OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

Journal of Nutrition & Food Sciences

Perspective

Toxicological Perspectives on Using Agro Food Waste in Animal Feed

Noor Al-Mansour*

Department of Food Science and Nutrition, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

DESCRIPTION

As the global demand for sustainable livestock production intensifies, the idea of repurposing agro-food wastes as supplements in animal feed has gained significant attention. This approach not only addresses the issue of agricultural waste management but also contributes to the circular economy by recovering nutrients that would otherwise be lost. However, before such waste materials can be safely integrated into animal diets, a comprehensive toxicological evaluation is imperative particularly regarding their metal content. Trace metals, both essential and toxic, can accumulate in agro-food waste due to factors such as soil composition, agricultural inputs and food processing methods. The safety, efficacy and long-term implications of including these materials in feed depend heavily on understanding their toxicological profiles.

It is well-established that trace metals like iron, zinc, copper and manganese are essential for animal growth, immune function and reproductive health. However, their benefits are dose-dependent and the narrow margin between nutritional adequacy and toxicity demands careful control. On the other hand, non-essential or toxic metals such as lead, cadmium, mercury and arsenic have no known physiological role and pose significant health risks to livestock. Their accumulation in tissues can lead to organ damage, reduced productivity and can even enter the human food chain through meat, milk and eggs, posing public health concerns. This highlights the necessity of rigorous toxicological screening of agro-food waste streams before considering them as potential feed ingredients.

One of the challenges lies in the variability of metal content across different types of agro-food waste. Fruit and vegetable peels, grain husks, brewery spent grains and oilseed cakes all have unique elemental profiles influenced by their botanical origin, cultivation practices and regional environmental factors. For example, leafy vegetable waste often exhibits higher levels of cadmium due to phytoaccumulation, while root crop residues may carry higher arsenic loads in regions with contaminated irrigation water. Without standardized baselines, it is difficult to make generalizations. Therefore, site-specific and material-specific analyses are critical to accurately assess risk and define safe inclusion levels.

Advanced analytical techniques such as Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS), Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS) and X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) provide precise quantification of trace metals in solid and liquid matrices. These tools allow for not only the detection of total metal concentrations but also insights into their bioavailability and chemical speciation. The latter is particularly important, as the toxicological impact of a metal depends not only on its concentration but also on its chemical form. For example, organic and inorganic arsenic species have vastly different toxicities and bioavailable lead poses a greater threat than insoluble mineral forms.

Beyond chemical analysis, toxicological risk assessments must incorporate exposure models that consider the animal species, feed composition, duration of exposure and the cumulative effect of multiple contaminants. Regulatory agencies in various countries have established maximum tolerable levels for heavy metals in animal feed, but these standards are often conservative and may not fully reflect the complexity of modern agro-waste-derived feed products. Consequently, new risk assessment frameworks may be needed to accommodate emerging feed sources and dynamic exposure pathways.

It is also worth considering that some agro-food wastes may undergo pre-treatment such as drying, fermentation, or enzymatic hydrolysis before being incorporated into feed. These processes can influence metal content and bioavailability, either by concentrating metals through water loss or by altering their chemical forms. For example, fermentation may chelate certain metals, reducing their toxicity but potentially increasing their uptake. Thus, toxicological evaluations must extend to processed forms of agro-waste, not just the raw materials.

In perspective, the integration of agro-food waste into animal feed formulations represents a pragmatic and forward-thinking solution to two global challenges: food waste and sustainable livestock nutrition. However, without a strong understanding of the toxicological risks, particularly related to trace metals, this

Correspondence to: Noor Al-Mansour, Department of Food Science and Nutrition, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, E-mail: noor.mansour@kau.edu.sa

Received: 24-Jan-2025, Manuscript No. JNFS-25-37226; **Editor assigned:** 27-Jan-2025, PreQC No. JNFS-25-37226 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 10-Feb-2025, QC No. JNFS-25-37226; **Revised:** 17-Feb-2025, Manuscript No. JNFS-25-37226 (R); **Published:** 24-Feb-2025, DOI: 10.35248/2155-9600.25.15.59

Citation: Al-Mansour N (2025). Toxicological Perspectives on Using Agro Food Waste in Animal Feed. J Nutr Food Sci. 15:59.

strategy remains vulnerable to setbacks. A proactive stance grounded in detailed toxicological profiling, risk assessment and regulatory alignment is essential to safely unlock the potential of agro-waste as a viable feed resource. Moving forward, interdisciplinary research that bridges toxicology, animal nutrition, environmental science and policy will be key to realizing the full promise of this circular approach.