

Vaccines against *Mycobacterium Tuberculosis*: Exploring Alternate Strategies to Combat a Near-perfect Pathogen

Lionel G Filion^{1*}, Mohammed N Al-Ahdal² and Jason A Tetro³

¹Faculty of Medicine, Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology and Immunology, University of Ottawa, Canada

²Department of Infection and Immunity, King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Centre, Saudi Arabia

³Faculty of Medicine, Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology and Immunology, University of Ottawa, Canada

Abstract

Tuberculosis is a significant threat to human health, infecting nearly one third of the world's population and causing over a million deaths per year. The need for an effective vaccine against tuberculosis is apparent however, the current vaccine has not been successful neither in the prevention nor recovery from infection. The pathogenesis of the causative agent, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* has been extensively studied. The mechanisms of immune evasion and modulation that enable persistence of infection through subclinical latency and eventually active tuberculosis have been partially described. Many of these mechanisms are directly antagonistic to the intended benefit of vaccines, leaving a conundrum that has yet to be resolved. This review will first examine the nature of tuberculosis pathogenesis in the context of the immune response and outline the varied processes utilized by the bacterium to cause modulation. The review will also investigate other vaccination options that may overwhelm these microbial mechanisms or avert them entirely, allowing for the engagement of the immune response and clearance of the bacterium.

Keywords: *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*; Phagocytosis; *Mycobacterium bovis*

Introduction

Tuberculosis is a significant threat to public health. According to the World Health Organization [1], in 2011 an estimated 8.7 million individuals were infected with the causative agent, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mtb), of which 1.4 million succumbed to the infection. It is also estimated that one third of the world's population is latently infected with Mtb. In latent infection, the only evidence of infection is immune responsiveness to mycobacterial antigens while pathogen replication and clinical symptoms are poorly defined [2]. The standard treatment to eliminate infection is a regimen of antimicrobials, which can successfully treat approximately 85% of these cases. However, the development of resistance has created significant challenges to treatment options, rendering many antimicrobials ineffective. Close to a quarter of all tuberculosis infections are considered to be resistant to multiple antibiotics and nearly one tenth of these are considered to be extremely resistant to antimicrobial treatment [1].

The search for an effective vaccine against tuberculosis has been ongoing for well over 100 years, yet a successful candidate has been elusive. The only licensed vaccine is Bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG), which is developed from the bacterium *Mycobacterium bovis* [3]. The vaccine is protective in children and adolescents against several early consequences of tuberculosis infection, including systemic infection and meningitis [4]. The protection from symptoms may not necessarily mean protection from infection that may become latent. Reactivation of the infection may lead to fulminant tuberculosis. Countries with the highest incidence of TB have the lowest levels of protection from vaccination. In well documented clinical studies vaccination of children protects individuals variably from adult pulmonary disease due to Mtb. These studies show that the range of protection varied from 0 to 80%. Thus, the efficacy of vaccination with BCG is varied [5] and its reliability in preventing pulmonary disease in adults and conveying protection over time has been called into question [6,7].

Other vaccine strategies have been explored including: i) the

development of a more successful BCG recombinant; ii) the use of attenuated strains of Mtb; and iii) the use of subunit vaccines based on recombinant Mtb proteins designed with adjuvants to elicit a protective effect. In 2011, twelve vaccine candidates were at different stages of clinical trial [8,9]. In addition, one candidate successfully emerging from Phase III trials is a heat-killed strain of *M. vaccae* shown to reduce the rate of tuberculosis by 39% in HIV-infected individuals who had received the BCG vaccination and had CD4 counts of 200 cells/mm³ [10]. However, none of these have demonstrated sufficiently high efficacy to suggest an end to the continued rate of infection worldwide.

One problem with vaccination is the enigmatic nature of Mtb infection, which is characterized as chronic rather than acute [11]. In traditional vaccination against acute pathogens such as polio and the now eradicated smallpox, the aim of vaccination is to develop a strong humoral response that prevents infection and a cellular response to eliminate the pathogen from the host [12]. Both responses play a role in prevention and recovery from disease, albeit not to the same degree. Therefore, the goal of Mtb vaccination is developing a strong, balanced Th1/Th2/Th17 response to the pathogen to prevent or clear the infection.

Many of the complex interactions of the bacterium with the immune system have been identified. Mtb has developed many well-documented mechanisms to interrupt the normal immune response

***Corresponding author:** Lionel G Filion, Ph.D., Faculty of Medicine, Emerging Pathogens Research Centre, Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology and Immunology, University of Ottawa, 451 Smyth Rd., Ottawa, ON K1H 8M5, Canada, Tel: 613-562-5800 (8308); E-mail: lfilion@uottawa.ca

Received March 12, 2013; Accepted March 14, 2013; Published March 22, 2013

Citation: Filion LG, Al-Ahdal MN, Tetro JA (2013) Vaccines against *Mycobacterium Tuberculosis*: Exploring Alternate Strategies to Combat a Near-perfect Pathogen. J Mycobac Dis S1: 003. doi:10.4172/2161-1068.S1-003

Copyright: © 2013 Filion LG, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

and develop an environment that allows for persistence [13]. These studies have elucidated how Mtb changes the course of responding to and averts the effects of vaccination. The current review will contrast the nature of a healthy vaccinated response with that involved in the development of chronic Mtb infection in order to offer clues as to why current strategies for vaccination may not be successful. Options for future Mtb-specific and Mtb-independent vaccine strategies will be discussed in the context of developing a response that may overwhelm the ability of Mtb to develop persistence and evade the immune system.

The Immune Response to Mtb

Mtb requires not only inhalation but also deposition in the lower respiratory tract, primarily the alveoli. If the bacterium lands in the trachea or the bronchia, both physical barriers of the upper respiratory tract and the presence of antimicrobial peptides kill and clear the bacterium [14]. Once in the alveoli, the antibody response is the first line of defense. Antibodies are the primary component of humoral immunity [15] and aid in the development of an opsonized response [16]. Numerous studies investigated the role of antibodies against Mtb infection [17-19] and documented antigens recognized by antibodies included the Ag85 complex [15,20-22], lipoarabinomannan (LAM) [23,24], arabinomannan (ARM) [18], the 6 kDa early secretory antigen target (ESAT-6) and the 10 kDa culture filtrate protein (CFP-10) [19]. The memory B cell response in BCG-vaccinated individuals have been shown to be present even 45 years following vaccination [19]. Yet this response, which may initiate clearance, is not sufficient to prevent infection of the individual [15,25,26].

There are several membrane-bound molecules that are involved in phagocytosis. Certain classes of antibodies have specificity for extracellular Mtb antigens, as do mannose-binding lectins [24,27] that recognize the LAM and ARM molecules on the Mtb surface. Both of these opsonins activate the third component of complement and the complex of Mtb-opsonin-C3 binds via C3 to its receptor (CR3) on phagocytes [20,28]. The binding of opsonized bacteria through CR3 triggers a signal for internalization into the phagosome [22,29]. Finally, pathogen recognition receptors (PRRs), such as Toll-like receptors (TLR) and the nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-like receptors (NLR), can recognize some unique Mtb antibodies that promote phagocytosis.

Entry into the phagosome, initiates the inflammasome [30]. This trigger of lysosomal fusion initiates the expression and secretion of an array of inflammatory cytokines, including TNF- α , IFN- γ , IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-12, IL-17 and IL-23. Among others, CD4+ cells of the Th1, Th2, Th17 and Th22 phenotypes, CD8+ T cells, B cells, monocytes and natural killer (NK) cells are recruited to the site to regulate the response. Other recruited cells include: CD1 restricted T cells, which recognize lipid-containing molecules; regulatory T cells to suppress any hyperactivity; neutrophils, which play a dual role of phagocytosis of both free bacteria and apoptotic cells as well as initiating the development of a granuloma; and $\gamma\delta$ -T cells, which assist in the formation of the granuloma and maintain the level of various cytokines [13]. Granulomas are characteristic of the anti-mycobacterial response and forms in the surrounding extracellular matrix [31]. This microenvironment allows for continual cycling of cytokines in a localized manner and facilitates the crosstalk between the various cell types to ensure bacteria are sequestered to the site.

Inside the cell, the bacteria are subjected to a combination of reactive nitrogen species, reactive oxygen species and acidic hydrolases that break apart the bacterium and allow presentation of antigen [32-34].

