

Visceral Fat, Atherosclerosis and Coronary Artery Disease

Roever $\boldsymbol{L}^{\star},$ Veloso FC and Resende ES

Department of Clinical Research, Federal University of Uberlândia, Brazil

*Corresponding author: Roever L, Federal University of Uberlândia, Department of Clinical Research, 1720 - Bairro Umuarama Uberlândia - MG - CEP 38400-902, Brazil, Tel: +553488039878; E-mail: leonardoroever@hotmail.com

Received date: February 16, 2015, Accepted date: March 13, 2015, Published date: March 20, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Roever L, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

Metabolic Syndrome (MS) is currently considered to be a risk factor for atherotrombosis disease. Visceral Fat (VF) is one of the important factors in the diagnostic criteria of MS, and the increase of VF can cause arteriosclerotic diseases as a result of coronary risk factors such as diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, hypertension, endothelial dysfunction, inflammation, insulin resistance, glucose intolerance, and obesity (Figure 1). The VF has paracrine and systemic effects and is a source of adipocytokines and it has been implicated in the pathogenesis of Coronary Artery Disease (CAD) [1-9].



The VF contributes to metabolic disorders by altering levels of adipocyte-derived cytokines. The increased of visceral fat lowers the concentration of adiponectin, and causes excessive secretion of cytokines and (TNF- α). The blood concentration of (PAI-1), a fibrin lytic regulation factor, and the amount of VF are both positively correlated [10-13].

Patients in the visceral obesity had several other coronary risk factors, indicating that they had a high risk of developing coronary arterial lesions. Several studies have shown that the accumulation of VF causes arteriosclerosis [10,14-16].

In a study the authors examined 216 consecutive patients suspected to have coronary artery disease. VF was greater in subjects with noncalcified plaque than in those with no plaque or with calcified plaque (126 \pm 39 mL vs. 98 \pm 34 mL and 97 \pm 45 mL, respectively; P=0.010).VF was significantly correlated with BMI, triglycerides, and the triglyceride/high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio (r=0.51,0.19,and 0.20, respectively) but not with plasma levels of adipocytokines. Adiponectin and IL-6 concentration was significantly correlated with abdominal visceral fat area in coronary plaque patients (r=-0.49 and 0.20) [17].

Analysis of VF on Computed Tomography (CT) scan, correlates with diabetes, atherosclerosis, state prothrombotic and proinflammatory, metabolic abnormalities, which is associated with increased risk of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease [18,19].

Other investigators demonstrated that visceral adiposity measured by CT correlates with the ultrasound-measured carotid IMT, plaque area and total area (IMT area plus plaque area) after adjusting for demographics, family history, smoking and percent body fat [20].

The Framingham Heart study showed a significant correlation between visceral adiposity and cardiovascular diseases (HR=1.44; 95%CI: 1.08-1.92; P=0.01), even after adjustment for clinical risk factors and BMI [21-23]. In men and women the VF was significantly associated with blood pressure, fasting glucose, triglycerides, HDL-C and a higher risk of hypertension, impaired fasting glucose, diabetes mellitus, and metabolic syndrome (P<0.01). More consistent relationship between VF and the risk factors in women were observed. The VF was more strongly correlated with most of the metabolic risk factors than were both women and men, and was associated with increased odds of developing metabolic syndrome.

In a study the authors evaluated 607 consecutive patients who underwent VF examinations using computed tomography (CT) scans, the VF showed significant positive correlations with the age, BMI, WC, Subcutaneous Fat Area (SCFA), VF area/SCFA (v/s) ratio, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, the fasting blood sugar (FBS), the Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), HDL-C, triglyceride, uric acid, HOMA-IR and ApoB and the ApoB/LDLC ratio and significant negative correlations with the levels of HDLC and adiponectin. The levels of the total cholesterol, LDL-C, non-HDLC and Lp(a) and the ApoB/ApoAI ratio were not correlated with the VF in either men or women. The RLP exhibited a significant positive correlation with the VF in women [24].

In a study the authors investigated the relationship between multiple risk factor accumulation and CAD in Japanese without and with visceral fat accumulation. The study subjects comprised 257 Japanese with suspected CAD (males/females=153/ 104), who underwent 64-row multi slice (CT) coronary angiography and VF area(VFA) measurement by CT. Subjects with VFA \geq 100 cm(2) were much higher [6.46 (1.25-33.44, p=0.0261) and 20.42 (3.60-115.73, p=0.0007)] (p<0.0001 for trend). The multivariate adjusted model demonstrated a CAD risk of 1.08 (p=0.0484) and 5.01 (p<0.0001) for the interactions of 2 risk factors and VFA \geq 100 cm(2), and 3 risk factors and VFA \geq 100 cm(2), whereas multiple risk factor accumulation was not related with the increase of CAD risk in subjects with VFA <100 cm(2) [25].

