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Abstract

**Background:** CO\(_2\) narcosis, often induced by injudicious use of oxygen and opioids, may result in ICU admission, intubation and additional costs. The development is insidious. Currently, there is no method for early detection.

**Methods:** A retrospective cohort study of patients with hypercapnia admitted between June 2013 and June 2016 to a single hospital was performed. Presence of pre-defined CO\(_2\) narcosis was determined on chart review by agreement of two reviewers. Patients were divided into derivation and validation groups, and a scoring system for prediction of CO\(_2\) narcosis was developed and verified.

**Results:** 607 patients with significant hypercapnia (PaCO\(_2\)>50 mmHg) were identified, and 188 were determined to have CO\(_2\) narcosis. Initial serum bicarbonate, use of supplemental oxygen, use of opioids, and BMI were found to be independent predictors. A CO\(_2\) narcosis scoring system (0-7 points) was developed in the derivation group and then verified. The scoring system stratified patients into low risk (0-2 points, 0% likelihood), intermediate risk (3-4 points, 11-27% likelihood) and high risk (5-7 points, 52-100% likelihood). Patients with CO\(_2\) narcosis have a higher probability of ICU admission, intubation and prolonged hospital stay. Judicious use of oxygen and opioids, and early interventions based on this risk stratification scheme, might prevent this condition.

**Conclusion:** This CO\(_2\) narcosis scoring system might be useful for prediction and risk stratification of CO\(_2\) narcosis.
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Introduction

CO\(_2\) narcosis, also known as CO\(_2\) poisoning or intoxication, is defined as a state where patients with hypercapnia develop frank depressed mental status, including confusion, somnolence and lethargy, which may progress to coma and death [1]. While the mechanism and even the existence of this condition have been controversial for decades, recent studies have confirmed the syndrome of CO\(_2\) narcosis, and have demonstrated that the main contributor is ventilation/perfusion (V/Q) mismatch due to a rapid reversal of hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction, as opposed to the long-held belief of suppression of hypoxia-driven respiratory drive [2-6].

CO\(_2\) retention may develop rapidly with use of supplemental oxygen in susceptible patients [2,7]. CO\(_2\) narcosis, however, often requires significant CO\(_2\) retention, 80 mmHg for acute accumulation, and 90-100 mmHg in chronic settings [1]. Acutely confused or somnolent hospital patients frequently trigger rapid response teams, ICU admissions, invasive procedures, prolonged hospital stay and higher costs. The recognition of CO\(_2\) narcosis is often not straightforward.

Identification and risk stratification of susceptible patients by using a scoring system might aid medical decision-making to reduce the incidence of iatrogenic CO\(_2\) narcosis and its associated hospital costs. We therefore sought to develop a scoring system for prediction and risk stratification of CO\(_2\) narcosis in the hospital setting.

Materials and Methods

Study population

We conducted a single-center, retrospective cohort study of patients admitted to St. Luke’s Hospital, a community hospital located in St. Louis, MO, between June 2013 and June 2016. The study was approved by the institutional review board of St. Luke's Hospital (20160925.1).

Inclusion criteria were: (1) Partial arterial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO\(_2\))>50 mmHg on arterial blood gas (ABG) testing; (2) adults ≥ 18 years old; and (3) inpatient status. Exclusion criteria were: (1) venous blood gas samples; (2) erroneous data entry; (3) index PaCO\(_2\) obtained immediately after cardiac arrest; (4) actively dying patients; and (5) intubation with mechanical ventilation.

Data collection

Patients’ electronic health records (Cerner Millennium Power Chart, Cerner Corp, Kansas City, MO) were electronically scanned for the indicator variable PaCO\(_2\)>50 mmHg. Identified patients were assigned to individual investigators for data extraction. Since most patients with CO\(_2\) narcosis did not have the diagnosis code (R06.89 in ICD-10 and 786.09 in ICD-9) on file, investigators reviewed all the inpatient notes around the time of the highest PaCO\(_2\) values. Key words, such as confusion, somnolence, lethargy, hard to arouse, and altered mental status, were used to identify potential cases. CO\(_2\) narcosis was confirmed when no other conditions might explain the altered mental status. The presence or absence of CO\(_2\) narcosis was also reviewed by one investigator (CY). There were no classification disagreements between reviewers. For patients with multiple ABGs or multiple admissions, the one with the highest PaCO\(_2\) was used. Fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO\(_2\)) was calculated according to the standard oxygen flow rate [8].

