A Speculative Role for Stromal Gastrin Signaling in Development and Dissemination of Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma
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Abstract

The peptide growth factor gastrin and its receptor, the G-protein coupled cholecystokinin receptor type B (CCKR), play an integral role in the growth and progression of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC). Gastrin immunoreactivity is found in the fetal pancreas but its expression is not detected in normal pancreas after birth, except when it is re-expressed in malignant lesions.
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Introduction

The peptide growth factor gastrin and its receptor, the G-protein coupled cholecystokinin receptor type B (CCKR), play an integral role in the growth and progression of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) [1-4]. Gastrin immunoreactivity is found in the fetal pancreas but its expression is not detected in normal pancreas after birth, except when it is re-expressed in malignant lesions [5-8]. Although gastrin is involved physiologically in secretion of gastric acid and growth of the gastrointestinal tract epithelium, it is also an important growth factor for cancers of the pancreas, colon, stomach, and lung, where it stimulates cell growth by an autocrine mechanism [5,7-9,11]. Growth of human PDAC cells in culture or in nude mice can be stimulated by the exogenous addition of gastrin. Blockade of the CCKR with antagonists, or reduction of gastrinor CCKR in cancer cells, has been shown to inhibit tumor formation and metastasis, and to promote apoptosis [5,12,13]. However, evidence is also accumulating for paracrine growth effects of gastrin, where for example blockade of CCKR signaling has been shown to reduce tumor fibrosis and inflammation. CCKRs have also been identified on cells in the tumor microenvironment, opening the possibility that gastrin signaling in the stromal compartment could have importance in tumor progression and/or dissemination [5,12,14,15]. Here we review the literature on the effects of gastrin:CCKR signaling on various processes in stroma, and develop the idea that pancreatic stellate cells (PSCs) and tumor infiltrating macrophages (TIMs) may be important players in mediating such effects.

Pleiotropic effects of gastrin and CCKR receptors on processes involved in tumor invasion

Tumor cell adhesion and migration: Gastrin, acting through the CCKR, is associated with altered expression of several cell-cell or cell-matrix adhesion molecules [16-18]. In the intestinal epithelial cell line IEC6, gastrin induced a loss of cell-cell adhesion that was mediated by JAK2/PI3K signaling [16]. Activation of JAK2 lead to STAT3 phosphorylation and altered subcellular localization of α- and β- catemins and E-cadherin, with consequent disruption of adherens junctions. In human PANC-1 cells, CCKR activation by gastrin increased β1 integrin expression at the RNA and protein level and induced tyrosine phosphorylation of β1 integrin through Src and PI3K signaling [17]. The phosphorylation of β1 integrin enhanced adhesion of the cells to fibronectin and laminin. Similarly, gastrin induced a 5-fold increase in β3 integrin expression and increased fibronectin

*Corresponding author: Gary A Clawson, Gittlen Cancer Research Foundation, Hershey Medical Center, H059, C7768, 500 University Drive, Hershey, PA 17033, USA, E-mail: Gacsl@psu.edu

Received February 08, 2013; Accepted April 05, 2013; Published April 07, 2013

Citation: Matters GL, Clawson GA (2013) A Speculative Role for Stromal Gastrin Signaling in Development and Dissemination of Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. Pancreatic Dis Ther 5: 003. doi:10.4172/2165-7092.S4-003

Copyright: © 2013 Matters GL, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.
Recent studies using gastrin and colon adenocarcinoma cells confirmed that gastrin is transcriptionally up-regulated by hypoxia, independent of HIF, leading to increased secretion of biologically active forms of gastrin by tumor cells [26]. Gastrin’s pro-angiogenic effect was confirmed by Lefranc et al. who also demonstrated that gastrin treatment of HuVECs stimulated release of IL8 and enhanced endothelial cell migration [27]. In functional studies, gastrin induced up-regulation of VAM-1 and P- and E-selectins in HuVECs, and increased “rolling” of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) and their adhesion to HuVECs [28]. These effects were reversed by pre-treatment with a CCKR antagonist, confirming that gastrin signaling through this receptor was essential for the leukocyte-endothelial cell interaction. Although CCK receptors (CCKRs) have been identified on PBMCs [29], these effects of gastrin were confined to endothelial cells, and treatment of isolated leukocytes with gastrin had no functional effect on PBMC/HUVEC interactions.

**Metastasis:** Only a handful of studies have explored a potential role of gastrin signaling in tumor cell metastasis. Stable reduction of gastrin expression in the human PDAC cell line BxPC3-3 resulted in smaller tumors in vivo and a significant reduction in visible metastatic lesions [12]. Others have studied the effects of gastrin neutralization. In one study, gastrin was neutralized by administration of antibodies raised against the 9 amino-terminal amino acids of gastrin linked to diphtheria toxin [30]. Mice implanted with a human colorectal (AP5LV) cell line and treated with the anti-gastrin antibody had smaller primary tumors and fewer pulmonary metastases. Similarly, mice treated with a neutralizing antibody to the N-terminal region of the CCKR, which encompassed the gastrin binding site, had reduced liver tumor burden after intraperitoneal injection of the human colorectal cancer cell line C170HM2 [31].