Finally, autophagy through the fusion of the phagosome and lysosome lead to the ultimate destruction of the bacteria via ubiquitin-derived peptides [35,36]. After fusion has occurred, the infected macrophages and dendritic cells may undergo apoptosis [37], trapping any surviving bacteria in the cell and leaving them vulnerable for further phagocytosis and internalization (and possibly infection) of other cells. Joller et al. [38] reviewed the role of phagosome Fc receptors in enhancing the efficacy of fusion and killing intracellular pathogens [39].

In healthy individuals, the infection is cleared within 2 to 8 weeks [40]. As the infection eventually clears, the granuloma dissolves through protease degradation of the matrix and macrophage degradation of the components [41]. Healing is mediated by TGF- β and the IL-10 family of cytokines [42,43]. In addition, IL-22 has been implicated in the clearance of Mtb infections [44] due to its role in the production of antimicrobial peptides, IL-6 and IL-8 [45] and through its stimulation of the STAT3 pathway [46] and tissue rebuilding factors necessary for mucosal repair.

Evading the Humoral Response

While evidence suggests that BCG vaccination confers a lifelong humoral response [19], the effect of vaccination is muted during Mtb infection later in life [9]. At the microscopic level, this is due in part to a reduction in the expression of CR3 on infected macrophages [47]. There is evidence to suggest that the stunting of the humoral response may be due to exposure to other environmental mycobacterial [48]. For over twenty-five years, this hypothesis has been tested. Prior exposure to *M. kansasii* [49], *M. scrofulaceum* [49,50], *M. avium* [50-52], *M. chelonae* [53] and *M. vaccae* [50] has led to an immune response that cross reacts with Mtb. Specifically, Manivannan et al. [28] have shown that while there is an initial antibody response to these antigens, the next steps involving the complement pathway are suppressed. In addition, Espitia et al. [15], Al-Attayah et al. [26] and Rennie et al. [25] have shown that antibodies to the Ag85 complex and other environmental mycobacteria can be found in the sera of subjects with no prior Mtb exposure. Furthermore, this presentation does not protect the individual from infection [9].

The role of environmental mycobacteria in BCG vaccine humoral response regulation has been studied by Ho et al. [53]. The injection of T reg cells derived from *M. chelonae* down regulated the immune response of mice immunized with BCG. In humans, Singh et al. [54] showed a high correlation between the level of T reg cells and Mtb infection, as well as a decline in frequency of these cells with successful therapy. These studies suggest that the host develops a modulated response to mycobacterial antigens simply as a result of exposure to environmental mycobacterium.

While suppression of response to mycobacterial antigens is host derived, Mtb also has an active role in evading the humoral response by selective targeting of TLRs to suppress TLR signaling. TLRs (1 to 9) are single domain transmembrane proteins that are found on the cell membrane, as well as in macrophage and dendritic cell vesicles' membranes [55]. TLRs also have an active role in cytokine production [56] and antigen processing [57]. Of the known 13 mammalian TLRs involved in the induction of either an inflammatory or proinflammatory response, four TLRs are involved in Mtb infections: TLR-2, TLR-4, TLR-9 and TLR-8.

TLR-2 and TLR-4, which are found on the surface of phagocytes, recognize specific motifs on the surface of the pathogen. TLR-2 recognizes the glycolipids and lipopeptides found on the cell wall of

the bacterium including LAM, ARM, lipomannan (LM), phosphatidyl inositol mannoside (PIM), the 38-kDa and 19-kDa lipoproteins, and heat shock protein 70 [58]. TLR-2 also forms heterodimers with TLR-1 and TLR-6 to recognize triacylated and diacylated lipoproteins respectively [59]. TLR-4 recognizes the heat shock protein 60/65 [58]. TLR signaling via these routes initiates a response through the NF- κ B transcription factor to initiate the expression of inflammatory and proinflammatory cytokines, including the classical TNF- α , IL-1, IL-6, IL-12, as well as the production of nitric oxide [55]. Once the bacterium is in the cell, unmethylated CpG oligodeoxynucleotide is recognized by the TLR-9 molecule to induce the production of IFN- α/β , as well as MHC I antigen cross-processing to signal a CD8+ T cell response [60]. TLR-8 may impact cellular expression profiles during Mtb infection but the mechanism involved in this process remains unknown [61].

Mtb has an ability to suppress TLR signaling for both cytokine production [56] and antigen processing [57]. The 19-kDa lipoprotein in the bacterial cell wall, as well as LprG, a 24-kDa lipoprotein [62,63], have significant affinity for the TLR-2 molecule and binding results in the reduction and/or abrogation of the normal inflammatory and proinflammatory response.

The mechanism behind this apparent hijacking of the TLR response has yet to be fully elucidated, although the activity may be similar to one that already exists in the cell. To reduce the possibility of chronic inflammation or tissue damage as a result of TLR activity, a negative feedback inhibition response exists. A family of tyrosine kinases known as Tyro3, Axl, and Mer (collectively known as TAM) can effectively abrogate the signals of TLR-3, TLR-4 and TLR-9 [64]. The mechanism involves the association of TAMs with type I IFN receptors (IFNAR), and the subsequent induction of TLR inhibitors and cytokine production pathways. In addition, Ip et al. [65] have shown that the same mannose-binding lectin involved in the humoral response increases the expression of TLR-2 and TLR-6, potentially causing an upregulation of the TLR-2 signaling pathway. Whether these mechanisms are in fact the ones stimulated by the mycobacterial proteins has yet to be determined.

Halting Phagosome Maturation

The clearance of a pathogen through the phagosome involves its fusion with the lysosome. The mechanisms of fusion are still being unveiled but what is currently known is that the GTPase Rab5 and a member of the Class III phosphatidylinositol 3-kinases (known as Vps34) are recruited from the cytosol into the phagosome membrane mediating the formation of phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate (PI(3)P) [66]. PI (3) P recognizes the early autosomal antigen 1 (EEA1), which drives a shift in the membrane from Rab5 to Rab7 and subsequent fusion with the lysosome. Vergne et al. [66] showed that this process can be blocked, resulting in delayed maturation of the phagosome and the loss of fusion. The mechanism is thought to be mediated by LAM, which accumulates in the phagosome membrane and both inhibits the function of Vsp34, preventing the formation of PI (3) P, and blocks the acquisition of calcium into the phagosome. This results in the prevention of the accumulation of Rab7 [67] and the accumulation of two other GTPases: Rab14, which is a Rab5 precursor, Rab22a in the membrane [68,69]. Vergne et al. [70] also showed that Mtb also secretes a lipid phosphatase SapM that hydrolyzes PI(3)P and ensures that the levels of this protein are reduced in the phagosome membrane.

In addition to the prevention of Rba5/7 mediated fusion, another possible mechanism of resistance has been unveiled involving a member of the family of Mtb encoded serine/threonine kinases known as the Pkn family [71,72]. The PknG enzyme is both secreted

into the phagosome, and eventually trafficked into the cytosol, where it phosphorylates cellular proteins rendering them inactive. While no specific cellular targets have yet been identified, the role of this enzyme as a rogue actor that disrupts the maturation of the phagosome is being considered.

Cell Death in Mtb Infection

Under normal circumstances, the development of the Th1/Th2 responses requires antigen presentation to T cells by antigen presenting cells (APC) that have processed the microbe through the phagosome/lysosome. APCs eventually undergo phagocytosis and cross-presentation can occur. Divangahi et al. [73] showed that apoptosis of the infected macrophage is a critical requirement in the early initiation of the T cell response. Apoptosis is triggered through the lipid mediator prostaglandin E2 [74] in a nitric oxide-dependent manner. As the levels of nitric oxide rise, the prostaglandin E2 is released into the cytosol, in part to protect the mitochondrial inner membrane and plasma membrane from potential cytotoxicity of the reactive species [73]. Once the viability of the cell has been exhausted, apoptosis proceeds and the remaining antigens are taken up by macrophages, dendritic cells, and neutrophils for future presentation and amplification of the response.

