Antiarrhythmic Medications for Cardioversion and Maintenance of Sinus Rhythm in Patients with Cardiac Arrhythmias: A Review of the Literature
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Abstract

Introduction: Cardiac arrhythmias, including supraventricular and ventricular tachycardias, portend a higher risk of morbidity and mortality. These arrhythmias also are associated with increased healthcare resource utilization, decreased quality of life, and increased activity impairment. Pharmacologic conversion is a treatment option for conversion to sinus rhythm that has the advantage of avoiding sedation from DC cardioversion.

Objective: To review the efficacy, side effects, clearance, and prescribing considerations for antiarrhythmic medications for pharmacologic cardioversion.

Methodology: We included studies evaluating the efficacy of antiarrhythmic drugs. We included prescribing indications including half-life, clearance, and side effects. We arranged the manuscript by Vaughan Williams classification.

Results: Class IA, class IC, and class III antiarrhythmic medications are effective at conversion of supraventricular arrhythmias with rates frequently greater than 50%. Amiodarone and Lidocaine may be used for conversion of ventricular tachycardias. The specific agent utilized depends upon the potential other medication interactions, clearance and toxicity.

Conclusion: Pharmacologic cardioversion provides a reasonable alternative to electrical cardioversion in many cases.
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Introduction

Cardiac arrhythmias including supraventricular and ventricular tachycardias account for significant morbidity and mortality in the United States and contribute to increased overall healthcare resource utilization. It is currently estimated that 5.2 million adults in the United States have atrial fibrillation with the number expected to increase to 12.1 million by the year 2030 given the aging population [1]. Other types of supraventricular tachycardia have an incidence of 35 per 100,000 persons per year [2]. Ventricular arrhythmias are seen in 15-16% of individuals with coronary artery disease, 8-9% of individuals with other cardiovascular disease and in 2-3% of individuals with no cardiac disease and carry a high morbidity and mortality, particularly from sudden cardiac death [3]. In addition to increased morbidity and mortality, all of these cardiac arrhythmias portend decreased quality of life, increased activity impairment, and increased healthcare resource utilization [4,5].

The goals of treatment differ slightly by type of arrhythmia but often involve rate control and conversion to sinus rhythm (SR) either by electrical cardioversion or with antiarrhythmic medications. For atrial fibrillation, maintenance of SR is also pursued by antiarrhythmic agents, and anticoagulants are utilized for prevention of stroke or thromboembolic events. Conversion to SR has multiple potential and theoretical benefits including alleviation of symptoms, improved hemodynamics, reduced risk of thromboembolic events, reduced morbidity and mortality, and lower healthcare resource utilization. When compared to electrical cardioversion, chemical cardioversion offers the advantage of not requiring sedation and typically medications that are successful for chemical cardioversion can be continued for maintenance of sinus rhythm.

The pathophysiology underlying cardiac arrhythmias has been studied extensively identifying many mechanisms that may serve as targets for therapy. Ion channel and transporter abnormalities lead to ectopic impulse formations making ion channels a common target for many of our current therapies [6]. Structural remodeling, particularly fibrosis, interferes with electrical activity making fibrosis formation a potential therapeutic target as well. Genome-wide association studies for atrial fibrillation have identified genetic loci that may be targets for gene therapies and personalized medicine [7]. MicroRNAs have been linked to cardiac excitability and structural remodeling [8]. Based on these mechanisms, novel therapy focusing on atrial-specific channel blockers, upstream therapy and remodeling are emerging for arrhythmias [9-11].

Due to electrophysiologic and structural remodeling that occurs with most arrhythmias, they generally become more difficult to convert with increasing duration. Thus, early conversion to SR may...
limit remodeling and improve efficacy of long-term treatment. In patients with hemodynamically unstable arrhythmias, electrical cardioversion is generally recommended. For this reason, many ventricular arrhythmias require electrical cardioversion given their instability though there are instances where antiarrhythmic medications can be used as an adjunct or alone if the patient is stable. In patients undergoing elective cardioversion, however, pharmacologic cardioversion may be preferred with the advantage of avoidance of potential side effects of pro-arrhythmia and sedation from DC cardioversion. However, when electing pharmacologic conversion, the side effects, clearance, and conversion efficacy of the medication used must be considered. Many of these drugs are also used for maintenance of sinus rhythm and if they fail then electrical cardioversion is generally pursued.