**Gastrin, cholecystokinin receptors, and the fibrotic tumor microenvironment**

The highly fibrotic tumor microenvironment in PDAC is thought to contribute to the widespread chemoresistance in this disease [32]. Highly desmoplastic stroma is evident surrounding even early pre-neoplastic pancreatic lesions in both humans and mouse PDAC models [33]. Pancreatic stellate cells (PSCs) are the primary source of fibrotic extracellular matrix (ECM) deposits in PDAC, prominently including collagen and fibronectin [34-37]. Indeed, recent work clearly demonstrates that PSCs play critical roles in development and progression of pancreatic cancer [36,38,39].

When activated by growth factors or cytokines, PSCs assume a myofibroblast-like phenotype and secrete collagen and fibronectin [36]. Studies using cultured rat PSCs have shown that these cells express both sub-types of CCKRs, CCK R and CCK R, and respond to both cholecystokinin and gastrin stimulation by secreting collagen [15,37]. In fact, cholecystokinin and gastrin each appear to activate rat PSC sin a fashion similar to TGF β, a well-established stellate cell activator. Additionally, antagonism of CCKRs on cultured rat stellate cells in vitro completely blocked collagen production and ECM deposition [15]. Given that gastrin is expressed early in the development of human pancreatic ductal intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIN) [40], and that pancreatic tumor cells secrete biologically active forms of gastrin into the tumor microenvironment [41], PSCs may be responding to gastrin in a paracrine fashion that stimulates desmoplastic responses. Supporting this conjecture, recent studies by our group indicate that blockade of CCKR signaling with a broad-spectrum CCK R and CCK R antagonist significantly reduced fibrosis surrounding mPanIN lesions in 8 month old Pdx1-Cre/ LSL-KrasG12D mice, a transgenic model of pancreatic cancer (unpublished data). Additional studies are required to assess the effect of receptor blockade on invasion and metastasis in this model. Another recent study demonstrated that mice that constitutively overexpress gastrin have more myofibroblasts in their colonic epithelium than wild-type mice, and that these myofibroblasts secrete IL8-2 in response to gastrin stimulation [42].

Recent studies have indicated by PSCs have many stem cell characteristics [43], and that PSCs can functionally replace hepatic stellate cells in liver regeneration [43]. Further work has shown that hepatic stellate cells, the functional counterpart to PSCs, directly mediate the differentiation/activation of macrophages [44]; the activated macrophages showed a distinctive IL6-high/IL10-low/TGF β-high pattern and exhibited specific activation of p38 MAPK pathway (see below), a pathway known to be important in macrophage function [45].

There are a number of features of the inflammatory pathways within the PDAC microenvironment which may relate to risk factors for developing PDAC, including obesity and diabetes [46,47]. For example, the M1 macrophage inflammation has been associated with obesity-related insulin resistance [48,49], and there are increased numbers of islet-associated macrophages in type 2 diabetes which appear to be recruited in response to IL8 secretion [50].

Proinflammatory (M1) phenotypic changes may have relevance for obesity as another recognized risk factor for development of PDAC. Obesity has been associated with increased adipose tissue infiltration by macrophages and their polarization to a proinflammatory M1 state [54]. Further studies on adipose tissue macrophages by Lumeng and co-workers [52,53] have also delineated a role for activated macrophages in obesity. Using two obese mouse models – a high fat diet fed mouse model, or a transgenic CCR2-KO mouse model, they found that phenotypic conversion of adipose tissue macrophages from alternatively activated (M2a) to classically activated (M1) phenotype was due to localized recruitment of the inflammatory subtype to macrophage clusters, which was dependent upon C-C motif chemokine receptor 2 (Ccr2), and was not a conversion of resident M2 macrophages to M1 phenotype. Han et al. recently demonstrated that obesity-induced insulin resistance and inflammation is largely dependent upon c-Jun NH2-terminal kinase (JNK) in M1-polarized macrophages [54].

**Circulating Tumor Cells (CTCs) in pancreatic cancer: Influence of Stromal components, and a Speculative Role for Macrophages and Gastrin:**

As with many other cancers, the prognostic significance of CTCs in pancreatic cancer patients is an area of intense investigation. A number of recent studies have detected CTCs in pancreatic cancer patients using a variety of approaches, including Cell Search and “isolation by size of epithelial tumor cells” (iSET) [55], high-definition images [56], and amplification of multiple molecular markers [57-59]. Indeed, it is becoming clear that CTCs in PDAC, as in many other cancers, will have important diagnostic/prognostic significance.