Mtb, however, has developed a means to halt the production of prostaglandin E2 through the upregulation of the production of lipoxin A4 in the macrophage [73,75]. Lipoxin A4 effectively inhibits the production of prostaglandin E2 precursors, prostanoids, and the cyclooxygenase 2 enzyme. The cell subsequently suffers due to the damage caused by the reactive oxygen species. In addition, further damage is caused by the ESAT-6 secretion system-1 (ESX-1) [37], which forms pores in the phagosome membrane, permitting the escape of the bacteria. Once outside of the phagosome, ESAT-6 and ESX target the plasma membrane, forming holes that allow for cell leakage [37]. The damage incurred by the cell causes necrosis to occur allowing bacteria to spread freely in the extracellular matrix. The consequence of this is the recruitment of natural killer cells and other polymorph nuclear cells (PMN) via IL-8 induction. ESAT-6, CFP-10 or ESX-1 may also drive cell death in macrophages through a TNF- α mediated signaling pathway [76].

Granuloma Formation and Disease Progression

The clearance of mycobacteria involves the formation of a tightly packed epitheloid granuloma consisting mainly of macrophages, macrophage-derived cells (e.g. epitheloid and Langerhans cells) [77], and a smaller percentage of CD4+ T cell, $\gamma\delta$ T cell, CD8+ T cell [78] and neutrophils [79]. The recruitment of neutrophils aid to organize granuloma [80,81] and support phagocytosis and clearing infected macrophages [82]. Over several weeks, the granuloma tightens, becoming a solid mass within the alveoli effectively walling off the bacteria. The cells in and around the granuloma modulate the immune response to ensure minimal tissue damage [83,84]. These cells also continue to provide cytokines and factors, such as IFN- γ and TNF- α , to maintain the appropriate Th1 response to fight the infection [78,79], as well as IL-17 and IL-22 for the proinflammatory and the inflammatory response [85].

In the case of Mtb persistence, however, there is a dramatic change in the behavior of the granuloma and its role in infection. The exact cause of the change in the granuloma remains unknown; however, Mustafa et al. [86,87] have provided evidence to support the involvement of the Mtb secreted 23-kDa protein, MPT64, in the change. Specifically, MPT64 reduces the level of apoptosis of Langerhans cells in the

granuloma [86] and the reduction of IFN- γ secreting cells while the levels of IL-10, TGF- β and TNF- α is left unchanged [87]. The makeup of the granuloma changes, leading to the modulation of the numbers and/or functions of the following three cell types:

i) Foamy macrophages, which have pockets of accumulated lipid bodies, become increasingly present. These cells are triggered *in vitro* by Mtb compounds, such as oxygenated mycolic acids, and provide a source of nutrition for the bacteria [88]. The presence of these cells is presumably due to an increase in the localized concentration of mycolic acids from bacteria emerging from necrotic macrophages.

ii) The neutrophils, while not changing in number, do change in function and survive longer in the granuloma [89]. This longer life increases the likelihood that a neutrophil will encounter and phagocytose a necrotic cell, allowing amplification of the bacteria [82]. In addition, the presence of the 19-kDA lipoprotein in the bacterial cell wall activates neutrophils [90] and aids in maintaining their activity in the granuloma and continued tissue damage. This activation may facilitate infection by Mtb by maintaining the expression and secretion of inflammatory cytokines [82] and receptors that enable crosstalk with Th17 cells [8,91]. This may well lead to some bacterial death but also enable bacterial dissemination in the surrounding tissue [8].

iii) The number of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the granuloma does not change significantly [92,93], however, their signaling does such that they reduce the levels of inflammatory cytokines IFN- γ and IL-22. This may be mediated in part by the stimulation of these cells by the Mtb metabolite (E)-4-Hydroxy-3-methyl-but-2-enyl pyrophosphate (HMBPP). Chen [94] has shown that stimulation of V γ 2V δ 2 cells stimulates dendritic cell maturation, increased crosstalk between monocytes and down regulates the formation of T reg cells. The result is continued and amplified inflammation and sustained tissue damage at the localized site of infection.

iv) The contribution of T reg cells in modulating the response to Mtb varies with the time and location of the infection. The level of T reg cells increases at the granuloma [95] and promotes bacterial burden. In active TB, T reg cells down regulate the local inflammatory response and ensure that infection can continue without abatement [54,96,97]. Indeed, the levels of IFN- γ , IL-12, IL-17 and IL-22 are all lower in both latent as well as active TB. This modulation of the local immune response by Mtb is reversible. However, a population of non-granuloma T reg cells can be expanded by IL-2 promoting, Mtb-specific CD4 and CD8 effector T cells [98].

As the granuloma continues to develop in the long term, the level of necrosis also increases primarily at the site of the foamy macrophages [99], which are killed to supply nutrition for the growing Mtb. The necrosis stimulates the infiltration of neutrophils, which continue to cause damage in the lung tissue and themselves become infected by circulating bacteria. In addition, there is a continued rise in IL-10 [87], TNF- α [100] and TGF- β [101], while IFN- γ is unchanged [100]. This suggests that the granuloma, rather than being a localized region of successful antimicrobial activity, is one of Mtb persistence and disease progression.

Modulation of the Immune Response

During initial infection with Mtb, the dendritic cells produce IL-12p70 and IL-23 [102]. IL-23 is a member of the IL-12 family and contains IL-12p40. The presence of IL-12 contributes to the formation of the Th1 response [103], and triggers the Th17 response [104], which is characterized by the production of IL-17, TGF- β , IL-6, IL-1 β , IL-21,

and IL-23 [105,106]. The Th17 response is involved in the development and maintenance of inflammation in a Th1-independent manner [107], although there are indications that the Th17 response can assist in the maintenance of the Th1 response [108].

Aberrations in the Th17 response have been seen in autoimmunity conditions such as psoriasis, multiple sclerosis and rheumatoid arthritis [109,110]. Regulation of the Th17 response is still being elucidated. Evidence suggests that the Th17 response is enhanced through the self-production of IL-21, as well as the continued production of IL-17 and IL-23 by neutrophils. Th17 response is repressed through the production of IFN- γ , a key factor in the Th1 response [111] and IL-10, which is a primary factor in the development of the regulatory T cells [112-114].

In Mtb infections, the Th17 response has been shown to play a significant role in modulation of either clearance or persistence of the bacterium. In standard bacterial clearance, the Th17 response is induced shortly after infection and is mediated primarily by $\gamma\delta$ T cells [115]. This Th17 response produces the cytokines (e.g. TNF- α , IL-6, or IL-8 [116]) that recruit inflammatory cells, including neutrophils, to the localized area to assist the macrophages in Mtb elimination and to initiate the formation of the granuloma [117]. Based on studies with *Francisella tularensis*, Th17 may also help promote the Th1 response through the induction of IL-12 [108]. Upon clearance of the bacteria, the Th17 response is down regulated by the involvement of the T reg cells, allowing for proper healing.

Mtb develops a micro-environment where the Th1 response is down regulated and the Th17 response is continually activated leading to increased inflammation, immunopathology and persistence [116]. The continued recruitment of neutrophils resulting from the release of IL-8 from necrotic cells enables a continual supply of both IL-17 and IL-23, the latter of which triggers production of IL-17 from the $\gamma\delta$ T cells [118]. In addition, the bacterial ESAT-6 protein triggers TLR-2 to down regulate the production of IL-12 and increases the production of inflammatory cytokines, as well as IL-23 ensuring that the Th17 response is maintained wherever the bacterium may be found [119].

Interestingly, the Th17 response may lead to suppression of the multifunctional IL-22. Liang et al. [119] and Scriba et al. [120] showed that Th17 works in cooperation with IL-22 at the onset of infection, but this synergy may change over time. Qiu et al. [121] showed a depletion of IL-22 secretion in CD4+ T cells associated with Mtb infection. Cowan et al. [85] found that both the Th17 response and IL-22 were differentially induced and observed a correlation between down regulation of IL-22 and progression of infection. These results correlate with those of van Hamburg et al. [122], who investigated the effects of the Th17 response on IL-22 in rheumatoid arthritis. As with Cowan et al. [85], the levels of IL-22 were negatively correlated with the progression of disease; an interaction primarily mediated by Th17. This suggests that the Th17 response is modulated over time, such that the initial reaction to infection is one of concentrated and coordinated antimicrobial activity. However, as time passes, the response declines and becomes inflammation-based. The effect of this modulation is systemic, rendering not only the local but also the overall host immunological environment similar to that of autoimmunity.