In the present article, we review the efficacy, side effects, clearance, and prescribing considerations of multiple antiarrhythmic medications for pharmacologic cardioversion and have organized the manuscript by Vaughan Williams classification. While Vaughan Williams classification is an imperfect classification system as most antiarrhythmic drugs have multiple modes of action, it does organize by primary mechanism and is currently the most widely accepted classification system. This review will focus on antiarrhythmic drugs for chemical cardioversion though many of the medications, particularly if successful for cardioversion, can be used for maintenance.

Class IA Antiarrhythmic Medications

**Procainamide**

Procainamide is a Vaughan Williams Class 1a antiarrhythmic agent approved for documented ventricular arrhythmias [12]. The mechanism of action involves inhibition of the rapid inward sodium current, INa. Procainamide metabolism occurs through hepatic metabolites, and elimination occurs through the renal system [13]. Procainamide has a reported reported half-life of 2.5-4.5 hours and carries a risk of hypotension, AV block, bradycardia, ventricular tachycardia, and systemic lupus erythematosus [14,15]. N-acetylprocainamide is an active metabolite of procainamide and itself has some Class III anti-arrhythmic properties [16].

Intravenous procainamide has a conversion efficacy for supraventricular tachycardias ranging from 11%-65% [14,17-20]. When divided by type of arrhythmia, the conversion rates are improved for atrial fibrillation vs. atrial flutter (42-58% vs. 14-28%) [21]. Halpern et al found that non-converters had a significantly larger left atrial size when compared to converters (4.9 cm vs. 3.0 cm) [20], while Fenster et al. found that converters had a significantly shorter mean duration of atrial fibrillation (6 vs. 79 days) [19]. Volgman et al. found a mean time to conversion of 34.4 minutes [17] and Stiell et al found a median time to conversion of 55 minutes [14].

Procainamide was found to be inferior for conversion efficacy of acute onset (<24 hours) atrial fibrillation when compared to flecainide (65% vs. 92%) [18]. The drug was also found to be inferior to ibutilide in conversion of both atrial flutter (14% vs. 76%) and atrial fibrillation (21% vs. 51%) [17]. There was no difference in conversion efficacy of atrial tachycardia for procainamide vs. amiodarone in the critically ill (71% vs. 70%) [21].

Due to side effects and concern of pro-arrhythmia in patients with significant structural heart disease, use of Class IA agents is currently limited. Rapidly conducting atrial fibrillation in the setting of Wolff-Parkinson-White Syndrome is a situation in which procainamide can be useful due to effects on the accessory pathway, atrial tissue and AV node.

**Quinidine**

Quinidine is a Vaughan Williams Class IA antiarrhythmic agent that has a mechanism of action similar to procainamide involving primarily inhibition of the rapid inward sodium current, INa but also with calcium and potassium channel blocking properties [22]. Quinidine is cleared by 60-85% by the liver, has a reported half-life of 3-19 hours and steady state plasma level achieved within 24 hours. Quinidine does carry a risk of proarrhythmia including Torsades de Pointes with a risk of 1.5% per year [23]. The most commonly reported side effects are gastrointestinal [24,25]. There is also a small but significant risk of immune-mediated drug effects including systemic lupus and vasculitis [26].

The conversion efficacy of quinidine for atrial fibrillation has been studied both alone and in conjunction with other medications. When administering quinidine alone, the conversion efficacy ranged from 40-84% [24,25,27]. Quinidine administered with digoxin had an efficacy range of 47-86% [28,29]. When administered with propranolol, Antonelli et al found the conversion efficacy rate to be 88%. (Antonelli et al., 1985) The mean time to conversion ranged from 4.0 ± 2.9 hours for quinidine and digoxin to 10.8 ± 10.5 hours for quinidine alone [28,30].