In a case control study the VF was an excellent predictor of cardiovascular risk (area under ROC curve 0.915 cm(2). Coronary artery disease was diagnosed by coronary angiography. The visceral fat area was significantly higher (122.58 \pm 37.59 vs. 36.95 \pm 88.4 cm²; P=0.003) in cases, and correlated with BMI, waist hip ratio, blood sugar, triglycerides, and C-reactive protein. The VF is associated with an increased risk of CAD and correlated risk markers [26]. In a retrospective cross-sectional study, the authors was measured the VF area at the level of the umbilicus using CT. Coronary stenosis and plaques were evaluated using coronary CT. Coronary stenosis <50% and non-calcified plaques increased steadily as the VF area increased (P<0.001). The 4th quartile of VF area was significantly associated with prevalence of coronary stenosis <50% and the presence of noncalcified plaques when compared with the first through third VAT quartiles in the cardiovascular risk factor-adjusted model (odds ratio (OR): 1.58, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.09-2.30 and OR: 1.66; 95% CI: 1.02-2.68, respectively). The VF area was associated with coronary stenosis <50% and non-calcified plaques, independent of traditional cardiovascular risk factors [27].

In one study in which the VF area was examined using a hybrid SPECT/CT scanner the fatty acid binding protein A-FABP levels correlated significantly with adiponectin, hs-CRP<BMI, WC, and VF area. A-FABP was significantly associated with metabolic syndrome (OR 3.2, 95% CI 1.6-6.4, p=0.001), significant myocardial ischemia (OR 1.9, 95% CI 1.0-3.4, p=0.05), on SPECT. Chemerin was significantly associated with serum triglyceride levels but not with metabolic syndrome, significant ischemia [28].

In the MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis) the authors studied 1,511 individuals with adiposity assessment by computed tomography (CT). The VF was associated with cardio-metabolic risk and coronary artery calcification, regardless of BMI, and more strongly associated with incident MetS than subcutaneous fat [29].

In the Jackson Heart Study (n=2477; 64% women; mean age, 58 years) underwent multi detector computed tomography, and the volumetric amounts of VF. Men had a higher mean volume of VF (873 vs.793 cm³) than women (P=0.0001). The effect size of VF in women was larger than that of subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT), fasting plasma glucose, 5.51 ± 1.0 vs. 3.36 ± 0.9 ; triglyceride, 0.17 ± 0.01 vs. 0.05 ± 0.01 ; HDL-C, -5.36 ± 0.4 vs. -2.85 ± 0.4 ; and odds ratio for hypertension, 1.62 (1.4-1.9) vs.1.40 (1.2-1.6); diabetes, 1.82 (1.6-2.1) vs.1.58 (1.4-1.8); and metabolic syndrome, 3.34 (2.8-4.0) vs. 2.06 (1.8-2.4), respectively; P<0.0001 for difference between VAT and SAT [30].

The VF may be a cause of coronary risk factors, which may eventually develop into coronary stenosis. Diagnostic methods and treatment of VF may impact on morbidity and mortality of the population.

References

- Alberti KGMM, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ, Cleeman JI, et al. (2009) Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim statement of the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; and International Association for the Study of Obesity. Circulation 120: 1640- 1645.
- 2. Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, Donato KA, Eckel RH, et al. (2005) Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American

Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Scientific Statement. Circulation 112: 2735-2752.