*Corresponding author: Chang Yang, Section of Hospital Medicine-MC 5000, The University of Chicago Medicine, 5841 S Maryland Ave, Chicago, IL 60637, USA, Tel: (773) 702-5173; Fax: (773) 795-7398; E-mail: changy@uchicago.edu

Received May 27, 2018; Accepted June 13, 2018; Published June 20, 2018


Copyright: © 2018 Yang C, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.
Statistical analysis and score development

SAS Statistical package v9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) was used. A two-sided p<0.05 was considered significant. Student’s t test was applied to continuous variables and Chi-Square Test was used for categorical variables. The study population was divided into derivation and validation groups with the ratio of 1:1 using a random number generator. Univariate analysis was performed to identify variables that were associated with CO₂ narcosis. These variables included age, sex, body mass index (BMI), COPD status, home oxygen, opioids use within 24 hours prior to the highest PaCO₂, first serum bicarbonate on admission, and FiO₂ at the time of the highest PaCO₂. Cut-off points for variable stratification were initially determined based on the univariate analysis on variables in the derivation group. Stepwise backward selection was performed until only variables with p<0.05 in the multivariable model remained, which were considered independent variables. All variables at different cut-off points were initially involved, by using a backward selection approach. The least significant variable was dropped in each round of comparisons, and all dropped variables (except for the most recently dropped) were re-considered for re-introduction into the model depending on the significance. The process was repeated until no more non-significant variables remained. Points were assigned to each individual variable based on the magnitude of corresponding odds ratio from the logistic regression model. Validation was performed on the validation group by evaluating the likelihood of CO₂ narcosis of each score.

Results

Patient characteristics

Eight hundred and eighty-two patients with PaCO₂>50 mmHg were identified, representing 1.8% of all inpatients (Figure 1). Two patients with very low PaO₂ were excluded due to probable venous blood samples. Similarly, 5 patients were excluded due to inexplicable values. Four pediatric patients were also excluded. In an effort to avoid confounders to the development of hypercapnia, ABGs during cardiac arrest (25 patients), patients actively dying (40 patients) and patients already on mechanical ventilation (199 patients) were not included in the analysis. Mental status changes in these excluded patients were likely not caused by their own respiratory derangements, but extra-respiratory catastrophes from those conditions. Among the 607 patients who underwent analysis, 188 (31%) were determined to have CO₂ narcosis, representing 0.38% of all inpatients during the observation period.

Baseline characteristics of the CO₂ narcosis and non-narcosis cohorts are summarized in Table 1. There are no statistically significant differences in age, sex or ethnicity. Compared with the patients without CO₂ narcosis, narcosis patients had higher BMI (32.9 vs 29.4, p<0.001), higher rate of home oxygen use (50% vs 31%, p<0.001), a higher percentage of respiratory diseases as the principle diagnosis on admission (65% vs 49%, p<0.001), higher levels of initial serum bicarbonate on admission (36.3 vs 31.3 mmol/L, p<0.001), higher rates of supplemental oxygen (FiO₂ 52% vs 43%, p<0.001), higher levels of PaCO₂ when altered mental status was first noticed (86.9 mmHg vs 58.6 mmHg, p<0.001), and were more likely to be transferred to ICU (63% vs 34%, p<0.001) and be intubated (28% vs 4%, p<0.001). Narcosis patients had longer stays in the ICU (4.1 vs 1.8, p<0.001) and in the hospital (10.7 vs 8.9, p<0.001).

Score derivation

In order to select appropriate variables for the development of a scoring system during the early hours of admission, the variables were first examined for statistical significance and availability on admission. Only about half the patients had pulmonary function tests, therefore forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) was not used even it appeared to be statistically significant between the 2 cohorts. Despite virtually all patients had chest X-ray, many were obtained later during the hospitalization. Thus, presence of pleural effusion was not included in the scoring system. For similar considerations, ABG results, ICU admission and intubation were also excluded. The following 8 variables were used for developing the scoring system: age, sex, BMI, COPD status, home oxygen, opioids use, first serum bicarbonate and FiO₂.