Alternate Strategies in Vaccine Development

Based on the multitude of studies investigating the immunological response to Mtb infection, there is one consensus point upon which future research and vaccination strategies can build. There needs to be

a proper and balanced response to the initial infection to ensure the clearance of Mtb before effective suppression. The key to this is without a doubt proper and effective autophagy and the activation of bacterial clearance mechanisms through the production of IFN- γ of the Th1 response but also TIM 3+ cells.

The current directions for vaccination strategies focus on the development of improved forms of BCG, or through the use of subunits that are known to be highly immunogenic including Ag85A, Ag85B, ESAT-6, TB10.4, or attenuated cells in liposomes. In all of these cases, the goal is to ensure that any challenge is cleared effectively to prevent the hindering effects seen with infection progression. This opens up the opportunity to explore other avenues that include modulating the Mtb immune response with adjuvants that alters the immune response. These reagents may be used in conjunction with an Mtb vaccine to increase the potential for increased IFN- γ production, while not shifting the balance needed to suppress a cytokine storm.

One possible answer may lie in targeting GTPases. Mtb can prevent the Rab5 and Rab7 dependent process of phagosome maturation leading to the incorporation of both Rab14 and Rb22a in the phagosome membrane. To counter this, drugs targeting phagosome GTPases, as well as the Mtb encoded GTPase, FtsZ, which plays a role in bacterial cell division [123], may offer some additional assistance to therapeutic vaccines. By preventing maturation arrest and bacterial division, the chances for fusion with the lysosome increases, as does the opportunity for the natural process of apoptosis. The issue with such a path, however, is the unknown potential for damage in surrounding areas where Mtb is not present. Moreover, the need for such targets to infiltrate the granuloma is not guaranteed; the measure may work in the general environment to prevent pathogen spread but could be ineffective at reaching the site of greatest concern.

An additional strategy is to modulate the immune response with TLR-2/6 antagonists that specifically upregulate cells expressing T cell immunoglobulin and mucin domain 3 (TIM 3). TIM 3 is expressed on T cells, macrophages, monocytes and dendritic cells. TIM 3 is involved in the Th1 response and the development of T cell tolerance and exhaustion [124], particularly in chronic virus infections. Evidence suggests that TIM 3 plays a role in the prevention or modulation of autoimmunity [125]. In particular, the continued stimulation of TIM 3 has been shown to develop sustained proliferation of Th1 T cells, as well as the production of IFN- γ [124], which may very well overwhelm the proinflammatory response of Th17 cells. TIM 3+ T cells react with the ligand galectin-9 (Gal-9) and are negative regulators of the Th1 effector response [126]. Sada-Ovalle et al. [127] showed that stimulation of this pathway using Gal-9, a listing that recognizes β -galactosidases, stimulates the Th1 response in Mtb infection through the production of IL-1 β . This promotes bacterial killing and assists in clearance of the infection. In addition, there was a suppression of bacterial replication, slowing down the potential of the bacterium to supplement its defense. Jayaraman et al. [128] showed that intracellular Mtb bacterial cell growth in infected macrophages expressing Gal-9 responded to TIM 3 +IFN- γ CD4+ was reduced. The interaction of the receptor/ligand leads to macrophage activation and increases bactericidal activity. The simultaneous induction of caspase-1 and secretion of IL-1 β was observed, leading the authors to suggest TIM 3 has evolved to inhibit growth of intracellular pathogens via its ligand Gal-9, which in turn inhibits expansion of effector TH1 cells to prevent further tissue inflammation [128]. To harness these responses, the natural ligand Gal-9 can be added. However, it is already known that Mtb infected macrophages express the Gal-9 ligand. A vaccine approach would

be to add specific TLR-2 agonist with a subunit vaccine to promote CD4+ TIM 3T cells that would activate. Kleinnijenhuis et al. [129] showed that in a mouse model of Mtb, TLR-2/6 agonist leads to the production of the pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1 β and activation of caspase-1. TLR-4, 9 and 1 receptors were ineffective in inducing these pro-inflammatory cytokines that would aid in reducing the bacterial burden. New families of these agonists for TLR-2 have been recently described [130] and could be easily synthesized and linked to subunit Mtb vaccines.

A final consideration in the development of vaccines is to focus on IL-22, which has demonstrated its importance in the development and maintenance of mucosal immunity [43]. IL-22 is produced by a number of different cell types including neutrophils [85], NK and NKT cells [131], lymphoid tissue inducer cells, and innate lymphoid cells [132] and T cells, including a subset called Th22 cells. These latter cells are described as CD4+ T cells that produce IL-22 with minimal to no expression of IL-17 in response to IL-6 [133]. The cytokine is recognized by a dimer of the IL-22R1 and IL-10R β receptors restricting its effect to innate cells such as epithelial, mesothelial and myofibroblastic cells [131].

Based on previous work in mice and humans [44,120,134-136], IL-22 stimulation leads to increased: i) expression of inflammatory and defense chemokines including CXCL1, CXCL5 and CXCL9; ii) expression and secretion of IL-6 and G-CSF; iii) release of defensins and other antimicrobial peptides; and iv) tissue repair through the Bcl-2 pathway. In the lung, IL-22 improves lung barrier function and cellular survival as well as repair through proliferation [137,138]. Moreover, IL-22 is necessary for the prevention of lung fibrosis [139]. In terms of Mtb infection, while the mechanisms continue to be elucidated, Dhiman et al. [140,141] established a role for IL-22 expressing NK cells, specifically through the enhancement of phagosome-lysosome fusion. In addition, Qiao et al. [142] showed that the Th22 response is primed by both ESAT-6 and CFP-10 in Mtb infection leading to increased IL-22 and IFN- γ production, yet no increases in IL-17 were observed. Cowan et al. [85] also showed that granulocytes from active TB patients did not express IL-22 when compared to latent and healthy controls.

With respect to the Th22 response, Eyerich et al. [134] showed that Th22 cells are relatively stable in the presence of Th1, Th2, Th17 and T reg cells. In the case of Mtb infections, this stability may be compromised. Th22 cells have been associated with driving mucosal [133] as well as skin immunity [143] and play a role in the response to mucosal intracellular pathogens. Induction of Th22 cells develops not only the production of IL-22, but also IFN- γ by CD4+TIM 3+, cells both of which are known to help stimulate proper intracellular bacterial killing [138]. Using IL-22 and promoting the Th22 response may offer promise in terms of their antimicrobial activity, and their role in the protection of the localized epithelial and endothelial environment may offer a tipping point in favour of bacterial clearance. Possibly the use of vaccines with TLR-2 agonist with a subunit vaccine may promote not only a Th1 response (IFN- γ) that are CD4+TIM 3+ but also a subpopulation of CD4+TIM 3+cells producing IL-22.

The Th22 subset works in combination not only with immunological cells but also with epithelial cells including mesothelial cells [138], which are a known target of Mtb in the exacerbation of disease [144]. Th22 cells help repair Mtb-damaged cells, improve the epithelial barrier [145] and hinder the ability of Mtb to escape the localized environment. In concert with the improved efficacy of intracellular killing, Th22 cells may provide a valid counter to the continued inflammatory response that drives Th17. In that regard, one potential avenue to follow may be

a combined vaccine comprising not only BCG, but also ESAT-6, CFP-10, vitamin D and TLR 2 agonist. For example, Colin et al. [146] have investigated the effect of vitamin D supplementation in rheumatoid arthritis [146] and found that Th17 was suppressed while Th22 was enhanced. In the context of Mtb, a vaccine would be able to promote the Th22 response and overcome the Th17 response. Further research will be necessary to identify appropriate target mechanisms and biomarkers of Th22 induction for optimized bacterial killing.

Conclusion

The development of an effective vaccine against tuberculosis is a major challenge in research today. Over the last two decades, much has been learned about the immune response to Mtb both ineffective clearances of the pathogen and in the development of disease, which often continues unabated. The results of these studies, while complex and at times contradictory do offer a clearer path towards a vaccine that will be effective. As seen in this review, the major hurdles of any Mtb vaccine option are the prevention of the initiation of infection, subsequent resistance to clearance, and long-term persistence. While Mtb has several mechanisms to abate killing at various stages of the infection, potential options may exist to subvert the infection by Mtb by focusing and altering the immune response to Mtb at the different stages of the disease process.