- Shimamoto Y, Mizukoshi M, Kuroi A, Imanishi T, Takeshita T, et al. (2013) Is visceral fat really a coronary risk factor A multi-detector computed tomography study. Int Heart J 54: 273-278.
- Oka R, Kobayashi J, Yagi K (2008) Reassessment of the cutoff values of waist circumference and visceral fat area for identifying Japanese subjects at risk for the metabolic syndrome. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 79: 474-481.
- 5. Hara K, Matsushita Y, Horikoshi M (2006) A proposal for the cutoff point of waist circumference for the diagnosis of metabolic syndrome in the Japanese population. Diabetes Care 29: 1123-1124.
- Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, Bautista L, Franzosi MG, et al. (2005) Obesity and the risk of myocardial infarction in 27,000 participants from 52 countries: a casecontrol study 366: 1640-1649.
- Canoy D, Boekholdt SM, Wareham N, Luben R, Welch A, et al. (2007) Body fat distribution and risk of coronary heart disease in men and women in the European Prospective Investigation Into Cancer and Nutrition in Norfolk cohort: a population-based prospective study. Circulation 116: 2933-2943.
- 8. Zhang C, Rexrode KM, van Dam RM, Li TY, Hu FB (2008) Abdominal obesity and the risk of all-cause, cardiovascular, and cancer mortality: sixteen years of follow-up in US women. Circulation; 117: 1658-1667.
- 9. Matsuzawa Y, Funahashi T, Kihara S, Shimomura I (2004) Adiponectin and metabolic syndrome. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 24: 29-33.
- Alexopoulos N, Katritsis D, Raggi P (2014) Visceral adipose tissue as a source of inflammation and promoter of atherosclerosis. Atherosclerosis. 233: 104-112.
- 11. Yamauchi T, Kamon J, Waki H, Terauchi Y, Kubota N, et al. (2001) The fat-derived hormone adiponectin reverses insulin resistance associated with both lipoatrophy and obesity. 7: 941-946.
- Shimomura I, Funahashi T, Takahashi M (1996) Enhanced expression of PAI-1 in visceral fat: possible contributor to vascular disease in obesity. 2: 800-803.
- 13. Ryo M, Nakamura T, Kihara S, Kumada M, Shibazaki S, et al. (2004) Adiponectin as a biomarker of the metabolic syndrome. 68: 975-981.
- 14. Walsh K (2009) Adipokines, myokines and cardiovascular disease 73: 13-18.
- 15. Tilg H, Moschen AR (2008) Inflammatory mechanisms in the regulation of insulin resistance. 14: 222-231.
- 16. Harada K, Amano T, Kataoka T, Takeshita M, Harada K, et al. (2014) Impact of abdominal and epicardial fat on the association between plasma adipocytokine levels and coronary atherosclerosis in non-obese patients. Atherosclerosis. 237: 671-676
- 17. Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J (2006) Metabolic syndrome--a new worldwide definition. A Consensus Statement from the International Diabetes Federation. Diabet Med 23: 469-480.
- Després JP, Lemieux I, Bergeron J, Pibarot P, Mathieu P, et al. (2008) Abdominal obesity and the metabolic syndrome: contribution to global cardiometabolic risk.Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 28: 1039-1049.
- Després JP, Lemieux I (2006) Abdominal obesity and metabolic syndrome. Nature 444: 881-887.
- Lear SA, Humphries KH, Kohli S, Frohlich JJ, Birmingham CL, et al. (2007) Visceral adipose tissue, a potential risk factor for carotid atherosclerosis: results of the Multicultural Community Health Assessment Trial (M-CHAT) Stroke 38: 2422-2429.
- Britton KA, Massaro JM, Murabito JM, Kreger BE, Hoffmann U, et al. (2013) Body fat distribution, incident cardiovascular disease, cancer, and all-cause mortality. J Am Coll Cardiol 62: 921-925.
- 22. Fox CS, Massaro JM, Hoffmann U, Pou KM, Maurovich-Horvat P, et al. (2007) Abdominal visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue compartments: association with metabolic risk factors in the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation 116: 39-48.
- Porter SA, Massaro JM, Hoffmann U, Vasan RS, O'Donnel CJ, et al. (2009) Abdominal subcutaneous adipose tissue: a protective fat depot? Diabetes Care 32: 1068-1075.

- 24. Shiina Y, Homma Y (2013) Relationships between the visceral fat area on CT and coronary risk factor markers. Intern Med 52: 1775-1780.
- 25. Hiuge-Shimizu A, Kishida K, Funahashi T, Okutsu M, Kametani R, et al. (2012) Coexistence of visceral fat and multiple risk factor accumulations is strongly associated with coronary artery disease in Japanese (the vacation-j study). Journal of Atherosclerosis and Thrombosis 19: 657-663.
- Varghese B, Swamy S, Srilakshmi MA, Santhosh MJ, Shetty GG, et al. (2012) Visceral adiposity in young patients with coronary artery disease-a case control study. Indian Heart Journal 64: 284-289.
- 27. Kang SJ, Kim D, Park HE, Choi SH, Choi SY, et al. (2014) Visceral adipose tissue area is associated with coronary stenosis and noncalcified plaques. International Journal of Obesity 38: 272-278.
- 28. Hung CS, Wu YW, Huang JY, Hsu PY, Chen MF (2014) Evaluation of circulating adipokines and abdominal obesity as predictors of significant myocardial ischemia using gated single-photon emission computed tomography. PloS one 9: e97710.
- 29. Shah RV, Murthy VL, Abbasi SA, Blankstein R, Kwong RY, et al. (2014) Visceral adiposity and the risk of metabolic syndrome across body mass index: the MESA Study. JACC. Cardiovascular Imaging 7: 1221-1235.
- Liu J, Fox CS, Hickson DA (2010) Impact of Abdominal Visceral and Subcutaneous Adipose Tissue on Cardiometabolic Risk Factors: The Jackson Heart Study. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism. 95: 5419-5426.