

# The Use of Molecular Diagnostics and Artificial Intelligence in the Classification and Prognosis of Gliomas: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Costas Fantis\*

Department of Neurology, University of Buckingham, Buckingham MK18 1EG, United Kingdom

Supplementary Table 1: AI applied specifically to MRI

| Paper                    | Biomarkers investigated   | AI used   | Demographics/sample size/dataset   | Accuracy/effectiveness  |
|--------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Riahi Samani et al. 2023 | Peritumoral region differences with overall survival and <i>IDH1</i> mutation status              | CNN:Convolutional Neural Networks, FERN-ET: Freewater estimator using Interpolated Initialization | 381 patients with adult type diffuse gliomas (CNS WHO grade 4) and 50 patients with brain metastases, and they were randomly divided into three datasets.                          | Results showed significant differences in the proposed markers between patients with different overall survival and <i>IDH1</i> mutation status (t test, Wilcoxon rank sum test, linear regression; $p < 0.01$ ). Clustering of patients using the proposed markers reveals distinct survival groups (log rank; $p < 10^{-5}$ , Cox hazard ratio = 1.82; $p < 0.005$ ). |
| Do et al. 2022           | MGMT methylation  | Random Forest (RF), XG Boost, and Support Vector Machine (SVM),                                   | The Cancer Imaging Archive (TCIA), The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) Glioblastoma Multiforme (GBM) dataset, which included 154 patients with annotated MGMT status                    | A sensitivity of 0.894, specificity of 0.966, and accuracy of 0.925 for predicting the MGMT methylation status in GBM.  |
| Xu et al. 2022           | BRAF V600E mutation in pediatric low-grade gliomas  | Machine learning algorithms   | 13 cases, with 19 patients in the training group (47 men, 32 women; mean age $9.86 \pm 5.20$ ) and 34 patients in the testing group (20 men, 14 women; mean age $10.97 \pm 5.14$ ) | AUC of 0.91, accuracy of 0.93, sensitivity of 0.83, and specificity of 0.97.  |
| H. Luo et al. 2021       | <i>IDH1/2</i> , 1p19q status  | Deep Signature-based radiomics model  | 655 glioma patients  | Average accuracies of histological diagnosis and molecular subtyping were 89.8 and 86.1% in the cross-validation cohort, respectively, while these numbers reached 83.9 and 80.4% in the independent testing cohort   |
| Y.-Z. Sun et al. 2021    | Differentiating pseudo progression from true progression in GBM patients after standard treatment | T1, 'caret', and 'unbalanced' R packages  | 77 patients with glioblastoma multiforme (GBM): 40 men and 37 women with a mean age of $49.1 \pm 10.5$ years (range 17–76 years).  | The radiomic classifier demonstrated ACC, sensitivity, and specificity of 72.78% 78.36% and 61.33%. The accuracy, sensitivity and specificity of three radiologists' assessments were lower on all criteria, therefore TCE-based radiomics showed better classification performance   |

Correspondence to: Costas Fantis, Department of Neurology, University of Buckingham, Buckingham MK18 1EG, United Kingdom, Tel: +44 (0)1295 7813292929; E-mail: cosfantis@encodehealth.com

Received: 06-May-2024, Manuscript No. CDB-24-31036; Editor assigned: 06-May-2024, Pre QC No. CDB-24-31036 (PQ); Reviewed: 20-May-2024, QC No. CDB-24-31036; Revised: 27-May-2024, Manuscript No. CDB-24-31036 (R); Published: 03-Jun-2024, DOI: 10.35841/2168-9296.24.13.339.

Citation: Fantis C (2024) The Use of Molecular Diagnostics and Artificial Intelligence in the Classification and Prognosis of Gliomas: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Cell Dev Biol. 13:339.

Copyright: © 2024 Fantis C. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

|  |   |   |  |   |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| Yu Han et al. 2020                     | <i>IDH1</i> mutation  | Support Vector Machine with Recursive Feature Elimination (SVM-RFE)   | 59 grade II/III glioma patients with known <i>IDH1</i> mutation status   | SVM model was established using 19 features selected with SVM-RFE for radiomics analysis. The AUC and accuracy for mutation on training set were 0.892 and 0.952, while on testing set were 0.81 and 0.84, respectively   |
| Lo et al. 2020                         | WT and mutant <i>IDH</i>  | Logistic regression   | The data set used in the experiment was from The Cancer Imaging Archive (TCIA), established by the National Cancer Institute. The study collected an image database composed of 32 WT and 7 mutant <i>IDH</i> cases.                                     | His system achieved an accuracy of 90%, a sensitivity of 57% and a specificity of 97%.  |
| Z. Zhang et al. 2020                   | LGGs vs HGGs  | SVM models (using an iterative information gain algorithm under the leave-one-out cross-validation strategy and used the AUC the optimization criteria. VGG (Visual Geometry Group) is a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) architecture developed by the Visual Geometry Group at the University of Oxford | 108 patients, of which 43 were LGGs and 65 were HGGs (25 WHO III and 40 WHO IV patients)   | AUC=0.93, accuracy=0.94, sensitivity=0.98, and specificity=0.86 in classifying LGGs from HGGs. AUC=0.99, accuracy=0.98, sensitivity=0.99 and specificity=1.00 in classifying grade III from IV.   |
| Zhao et al. 2020                       | WHO grade II from III oligodendrogliomas  | Conditional inference random forest classifier  | 36 patients with T1CE were included (19 men and 17 women, mean age=45 years; age range=35-65 years) and classified into two groups: ODG2 (n=19; mean age=46 years, age range=35-65 years) and ODG3 (n=17; mean age=44 years, age range=36-65 years).     | The AUC, sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of radiomics were 0.798 (95%CI 0.699-0.896), 0.672, 0.789, 0.735 for T1 CE, 0.774 (95%CI 0.671-0.877), 0.700, 0.683, 0.689 for FLAIR, 0.861 (95%CI 0.783-0.940), 0.778, 0.783, 0.781 for their combination, respectively. The radiomic strategy performed superior to that of radiologists.  |
| Shboul, Chen, and M Iftekharuddin 2020 | MGMT methylation, <i>IDH</i> mutations, 1p/19q co-deletion, ATRX mutation, and TERT mutations | LGG-XGBoost Extreme Gradient Boosting XGBoost   | 100 pre-operative LGG patients   | The prediction models achieve a test performance AUC of 0.70 to 0.84  |
| X. Sun et al. 2020                     | Ki-67 and p53   | LASSO regression model  | 110 patients with glioma.  | The best Area Under the Curve (AUC) for the Ki-67 model was 0.773 for T2 weighted imaging in solid glioma (sensitivity, 0.818; specificity, 0.833), followed by a less reliable AUC of 0.773 (sensitivity, 0.727; specificity 0.667) in 20 mm peritumoral areas. The highest AUC for the p53 model was 0.709 (sensitivity, 1; specificity, 0.4) for T2 weighted imaging in 10-mm peritumoral areas. |
| Mzoughi et al. 2020                    | LGG vs HGG  | LASSO, SVM  | Preoperative MR images from 272 patients with primary WHO grade II/III gliomas, including 179 with grade II and 93 with grade III. The proportion of patients with a p53 mutation was 42.2% and 48.9% in the training and validation sets, respectively. | An overall accuracy of 96.49% using the validation dataset  |