When compared to propafenone in patients with persistent atrial fibrillation of <6 months, quinidine was superior in conversion to sinus rhythm (68% vs. 28%) [27], Hohnloser et al compared quinidine to sotalol for conversion of atrial fibrillation to sinus rhythm and found quinidine to be superior to sotalol (60% vs. 20%) [24], Borgeat et al compared quinidine to flecainide and found conversion rates of 60% vs. 67%, however, when looking at AF that has lasted longer than 10 days quinidine was superior to flecainide (40% vs. 22%) [25]. Kerin et al. compared quinidine with digoxin to amiodarone for conversion of persistent (>3 weeks) atrial fibrillation finding no significant difference between the groups (47% vs. 44%) [29].

The conversion efficacy of quinidine with digoxin has been evaluated in two studies. Halinen et al compared efficacy of sotalol to quinidine and in the quinidine group administered digoxin if the ventricular rate was >100 bpm. The quinidine group demonstrated superior efficacy to sotalol for conversion of atrial fibrillation to sinus rhythm (86% vs. 52%) [28]. Capucci et al looked at recent onset atrial fibrillation, <8 days, and found that quinidine administered with digoxin was inferior to propafenone (48% vs. 83%) [30].

Class IB Antiarrhythmic Medications

**Lidocaine**

Lidocaine is a Vaughan Williams Class IB antiarrhythmic agent approved as a first line agent for ventricular tachycardia. Lidocaine is CYP3A4-mediated and cleared by the hepatic system. The drug has a reported half-life of 87-108 minutes [31]. Toxic levels of lidocaine can produce CNS side effects including paraesthesia, drowsiness and seizures as well as cardiovascular effects including sinus slowing, asystole, hypotension and shock. Though the risk of side effects with lidocaine is small at about 6%, patients who are elderly, have structural
Muhiddin et al. suggest that flecainide may even slightly reduce cardiac output after infusion [46]. The Cardiac Arrhythmia Suppression Trial found that the rates of non-fatal ischemic events between placebo and treatment (flecainide or encainide) were the same at 21% in 1 year but the treatment group had a much greater mortality rate when compared to placebo in patients with recent MI [47–49], and therefore flecainide should be avoided in patients with structural heart disease.

Trials evaluating the conversion efficacy of flecainide have focused on patients with recent-onset (less than 1 week) supraventricular tachyarrhythmias with a reported conversion efficacy ranging from 59–95% [18,50–54], Martinez-Marcos et al. found the median time to conversion to be 25 minutes with a range of 4–660 minutes.

When Camm et al. compared different supraventricular tachyarrhythmias: atrial fibrillation (90%), atrial tachycardia (100%), AV node reentry tachycardia (89%) and atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia (80%) are generally terminated with a greater efficacy than atrial flutter (20%) [55]. Crozier et al. noted decreased rates of conversion for atrial flutter or atrial reentrant tachycardia (42%) when compared with AF (76%) or AVRT (100%) [43].

When comparing intravenous and oral formulations, Crijns et al. found no difference in efficacy of oral vs. intravenous formulations of flecainide for acute conversion of atrial fibrillation to sinus rhythm while Alp et al. did find that IV flecainide restores SR after acute AF more rapidly than oral flecainide but after 2 and 8 hours there were no significant differences [56,57].

When compared to other medications, intravenous flecainide had significantly higher conversion efficacy compared to verapamil (82% vs. 6%) [54], amiodarone (59% vs. 22%) [53], and (90% vs. 60%) [58], procainamide (95% vs. 65%) [18], and propafenone. (90% vs. 72%) [58].

A single loading dose of oral flecainide at 300mg had a superior conversion efficacy to placebo (91% vs. 48%) and amiodarone (91% vs. 37%) in 8 hours [51].