The study population was randomly divided into two groups, 300 patients in the derivation group and 307 patients in the validation group.
group. Univariate analysis was performed on variables to identify variables that are associated with CO\textsubscript{2} narcosis (Table 2). No significant differences were found between the two groups. Independent variables were derived through stepwise backward selection. Points were assigned to each individual variable based on the corresponding odds ratio and p values from the logistic regression test. FiO\textsubscript{2} (OR 8.91, 95% CI 2.74-28.99) and opioids use (OR 0.28, 95% CI 0.14-0.57) demonstrate the strongest association (Table 3).

**Score validation**

Validation was performed on the validation group by evaluating the likelihood of CO\textsubscript{2} narcosis of each score (Table 4). As the score increased, the likelihood also increased. There are 0% and 100% likelihood of developing CO\textsubscript{2} narcosis with a score of 0 and 7, respectively. Among patients stratified as high risk, the likelihood of developing CO\textsubscript{2} narcosis during the hospitalization is more than 50%. The area under the curve of receiver-operating characteristics in the derivation group (0.7865, 95% CI 0.7293-0.8436) is similar to the one in the validation group (0.7762, 95% CI 0.7168-0.8356), respectively (Figure 2).

**Discussion**

CO\textsubscript{2} narcosis is a condition of decompensated hypercapnia that can lead to depressed mental status, coma and death if not recognized and expeditiously treated. Unfortunately, confusion and lethargy are relatively common conditions among hospitalized patients. Diagnosis is often delayed until overt mental status changes occur, which can result in ICU transfer and increased hospital costs. This study analyzed 607 inpatients with hypercapnia to develop a scoring system using readily available clinical data in the early hours of admission to predict development of CO\textsubscript{2} narcosis. PaO\textsubscript{2} and pH have previously been proposed as predictors,9 but have not been widely adopted due to low availability of ABG results. Based on the likelihood in our scoring system, patients can be stratified into low, intermediate and high-risk categories on admission, therefore appropriate interventions can be initiated early for prevention.

Worsening hypercapnia and ensuing CO\textsubscript{2} narcosis can develop insidiously often as a result of injudicious use of supplemental oxygen, i.e., oxygen-induced hypercapnia [9]. The previously accepted hypothesis of diminished hypoxic ventilatory drive has been proved to play only a minor role. Instead, the major contributors during the acute phase are increased dead space (48%), Haldane effect (30%) and decreased minute ventilation (22%) [1,10]. V/Q mismatch as a result of lung parenchymal damage other than alveolar hypoventilation is a chronic contributor [11,12]. Development of hypercapnia due to oxygen use may occur rapidly. PaCO\textsubscript{2} increases by 6-23 mmHg within 15 minutes of exposure to 100% oxygen in COPD patients [2,3]. 42 mmHg after 30 minutes of an FiO\textsubscript{2} >28% among COPD exacerbation patients.

**Table 2:** Comparison of derivation and validation groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Derivation group</th>
<th>Validation group</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>251 (41.4%)</td>
<td>128 (42.7%)</td>
<td>123 (40.1%)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioids use</td>
<td>105 (17.3%)</td>
<td>53 (17.7%)</td>
<td>52 (16.9%)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD</td>
<td>358 (59.0%)</td>
<td>175 (58.3%)</td>
<td>183 (59.6%)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home oxygen use</td>
<td>223 (36.7%)</td>
<td>109 (36.3%)</td>
<td>114 (37.1%)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>73.97</td>
<td>74.49</td>
<td>73.46</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>30.61</td>
<td>30.90</td>
<td>30.33</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First serum bicarbonate</td>
<td>32.92</td>
<td>32.86</td>
<td>32.98</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FiO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data presented as mean and percentage.

**Table 3:** Multivariate logistic regression in the derivation group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Likelihood of CO\textsubscript{2} narcosis</th>
<th>Risk of CO\textsubscript{2} narcosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>Low risk (0-2 points)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>Intermediate risk (3-4 points)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>High risk (5-7 points)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>63.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4:** The CO\textsubscript{2} narcosis score.

