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ABSTRACT

Background: Structural equation modeling (SEM) is a series of statistical methods that allow complex relationships between one or more independent variables and one or more dependent variables.

Objective: The study aimed at determining the practice of physical activity using structural equation modeling as statistical approach.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted from August to November 2018 amongst recuperating alcoholics receiving rehabilitation in Asumbi treatment center of Homabay County, Kenya. Structural equation modeling determined the evidence of physical activity amongst recuperating alcoholics.

Results: Structural model parameter estimation indicated that attitude was a better predictor ($\beta=0.62$, $p<0.001$, $n=207$), followed by subjective norm ($\beta=0.60$, $p<0.001$, $n=207$) then perceived behavioral control ($\beta=0.55$, $p<0.001$, $n=207$) was indirectly and directly predicted.

Conclusion: Structural Equation Modeling is a powerful tool for causal inference among the observed and latent variables.

INTRODUCTION

Alcoholism and practice of physical activity uses a variety of well-reasoned conceptual models to explain a number of phenomena [1,2]. Although conceptual models help to propel research, it is often difficult to test such models with conventional statistical approaches such as t-tests, analysis of variance (ANOVA), multiple regressions, and chi-squared. One statistical approach that clearly stands out as an obvious choice for testing conceptual models is structural equation modeling (SEM). Structural equation modeling is a widely recognized statistical technique in validating a hypothetical model about relationships among variables. It also provides a structure to analyze relationships between observed and latent variables, and allows causal inference. Its popularity has recently increased in many applications, including medical, health, biological and social sciences [3,4]. One of the main reasons of increasing popularity of SEM is that it provides concise assessment of complex model involving many linear equations. In general, SEM is a technique for multivariate data analysis, and involves a combination of two commonly used statistical techniques [5]: factor analysis and regression analysis. Currently, many journals publish multivariate analysis of data using SEM. In most cases, the model needs to be re-specified based on the values of the goodness-of-fit criteria of the initially formulated model [6]. SEM can be an effective tool to depict relationships between practice of physical activity and alcoholism, and the associated factors. There are many factors associated with practice of physical activity of recuperating alcoholics, including physical activity knowledge, economic status, gender and culture [7,8]. Although information on these variables is readily available in many studies, the response variables are often not directly measurable but are latent, with the observed variables being their manifestations [9,10]. In this study, we investigate the influence of attitude, subjective norm and perceived behavioral control variables on practice of physical activity of recuperating alcoholics. We consider the structural equation modeling for this purpose, which is a powerful statistical tool for causal inference among the observed and latent variables.
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METHODS

Study Area and Design

Asumbi-Homabay located in Homabay County, Nyatia region of Kenya formed the study area mainly because of the existence of Asumbi rehabilitation center. This center was purposively sampled with the target that it receives numerous alcoholic patients both males and females from different parts of the country, offers standardized rehabilitation services to alcoholic rehabilitees and it’s accredited by NACADA. This cross-sectional study was conducted from August to November 2018 amongst recuperating alcoholics receiving rehabilitation in Asumbi treatment center of Homabay County, Kenya. Permission was obtained from the School of Graduate Studies. Ethical approval was given by National Council for Science and Technology. We sought informed consent from the respondents who were informed on the research procedures, details, and assured of confidentiality.

Sampling Techniques and Criteria

Purposive sampling technique was used to select Asumbi rehabilitation center as the study site because it’s the only rehabilitation center that admits and rehabilitates exclusively alcoholics. Stratified sampling was used to select 207 respondents from each stratum (males and females). A sample of 129 respondents from the male stratum and 78 respondents from the female stratum was developed.

Inclusion criteria included:

1. Female and male alcoholics aged 15-65 years who were admitted not more than a week prior to start of the study and those who voluntarily consented to participate in the study.
2. Alcoholics exclusively suffering from alcoholism and not other addictive substances

Exclusion criteria included:

1. Alcoholics with active psychotic symptoms were excluded.
2. Alcoholics not intending to complete the three months of rehabilitation in Asumbi center were not inclusive.

Data Collection Instrument and Procedure

A questionnaire with a seven point Likert scale was constructed along a continuum range from totally disagree/not at all/extremely unlikely=1 to totally agree/very much/exremely likely=7 was used to measure all the variables. Higher scores indicated more positive attitude towards practice of physical activity in alcohol rehabilitation. A 7-point scale, with end points of (7) and (1) was used to elicit the alcoholic’s beliefs about significant referents’ expectations on practice of physical activity during alcohol rehabilitation. Another set of 7-point scales evaluated alcoholics’ motivation to comply with significant others’ expectations and was contained in end points (1) not at all and (7) very much. Three items with 7-point response scales elicited the alcoholics’ perceptions on physical activity in alcohol rehabilitation. The anchors were extremely likely (7) to extremely unlikely (1). One additional item measured perceptions of confidence in ability on a 7-point scale, ranging from strongly disagree (1) strongly agree(7). Scores were summed and divided by the number of items for a possible mean score of 1 to 6.5; higher scores reflected greater perceived control. Physical activity intention was measured with one 7-point scale, containing end points of strongly disagree(1) and strongly agree (7).