Propafenone

Propafenone is a Vaughan Williams Class IC antiarrhythmic agent approved for prolongation of the time to recurrence of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation/flutter and paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia. It is a potent sodium channel blocker with weak beta-adrenergic and calcium channel blocking activity [59]. Propafenone is ~99% cleared by the hepatic system and has a reported half-life of 2-12 hours [59–61]. The drug is contraindicated in patients with hepatic dysfunction, brochoplastic disorder [62], heart failure [63,64], or bradycardia and is therefore rarely utilized in patients with significant structural heart disease. Reported side effects include hypersensitivity reactions, lupus-like syndrome, agranulocytosis, CNS disturbances, lightheadedness, a metallic taste and bronchospasm [59,65,66].

Approximately 7-10% of Caucasians, 2-7% of African Americans, and 1% of Asians lack the capacity to metabolized CYP2D6 substrates and are classified as poor metabolizers. Increased exposure may lead to cardiac arrhythmias and exaggerated beta-adrenergic blocking activity and thus because of propafenone's metabolism by CYP2D6, the deficiency of this isoenzyme is potentially hazardous [67,68].

When studying conversion after a single loading oral dose of propafenone, the conversion rate ranged from 57% to 86% depending on the follow-up after administration of propafenone [30,69–74]. Most
studies have utilized a single oral dose of 600mg [30,71,73,74], however Azpitarde used a dose of 450, 600 or 750 mg based on weight and Botto et al used either 450 or 600mg. When comparing the 450 and 600 mg doses, Botto et al found that the conversion rate for the 600mg dose was superior at 2 hr (43% vs. 8%) but not at 8 hr (57% vs. 46%) [70]. Boriani et al found the conversion rate of oral propafenone was 55% compared to IV propafenone at 41% though this was not statistically significant [73]. Later, Botto et al did find that while IV propafenone was more effective than oral at 1 hour (48% vs. 15%), there was a significantly higher conversion rate for oral vs. IV propafenone at 8 hours. (78% vs. 48% at 8 hours, p<0.01) [75].

Multiple studies found propafenone to be superior to placebo for CCV at all-time points studied [30], however two studies found that propafenone was superior to placebo in the short-term but not the long-term [70]. Azpitarde et al. found propafenone to be superior at 1 and 6 hours but not 12 or 24 hours. Botto et al. found propafenone was superior at 2 hours, 4 hours, and 8 hours but not at 24 hours. The drug was also found to be superior to digoxin plus quinidine at 12 hours (83% vs. 48%, p<0.005) [30] and to digoxin alone [72]. The mean time to conversion for propafenone ranged from 110 ± 59 min [70] to 267 ± 238 min [30,70,71,73,74].

### Class III Antiarrhythmic Medications

#### Amiodarone

Amiodarone is an antiarrhythmic agent approved for life threatening ventricular tachyarrhythmia and atrial arrhythmias. Although specified as a class III Vaughan Williams antiarrhythmic with inhibition of outward potassium channels, it also has significant class I and class II activity. Amiodarone is tacked up quickly and extensively by the extravascular tissues giving the intravenous form a short half life but a long elimination period [76]. However, after long term oral therapy, it has a half life of up to 60 days [77]. The drug has demonstrated safety in patients with structural heart disease and severe left ventricular hypertrophy but it does carry a risk of hypotension (11.1%) [78], phlebitis at the infusion site with the IV form (15.7%) [78], abnormalities in thyroid function (1.2%) elevated hepatic enzyme levels (1.2%), and severe pulmonary fibrosis (1.2%) [79]. Singh et al. found that there was no significant difference in overall mortality for amiodarone vs. placebo in patients with congestive heart failure and asymptomatic ventricular arrhythmia [80].

The conversion for SVT with amiodarone has been reported to range from 47%-80% [52,72,80,81], with a median time to conversion of 5.5-8.7 hours [52,80,81].