References

1. World Health Organization (2012) Global Tuberculosis Report 2012.
2. Philips JA, Ernst JD (2012) Tuberculosis Pathogenesis and Immunity. *Annu Rev Pathol* 7: 353-384.
3. Fine PE (1988) BCG vaccination against tuberculosis and leprosy. *Br Med Bull* 44: 691-703.
4. Colditz GA, Berkey CS, Mosteller F, Brewer TF, Wilson ME, et al. (1995) The efficacy of bacillus Calmette-Guerin vaccination of newborns and infants in the prevention of tuberculosis: meta-analyses of the published literature. *Pediatrics* 96: 29-35.
5. Pereira SM, Dantas OM, Ximenes R, Barreto ML (2007) BCG vaccine against tuberculosis: its protective effect and vaccination policies. *Rev Saude Publica* 41: 59-66.
6. Aronson NE, Santosham M, Comstock GW, Howard RS, Moulton LH, et al. (2004) Long-term efficacy of BCG vaccine in American Indians and Alaska Natives: A 60-year follow-up study. *JAMA* 291: 2086-2091.
7. Andersen P, Doherty TM (2005) The success and failure of BCG - implications for a novel tuberculosis vaccine. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 3: 656-662.
8. Lowe DM, Redford PS, Wilkinson RJ, O'Garra A, Martineau AR (2012) Neutrophils in tuberculosis: friend or foe? *Trends Immunol* 33: 14-25.
9. Ottenhoff THM, Kaufmann SHE (2012) Vaccines against Tuberculosis: Where Are We and Where Do We Need to Go? *PLoS Pathog* 8: e1002607.
10. von Reyn CF, Kimambo S, Mtei L, Arbeit RD, Maro I, et al. (2011) Disseminated tuberculosis in human immunodeficiency virus infection: ineffective immunity, polyclonal disease and high mortality. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 15: 1087-1092.
11. Bretscher PA (1992) A strategy to improve the efficacy of vaccination against tuberculosis and leprosy. *Immunol Today* 13: 342-345.
12. Pulendran B, Ahmed R (2011) Immunological mechanisms of vaccination. *Nat Immunol* 12: 509-517.
13. Ottenhoff TH, Verreck FA, Hoeve MA, van de Vosse E (2005) Control of human host immunity to mycobacteria. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)* 85: 53-64.
14. Mendez-Samperio P (2012) Immunological mechanisms by which concomitant helminth infections predispose to the development of human tuberculosis. *Korean J Parasitol* 50: 281-286.
15. Espitia C, Sciutto E, Bottasso O, Gonzalez-Amaro R, Hernandez-Pando R, et al. (1992) High antibody levels to the mycobacterial fibronectin-binding antigen of 30-31 kD in tuberculosis and lepromatous leprosy. *Clin Exp Immunol* 87: 362-367.
16. Joller N, Weber SS, Oxenius A (2011) Antibody-Fc receptor interactions in protection against intracellular pathogens. *Eur J Immunol* 41: 889-897.
17. Abebe F, Bjune G (2009) The protective role of antibody responses during *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection. *Clin Exp Immunol* 157: 235-243.
18. Glatman-Freedman A, Casadevall A, Dai Z, Jacobs WR Jr, Li A, et al. (2004) Antigenic evidence of prevalence and diversity of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* arabinomannan. *J Clin Microbiol* 42: 3225-3231.
19. Sebina I, Cliff JM, Smith SG, Nogaro S, Webb EL, et al. (2012) Long-Lived Memory B-Cell Responses following BCG Vaccination. *PLoS One* 7: e51381.
20. Hetland G, Wiker HG (1994) Antigen 85C on *Mycobacterium bovis*, BCG and *M. tuberculosis* promotes monocyte-CR3-mediated uptake of microbeads coated with mycobacterial products. *Immunology* 82: 445-449.
21. Wiker HG, Harboe M (1992) The antigen 85 complex: a major secretion product of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Microbiol Rev* 56: 648-661.
22. Stokes RW, Thorson LM, Speert DP (1998) Nonopsonic and opsonic association of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* with resident alveolar macrophages is inefficient. *J Immunol* 160: 5514-5521.
23. Schlesinger LS, Bellinger-Kawahara CG, Payne NR, Horwitz MA (1990) Phagocytosis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* is mediated by human monocyte complement receptors and complement component C3. *J Immunol* 144: 2771-2780.
24. Capparelli R, Iannaccone M, Palumbo D, Medaglia C, Moscariello E, et al. (2009) Role played by human mannose-binding lectin polymorphisms in pulmonary tuberculosis. *J Infect Dis* 199: 666-672.
25. Rennie B, Filion LG, Smart N (2010) Antibody response to a sterile filtered PPD tuberculin in *M. bovis* infected and *M. bovis* sensitized cattle. *BMC Vet Res* 6: 50.
26. Al-Attayah R, Madi N, El-Shamy AS, Wiker H, Andersen P (2006) Cytokine profiles in tuberculosis patients and healthy subjects in response to complex and single antigens of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* 47: 254-261.
27. Ferguson JS, Weis JJ, Martin JL, Schlesinger LS (2004) Complement protein C3 binding to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* is initiated by the classical pathway in human bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. *Infect Immun* 72: 2564-2573.
28. Manivannan S, Rao NV, Ramanathan VD (2012) Role of complement activation and antibody in the interaction between *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and human macrophages. *Indian J Exp Biol* 50: 542-550.
29. Velasco-Velazquez MA, Barrera D, Gonzalez-Arenas A, Rosales C, Agramonte-Hevia J (2003) Macrophage-*Mycobacterium tuberculosis* interactions: role of complement receptor 3. *Microb Pathog* 35: 125-131.
30. dos Santos G, Kutuzov MA, Ridge KM (2012) The inflammasome in lung diseases. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* 303: L627-L633.
31. Saunders BM, Britton WJ (2007) Life and death in the granuloma: immunopathology of tuberculosis. *Immunol Cell Biol* 85: 103-111.
32. Soldati T, Neyrolles O (2012) Mycobacteria and the intraphagosomal environment: take it with a pinch of salt(s)! *Traffic* 13: 1042-1052.
33. Russell DG (2011) *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and the intimate discourse of a chronic infection. *Immunol Rev* 240: 252-268.
34. Desjardins M, Houde M, Gagnon E (2005) Phagocytosis: the convoluted way from nutrition to adaptive immunity. *Immunol Rev* 207: 158-165.
35. Alonso S, Pethe K, Russell DG, Purdy GE (2007) Lysosomal killing of *Mycobacterium* mediated by ubiquitin-derived peptides is enhanced by autophagy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104: 6031-6036.
36. Purdy GE, Russell DG (2007) Lysosomal ubiquitin and the demise of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Cell Microbiol* 9: 2768-2774.
37. Behar SM, Martin CJ, Booty MG, Nishimura T, Zhao X, et al. (2011) Apoptosis is an innate defense function of macrophages against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Mucosal Immunol* 4: 279-287.
38. Joller N, Weber SS, Muller AJ, Sporri R, Selchow P, et al. (2010) Antibodies protect against intracellular bacteria by Fc receptor-mediated lysosomal targeting. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107: 20441-20446.
39. Zuniga J, Torres-Garcia D, Santos-Mendoza T, Rodriguez-Reyna TS, Granados J, et