|                      |   |  |   |   |
|----------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Tian et al. 2020     | Telomerase Reverse Transcriptase (TERT) promoter mutations                        | LASSO regression and univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyse                           | 126 patients who suffered from HGG were divided into the training cohort (88, 70%) and the validation cohort (38, 30%). There was no significant difference in terms of age ( $p=0.986$ ), gender ( $p=0.975$ ), grade ( $p=0.327$ ), or location ( $p=0.421$ ) between the training and validation cohorts   | To verify the discovery, 4 models were established, and there was only one more factor (CNV) in Model A than Model B. The AUC of Model A in both training cohort and validation cohort were higher than Model B in the ROC analysis (0.955 vs. 0.917, 0.889 vs. 0.868, respectively).   |
| Gates et al. 2020    | Predict the World Health Organization grade of gliomas                            | Random forest method   | 23 patients with gliomas who were enrolled in a prospective clinical imaging trial between 2013 and 2016. The patients had a range of glioma grades (7 grade II, 9 grade III, and 7 grade IV).  | Tumor grade was predicted at 96% accuracy ( $k=0.96$ ) using 4 inputs [T2, ADC, CBV, and transfer constant from dynamic contrast-enhanced imaging].   |
| Wu et al. 2019       | IDH genotype  | RF, rSVM, NN, LSVM, kNN, NB, FDA, Ada-boost  | A total of 126 patients were enrolled for analysis. Overall, 101 radiomic features extracted from the pre-operative MRI images were analyzed. The patients were randomly assigned to either the training set or the validation set at a ratio of 2:1.   | Random Forest (RF) showed high predictive performance (accuracy 0.885 $\pm$ 0.041, AUC 0.931 $\pm$ 0.052). Neural Network (NN) (accuracy 0.829 $\pm$ 0.064, AUC 0.878 $\pm$ 0.052) Flexible discriminant analysis (FDA) (accuracy 0.851 $\pm$ 0.049, AUC 0.875 $\pm$ 0.057) displayed low predictive performance. With regard to stability, RF also showed high robustness against data perturbation (relative standard deviations, RSD 3.87%).   |
| H. Zhou et al. 2019  | IDH and 1p19q genotype in grade II-IV gliomas                                     | Random forest classification   | Preoperative MRIs of 538 glioma patients from three institutions were used as training cohort. The model was tested using MRIs from glioma patients in the cancer imaging archive.  | The model predictive of IDH achieved an area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) of 0.921 in the training cohort and 0.919 in the validation cohort. Based on the top 15 features, the AUC was 0.917 and 0.916 for the training and validation cohort, respectively. The overall accuracy for 3 group prediction (IDH-wild type, IDH-mutant and 1p19q co-deletion, IDH-mutant and 1p19q non-codeletion) was 78.2% (155 correctly predicted out of 198).   |
| Batchala et al. 2019 | 1p/19q-codeletion status among IDH-mutant lower grade gliomas                     | Multivariate Logistic Regression (MLR) analyse   | 102 patients with IDH-mutant LGGs in the training data-set, 51% were women ( $n=52$ ) and 49% were men ( $n=50$ ). The median age was 41 years (range, 20.0–75.0 years; interquartile range, 33.053.0 years). Of the 102 LGGs, 62.7% ( $n=64$ ) were IDHmut-Noncodel and 37.3% ( $n=38$ ) were IDHmut-Codel, 57.8% ( $n=59$ ) were WHO grade II, and 42.2% ( $n=43$ ) were WHO grade III. | Training dataset analysis produced a 2-step classification algorithm with 86.3% codeletion prediction accuracy, based on the following: 1) the presence of the T2-FLAIR mismatch sign, which was 100% predictive of noncodeleted lower grade gliomas, ( $n=21$ ); and 2) a logistic regression model based on texture, patient age, T2* susceptibility, primary lobe, and hydrocephalus. Independent validation of the classification algorithm rendered codeletion prediction accuracies of 81.1% and 79.2% in 2 independent readers. The metrics used in the algorithm were associated with moderate substantial interreader agreement ( $k=0.56-0.79$ ). |
| Fan et al. 2019      | Differentiation between Glioblastoma (GBM) and Anaplastic Oligodendroglioma (AO). | Distance correlation, LASSO, and GBDT and two classifiers LDA and SVM (LDA-based and SVM-based models) | 126 patients were enrolled in the current study, 76 patients were diagnosed with GBM, and 50 patients with AO.  | The diagnostic performance of machine learning models was superior to human readers. Both classifiers showed promising ability in discrimination with AUC more than 0.900 when combined with suitable feature selection method. For LDA-based models, the AUC of models were 0.986, 0.994, and 0.970 in the testing group, respectively. For the SVM-based models, the AUC of models were 0.923, 0.817, and 0.500 in the testing group, respectively. The over-fitting model was GBDT+SVM, suggesting that this model was too volatile that unsuitable for classification.  |

