diseases known to be waterborne [9]. However, the presence of chlorinated disinfection by-products (DBP) in tap water is of concern from a public health aspect because they are suspected to be carcinogenic [10]. The most significant group of DBP formed during chlorination is the THM such as CHCl₃, CHBrCl₂, CHBr₂Cl and CHBr₃. CHCl₃ is classified in Group 2B as a possibly carcinogenic to humans, with sufficient evidence in animals and inadequate evidence in humans [9]. CHBrCl₂ is a weekly mutagenic and it has been classified as probably carcinogenic to humans, with sufficient evidence in animals and inadequate evidence in humans [9]. Between the four THMs found in drinking water, CHBrCl₂ appears to be the most potent rodent carcinogen. CHClBr₂ and CHBr₂ are classified in group 3 due to the inconclusive genotoxicity [9]. The second prevalent DBP group is haloacetic acids (HAAs). Aside from THMs and HAAs, many other compounds that comprising the DBP group have been found in treated waters, which include haloacetonitriles, haloacetones, halohydroxy, halopiricin, cyanogen chloride, haloalcohols and chloral hydrate and many others [9]. The main THM effects are cancer and adverse reproduction problems such as abortion, miscarriage, and retarded fetal development [11].

Chloroform concentrations measured in breath or blood after swimming and showering have been correlated with the activity time, and the concentration of this compound found in water and air [12]. Rafael et al [13] have reported that the THM concentration increases in blood as compared with their pre-activity blood levels in individuals after water-consuming.
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The aims of this research to evaluate the life cancer risk and the hazard index caused by THMs in drinking water for four areas communities of Alexandria using field data to characterize the local population and the quality of the drinking water.

Materials and Method

For this research, four communities located in the Alexandria governorates were considered: Abou Qir, Sidi Gaber (Siouf WTP and Mamoura WTP), Agimy and Amireya (K40 WTP and Noubaria WTP) with less than 2,000,000 total residents. Each community has its own source to supply water where chlorine is automatically added before water enters the distribution network.

The field study was divided into two parts. In the first one, an environmental study was performed to determine the quality of drinking water. In the second part, data to characterize the population of each community was gathered to use it for the human health risk assessment. Field data was gathered during a 4-week sampling program in May 2012.

Water samples were collected from each area of household water intake points and from tap water inside the houses, after passage through individual storage tanks. Sampling sites were selected and some criteria were taken in consideration: (a) the population size; (b) the direct supply from the municipal network and (c) the household's agreement to participate in the monitoring of their water and in the survey to gather information for the risk assessment analysis.

The environmental study consisted of 24 sampling points in different households (tap water). The parameters measured were free-residual chlorine (Cl2) and THMs.

The THM extraction and analysis was carried out according to EPA 551 method [14]. Free residual chlorine was analyzed using a friendly colorimetric kit (DPD4).

The monitoring of residual chlorine in water carried out in the morning and in the afternoon, at the household's water intake point and tap water.

To characterize population exposure scenarios questionnaires were applied to 100 adults from the four areas to determine their: tap water ingestion habits, time of residence at the site and body weight, and were expressed as empiric distribution functions (EDFs) to describe the variability of those parameters. To evaluate the lifetime cancer risk (Ri) caused by the exposure to halogen compounds in drinking water, a risk assessment model was applied (equation 1) based on United States Environmental Protection Agency guidelines [15]. The non-cancer hazard quotient (HIi) was used (equation 2) to estimate the risk caused by chloroform as a secondary carcinogen.

\[
R_i = \frac{EF \times ED \times CI_i \times IR \times Sf}{BW \times AT}
\]

\[
HI_i = \frac{EF \times ED \times CI_i \times IR}{RfD_i}
\]

Where Ci is the concentration of the trihalomethanes measured (mg/l) in drinking water for each community, IR is the water ingestion rate (l/d), Sf is the specific cancer slope factor (mg/kg.d)-1 for bromide compounds and RfD, is the reference dose for chloroform (mg/kg.d). The other exposure variables are EF, exposure frequency (d/γ); ED, exposure duration (γ); BW, body weight (kg) and AT, average exposure time (d).