- al. (2012) Cellular and humoral mechanisms involved in the control of tuberculosis. Clin Dev Immunol 2012: 193923.
40. Ahmad S (2011) Pathogenesis, immunology, and diagnosis of latent *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection. Clin Dev Immunol 2011: 814943.
41. Chapman HA Jr (1991) Role of enzyme receptors and inhibitors in regulating proteolytic activities of macrophages. Ann N Y Acad Sci 624: 87-96.
42. Azouz A, Razzaque MS, El-Hallak M, Taguchi T (2004) Immunoinflammatory responses and fibrogenesis. Med Electron Microsc 37: 141-148.
43. Ouyang W, Rutz S, Crellin NK, Valdez PA, Hymowitz SG (2011) Regulation and functions of the IL-10 family of cytokines in inflammation and disease. Annu Rev Immunol 29: 71-109.
44. Wolk K, Witte E, Wallace E, Docke WD, Kunz S, et al. (2006) IL-22 regulates the expression of genes responsible for antimicrobial defense, cellular differentiation, and mobility in keratinocytes: a potential role in psoriasis. Eur J Immunol 36: 1309-1323.
45. Zheng Y, Valdez PA, Danilenko DM, Hu Y, Sa SM, et al. (2008) Interleukin-22 mediates early host defense against attaching and effacing bacterial pathogens. Nat Med 14: 282-289.
46. Pickert G, Neufert C, Leppkes M, Zheng Y, Wittkopf N, et al. (2009) STAT3 links IL-22 signaling in intestinal epithelial cells to mucosal wound healing. J Exp Med 206: 1465-1472.
47. DesJardin LE, Kaufman TM, Potts B, Kutzbach B, Yi H, et al. (2002) *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*-infected human macrophages exhibit enhanced cellular adhesion with increased expression of LFA-1 and ICAM-1 and reduced expression and/or function of complement receptors, FcγRII and the mannose receptor. Microbiology 148: 3161-3171.
48. Kulkarni S, Kamat RS (1986) Cross-reactions in cell mediated immunity induced by atypical mycobacteria. J Med Microbiol 21: 35-38.
49. Narayanan S, Paramasivan CN, Ravoofer A, Narayanan PR, Prabhakar R, et al. (1987) Sensitization pattern of healthy volunteers and tuberculosis patients to various mycobacterial antigens by ELISA. Indian Journal of Tuberculosis 34: 132-135.
50. Demangel C, Garnier T, Rosenkrands I, Cole ST (2005) Differential effects of prior exposure to environmental mycobacteria on vaccination with *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG or a recombinant BCG strain expressing RD1 antigens. Infect Immun 73: 2190-2196.
51. de Lisle GW, Wards BJ, Buddle BM, Collins DM (2005) The efficacy of live tuberculosis vaccines after presensitization with *Mycobacterium avium*. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 85: 73-79.
52. Martins DR, Pelizon AC, Zorzella-Pezavento SF, Seger J, Santos Junior RR, et al. (2011) Exposure to *Mycobacterium avium* decreases the protective effect of the DNA vaccine pVAXhsp65 against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*-induced inflammation of the pulmonary parenchyma. Scand J Immunol 73: 293-300.
53. Ho P, Wei X, Seah GT (2010) Regulatory T cells induced by *Mycobacterium chelonae* sensitization influence murine responses to bacille Calmette-Guerin. J Leukoc Biol 88: 1073-1080.
54. Singh A, Dey AB, Mohan A, Sharma PK, Mitra DK (2012) Foxp3+ regulatory T cells among tuberculosis patients: impact on prognosis and restoration of antigen specific IFN-γ producing T cells. PLoS One 7: e44728.
55. Moresco EMY, LaVine D, Beutler B (2011) Toll-like receptors. Curr Biol 21: R488-R493.
56. Pai RK, Pennini ME, Tobian AA, Canaday DH, Boom WH, et al. (2004) Prolonged toll-like receptor signaling by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and its 19-kilodalton lipoprotein inhibits gamma interferon-induced regulation of selected genes in macrophages. Infect Immun 72: 6603-6614.
57. Noss EH, Pai RK, Sellati TJ, Radolf JD, Belisle J, et al. (2001) Toll-like receptor 2-dependent inhibition of macrophage class II MHC expression and antigen processing by 19-kDa lipoprotein of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. J Immunol 167: 910-918.
58. Bulut Y, Michelsen KS, Hayrapetian L, Naiki Y, Spallek R, et al. (2005) *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* heat shock proteins use diverse Toll-like receptor pathways to activate pro-inflammatory signals. J Biol Chem 280: 20961-20967.
59. Takeuchi O, Sato S, Horiuchi T, Hoshino K, Takeda K, et al. (2002) Cutting edge: role of Toll-like receptor 1 in mediating immune response to microbial lipoproteins. J Immunol 169: 10-14.
60. Simmons DP, Canaday DH, Liu Y, Li Q, Huang A, et al. (2010) *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and TLR2 agonists inhibit induction of type I IFN and class I MHC antigen cross processing by TLR9. J Immunol 185: 2405-2415.
61. Davila S, Hibberd ML, Hari Dass R, Wong HE, Sahiratmadja E, et al. (2008) Genetic association and expression studies indicate a role of toll-like receptor 8 in pulmonary tuberculosis. PLoS Genet 4: e1000218.
62. Gehring AJ, Dobos KM, Belisle JT, Harding CV, Boom WH (2004) *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* LprG (Rv1411c): a novel TLR-2 ligand that inhibits human macrophage class II MHC antigen processing. J Immunol 173: 2660-2668.
63. Drage MG, Tsai HC, Pecora ND, Cheng TY, Arida AR, et al. (2010) *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* lipoprotein LprG (Rv1411c) binds triacylated glycolipid agonists of Toll-like receptor 2. Nat Struct Mol Biol 17: 1088-1095.
64. Rothlin CV, Ghosh S, Zuniga EI, Oldstone MB, Lemke G (2007) TAM receptors are pleiotropic inhibitors of the innate immune response. Cell 131: 1124-1136.
65. Ip WK, Takahashi K, Moore KJ, Stuart LM, Ezekowitz RA (2008) Mannose-binding lectin enhances Toll-like receptors 2 and 6 signaling from the phagosome. J Exp Med 205: 169-181.
66. Vergne I, Chua J, Deretic V (2003) Tuberculosis toxin blocking phagosome maturation inhibits a novel Ca2+/calmodulin-PI3K hVPS34 cascade. J Exp Med 198: 653-659.
67. Via LE, Deretic V, Ulmer RJ, Hibler NS, Huber LA, et al. (1997) Arrest of mycobacterial phagosome maturation is caused by a block in vesicle fusion between stages controlled by rab5 and rab7. J Biol Chem 272: 13326-13331.
68. Kyei GB, Vergne I, Chua J, Roberts E, Harris J, et al. (2006) Rab14 is critical for maintenance of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* phagosome maturation arrest. EMBO J 25: 5250-5259.
69. Roberts EA, Chua J, Kyei GB, Deretic V (2006) Higher order Rab programming in phagolysosome biogenesis. J Cell Biol 174: 923-929.
70. Vergne I, Chua J, Lee HH, Lucas M, Belisle J, et al. (2005) Mechanism of phagolysosome biogenesis block by viable *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 4033-4038.
71. Chao J, Wong D, Zheng X, Poirier V, Bach H, et al. (2010) Protein kinase and phosphatase signaling in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* physiology and pathogenesis. Biochim Biophys Acta 1804: 620-627.
72. Walburger A, Koul A, Ferrari G, Nguyen L, Prescianotto-Baschong C, et al. (2004) Protein kinase G from pathogenic mycobacteria promotes survival within macrophages. Science 304: 1800-1804.
73. Divangahi M, Desjardins D, Nunes-Alves C, Remold HG, Behar SM (2010) Eicosanoid pathways regulate adaptive immunity to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Nat Immunol 11: 751-758.
74. Zamora R, Bult H, Herman AG (1998) The role of prostaglandin E2 and nitric oxide in cell death in J774 murine macrophages. Eur J Pharmacol 349: 307-315.
75. Chen M, Divangahi M, Gan H, Shin DS, Hong S, et al. (2008) Lipid mediators in innate immunity against tuberculosis: opposing roles of PGE2 and LXA4 in the induction of macrophage death. J Exp Med 205: 2791-2801.
76. Guo S, Xue R, Li Y, Wang SM, Ren L, et al. (2012) The CFP10/ESAT6 complex of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* may function as a regulator of macrophage cell death at different stages of tuberculosis infection. Med Hypotheses 78: 389-392.
77. Bouley DM, Ghori N, Mercer KL, Falkow S, Ramakrishnan L (2001) Dynamic nature of host-pathogen interactions in *Mycobacterium marinum* granulomas. Infect Immun 69: 7820-7831.
78. Gonzalez-Juarrero M, Turner OC, Turner J, Marietta P, Brooks JV, et al. (2001) Temporal and spatial arrangement of lymphocytes within lung granulomas induced by aerosol infection with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Infect Immun 69: 1722-1728.
79. Mehra S, Alvarez X, Didier PJ, Doyle LA, Blanchard JL, et al. (2013) Granuloma Correlates of Protection Against Tuberculosis and Mechanisms of Immune Modulation by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. J Infect Dis 207: 1115-1127.
80. Riedel DD, Kaufmann SH (1997) Chemokine secretion by human polymorphonuclear granulocytes after stimulation with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and lipoarabinomannan. Infect Immun 65: 4620-4623.