RESULTS

Structural Equation Modeling applied to Physical Activity Practice

Structural equation modeling was used to establish whether a model nested based on Theory of Planned Behavior variables applied on physical activity fits the data acceptably well. The default model’s chi-square value was not significant at 0.05 significance level ($\chi^2$=200, df=90, p=0.12, $\chi^2$/df=2.22) and all other indices indicated fit indices (Table 1).

Data Analysis

Data was entered into SPSS version 15 to calculate reliability tests where Cronbach’s alpha was used to assess the consistency of the questions. Structural Equation Modelling using AMOS version 7 was used to determine the influence of attitude, subjective norm and perceived behavioural control on practice of physical activity during the rehabilitation of alcoholics. The overall model fit was evaluated using chisquare (CMIN) and relative chi-square divided by degrees of freedom (CMIN/df), comparative fit index (CFI), the standardized root-mean-square error of approximation (RMSEA), Hoelter’s critical N, and Bollestine bootstrap. Comparative fit index (CFI) and Tucker Lewis index (TLI), values greater than 0.90 were considered satisfactory [11]. RMSEA less than the 0.08 was also considered satisfactory [12]. CMIN/df was considered fit when it ranged between 3:1 and was considered more better when closer but not less than 1 [13]. Hoelter’s critical N for significance level of .05 and .01 was used where bootstrap samples was set at 200 [14].

Table 1: Fit Indices of Default Model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fit Indices</th>
<th>Recommended fit Measures</th>
<th>Default Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMSEA</td>
<td>0.09 or less is better above 0.9 is good fit between 2-3</td>
<td>0.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFI</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMIN/DF</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLI &gt;0.8 is good fit</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoelter’s Critical N&gt;200 adequate</td>
<td>207</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p &gt; 0.10 good fit</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: RMSEA=Root mean square residual; CFI=Comparative fit index; CMIN/DF=Chi-square/degree of freedom; TLI= Tucker-Lewis Index; $\chi^2$= Chi-square.
Structural Equation Model

The default model (Figure 1) is the researcher's structural model, always more parsimonious than the saturated model and almost always fitting better than the independence model with which it is compared using goodness of fit measures. That is, the default model (Figure 1) will have a goodness of fit between the perfect explanation of the trivial saturated model and terrible explanatory power of the independence model, which assumes no relationships.

Standardized regression weights in (Figure 1), indicated that attitude was a better predictor of intention ($\beta=0.62$, $p<0.001$, $n=207$), followed by subjective norm ($\beta=0.60$, $p<0.001$, $n=207$) then perceived behavioral control ($\beta=0.55$, $p<0.001$, $n=207$) was indirectly and directly predicted. The indirect measure of perceived behavioral control was significant ($\beta=0.55$, $p<0.001$, $n=207$) while direct perceived behavioral control was insignificant ($\beta=0.09$, $p>0.05$, $n=207$). Intention in turn strongly predicted physical activity ($\beta=0.96$, $p<0.001$, $n=207$). The correlation between attitude and perceived behavioral control was statistically significant ($\beta=1.00$, $p<0.001$, $n=207$). This was followed by the correlation between subjective norm and perceived behavioral control ($\beta=0.95$, $p<0.001$, $n=207$) which was statistically significant. The correlation between attitude and subjective norm was also statistically significant ($\beta=0.96$, $p<0.001$, $n=207$). Intention predictors (attitude, subjective norm and perceived behavioral control) put together accounted for 78% of the variance on physical activity intention. Physical activity intention and direct perceived behavioral control put together accounted for 72% of variance on physical activity. The default model was estimated with five latent variables and paths.

DISCUSSION

This study provides an empirical example of how SEM can be used to explore complex relations between practice of physical activity and associated factors amongst recuperating alcoholics. In this current study, intention in turn strongly predicted physical activity. The correlation between attitude and perceived behavioral control was statistically significant. This was followed by the correlation between subjective norm and perceived behavioral control which was statistically significant. The correlation between attitude and subjective norm was also statistically significant. Intention predictors (attitude, subjective norm and perceived behavioral control) put together accounted for the variance on physical activity intention. Physical activity intention and direct perceived behavioral control put together accounted for the variance on physical activity. The default model was estimated with five latent variables and paths.
should also understand the weakness that hinder use of SEM and appreciate the strength associated with it.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that no conflict of interests exists.

AUTHORS’ CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors were involved with the drafting of the research paper, critically reviewed the manuscript and approved the final version submitted for publication.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors sincerely thank participants who shared their experiences, and contributed needed information to the study. All those contributed to the success of this study in one way or another are also recognized.

REFERENCES