Amiodarone has been shown to be superior to placebo in conversion of atrial fibrillation in multiple randomized clinical trials. Vardas et al. found amiodarone superior to placebo in conversion of AF (80% vs. 40%) with patients who successfully converted having a short median AF duration (14 hours vs. 1440 hours) [78].

Similarly, Peuhkurinen et al. found amiodarone to be superior to placebo (50% vs. 20%) in patients with recent-onset (<48 hours) AF [81]. Amiodarone has been reported to have lower conversion rates compared to flecainide and propafenone (64% vs. 90% vs. 72%) [52], in patients with recent onset AF but it does carry the advantage of being first-line therapy approved for special patient populations including those with heart failure or severe left ventricular hypertrophy [82].

Amiodarone is widely used and effective for ventricular arrhythmias. As discussed previously, amiodarone was compared to lidocaine in the treatment of out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation in the ALIVE trial and found to lead to substantially higher rates of survival to hospital admission [36].

#### Dofetilide

Dofetilide is a Vaughan Williams Class III antiarrhythmic agent approved for maintenance of sinus rhythm in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) or atrial flutter (AFL) and for chemical cardioversion to sinus rhythm. The mechanism of action is blockade of the delayed rectifier potassium channel (IKr). Dofetilide is ~80% cleared by the renal system, has a reported half-life of ~10 hours and steady state plasma level achieved in 2-3 days [83].

The drug has demonstrated both safety and efficacy in patients with coronary artery disease [84], and left ventricular dysfunction [85-87], but it does carry a risk of QT prolongation and Torsades de Pointes (TdP) and thus requires in-hospital initiation for 6 doses on continuous telemetry with QT monitoring on 12-lead ECGs after each dose. Initial dosing should be based on CrCl and adjusted with changing renal function or QTc prolongation. In the landmark SAFIRE-D trial, dose adjustments were required in 33% of patients due to change in CrCl or prolonged QTc [88].

The incidence of TdP in patients on dofetilide ranges from 0.9%-3.3% [85,87,88]. The DIAMOND trials were a set of randomized, intention-to-treat, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials that evaluated the efficacy of dofetilide in high risk patients with either heart failure (DIAMOND-CHF) [85], or recent MI (DIAMOND-MI) [87]. Dofetilide was found to have a neutral all-cause mortality risk of 41% vs. 42% reported in DIAMOND-CHF [85] and 31% vs. 32% reported in DIAMOND-MI [87], compared to placebo. Abraham et al. noted risk factors, however, for an increase in one-year mortality including patients with TdP during loading compared to those without (17.6% vs. 3% at 1 year) [89]. Bendorp et al. found that baseline QTc <420 ms was associated with a marked reduction in mortality in patients with LV systolic dysfunction (RR 0.4, 95% CI 0.3-0.8) and that there was an increased risk of mortality with a baseline QTc >479 ms (RR 1.3, 95% CI 0.8 to 1.9) [90]. Pedersen et al. further expanded on the risk factors for TdP in patients on dofetilide with another DIAMOND-HF substudy. They found in addition to baseline QTc (OR 1.14, 95% CI 1.00-1.30 per 10 ms), that female gender (OR 2.2, CI 1.0-5.0) and NYHA Class III or IV HF (OR 3.2, CI 1.2-8.6) were risk factors for TdP [91].

Rates of successful CCV with dofetilide have been reported to range from 29%-77% [88,92-95], and typically takes ~2 ± 1 doses for conversion [92,93,95], with 21% of patients converting after the first dose of the drug [92]. Multiple studies have noted the rate of chemical cardioversion (CCV) to increase in a dose-dependent manner, the SAFIRE-D trial noted rates of 29.9% for 500 mcg BID, 9.8% for 250 mcg BID and 6.1% for 125 mcg BID [88,93]. Dofetilide has been noted to be more effective for CCV in AFL than AF with reported comparisons of 65% vs. 25% [95], and 75% vs. 22% [96].