|                         |   |   |   |  |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Sasaki et al. 2019      | MGMT methylation status                               | Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator (LASSO) and Supervised Principal Component Analysis (SPCA)  | Preoperative MRI scans from 201 newly diagnosed GBM patients were included in this study. A total of 489 texture features including the first-order feature, second-order features from 162 datasets, and location data from 182 datasets were collected.   | Predictive accuracy of the pMGMT methylation status was 67% when modeled by two significant radiomic features. A significant difference was observed among the combined high-risk group (this group consists of radiomic low risk and pMGMT-unmet or radiomic high risk and pMGMT-met), and combined low-risk group (n=23, Log-rank test). |
| C. Jiang et al. 2019    | MGMT promoter methylation status in LGG patients      | 3D-CET1-weighted single radiomics model, T2-weighted single radiomics model, linear combination radiomics model, clinical integrated model                | 122 pathology confirmed LGG patients were retrospectively reviewed, with 87 local patients as the training dataset, and 35 from The Cancer Imaging Archive as independent validation. A total of 1702 radiomics features were extracted from three-dimensional contrast-enhanced T1 (3D-CET1) weighted and T2-weighted MRI images.  | The fusion radiomics model, which constructed from the concatenation of both series, displayed the best performance, with an accuracy of 0.849 and an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.970 (0.956-1.000) in the training dataset, and an accuracy of 0.786 and an AUC of 0.898 (0.786-1.000) in the validation dataset.                     |
| Lee et al. 2019         | IDH1 mutation status in glioblastoma                  | K-nearest neighbors, support vector classification, decision tree, random forest, adaboost, naive bayes, linear discriminant analysis, gradient boosting. | Retrospectively identified 100 patients with newly diagnosed GBM. After semiautomatic segmentation of the lesions, 21 features were extracted from preoperative multiparametric magnetic resonance images. A training cohort (n=88) was used to train machine learning-based classifiers, with internal validation. The machine-learning technique was validated with an external dataset of 12 patients with GBM.  | Accuracies of 87.3% in the training cohort were achieved and 81.7% in the validation cohort using the K-nearest neighbors model. Accuracies of 86.2% in the training cohort and 82.9% in the validation cohort using the AdaBoost model.   |
| Y. Li et al. 2019       | Phosphatase and Tensin homolog (PTEN) mutation status | Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier   | A total of 100 patients were included in the study, 69 patients in the training set and 40 patients in the validation set. The training set was collected from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database, and the validation set was retrospectively collected from the Chinese Glioma Genome Atlas. Six features were selected using the mRMR algorithm, including two features derived from contrast-enhanced images and four features derived from T2-weighted images.   | The predictive performance of the machine learning model for the training and validation sets were 0.925 and 0.787, respectively   |
| L. Han and Kamdar. 2018 | MGMT methylation status                               | Bi-directional Convolutional Recurrent Neural Network architecture (CRNN)   | The study used a compendium of brain MRI scans of GBM patients collected from The Cancer Imaging Archive (TCIA) combined with methylation data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). The training dataset consisted of 344 positive MRI scans and 351 negative scans, which corresponded to 117 patients. The validation dataset consisted of 21 patients, with 73 positive scans and 62 negative scans. The test set also had 21 patients, with 62 positive and 62 negative scans. After data augmentation, this resulted in 62,550 examples in the training set, and 12,150 in the validation set, and 11,160 in the test set. | An accuracy of 67% on the validation data and 62% on the test data, with precision and recall both at 67%.   |

|                         |   |  |  |   |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Liang et al. 2018       | <i>IDH</i> genotype                         | 121, 161, 169, and 201 layers M3D-DenseNet and Grouped-SNet and Grouped-MNet | To evaluate the performance, BRATS-2017 and The Cancer Genome Atlas breast invasive carcinoma (TCGA-BRCA) dataset to get image data as input and gene mutation information as the target. Transfer learning techniques were applied to predict World Health Organization (WHO) grade status.   | The best performance was achieved with accuracy 84.6%, sensitivity 78.5%, specificity 88.0%, and AUC 85.7% on the training dataset when M3D-DenseNet depth was set to 121 layers, and with an accuracy of 84.6%, sensitivity 78.5%, specificity 88.0% and AUC 85.7% on the validation dataset when M3D-DenseNet depth was set to 161 layers. The experimental result shown that multi-modality model was better than single-modality model, as the best accuracy of single-modality model on the validation dataset was 74.4% (AUC=81.6%), nevertheless the accuracy of multi-modality model on the validation dataset was 84.6% (AUC=85.7%). Prediction of World Health Organization (WHO) grade status achieved a high accuracy of 91.4% (AUC=94.8%) on validation dataset. |
| Yang et al. 2018        | <i>IDH</i> status (mutated vs. wildtype)    | AlexNet and GoogLeNet (Both CNN architectures)                               | 113 histologically confirmed glioma patients were retrospectively enrolled. Each patient underwent preoperative conventional and advanced MRI scans on a 3.0T MRI scanner (Discovery 750, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, United States of America) with an 8-channel head coil. The study group comprised 52 patients (grade II: 25, grade III: 27) with LGG and 61 patients with HGG. Ninety-two raw images with 100 slices were included in LGG and HGG group was 468 and 499, respectively. The ages of the LGG and HGG cohort range from 10 to 66 years old and 13 to 87 years old, respectively. The location location of the 113 glioma patients was summarized according to Vasari MRI Visual Feature Guide. | The mean value of validation accuracy, test accuracy and test AUC of GoogLeNet was 0.867, 0.905, and 0.939, respectively. As for AlexNet, the mean value of validation accuracy, test accuracy and test AUC were 0.866, 0.855, and 0.895, respectively. Although GoogLeNet is deeper than AlexNet, it has less parameters owing to the inception structures, which can reduce the risk of overfitting. Although only T1+C images were used, the test accuracy and AUC reached 0.945 and 0.968 in GoogLeNet  |
| Y. Li, Liu, et al. 2018 | ATRX mutation status in lower-grade gliomas | Support Vector Machine (SVM) and LASSO regression model                      | Clinical and radiological features of 95 TCGA patients and 91 CGGA patients were obtained. ATRX mutation was detected in 38.8% (37/95) of patients in TCGA cohort and 30.8% (28/91) in the CGGA cohort. Patients from TCGA were divided into training (n=63) and validation (n=32) sets, and no significant difference was observed in age (p=0.506), sex (p=0.337), grade (p=0.071), ATRX status (p=0.837), <i>IDH1</i> status (p=0.588), 1p19q status (p=0.526), histology (p=0.138) and tumour location (p=0.516) between the two datasets.   | The predictive efficiencies measured by the area under the curve were 94.0%, 92.5% and 72.5% in the training, validation and external validation sets, respectively.  |

