OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

Medical & Surgical Urology

ISSN: 2168-9857

Opinion Article

The Silent Menace of Forgotten DJ Stents: A Preventable Urological Crisis

Dalmoro Sattin*

Department of Surgery, University of Padova, Padova, Italy

DESCRIPTION

In modern urological practice, the Double-J (DJ) stent is a cornerstone tool for managing ureteral obstructions, facilitating recovery after surgical interventions like stone removal, and ensuring continued urine drainage. These flexible, coiled tubes are designed to be temporary aids, typically staying in the body for a few weeks to a few months. However, when neglected, as seen in an increasingly reported number of cases, these lifesaving devices can transform into dangerous foreign bodies, leading to severe and sometimes irreversible complications.

A case that exemplifies this silent but growing issue is that of a 40-year-old man who presented with urinary dribbling and mild suprapubic discomfort. What appeared at first glance to be a minor urinary issue turned out to be the sequelae of a long-forgotten DJ stent, left indwelling for two years following a ureteric calculus surgery. Imaging revealed a fragmented stent, with pieces scattered from the renal pelvis down to the proximal urethra, causing hydronephrosis and obstructive symptoms. The eventual diagnosis stent fragmentation due to material fatigue was both predictable and preventable.

This incident isn't an anomaly; it's a reflection of a systemic problem within postoperative urological care. DJ stents, though effective, are not designed for prolonged use. Their biocompatibility is finite, and the urinary environment is inherently harsh laden with minerals, bacteria, and variable pH that accelerates degradation. With time, the risk of complications such as encrustation, migration, infection, and fragmentation exponentially increases. Fragmented stents, like in the presented case, pose serious retrieval challenges and can necessitate invasive procedures like ureteroscopy, percutaneous nephrolithotomy, or even open surgery.

Despite these well-documented risks, cases of forgotten or overdue stents continue to surface across the globe. This points to a glaring gap not in medical knowledge, but in patient compliance, follow-up systems, and, crucially, provider accountability. Often, patients are discharged postoperatively with a stent in place but without clear guidance or tracking mechanisms for timely removal or replacement. As a result, stents can be left in situ for years, turning a routine procedure into a complex medical dilemma.

The failure here is multifaceted. Firstly, from a clinical standpoint, there is insufficient emphasis on post-procedure stent management. In the absence of robust tracking systems or automated alerts within hospital databases, many patients fall through the cracks. Secondly, there's a communication gap. Patients, particularly those from less educated or rural backgrounds, may not understand the importance of follow-up or may misinterpret the presence of a stent as permanent. Thirdly, in some settings, the healthcare infrastructure itself may be overloaded or under-resourced, making regular follow-ups difficult.

Stent-related complications, though largely avoidable, place a significant burden on healthcare systems. The cost of managing a simple ureteric stone is far lower than that of multiple endoscopic retrieval procedures required for stent fragments. Beyond the financial impact lies the toll on patient health renal function deterioration, chronic infections, pain, and psychological distress.

This case serves as a clarion call to reimagine how we manage temporary medical devices like DJ stents. First and foremost, a systematic stent registry should be mandatory in all institutions placing such devices. This database should trigger automated reminders via SMS, calls, or emails to both patients and healthcare providers about upcoming stent removals. Electronic health record systems must be optimized to flag overdue stents, prompting urgent reviews. Secondly, patient education cannot be a tick-box exercise. Every patient receiving a stent should be counseled thoroughly, with visual aids and written instructions provided in their native language. For those at higher risk of defaulting due to distance, socioeconomic status, or lack of access special outreach strategies should be employed.

Thirdly, the use of biodegradable or drug-eluting stents should be explored more aggressively. These innovations, though more expensive, eliminate the risk of forgotten stents altogether. In high-risk populations, the upfront cost may be justified when weighed against the complications and surgical interventions required later. The broader lesson here goes beyond urology.

Correspondence to: Dalmoro Sattin, Department of Surgery, University of Padova, Padova, Italy, E-mail: dalmo@gmail.com

Received: 14-Feb-2025, Manuscript No. MSU-25-38178; **Editor assigned:** 17-Feb-2025, PreQC No. MSU-25-38178 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 03-Mar-2025, QC No. MSU-25-38178; **Revised:** 10-Mar-2025, Manuscript No. MSU-25-38178 (R); **Published:** 17-Mar-2025, DOI: 10.35841/2168-9857.25.14.379

Citation: Sattin D (2025). The Silent Menace of Forgotten DJ Stents: A Preventable Urological Crisis. Med Surg Urol.14:379.

Medical devices however simple or advanced carry inherent risks if their lifecycle is not meticulously monitored. From intrauterine devices to vascular catheters, the principle remains the same: what is temporary must be treated as such, with mechanisms in place to ensure timely removal.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the broken DJ stent in this case is not just a mechanical failure it is a human systems failure. It represents the intersection of poor follow-up, limited patient education, and fragmented healthcare systems. Yet, the silver lining is clear: this is a solvable problem. With digital health tools, proper counseling, and institutional accountability, we can significantly reduce the incidence of forgotten stents and the complications they breed. The future of urological care must not only focus on surgical precision but also on postoperative vigilance. Only then can we ensure that a tool meant to heal does not become a source of harm.