Malhotra et al. studied CCV during loading vs. DC cardioversion (DCCV) for durability of maintaining sinus rhythm and found that CCV was an important predictor of durable response when compared to DCCV [97]. After CCV to SR with dofetilide, reports of the rate of maintenance of sinus rhythm at one year range from ~1/3 of patients to 58% [88,94]. Our group found that patients who converted to sinus rhythm (SR) after a single dose had a higher risk of toxicity vs. those who converted at subsequent doses with 71% of those who converted
after 1st dose developing significant QT prolongation requiring dose adjustment [92].

**Ibutilide**

Ibutilide is a Vaughan Williams Class III antiarrhythmic agent approved for the treatment of atrial fibrillation and atrial flutter [98]. The mechanism of action is increase in action potential duration by blocking the rapid component of the cardiac delayed rectifier current, IKr [98,99]. Ibutilide is intravenously delivered given its high first pass metabolism resulting in poor bioavailability of the oral form. Ibutilide has a high systemic plasma clearance that approximates liver blood flow [100], is excreted by the renal system and has a reported half-life of 2-12 hours [98].

The drug has demonstrated both safety and efficacy in patients heart failure [100], however, given that ibutilide causes a dose- and concentration related increase in QT interval, it does carry a risk of TdP in ~4-8.3% of patients [100,101], and extrasystole in 4.8-9% of patients [17,98,102].

The conversion rate for ibutilide in SVT has been reported to be from 31%-58% [100-103] with improved conversion of atrial flutter over atrial fibrillation (61-76% vs. 31-51%) [101]. Patssillinakos et al looked at the concomitant administration of magnesium with ibutilide and found that the addition of magnesium lead to a 13.7% improvement in the success rate of conversion with ibutilide [104]. The mean conversion time to SR after ibutilide administration ranges from 13-25 minutes [17,102].

Multiple studies have found ibutilide to be superior to placebo for conversion of AF and AFL [100,101]. When compared to procainamide, Volgman et al. found that ibutilide was more effective for the conversion of AF and AFL to SR (58.3% vs. 18.3%, p<0.0001) [17]. Vos et al. compared ibutilide efficacy to sotalol finding ibutilide superior for both AFL (70% vs. 19%) and AF (44% vs. 11%, p<0.01) [102]. Standard administration of ibutilide requires close monitoring for 4-6 hours in a unit with continuous telemetry able to provide rapid external defibrillation due to the risk of TdP.

**Sotalol**

Sotalol is a non-selective β-Blocker with Vaughan Williams Class III activity antiarrhythmic agent approved for maintenance of sinus rhythm in patients with symptomatic atrial fibrillation or flutter and treatment of documented life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias [105,106]. The oral form is almost 100% bioavailable with 0% binding to plasma proteins and the half-life is 10-20 hours. Sotalol and is renally excreted and thus the daily dose must be reduced in renal failure [107].

The major risk is of torsades de pointes due to QT prolongation with studies showing a dose dependent increase in QT and QTc [105,108]. It also is associated with fatigue, lightheadedness, headache, weakness, nausea, shortness of breath and bradycardia. The drug should be avoided or used with caution in conjunction with other QT prolonging agents. Sotalol is often initiated as an inpatient to allow monitoring for pro-arrhythmia and QT prolongation but has been shown to be feasible as an outpatient with transtelephonic monitoring [108,109].

The conversion efficacy for sotalol varies dependent on arrhythmia with efficacy rates reported for SVT of 67-86% [110,111], atrial fibrillation conversion rates of 11-52%, and an atrial flutter conversion rate of 24% Mean time to conversion with sotalol is 10.2 ± 7.6 hours [28,102,111,112].

When compared to placebo, sotalol has an increase conversion efficacy rate for SVT (67% vs. 14%) [110], but not AF (11% vs. 14%) [111]. Additionally sotalol demonstrated decreased efficacy for conversion of AF when compared to quinidine (52% vs. 86%) [28], and ibutilide (11% vs. 44%) [102]. In the SAFE-T trial atrial fibrillation conversion and maintenance efficacy of amiodarone vs. sotalol was studied in a double blind placebo-controlled trial. Sotalol and amiodarone were similar in conversion efficacy (24.2% vs. 27.1%) and superior to placebo (0.8%), however, amiodarone was superior to sotalol for long-term maintenance of sinus rhythm [112].