|                      |  |   |  |  |
|----------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Jakola et al. 2018   | <i>IDH</i> prediction  | Binary classifier system  | 25 patients fulfilled the inclusion criteria, nine were women and average age was 44. 20 patients had <i>IDH</i> mutation and 5 were <i>IDH</i> wild type  | The textural parameter homogeneity could discriminate between LGG patients with <i>IDH</i> mutation (0.12, IQR 0.10-0.15) and <i>IDH</i> wild type (0.07, IQR 0.06-0.09, $p=0.005$ ). None of the other four parameters (energy, entropy, correlation and inertia) were associated with molecular status. Using ROC curves, the area under curve for predicting <i>IDH</i> mutation was 0.905 for homogeneity, 0.840 for tumor volume and 0.940 for the combined parameters of tumor volume and homogeneity. Molecular status could not be predicted using the four other chosen texture parameters (energy, entropy, correlation and inertia). Lesions with <i>IDH</i> mutation with or without 1p19q co-deletion could not be separated. |
| Shofty et al. 2018   | Low-grade Gliomas (LGG) classified into three distinct groups based on <i>IDH1</i> mutation and 1p/19q codeletion status | Linear SVM, quadratic SVM, cubic SVM, fine Gaussian SVM, medium Gaussian SVM, coarse Gaussian SVM. 17 machine learning classifiers in total | 47 patients diagnosed with LGG with <i>IDH1</i> -mutated tumors and a genetic analysis for 1p/19q deletion status were included in this study. A total of 152 features, including location and texture, were extracted from fluid-attenuated inversion recovery; weighted; and post-contrast images.                             | Best classification was obtained using the Ensemble Boosted Trees classifier, with sensitivity 92%, specificity 83% and accuracy 87%, and with area under the curve 0.87.  |
| X. Zhang et al. 2018 | <i>IDH</i> and <i>TP53</i> mutations   | SVM model   | The dataset used in the study was the LGG project of The Cancer Imaging Archive (TCIA), which contained 199 subjects. After, the qualified subjects in this study were 103. The 103 LGG patients were separated into development (n=73) and validation (n=30) cohorts.   | The accuracies and area under the curves for <i>IDH</i> and <i>TP53</i> mutations were reported to be 84.9%, 0.830, and 92.0%, 0.949, respectively, on the development cohort, and 80.0%, 0.792, and 85.0%, 0.869, respectively, on the validation cohort.   |
| Yuqi Han et al. 2018 | 1p/19q co-deletion in lower-grade glioma   | Random forest / radiomics signature   | 27 patients histopathologically diagnosed with lower-grade glioma. Clinical parameters were recorded for each patient. Radiomics analysis performed by extracting 647 MRI-based features and applied random forest algorithm to generate a radiomics signature for predicting 1p/19q co-deletion in the training cohort (n=184). | The study reported the accuracy of the radiomics signature in predicting 1p/19q co-deletion, with areas under curve (AUCs) of 0.887 and 0.760 on the training and validation cohorts, respectively. The study also compared the radiomics signature to a clinical model and found that the radiomics signature outperformed the clinical model in predicting 1p/19q co-deletion.   |
| Citak-Er et al. 2018 | Grade III and IV gliomas   | A three-step SVM-based evaluation approach  | The patients were newly diagnosed as having glioma, with a mean age of $49.5 \pm 12.8$ years (range, 23–79 years). There were 18 females and 25 males, and the glioma grades were determined through the histopathologic analysis of surgical specimens  | A machine-learning model based on support vector machine algorithm with linear kernel achieved an accuracy of 93.0%, a specificity of 86.7%, and a sensitivity of 96.4% for the grading of gliomas using ten-fold cross validation based on the proposed subset of the mp-MRI features.  |
| De Looze et al. 2018 | Glioma grade and <i>IDH</i>  | Random forest   | The study included patients with a histopathologically proven diffuse glioma (grade II-IV) from a single neuropathological database in the center, selected from 2015 to 2017. The study employed 161 features derived from MR images to analyze using both Gabor texture and image intensity characteristics.                   | 96% level of accuracy in differentiating between a low-grade and high-grade glioma. grade II/III; area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC)=98%, sensitivity=0.82, specificity=0.94; grade II/IV; AUC=100%, sensitivity=1.0, specificity=1.0; grade III/IV; AUC=97%, sensitivity=0.83, specificity=0.97. Discrimination of <i>IDH</i> status: AUC of 88%, sensitivity=0.81, specificity=0.77.   |