[13] and 52 mmHg over 20 min among patients with neuromuscular disorders [14] likely due to rapid V/Q mismatch from a loss of hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction. Many patients in our cohort developed narcosis with nasal oxygen at 2-3 liters/minute. Low flow continuous oxygen has been associated with significant hypercapnia among patients with severe COPD, neuromuscular disorder and obesity [7,15-17].

Importantly, CO\textsubscript{2} narcosis did not develop with the acute CO\textsubscript{2} retention observed in the abovementioned studies [3,7,11,13-17], suggesting a sustained elevation of PaCO\textsubscript{2} is required for narcosis to occur. In our cohort, most cases of CO\textsubscript{2} narcosis develop 1-2 days after admission. The mechanism of increased blood CO\textsubscript{2} and depressed level of consciousness remains largely elusive [1].

With the scoring system developed here, patients can be stratified into low, intermediate and high-risk categories during the early hours of hospitalization (Table 4). For patients at low risk (0-2 points), no intervention is required; for patients with intermediate risk (3-4 points), transcutaneous PaCO\textsubscript{2} sensor [18], closed-loop oxygen delivery system [19], and more frequent mental status check may reduce the incidence; and for patients in the high-risk category (5-7 points), early use of noninvasive ventilation such as BiPAP maybe indicated. Since the majority of hospitals have adopted electronic health record systems, this algorithm could be readily embedded in the system to alert clinicians automatically.

A common misconception is that supplemental oxygen or high oxygen saturation is beneficial for patients with cardiac or respiratory diseases. Despite recommendations that maintaining oxygen saturation at 88-92% balances the risks of oxygen induced hypercapnia and tissue hypoxia [10,20,21] many hospitals still have policies to keep it
greater than 92%. Another common error is accepting the face value of peripheral pulse oximetry while not appreciating that it has a wide range of variations depending on severity of vasculopathy, hypotension, vasospasm, sensor positioning or manicure.

Predicting and avoiding CO2 narcosis would likely reduce hospital costs. Patients developing CO2 narcosis are more likely to be transferred to ICU, be intubated and mechanically ventilated, and have longer ICU and hospital stays, compared with the patients without CO2 narcosis (24).

Serum bicarbonate levels represent renal compensation for respiratory acidosis and reflect the severity of chronic CO2 retention. We observed higher levels of bicarbonate in the CO2 narcosis cohort (36.5 vs 31.3 mmol/L, p<0.0001). We further demonstrated a stepwise association of higher baseline serum bicarbonate with higher likelihood of developing CO2 narcosis. The lack of a significant difference between baseline bicarbonate and ABG bicarbonate during CO2 narcosis (36.5 vs 37.5 mmol/L, p=0.516), indicates that acute renal compensation was not sufficient to prevent narcosis. When arterial blood CO2 values were compared, much higher levels of CO2 were found in the CO2 narcosis cohort (86.9 vs 58.6 mmHg, p<.001), consistent with previous findings that PaCO2 often exceed 80 mmHg for narcosis to develop.1 The distribution of PaCO2 values between the two cohorts substantially differed (Figure 3). The highest level of PaCO2 in our study was 333 mmHg. The highest value reported in the literature is 233 mmHg (23).

Surprisingly, age appears not to be a risk factor, as CO2 narcosis rarely occurs in young patients. Oxygen therapy has previously been employed for the prevention of CO2 narcosis, improving patient safety and reducing costs. Patients developing CO2 narcosis are more likely to be transferred to ICU, be intubated and mechanically ventilated, and have longer ICU and hospital stays, compared with the patients without CO2 narcosis. Prospective randomized controlled trials will be needed to refine and validate the CO2 narcosis scoring system.

Conclusion
This is the first study to investigate risk factors of CO2 narcosis among hospitalized patients. Four independent risk factors were identified, baseline serum bicarbonate, supplemental oxygen use, BMI and opioids use. The CO2 narcosis scoring system developed here stratifies patients into low, intermediate, and high risk groups during early hours of hospitalization using readily available clinical data. When this scoring system is applied, appropriate interventions might be employed for the prevention of CO2 narcosis, improving patient safety and reducing costs.
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