**Vernakalant**

Vernakalant, a novel antiarrhythmic, is an atrial-selective and mixed dose-, rate- and voltage-dependent Na+ and K+ channel blocker [113]. Due to its high affinity to ion channels in atrial over ventricular tissue, it is thus thought to have a lower proarrhythmic potential than other antiarrhythmic medications. Vernakalant has been approved for pharmacologic cardioversion of recent-onset AF (≤ 7 day) and post-operative AF (≤ 3 days) in the European Union but is not yet approved in the US. The drug is contraindicated in patients with hypotension (SBP<100), severe aortic stenosis, NYHA III and IV heart failure, QT prolongation, or acute coronary syndrome in the past 30 days. Vernakalant is associated with dysgeusia (7-19%), sneezing (3-16%), cough (3-6%) hypotension (1-20%), QT prolongation, and bradycardia (2-14%) [110-114].

A series of double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III trials were performed randomized to vernakalant vs. placebo (ACT I-III) [114,116,117] with 336, 160 and 262 patients, respectively. The ACT I and III studies evaluated patients with recent-onset AF while ACT II focused the conversion efficacy in post-operative patients (1-7 days) for CABG or valvular surgery. All three studies found vernakalant to be superior to placebo; ACT I (52% vs 4%), ACT II (47% vs. 14%) and ACT III (51% vs 3.6%) when considering patients converted from AF to SR within 90 minutes. The median time to conversion ranged from 8-12 minutes and 75%-82% of those who converted did so after the first dose [116,117].

ACT IV was an open-label phase IV trial looking at 167 patients with a conversion efficacy of 51% and a median time to conversion of 14 minutes [115]. There was an improvement in conversion rates for patients with AF ≤ 48 hrs vs. > 7 days (57.9% vs 11.6%). In the ARVO trial, a Phase III trial comparing efficacy of vernakalant to amiodarone, vernakalant was found to be superior for rapid conversion of AF to SR (51.7% vs. 5.2% conversion in first 90 min) with a median conversion time of 11 minutes [114]. The patients in the ARVO trial also reported improvement in reduction of symptoms at 2 hours with vernakalant (53.4% vs. 32.8%).

**Class V Antiarrhythmic Medications**

**Adenosine**

Adenosine is an antiarrhythmic agent approved for diagnosis and treatment of supraventricular tachycardia. Adenosine is an endogenously occurring nucleoside whose antiarrhythmic action is mediated at the cellular level through potassium channels leading to hyperpolarization of the membrane potential in atrial myocytes [118]. In addition to coronary vasodilation, adenosine also depresses SA and
AV node activity, atrial contractility, and ventricular automaticity [119]. The drug is administered intravenously and has an extremely short half-life of 0.6-1.5 seconds making most adverse reactions clinically insignificant. [119,120].

The drug is most commonly associated with dose-dependent symptoms of dyspnea, flushing and chest discomfort but symptoms typically last less than 60 seconds [119-121]. Rare side effects include bronchospasm, atrial fibrillation, and NSVT. In addition to treatment of paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardias, adenosine plays a role in diagnosis. The drug terminates the vast majority of AV node dependent tachycardias producing AV block that will reveal intratrial arrhythmias. DiMarco et al demonstrated high specificity and sensitivity as well as safety of adenosine in the diagnosis of patients presenting with narrow-complex tachycardia by distinguishing between atrial tachycardias and those that require the AV node [122,123].