|                          |   |  |   |  |
|--------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Lu et al. 2018           | <i>IDH</i> mutation, 1p/19q codeletion, and Telomerase Reverse Transcriptase (TERT) mutation, ATRX  | The study tested nine different machine-learning models and selected the best model for each binary classification task based on the performance of the model on the training dataset SVM models: Linear, cubic, Bootstrap-aggregated (bagged) tree algorithm, AdaBoost algorithm with decision tree, RUSBoost algorithm with decision tree. | The data from 456 subjects with gliomas were obtained from The Cancer Imaging Archive. Overall, 214 subjects, including 106 cases of glioblastomas and 108 cases of lower grade gliomas with preoperative MRI, survival data, histology, <i>IDH</i> , and 1p/19q status were included.  | The <i>IDH</i> and 1p/19q status of gliomas can be classified by radiomics and machine-learning approaches, with areas under ROC curves between 0.922 and 0.975 and accuracies between 87.7% and 96.1% estimated on the training dataset. The test on the validation set showed a comparable model performance with that on the training dataset, suggesting the efficacy of the trained classifiers. The classification of 5 molecular subtypes solely based on the MR phenotypes achieved 81.8% accuracy and a higher accuracy of 87.7% was achieved when the histology diagnosis was available.   |
| Y. Li, Qian, et al. 2018 | Prediction of p53, <i>CDKN2A/B</i> homozygous deletion, <i>IDH</i> mutations, 1p/19q co-deletions, and methylation profiles.  | LASSO, SVM   | The study analyzed preoperative MR images from 272 patients with primary WHO grade II/III gliomas including 179 with grade II and 93 with grade III. The proportion of patients with a p53 mutation was 42.2% and 48.9% in the training and validation sets, respectively. The study did not find any significant differences in age, sex, grade, p53 status, or tumor location between the two sets of patients. Data was collected from the Chinese Glioma Genome Atlas database. | The prediction accuracies based on the area under the curve were 89.6% in the training set and 76.3% in the validation set, which were better than individual features.  |
| Chang et al. 2018        | <i>IDH</i> Status in low- and high-grade gliomas  | Residual neural networks   | Preoperative imaging was acquired for 201 patients from the Hospital of University of Pennsylvania (HUP), 157 patients from Brigham and Women's Hospital (BWH), and 138 patients from The Cancer Imaging Archive (TCIA) and divided into training, validation, and testing sets.  | Achieved <i>IDH</i> prediction accuracies of 82.8% (AUC=0.90), 83.0% (AUC=0.93), and 85.7% (AUC=0.94) within training, validation, and testing sets, respectively. When age at diagnosis was incorporated into the model, the training, validation, and testing accuracies increased to 87.3% (AUC=0.93), 87.6% (AUC =0.95), and 89.1% (AUC=0.95), respectively.   |
| H. Zhou et al. 2017      | wild-type <i>IDH</i> versus <i>IDH1</i> mutation; <i>IDH1</i> mutation with 1p/19q codeletion versus <i>IDH1</i> mutation without 1p/19q codeletion; grade II versus grade I LGGs; and progression versus non progression of LGGs | Multivariable texture model constructed using logistic regression  | The study identified 165 patients with diffuse low- and intermediate-grade gliomas (histological grades II and III) from TCGA who have overlapping pre-surgical MRI data from The Cancer Imaging Archive (TCIA).  | Interrater analysis showed significant agreement in all imaging features (k=0.703-1.000). On multivariate Cox regression analysis, no enhancement and a smooth non-enhancing margin were associated with longer Progression-Free Survival (PFS), while a smooth non-enhancing margin was associated with longer Overall Survival (OS) after taking into account age, grade, tumor location, histology, extent of resection, and <i>IDH1</i> 1p/19q subtype. Using logistic regression and bootstrap testing evaluations, texture models were found to possess higher prediction potential for <i>IDH1</i> mutation, 1p/19q codeletion status, histological grade, and progression of LGGs than VASARI features, with areas under the receiver-operating characteristic curves of 0.86 ± 0.01, 0.96 ± 0.01, 0.86±0.01, and 0.80 ± 0.01, respectively. |
| Bakas et al. 2017        | Low- and GBM  | GLISTR and GLISTRboost   | Pre-operative multimodal Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) (n=243) of the multi-institutional glioma collections of The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), publicly available in The cancer Imaging Archive (TIA). Pre-operative scans were identified in both glioblastoma (TCGA-GBM, n=135) and low-grade-glioma (TCGA-LGG, n=108) collections via radiological assessment.   | The median DICE values with their corresponding Inter-Quartile Ranges (IQR) for the three evaluated regions, i.e., WT, TC, ET, were equal to 0.92 (IQR: 0.88-0.94), 0.88 (IQR: 0.81-0.93) and 0.88 (IQR: 0.81-0.91), respectively. Equivalently, the 95th percentile of the Hausdorff distance for WT, TC and ET were equal to 3.61 (IQR: 2.39-8.15), 4.06 (IQR: 2.39-7.29), and 2 (IQR: 1.41-2.83), respectively.   |

Supplementary Table 2: AI applied in assorted application types

| Paper                  | Application type   | Biomarkers Investigated  | AI used  | Demographics/Sample size/ Dataset  | Accuracy/Effectiveness   |
|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Jin et al. 2021        | Low-cost transformed slide scanner to capture histopathological images of glioma tissue sections, FISH, pyrosequencing (direct sequencing) | <i>IDH1</i> at codon 132, <i>IDH2</i> at codon 172, chromosome 1p and 19q codeletion, <i>CDKN2A/B</i> homozygous deletion and methylation profiles   | "AI Neuropathologist", deep neural networks and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) | The CNNs were trained and verified on over 79 990 histological patch images from 267 patients. CNN-based independent diagnostic testing was performed on data from 56 patients with 172 histological patch images  | Patch level accuracy of 86.5% and patient level accuracy of 87.5% for distinguishing different histopathological subtypes of glioma  |
| Pellegrino et al. 2021 | Next-generation sequencing   | Pathogenic Single Nucleotide Variants (SNVs), Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs), Multiple Nucleotide Variants (MNVs), insertions, and deletions.  | Random forest  | 102,011 SNVs, 5,000 and indel variants from a 200 gene panel (180 genes sequenced for glioma). The dataset included a total of 7,301 samples. The house glioma panel was made to define glioma grades and to target the following genes by sequencing full sequences and amplicons of <i>AKT1</i> , <i>ATRX</i> , <i>BRCA1</i> , <i>CDKN2A</i> , <i>CIC</i> , <i>EGFR</i> , <i>FBXF1</i> , <i>H3F3A</i> , <i>HIST1H3B</i> , <i>IDH1</i> , <i>IDH2</i> , <i>NOTCH1</i> , <i>PIK3CA</i> , <i>PIK3R1</i> , <i>PTEN</i> , <i>PTPN11</i> , <i>TERT</i> and <i>TP53</i> .  | Best error rate for RF was 0.22% (ntree=500 and mtry=4), with an AUC of 0.99. The final trained model with the neural network achieved an accuracy of 98% and a ROC-AUC of 0.99 with validation data. RF model was tested to interpret more than 2000 variants from our NGS database: 20 variants were misclassified (error rate <1%). The errors were nomenclature problems and false positives. After adding false positives to the training database and implementing the RF model routinely, the error rate was always <0.5%. The RF model shows excellent results for oncosomatic NGS interpretation.   |
| N. Zhang et al. 2021   | Weighted Gene Co-expression Network Analysis (WGCNA)   | Gene expression profiles from three CGGA cohorts, 300 genes were identified from 806 genes. By performing elastic net regression analysis and PCA algorithm, 33 monocyte-related genes were derived from the 300 genes and their coefficients were obtained. | Neural network classifiers and Support Vector Machine                                | 100 glioma samples were collected from three databases: The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), Chinese Glioma Genome Atlas (CGGA), and Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). For the TCGA cohort (672 glioma samples), the RNA-seq data and corresponding clinical information were retrieved from TCGA database ( <a href="http://cancergenome.nih.gov/">http://cancergenome.nih.gov/</a> ). Three CGGA validation cohorts were employed in this study, including two RNA-seq cohorts (CGGA325 and CGGA693) and a microarray cohort (CGGAarray). The RNA-seq and microarray data, clinical and survival information were downloaded from the CGGA database ( <a href="http://www.cgga.org.cn">http://www.cgga.org.cn</a> ). Expression matrices of GSE108474 (414 glioma samples) were obtained from the GEO database ( <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/</a> ) | After establishing monocyte density as a suitable marker for survival prediction of gliomas, it was further investigated its prediction efficiency by developing a prognostic nomogram. Combining prognostic factors, including risk scores, patient ages, tumor grades, <i>IDH</i> mutation, and chromosome 1p/19q codeletion, a prognostic nomogram was developed. In TCGA dataset, the Kaplan-Meier survival curve demonstrated a good discrimination of survival probabilities of the two clusters ( $p < 0.0001$ ). The ROC curve confirmed the discriminative ability of this nomogram (AUC=0.802). Predicted probabilities corresponded well with the actual one- to five-year overall survival rates of glioma patients. The efficiency of the prognostic model was validated in CGGA 693 cohort. The Kaplan-Meier survival curve demonstrated a good discrimination of survival probabilities of the two clusters ( $p < 0.0001$ ). The ROC curve confirmed the discriminative ability of this nomogram (AUC=0.737). Predicted probabilities corresponded well with the actual four-year overall survival rates of glioma patients. |