81. Seiler P, Aichele P, Bandermann S, Hauser AE, Lu B, et al. (2003) Early granuloma formation after aerosol *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection is regulated by neutrophils via CXCR3-signaling chemokines. *Eur J Immunol* 33: 2676-2686.
82. Yang CT, Cambier CJ, Davis JM, Hall CJ, Crosier PS, et al. (2012) Neutrophils exert protection in the early tuberculous granuloma by oxidative killing of mycobacteria phagocytosed from infected macrophages. *Cell Host Microbe* 12: 301-312.
83. Ladel CH, Blum C, Dreher A, Reifenberg K, Kaufmann SH (1995) Protective role of gamma/delta T cells and alpha/beta T cells in tuberculosis. *Eur J Immunol* 25: 2877-2881.
84. D'Souza CD, Cooper AM, Frank AA, Mazzaccaro RJ, Bloom BR, et al. (1997) An anti-inflammatory role for gamma delta T lymphocytes in acquired immunity to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J Immunol* 158: 1217-1221.
85. Cowan J, Pandey S, Filion LG, Angel JB, Kumar A, et al. (2012) Comparison of interferon- γ -, interleukin (IL)-17- and IL-22-expressing CD4 T cells, IL-22-expressing granulocytes and proinflammatory cytokines during latent and active tuberculosis infection. *Clin Exp Immunol* 167: 317-329.
86. Mustafa T, Wiker HG, Morkve O, Sviland L (2008) Differential expression of mycobacterial antigen MPT64, apoptosis and inflammatory markers in multinucleated giant cells and epithelioid cells in granulomas caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Virchows Arch* 452: 449-456.
87. Mustafa T, Wiker HG, Morkve O, Sviland L (2007) Reduced apoptosis and increased inflammatory cytokines in granulomas caused by tuberculous compared to non-tuberculous mycobacteria: role of MPT64 antigen in apoptosis and immune response. *Clin Exp Immunol* 150: 105-113.
88. Peyron P, Vaubourgeix J, Poquet Y, Levillain F, Botanch C, et al. (2008) Foamy macrophages from tuberculous patients' granulomas constitute a nutrient-rich reservoir for *M. tuberculosis* persistence. *PLoS Pathog* 4: e1000204.
89. Hilda JN, Selvaraj A, Das SD (2012) *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* H37Rv is more effective compared to vaccine strains in modulating neutrophil functions: an in vitro study. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* 66: 372-381.
90. Neufert C, Pai RK, Noss EH, Berger M, Boom WH, et al. (2001) *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* 19-kDa lipoprotein promotes neutrophil activation. *J Immunol* 167: 1542-1549.
91. Pelletier M, Maggi L, Micheletti A, Lazzeri E, Tamassia N, et al. (2010) Evidence for a cross-talk between human neutrophils and Th17 cells. *Blood* 115: 335-343.
92. Falini B, Flenghi L, Pileri S, Pelicci P, Fagioli M, et al. (1989) Distribution of T cells bearing different forms of the T cell receptor gamma/delta in normal and pathological human tissues. *J Immunol* 143: 2480-2488.
93. Dieli F, Sireci G, Caccamo N, Di Sano C, Titone L, et al. (2002) Selective depression of interferon-gamma and granulysin production with increase of proliferative response by Vgamma9/Vdelta2 T cells in children with tuberculosis. *J Infect Dis* 186: 1835-1839.
94. Chen ZW (2013) Multifunctional immune responses of HMBPP-specific V γ 2V δ 2 T cells in *M. tuberculosis* and other infections. *Cell Mol Immunol* 10: 58-64.
95. Rahman S, Gudetta B, Fink J, Granath A, Ashenafi S, et al. (2009) Compartmentalization of immune responses in human tuberculosis: few CD8+ effector T cells but elevated levels of FoxP3+ regulatory t cells in the granulomatous lesions. *Am J Pathol* 174: 2211-2224.
96. Chen X, Zhou B, Li M, Deng Q, Wu X, et al. (2007) CD4(+)CD25(+)FoxP3(+) regulatory T cells suppress *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* immunity in patients with active disease. *Clin Immunol* 123: 50-59.
97. Sun Q, Zhang Q, Xiao H, Cui H, Su B (2012) Significance of the frequency of CD4+CD25+C. *Respirology* 17: 876-882.
98. Chen CY, Huang D, Yao S, Halliday L, Zeng G, et al. (2012) IL-2 simultaneously expands Foxp3+ T regulatory and T effector cells and confers resistance to severe tuberculosis (TB): implicative Treg-T effector cooperation in immunity to TB. *J Immunol* 188: 4278-4288.
99. Russell DG, Cardona PJ, Kim MJ, Allain S, Altare F (2009) Foamy macrophages and the progression of the human tuberculosis granuloma. *Nat Immunol* 10: 943-948.
100. Fenhalls G, Stevens L, Bezuidenhout J, Amphlett GE, Duncan K, et al. (2002) Distribution of IFN-gamma, IL-4 and TNF-alpha protein and CD8 T cells producing IL-12p40 mRNA in human lung tuberculous granulomas. *Immunology* 105: 325-335.
101. Toossi Z, Gogate P, Shiratsuchi H, Young T, Ellner JJ (1995) Enhanced production of TGF-beta by blood monocytes from patients with active tuberculosis and presence of TGF-beta in tuberculous granulomatous lung lesions. *J Immunol* 154: 465-473.
102. Khader SA, Guglani L, Rangel-Moreno J, Gopal R, Junecko BA, et al. (2011) IL-23 is required for long-term control of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and B cell follicle formation in the infected lung. *J Immunol* 187: 5402-5407.
103. Khader SA, Pearl JE, Sakamoto K, Gilmartin L, Bell GK, et al. (2005) IL-23 compensates for the absence of IL-12p70 and is essential for the IL-17 response during tuberculosis but is dispensable for protection and antigen-specific IFN-gamma responses if IL-12p70 is available. *J Immunol* 175: 788-795.
104. Aggarwal S, Ghilardi N, Xie MH, de Sauvage FJ, Gurney AL (2003) Interleukin-23 promotes a distinct CD4 T cell activation state characterized by the production of interleukin-17. *J Biol Chem* 278: 1910-1914.
105. Akdis M, Palomares O, Van de Veen W, Van Splunter M, Akdis CA (2012) TH17 and TH22 cells: a confusion of antimicrobial response with tissue inflammation versus protection. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 129: 1438-1449.
106. Mangan PR, Harrington LE, O'Quinn DB, Helms WS, Bullard DC, et al. (2006) Transforming growth factor-beta induces development of the T(H)17 lineage. *Nature* 441: 231-234.
107. Harrington LE, Hatton RD, Mangan PR, Turner H, Murphy TL, et al. (2005) Interleukin 17-producing CD4+ effector T cells develop via a lineage distinct from the T helper type 1 and 2 lineages. *Nat Immunol* 6: 1123-1132.
108. Lin Y, Ritchea S, Logar A, Slight S, Messmer M, et al. (2009) Interleukin-17 is required for T helper 1 cell immunity and host resistance to the intracellular pathogen *Francisella tularensis*. *Immunity* 31: 799-810.
109. Wilson NJ, Boniface K, Chan JR, McKenzie BS, Blumenschein WM, et al. (2007) Development, cytokine profile and function of human interleukin 17-producing helper T cells. *Nat Immunol* 8: 950-957.
110. Peters A, Lee Y, Kuchroo VK (2011) The many faces of Th17 cells. *Curr Opin Immunol* 23: 702-706.
111. Cruz A, Khader SA, Torrado E, Fraga A, Pearl JE, et al. (2006) Cutting edge: IFN-gamma regulates the induction and expansion of IL-17-producing CD4 T cells during mycobacterial infection. *J Immunol* 177: 1416-1420.
112. Chaudhry A, Samstein RM, Treuting P, Liang Y, Pils MC, et al. (2011) Interleukin-10 signaling in regulatory T cells is required for suppression of Th17 cell-mediated inflammation. *Immunity* 34: 566-578.
113. Ruan Q, Chen YH (2012) Nuclear factor- κ B in immunity and inflammation: the Treg and Th17 connection. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 946: 207-221.
114. Huber S, Gagliani N, Esplugues E, O'Connor W Jr, Huber FJ, et al. (2011) Th17 cells express interleukin-10 receptor and are controlled by Foxp3- and Foxp3+ regulatory CD4+ T cells in an interleukin-10-dependent manner. *Immunity* 34: 554-565.
115. Lockhart E, Green AM, Flynn JL (2006) IL-17 production is dominated by gammadelta T cells rather than CD4 T cells during *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection. *J Immunol* 177: 4662-4669.
116. Wang X, Barnes PF, Huang F, Alvarez IB, Neuenschwander PF, et al. (2012) Early secreted antigenic target of 6-kDa protein of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* primes dendritic cells to stimulate Th17 and inhibit Th1 immune responses. *J Immunol* 189: 3092-3103.
117. Silva MT (2010) When two is better than one: macrophages and neutrophils work in concert in innate immunity as complementary and cooperative partners of a myeloid phagocyte system. *J Leukoc Biol* 87: 93-106.
118. Sutton CE, Lalor SJ, Sweeney CM, Brereton CF, Lavelle EC, et al. (2009) Interleukin-1 and IL-23 induce innate IL-17 production from gammadelta T cells, amplifying Th17 responses and autoimmunity. *Immunity* 31: 331-341.
119. Liang SC, Tan XY, Luxenberg DP, Karim R, Dunussi-Joannopoulos K, et al. (2006) Interleukin (IL)-22 and IL-17 are coexpressed by Th17 cells and cooperatively enhance expression of antimicrobial peptides. *J Exp Med* 203: 2271-2279.
120. Scriba TJ, Kalsdorf B, Abrahams DA, Isaacs F, Hofmeister J, et al. (2008) Distinct, specific IL-17- and IL-22-producing CD4+ T cell subsets contribute to the human anti-mycobacterial immune response. *J Immunol* 180: 1962-1970.
121. Qiu Y, Huang Y, Chen J, Qiao D, Zeng G, et al. (2013) Depletion of IL-22 during