Results and Discussion

Free residual chlorine

A total of 288 measurements were done at households’ in tap water after passing through the individual storing tanks. Only 73.6% of the measurements (Table 1) [16] in the tap water fulfilled the free residual chlorine content established by the Egyptian drinking water standard of 100 μg/l. THMs exposure levels

The total THMs concentration varied from 12 to 74 μg/l, always meeting the Egyptian drinking water standard of 100 μg/l. THMs concentration ranges for the different chemical species were as follows:

### Table 1: References data and formula for exposure assessment [16].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chlorine Residual (mg/l)</th>
<th>Abou Qir</th>
<th>SidiGaber</th>
<th>Agimy</th>
<th>Amireya</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1-0.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35-0.6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.65-1.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1.0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average (mg/l)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Free residual chlorine at household water in tap water sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chloroform (μg/l)</th>
<th>Abou Qir</th>
<th>SidiGaber</th>
<th>Agimy</th>
<th>Amireya</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-15</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Chloroform at household water in tap water samples.
CHCl₃, 8 – 46.5 µg/l; CHClBr₂, 4 – 21.3 µg/l; CHClBr₃, 0.0 – 6.9 µg/l and CHBr₃, 0.0-2.0 µg/l. Chloroform (CHCl₃) was then the major specie, and was notably present in Agimy and Amireya as shown in Table 3. CHClBr₂ was the most important brominates compound, CHBr₃ being the least one. This is consistent with other studies [8]:

(a) Chloroform (Table 3 and Figure 1).
(b) Dichlorobromomethane (DCBM) (Table 4 and Figure 2).
(c) Dibromochloromethane (DBCM) (Table 5 and Figure 3).
(d) Bromoform (Table 6 and Figure 4).

**Risk estimation**

The probabilistic health risk estimation [17] was estimated for drinking water ingestion. The cancer risk equation (1) was used for bromide compounds while the chloroform hazard quotient was estimated using equation (2). The empirical distribution functions developed for the ED, BW, Cₐ, and IR variables were used as inputs to the risk equations in order to obtain the risks as probabilistic functions.
The hazard quotient for chloroform was estimated using the reference dose value given by IRIS [18], which is considered as protective to carcinogenic effects.

The 95th percentile of the probabilistic distribution functions (Table 7) calculated for the four areas communities showed in all cases that risk caused by chloroform and bromoform are acceptable (< 1 for the first compound and < than 10-6 for the second one). However, the 95th percentile for bromodichloromethane and dibromochloromethane for tap water was greater than 10-6 for all communities. These results show that oral exposure to these compounds is higher than international acceptable levels, although content in water fulfill the Egyptian regulation.

Conclusions

This investigation included statistical analysis, epidemiology data and cancer risk analysis and assessment of THMs species in drinking water in Alexandria. It is more significant to establish an assessment procedure for the decision-making in policy of drinking water safety predominantly.

Specification the derive conclusions lie above from this study:

- The chloroform concentration is the major DBP species in the local regions of Cairo.
- The Southern region presented a high cancer risk (Amireya and Agimy).
- Residents of some districts were found to have a higher cancer risk through the oral ingestion of THMs. hazard indexes of THMs in different districts were found to be lower than unity, which did not indicate the noncancerous effects of THMs.
- Quantifying the risk factors is important for population and decision-making policy for drinking water safety. Fortunately, the Benchmark model and MCS and Risk supply the methodology were used for risk calculation. The standard for the total THMs species in Taiwan was 100 µg/l presently.
- We suggest that the standard be separated using separate dibromochloromethane, bromoform, chloroform, and bromodichloromethane standards. This may establish a control methodology for individual material to reduce the harmful risk. It displays the legislation limit values for different counties for DBPs levels.
- A methodology for decision-makers in formulating a procedure considering the economic, political, and feasible technology to reduce the standard value limits is necessary. An acceptable policy for safe drinking water and optimum social cost is the next objective of our study.

The techniques can be used for removal of THM compounds are activated carbon, enhancement coagulation, alternatives of disinfectants such as ozone, chloramine and chlorine dioxide.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bromoform (µg/l)</th>
<th>Abou Qir</th>
<th>Sidi Gaber</th>
<th>Agimy</th>
<th>Amireya</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>6.82E-07</td>
<td>6.08E-07</td>
<td>6.07E-07</td>
<td>5.89E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>1.27E-07</td>
<td>1.18E-05</td>
<td>1.22E-05</td>
<td>1.39E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorodibromomethane</td>
<td>1.59E-05</td>
<td>1.51E-05</td>
<td>1.24E-05</td>
<td>1.33E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>1.80E-05</td>
<td>1.80E-05</td>
<td>1.68E-05</td>
<td>1.65E-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: The 95th percentile from the risk distribution functions.
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