|                                     |  |  |  |  |   |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|
| Nuechterlein et al. 2021            | Microarray based CGH   | Mutational status of <i>IDH2</i> and the presence or absence of co-deletion of whole chromosome arms 1p and 19q  | Random forest classifier   | SCNA data from 786 adult diffuse gliomas in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) to develop a two-stage classification system  | Cross-validated results on TCGA SCNA data showed near perfect classification results. The astrocytic <i>IDH</i> mutation model validated well on four additional datasets (AUC=0.97, MCC=0.96) as did the 1p/19q-co-deleted oligodendroglioma screen on the two datasets that contained oligodendrogliomas (AUC=0.97, MCC=0.97).  |
| Matsui et al. 2020                  | Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), Positron Emission Tomography (PET), and Computed Tomography (CT) | Diffuse astrocytoma, <i>IDH</i> -wildtype diffuse astrocytoma, <i>IDH</i> -mutant oligodendroglioma  | A deep learning model  | The dataset used in the study consisted of 217 patients diagnosed with WHO grade II/III gliomas at the Department of Neurosurgery at Tokyo Women's Medical University between January 2009 and March 2019  | The model could predict the molecular subtype with an accuracy of 96.6% for the training dataset and 68.7% for the test dataset. The model achieved best accuracies of 85.5%, 60.4% and 59.4% when only MRI, MRI and PET, and MRI and CT data, respectively. This is the first model to double the expected accuracy for a 3-group classification problem, when predicting the LGG molecular subtype. |
| C.J.Park et al. 2020                | MRI/DTI histogram  | DH status  | Random forest  | 18 patients with pathologically confirmed high-grade gliomas who underwent preoperative MRI and newly diagnosed lower-grade gliomas.   | Adding DTI radiomics to conventional radiomics significantly improved the accuracy of <i>IDH</i> status subtyping (AUC, 0.900 [95% CI, 0.855–0.945], p=0.006), whereas adding DTI histogram parameters yielded nonsignificant trend toward improvement (0.869 [95% CI, 0.816–0.922], p=0.150) compared with the model with conventional radiomics alone (0.835 [95% CI, 0.773–0.896]).                |
| Acs, Rantalainen, and Hartman, 2020 | Digital Image analysis of hematoxylin and eosin-stained slides                                     | Glioma grade   | Deep neural network models   | 1061 Whole Slide Images (WSIs) with patient follow-up data from TCGA. Histology images and genomic data into a single unified prediction framework called Genomic Survival Convolutional Neural Network (GSCNN model).   | The GSCNN model achieved higher prognostic accuracy than the current WHO paradigm based on genomic classification and histologic grading.   |
| Kocher et al. 2020                  | PET, MRI, MR spectroscopy  | <i>CDKN2A/B</i> homozygous deletion, <i>IDH</i> mutations, 1p/19q co-deletion, methylation profiles  | Deep learning based machine learning methods   | The accuracy was measured using a machine learning algorithm trained on a dataset of 120 patients with WHO grade III and IV gliomas  | An accuracy of approximately 90% in assessing WHO grade in newly diagnosed gliomas  |
| Cakmakci et al. 2020                | 1H HRMAS NMR spectroscopy  | Pilocytic Astrocytoma (PAST-I), Astrocytoma Grade II (AST-II), Astrocytoma Grade III (AST-III), Glioblastoma (GBM), Oligodendroglioma Grade II (ODG-II), Oligodendroglioma Grade II-III (ODG-II_III), Oligodendroglioma Grade III (ODG-III or ODIII), Oligoastrocytoma grade II (OAST-II), Oligoastrocytoma grade III (OAST-III), Oligoastrocytoma grade II-III (OASTII-III), Ganglioglioma Grade II (GG-II), Ganglioglioma Grade III (GG-III), Dysembryoplastic Neuroepithelial Tumors (DNET), Gliosarcoma (GS) | Random forest-based machine learning The code is released at <a href="http://github.com/ciceklab/HRMAS_NC">http://github.com/ciceklab/HRMAS_NC</a> | 247 primary brain tumor samples from 218 patients, 74 non-tumor brain tissue samples from epilepsy surgery of 54 patients, and spectra of 244 samples from excision cavity of patients 4. The study used a new and large dataset of glioma and control samples (n=565) that were labeled with a quantitative pathology analysis. The study was conducted in France, and the dataset is publicly available at <a href="https://zenodo.org/record/3951448">https://zenodo.org/record/3951448</a> . | A median AUC of 87.1% and AUPR of 96.1% for the best performing method, which was a random forest-based approach.   |