The conversion efficacy at doses of 3, 6, 9, and 12 mg cumulative adenosine respectively is 35.2%, 62.3%, 80.2%, and 91.4% [124]. Other studies found similar results with the cumulative efficacy ranging from 94-100% [125,126]. When compared to verapamil for the conversion of paroxysmal SVT to SR, DiMarco et al found that adenosine was similar in efficacy but more rapid in onset of action. Two additional retrospective studies also looked at termination of paroxysmal SVT with adenosine vs. verapamil and found that adenosine had a slightly higher success rate in conversion efficacy (94% vs. 81%) [126], and (100% vs. 91%) [125]. Adenosine offers some potential advantages to verapamil including faster onset of action, short half-life resulting intransient duration of side effects, and relative absence of adverse hemodynamic effects.

**Conclusion**

Pharmacologic cardioversion provides a reasonable alternative to electrical cardioversion in many cases. As outlined, the specific agent utilized often depends upon the potential other medication interactions, clearance and potential toxicity.

The results of our review show that class IA, class IC, and class III antiarrhythmics are effective at conversion of supraventricular arrhythmias with rates frequently greater than 50%. (Table 1) Randomized controlled trials for ventricular arrhythmias are more difficult to perform given the ethics of deviating from the current standards due to the life-threatening nature of these arrhythmias.

Novel therapies targeting fibrosis formation, upstream therapies, gene therapy and personalized medicine are emerging as potential treatments for arrhythmias. Continued drug development will be important to enhance efficacy of conversion in addition to minimizing toxicity, as there still exist significant unmet needs in this field.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Half Life</th>
<th>Clearance</th>
<th>Conversion Rate</th>
<th>Side Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class IA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procainamide</td>
<td>2.5-4.5 hours</td>
<td>renal</td>
<td>AF: 42-58% AFL: 14-28%</td>
<td>hypotension, AV block, bradycardia, systemic lupus erythematosus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nQuinidine</td>
<td>3-19 hours</td>
<td>hepatic, renal</td>
<td>AF: 40-84%</td>
<td>proarrhythmia including TdP, gastrointestinal side effects, systemic lupus erythematosus, vasculitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class IB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lidocaine</td>
<td>87-108 minutes</td>
<td>hepatic</td>
<td>VT/VF: 56-93%</td>
<td>sinus slowing, asystole, hypotension, shock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class IC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flecainide</td>
<td>12-27 hours</td>
<td>hepatic, renal</td>
<td>SVT: 59-95%</td>
<td>paresthesias, drowsiness, nausea, ventricular tachycardia, sinus bradycardia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propafenone</td>
<td>2-12 hours</td>
<td>hepatic</td>
<td>SVT: 57-86%</td>
<td>hypersensitivity reactions, lupus-like syndrome, agranulocytosis, CNS disturbances, lightheadedness, metallic taste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amiodarone</td>
<td>IV: 3-80 hours Oral 60 days</td>
<td>hepatic</td>
<td>SVT: 47%-80% VT/VF</td>
<td>hypotension, phlebitis at injection site, thyroid abnormalities, pulmonary toxicity, elevated hepatic enzyme levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dofetilide</td>
<td>10 hours</td>
<td>renal, hepatic</td>
<td>SVT: 29-77%</td>
<td>proarrhythmia including TdP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibutilide</td>
<td>2-12 hours</td>
<td>hepatic</td>
<td>SVT: 31-58%</td>
<td>proarrhythmia including TdP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sotalol</td>
<td>10-20 hours</td>
<td>renal</td>
<td>SVT: 67-86% AFL: 11-52%</td>
<td>fatigue, lightheadedness, weakness, nausea, bradycardia, dyspnea, headache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernakalant</td>
<td>3-4 hours</td>
<td>renal, hepatic</td>
<td>AF: 47-52%</td>
<td>dyseusia, sneezing, cough, hypotension, QT prolongation, bradycardia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenosine</td>
<td>0.6-1.5 seconds</td>
<td>converted to inosine and AMP</td>
<td>SVT: 91-100%</td>
<td>dyspnea, flushing, chest discomfort, bronchospasm, AF, NSVT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Summary of Antiarrhythmic Medications.
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