However, there are many caveats which should be mentioned with regard to CTCs. First, the nature of the CTCs actually responsible for development of metastatic lesions (the “bad guys”) is not known. While CTCs have shown prognostic relevance in many cancers, including pancreatic, there is concern that standard approaches to measurement may be compromised. The FDA-approved CellSearch assay (which depends upon identification and counting of EpCAM+/CD45- cells)
apparently provides a surrogate measure of the “bad guys”, but problems can arise with it. For example, studies have demonstrated that CTCs may escape EpCAM-based detection due to the epithelial-mesenchymal transition [60], often a prominent feature of PDACs, and there are many reports for various types of cancers where EpCAM-negative CTCs have been described (e.g. breast cancer: [61,62]). Specifically with regard to PDAC, Khoa et al. [55] reported that ISET detected CTCs in many more PDAC patients than Cell Search (93% vs. 40%), and also noted that there was marked heterogeneity in staining for various markers used, including pancytokeratin and vimentin, and E-Cadherin. We have also reported isolation of large CTCs from peripheral blood of PDAC patients who were negative for CTCs by Cell Search analysis [63]; these CTCs comprised large cells which co-expressed pancytokeratin markers, the common leukocyte antigen CD45, as well as the macrophage marker CD14 [63].

As further examples, Sergeant et al. [64] observed significant elevations in CTCs in PDAC patients which were induced by surgery, but these elevations were not associated with clinical prognosis after pancreatectomy. Cho et al. [65] have reported CTC aggregates in patients with a variety of carcinomas, including pancreatic, although the significance of such aggregates is not clear at present.

Of particular pertinence to this role, Sergeant et al. [66] developed a gene expression signature for CTCs isolated from PDAC patients, comparing signatures between CTCs, PBMCs, primary tumor, and non-tumor pancreatic tissue. They reported that the p38 MAPK pathway showed the highest differential expression in CTCs, prominently including TGFβ [66]. In addition, a group of 9 other genes associated with both the p38MAPK pathway and with cell motility, were also differentially over expressed in CTCs including STAT3 (also involved in gastrin-mediated cell adhesion; [16]). Results indicated that high co-expression of TGFβ and the cell motility panel was an independent predictor of both disease-free and overall survival.

Tissue infiltrating macrophages (TIMs) may not only have pleiotropic effects on PDACs and pancreatic stroma, but may in addition actively participate in dissemination of PDACs. TIMs have been shown to be important players in pancreatic cancer [67]. Targeting TIMs, via inhibition of the Ccr2 receptor (or colony-stimulating factor-1 receptor), decreased the number of tumor initiating cells in PDACs, inhibited metastases, and increased antitumor T-cell responses. Conversely, TIMs were also shown to directly enhance the tumor-initiating cells of PDACs by activating STAT3, facilitating macrophage-mediated suppression of CD8+ T lymphocytes [67].

Summary

We hypothesize that stromal cells in the inflammatory tumor microenvironment of PDACs are important players in the dissemination of PDAC cells, via actions of gastrin and the CCKR. Gastrin activates PSCs, which in turn activate macrophages. A subset of these macrophages appear to also express CCKR, and we routinely observe large, epithelioid cells, as well as acute/chronic inflammatory cells and PSCs in focal areas in the immediate vicinity of ductal epithelium (Figure 1), suggesting the working hypothesis that the large epithelioid CCKR+ cells represent activated TIMs. Such activated macrophages, with active signaling of the p38MAPK and TGFβ pathways, seem to actually fuse with tumor cells, and could thus confer the phenotype for PDAC CTCs described by Sergeant et al. [66] and account for our findings [63]. In fact, this fusion process has long been proposed as a unifying concept for the basis of metastases by Pawelek and co-workers [68-70], a process which is eminently consistent with the literature on TIMs and CTCs in PDAC.

Figure 1: Stromal periductal CCKR immunoreactivity in PDAC.

Immunohistochemical staining for the CCKR was done using 6-μm sections from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues (all samples were obtained under the auspices of IRB-approved protocols). Slides were deparaffinized in xylene followed by successive ethanol washes and rehydration. Immunohistochemical staining was performed following the manufacturer’s protocol (Vector Laboratories, kit #53P-2001). Blocking was in 1% normal rabbit serum in TBS, followed by incubations with an Avidin D solution and then a biotin solution. Primary CCKR antibody (#77077, AbCam) was used at a 1:200 dilution, followed by a secondary anti-goat antibody. Slides were then incubated in Vectastain Elite ABC reagent, and then in ImmPACT DAB chromagen solution until color developed. Slides were counter stained with hematoxylin.

Representative photos from PDAC samples are shown (20X). The upper and lower right panels show representative, bizarre epithelioid cells at higher power (40X). In many cases, these large cells show extensions into the stromal and ductal compartments (similar to stellate cells), or appear to have fused with or phagocytosed adjacent cells. Aside from focal periductal staining, most regions of fibrous stroma were negative for CCKR expression (see upper left panel for example). We also noted occasional light focal staining for CCKR (not shown).
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