|                          |  |   |   |  |  |
|--------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Herrera-Rios et al. 2020 | Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS)   | Notch1  | Agilent Whole Human Genome Array platform, and Gene Set Variation Analysis (GSVA) analysis using the gsva package in R  | The use of NGS of a glioma-tailored gene panel in 50 glioma patients from Switzerland and the expression of ALDH1A3 in 301 glioblastoma patients from China  | Shows Brontictuzumab impairs cellular invasion of Glioma Stem-like Cells (GSCs) and reduces the expression of stem cell markers, suggesting that it may have potential in targeting the stem cell-like cell population that promotes the pathology of glioblastoma. Further investigation of Brontictuzumab as a targeted therapy for GSCs and shows that high Notch1 activation and the amount of suppression of Hey1/Hes1 mRNA and cleaved Notch1 protein upon treatment serve as predictive for resistance of GSCs against Brontictuzumab. These studies suggest that Brontictuzumab may have potential as a targeted therapy for glioblastoma patients, particularly those with elevated Notch1 transcription or stem cell-like cell population. |
| Uroshlev et al. 2020     | NGS  | Methylation level of cytosine-guanine (CpG) dinucleotides   | Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier with a linear kernel  | 100 randomly selected whole genome sequencing datasets from the 1000 Genomes project that contained reads mapped on a reference genome (GRCh37)  | A simple support vector machine classifier based on this algorithm shows an accuracy of 84%. The method allows the detection of epigenetic markers purely based on mechanochemical DNA fragmentation, which can be detected by a simple analysis of the NGS sequencing data.   |
| Orzan et al. 2020        | RNA-sequencing analysis and immunohistochemical profiling                              | Proneural (PN); Classical (CL); Mesenchymal (ME) of GBM molecular subgroups   | The study used a machine learning approach to integrate immunohistochemical profiles based on expression of a restricted panel of gene classifiers to generate a GliTS based on protein quantification that allowed an efficient GliTS assignment when applied to an extended cohort. | The study analyzed a total of 248 glioblastoma samples, including 51 representative samples for RNA-sequencing analysis and 197 extended cohort samples for immunohistochemical profiling. The study dataset consisted of newly diagnosed pathologically confirmed GBMs (n=197) retrieved from the Institutional database of the Department of Pathology, Spedali Civili of Brescia, Italy.                | The study reported high concordance between profiles obtained either by molecular or IHC-based approach, being 81.3% for CL and up to 90% for MES 12. This suggests that the integrated molecular and immunohistochemistry-based algorithm developed in the study has high accuracy and reliability in predicting glioblastoma transcriptional subtypes.   |
| Suchorska et al. 2019    | High-resolution contrast-enhanced T1-weighted MRI, pyrosequencing                      | IDH1 and IDH2 mutations, co-del 1p/19q  | Random survival forest.   | 301 patients with either WHO grade II (n 181) or WHO grade III (n 120) were included.  | In IDH mutation tumours only, both conventional Cox regression modelling and RSF analyses showed that CE on initial MRI is a prognostic factor for survival with dependence on volume (p<0.05).  |
| Levitin et al. 2019      | Single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq), low-pass Whole-Genome Sequencing (WGS) and MRI | Differentially expressed genes and factors associated with astrocyte-like glioma cells. In-house glioma panel was made to define glioma grades and to target the following genes by sequencing full sequences and hotspots of AKT1, ATRX, BRAF, CDKN2A, CIC, EGFR, FGFR1, H3F3A, HIST1H3B, IDH1, IDH2, NOTCH1, PIK3CA, PIK3R1, PTEN, PTPN11, TERT and TP53. | Single-cell Hierarchical Poisson Factorization (scHPF) Code is available at: <a href="https://github.com/simslab/scHPF">https://github.com/simslab/scHPF</a> .  | 102,011 SNVs, SNPs, and indel variants from a 27-gene panel sequenced for colorectal cancer, melanoma, lung cancer, and 18 genes sequenced for glioma cancer. The dataset included a total of 7,301 samples. scRNA-seq data: Gene Expression Omnibus GSE116621 ( <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE116621">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE116621</a> ) | Data available at: Gene Expression Omnibus GSE116621 ( <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE116621">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE116621</a> )   |

|                           |   |   |   |  |  |
|---------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| Wei et al. 2019           | MRI, pyrosequencing, spectrophotometry, PCR amplification   | MGMT promoter methylation in astrocytomas                                 | The maximum Relevance and Minimum Redundancy (mRMR) algorithm   | 3,051 features extracted from the Region of Interest (ROI) on both the tumour and the peritumoral habitats   | Exhibited supreme power for predicting MGMT promoter methylation, with area under the curve values of 0.925 in the training cohort and 0.902 in the validation cohort. Performance of the radiomics signature surpassed that of clinical factors and ADC parameters. Moreover, the radiomics approach successfully divided patients into high-risk and low-risk groups for overall survival after TMZ chemotherapy ( $p=0.03$ ).   |
| Fukuma et al. 2019        | MRI, immunohistochemistry and DNA sequencing  | IDH wild type, IDH and pTERT co-mutations, IDH mutant and pTERT wild type | Linear Support Vector Machine (SVM), Deep Neural Networks (DNN) and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) (AlexNet) | The dataset included 199 cases from 11 institutions, with patients aged 20 years or older, frozen or fresh tissue available for genomic analysis, pre-operative MRI available for radiomic analysis, and a final diagnosis of lower grade (WHO grade II/III) glioma based on the fourth edition of the WHO classification  | The classification accuracy between the lesion and normal tissue was highest when the texture features from conv5 were used to train the SVM classifier ( $98.4 \pm 1.9\%$ ( $0.999 \pm 0.003$ )). Using all features, an accuracy of 63.1% was achieved, which was significantly higher than the accuracy obtained from using either the radiomic features or patient age alone. In particular, prediction of the pTERT mutation was significantly improved by the CNN texture features.  |
| van der Voort et al. 2019 | MRI imaging Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization (FISH), targeted next-generation sequencing panel using an Ion Torrent Personal Genome Machine | 1p/19q codeletion status of presumed low-grade glioma                     | Ensemble of five SVMs   | Preoperative brain MR images from 284 (424 patients identified in the EMC/HMC dataset after initial screening of presumed low grade glioma) patients who had undergone biopsy or resection of presumed LGG, which were used to train the support vector machine algorithm. The performance of the algorithm was compared with tissue diagnosis on an external validation dataset of MR images from 129 patients with LGG from The Cancer Imaging Archive (TCIA). There were 159 patients screened from the TCIA dataset.   | The algorithm had a higher predictive performance than the average of the neurosurgeons (AUC 0.52) but lower than that of the neuro-radiologists (AUC of 0.81). There was a wide variability between clinical experts  |
| Z.-C.Li et al. 2018       | MRI, Pyrosequencing analysis (Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR))  | IDH1 (R132 region)  | CNN, Boruta algorithm, Random Forest  | 651 patients from The Cancer Imaging Archive (TCIA) publicly-available dataset ( <a href="http://www.cancerimagingarchive.net">www.cancerimagingarchive.net</a> ) and three local institutions between January 2013 and July 2017 were analyzed. Finally: Retrospective multi-center study, 225 patients were included. A total of 1614 multiregional features were extracted from enhancement area, non-enhancement area, necrosis, edema, tumor core, and whole tumor in multiparametric MRI. The training cohort comprised 58 patients from TCIA and 60 patients from Sun Yat-sen university cancer center. The validation cohort comprised 45 patients from The 3rd Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University and 62 patients from Guangzhou general hospital of Guangzhou military command. | The edema model achieved the best accuracy of 96% and the best F1-score of 0.75 while the non-enhancement model achieved the best Area Under the receiver operating characteristic Curve (AUC) of 0.88 in the validation cohort. The overall performance of the tumor-core model (accuracy 0.96, AUC 0.86 and F1-score 0.75) and the whole-tumor model (accuracy 0.96, AUC 0.88 and F1-score 0.75) was slightly better than the single-regional models. The 8-feature all-region radiomics model achieved an improved overall performance of an accuracy 96%, an AUC 0.90, and an F1-score 0.78. Among all models, the model combining all-region imaging features with age achieved the best performance of an accuracy 97%, an AUC 0.96, and an F1-score 0.84. |