- culture enhanced antigen-driven IFN- γ production by CD4(+)T cells from patients with active TB. *Immunol Lett* 150: 48-53.
122. van Hamburg JP, Corneth OB, Paulissen SM, Davelaar N, Asmawidjaja PS, et al. (2013) IL-17/Th17 mediated synovial inflammation is IL-22 independent. *Ann Rheum Dis*.
123. Margalit DN, Romberg L, Mets RB, Hebert AM, Mitchison TJ, et al. (2004) Targeting cell division: small-molecule inhibitors of FtsZ GTPase perturb cytokinetic ring assembly and induce bacterial lethality. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 101: 11821-11826.
124. Sabatos CA, Chakravarti S, Cha E, Schubart A, Sanchez-Fueyo A, et al. (2003) Interaction of Tim-3 and Tim-3 ligand regulates T helper type 1 responses and induction of peripheral tolerance. *Nat Immunol* 4: 1102-1110.
125. Sanchez-Fueyo A, Tian J, Picarella D, Domenig C, Zheng XX, et al. (2003) Tim-3 inhibits T helper type 1-mediated auto- and alloimmune responses and promotes immunological tolerance. *Nat Immunol* 4: 1093-1101.
126. Sakuishi KF, Jayaraman P, Behar SM, Anderson AC, Kuchroo VK (2011) Emerging Tim-3 functions in antimicrobial and tumor immunity. *Trends Immunol* 32: 345-349.
127. Sada-Ovalle I, Chavez-Galan L, Torre-Bouscoulet L, Nava-Gamino L, Barrera L, et al. (2012) The Tim3-Galectin 9 Pathway Induces Antibacterial Activity in Human Macrophages Infected with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J Immunol* 189: 5896-5902.
128. Jayaraman P, Sada-Ovalle I, Beladi S, Anderson AC, Dardalhon V, et al. (2010) Tim3 binding to galectin-9 stimulates antimicrobial immunity. *J Exp Med* 207: 2343-2354.
129. Kleinnijenhuis JF, Joosten LA, van de Veerdonk FL, Savage N, et al. (2009) Transcriptional and inflammasome-mediated pathways for the induction of IL-1 β production by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Eur J Immunol* 39: 1914-1922.
130. Huynh AS, Chung WJ, Cho HI, Moberg VE, Celis E, et al. (2012) Novel Toll-like Receptor 2 Ligands for Targeted Pancreatic Cancer Imaging and Immunotherapy. *J Med Chem* 55: 9751-9762.
131. Witte E, Witte K, Warszawska K, Sabat R, Wolk K (2010) Interleukin-22: a cytokine produced by T, NK and NKT cell subsets, with importance in the innate immune defense and tissue protection. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev* 21: 365-379.
132. Sonnenberg GF, Fouser LA, Artis D (2011) Border patrol: regulation of immunity, inflammation and tissue homeostasis at barrier surfaces by IL-22. *Nat Immunol* 12: 383-390.
133. Trifari S, Kaplan CD, Tran EH, Crellin NK, Spits H (2009) Identification of a human helper T cell population that has abundant production of interleukin 22 and is distinct from T(H)-17, T(H)1 and T(H)2 cells. *Nat Immunol* 10: 864-871.
134. Eyerich S, Eyerich K, Pennino D, Carbone T, Nasorri F, et al. (2009) Th22 cells represent a distinct human T cell subset involved in epidermal immunity and remodeling. *J Clin Invest* 119: 3573-3585.
135. Boniface K, Bernard FX, Garcia M, Gurney AL, Lecron JC, et al. (2005) IL-22 inhibits epidermal differentiation and induces proinflammatory gene expression and migration of human keratinocytes. *J Immunol* 174: 3695-3702.
136. Aujja SJ, Chan YR, Zheng M, Fei M, Askew DJ, et al. (2008) IL-22 mediates mucosal host defense against Gram-negative bacterial pneumonia. *Nat Med* 14: 275-281.
137. Mizoguchi A (2012) Healing of intestinal inflammation by IL-22. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 18: 1777-1784.
138. Ye ZJ, Zhou Q, Yuan ML, Du RH, Yang WB, et al. (2012) Differentiation and recruitment of IL-22-producing helper T cells stimulated by pleural mesothelial cells in tuberculous pleurisy. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 185: 660-669.
139. Simonian PL, Wehrmann F, Roark CL, Born WK, O'Brien RL, et al. (2010) $\gamma\delta$ T cells protect against lung fibrosis via IL-22. *J Exp Med* 207: 2239-2253.
140. Dhiman R, Periasamy S, Barnes PF, Jaiswal AG, Paidipally P, et al. (2012) NK1.1+ cells and IL-22 regulate vaccine-induced protective immunity against challenge with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J Immunol* 189: 897-905.
141. Dhiman R, Indramohan M, Barnes PF, Nayak RC, Paidipally P, et al. (2009) IL-22 produced by human NK cells inhibits growth of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* by enhancing phagolysosomal fusion. *J Immunol* 183: 6639-6645.
142. Qiao D, Yang BY, Li L, Ma JJ, Zhang XL, et al. (2011) ESAT-6- and CFP-10-specific Th1, Th22 and Th17 cells in tuberculous pleurisy may contribute to the local immune response against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection. *Scand J Immunol* 73: 330-337.
143. Duhon T, Geiger R, Jarrossay D, Lanzavecchia A, Sallusto F (2009) Production of interleukin 22 but not interleukin 17 by a subset of human skin-homing memory T cells. *Nat Immunol* 10: 857-863.
144. Mohammed KA, Nasreen N, Hardwick J, Van Horn RD, Sanders KL, et al. (2003) *Mycobacteria* induces pleural mesothelial permeability by down-regulating beta-catenin expression. *Lung* 181: 57-66.
145. Zelenewicz LA, Flavell RA (2011) Recent advances in IL-22 biology. *Int Immunol* 23: 159-163.
146. Colin EM, Asmawidjaja PS, van Hamburg JP, Mus AM, van Driel M, et al. (2010) 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 modulates Th17 polarization and interleukin-22 expression by memory T cells from patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 62: 132-142.

This article was originally published in a special issue, [Mycobacterial Diseases](#) handled by Editor(s). Dr. Mario Alberto Flores-Valdez, UUniversidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico; Dr. David A. Hokey, University of Pennsylvania, USA