|                          |                                    |   |   |   |   |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| L. Zhou et al. 2018      | Microarray data analysis           | 9,341 DEGs were identified, including 9,175 upregulated genes and 166 downregulated genes   | The limma package in R software, Morpheus online software, Gene Ontology (GO), Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analyses | The original data for the study was downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database, specifically the GSE15824 and GSE51062 datasets. These datasets are publicly available and were used for gene expression analysis and identification of differentially expressed genes in glioblastoma. The datasets were based on the Affymetrix GPL570 platform (Affymetrix Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array) and included 5 normal samples and 74 GBM samples. | The study identified several genes that are good prognostic biomarkers for glioblastoma including RANBP17, ZNF734, NLRP2, GPR1, CCDC81, SH3RF1, and TM7SF4.   |
| Liu et al. 2018          | MRI and RNA sequencing             | Nine textural features from Contrast-Enhanced(CE) MRI images that were associated with angiogenesis and could predict patient survival  | Random Survival Forest (RSF)  | The algorithm was trained on the RNA sequencing data from the training set of 91 anaplastic glioma from the The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) patients and validated on an independent set of 64 patients from the CGGA database.  | A 48-gene signature for CE was identified in TCGA and validated in CGGA dataset (area under the curve=0.9787). Seven genes derived from the CE-specific signature could stratify AG patients into two subgroups based on overall survival time according to corresponding risk score. Nine prognostic quantitative radiomic features of CE were found and the underlying biological processes of them was investigated.   |
| Blanc-Durand et al. 2018 | Positron Emission Tomography (PET) | To demonstrate the feasibility of an automated 18F-Fluoro-Ethyl-Tyrosine (18F-FET) PET lesion detection and segmentation relying on a full 3D U-Net Convolutional Neural Network (CNN). | Full 3D U-Net convolutional neural network  | Thirty-seven patients were included (26 [70%] in the training set and 11 [30%] in the validation set).  | All 11 lesions were accurately detected with no false positive, resulting in a sensitivity and a specificity for the detection at the tumor level of 100%. After 150 epochs, DSC reached 0.7924 in the training set and 0.7911 in the validation set. After morphological dilation and fixed thresholding of the predicted U-Net mask a substantial improvement of the DSC to 0.8231 (+4.1%) was noted. At the voxel level, this segmentation led to a 0.88 sensitivity [95% CI, 87.1 to,88.2%] a 0.99 specificity[99.9 to 99.9%], a 0.78 positive predictive value:[76.9 to 78.3%], and a 0.99 negative predictive value[99.9 to 99.9%]. |
| Bolis et al. 2017        | RNA-sequencing                     | 21 genes that were co-expressed in a tumor-type independent manner  | ATRA-21 model   | The data set used in the study was RNA-sequencing data for 10,080 patients and 33 different tumor types derived from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and Leucegene datasets. The RNA-sequencing data was completely re-processed and used for machine learning methods and network analysis  | On average, lower grade gliomas are predicted to be even more sensitive to ATRA than Acute Promyelocytic Leukemia (APL)   |

|                      |                      |   |                                 |   |   |
|----------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Way et al. 2017      | Multi-array analysis | NF1 ans associated causal genes, including QPRT, RSL1D1 (CSIG), PPEF, PEG10, and ATF5 | Logistic regression classifiers | Using RNAseq data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), an ensemble of 500 logistic regression classifiers was trained that integrated mutation status with whole transcriptomes to predict NF1 inactivation in glioblastoma (GBM).  | On TCGA data, the classifier detected NF1 mutated tumors (test set area under the Receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) mean=0.77, 95% quantile=0.53-0.95) over 50 random initializations. On RNA-Seq data transformed into the space of gene expression microarrays, this method produced a classifier with similar performance (test set AUROC mean=0.77, 95% quantile=0.53-0.96).  |
| Brunelli Et al. 2017 | Multi-array analysis | NF1 ans associated causal genes, including QPRT, RSL1D1 (CSIG), PPEF, PEG10, and ATF5 | Machine learning classifier     | Using RNAseq data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), an ensemble of 500 logistic regression classifiers were trained that integrated mutation status with whole transcriptomes to predict NF1 inactivation in Glioblastoma (GBM). | On TCGA data, the classifier detected NF1 mutated tumors (test set Area Under the Receiver Operating characteristic Curve (AUROC) mean=0.77, 95% quantile=0.53-0.95) over 50 random initializations. On RNA-Seq data transformed into the space of gene expression microarrays, this method produced a classifier with similar performance (test set AUROC mean=0.77, 95% quantile=0.53-0.96).The ensemble classifier trained on the transformed TCGA data was applied to a microarray validation set of 12 samples with matched RNA and NF1 protein-level measurements. The classifier's NF1 score was associated with NF1 protein concentration in these samples. |
| Wang et al. 2010     | PCR analysis         | ATM, ATR, Chk1 and Chk2   | Silico analysis                 | Tissues from ten normal brains and thirty human gliomas were utilized for the first real-time PCR analysis, and another twelve normal brain tissues and forty gliomas were used for confirmation                                    | Expression of ATM, ATR, Chk1 and Chk2 genes in gliomas decreased to 83.9%, 26.1%, 33.4% and 10.9%, respectively, relative to normal brain tissues. Significant differences were observed in ATR, Chk1 and Chk2 but not in ATM between glioma tissues and non-tumor tissues, indicating the loss of repair and checkpoint control in the glioma tissues. Chk2 had the most significant reduction in expression and was ~ 10-fold less in glioma compared to normal controls (P<0.0001). These data suggest that the down- regulation of ATR, Chk1 and Chk2 genes may be a characteristic of gliomas.   |