

The Effect of Personality Type on the Response to Physical Therapy Methods in Chronic Low Back Pain

Seide Karasel*

Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Famagusta State Hospital, Famagusta, Cyprus

ABSTRACT

Low back pain is a common health problem that can cause morbidity. Chronic low back pain is associated with physical disability and depressive mood. The main purpose in the management of chronic pain is to improve quality of life and decrease pain and increase mobility and social relationships. Although there are studies assessing chronic pain prevalence, psychological effects, and chronic pain-personality relation studies; there are no studies about personality effect on treatment response in chronic pain.

We evaluated patients who applied to our clinic due to chronic low back pain between 2020-2021. The study group was comprised of 64 patients with back pain that exceeded 6 months' duration. The patients were given a home based exercise program. Also 15 sessions of physiotherapy program during 3 weeks, consisting of TENS, ultrasound and hot pack. All scales and measurements were performed 2 times in all patients: at study initiation (before-treatment scores), as soon as treatment was completed (after-treatment scores).

This study is planned to investigate the effect of personality type on chronic low back pain and quality of life and also the effect of personality type on the response to physical therapy methods applied in chronic low back pain. Relationship between personality and chronic low back pain, quality of life and response to physical therapy is hypothesized but there is no statistically significant difference. Further studies with more patients needed to investigate relationship between chronic low back pain and personality.

Keywords: Inflammation; Aging; Cytokines; Delirium; Dementia; Brain; Conditional logic; Boolean

INTRODUCTION

Low back pain is a common health problem that can cause morbidity. Low back pain causes more disability than any other health condition has shown in literature [1].

Chronic low back pain is associated with physical disability and depressive mood [2]. Chronic pain cause physical effects including muscle strength, limited range of motion, a lack of energy and changes in appetite, sleep disorders; emotional effects such as anger, depression, anxiety and fear; and also mental effects including decreased memory and concentration [3]. Patient with low back pain seen mild depression in approximately 15% [3,4]. The presence of mild depression at the onset of low back pain was accepted as an indication of the chronic progression of pain

[5-8]. The main purpose in the management of chronic pain is to improve quality of life and decrease pain and increase mobility and social relationships [9]. Chronic pain has multiple treatment intervations; single modality treatments are often ineffective for patients because of the complexity of the condition and associated challenges in its assessment. To develop better prevention and treatment approaches, chronic pain needs to be identified in the context of each patient's biological, psychological, physical and occupational features.

Although there are studies assessing chronic pain prevalence, psychological affects chronic pain-personality relation studies; there are no studies about personality effect on treatment response in chronic pain.

Correspondence to: Dr. Seide Karasel, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Famagusta State Hospital, Famagusta, Cyprus, Tel: +35705338707533; E-mail: karaselseide@yahoo.com

Received date: December 15, 2021; Accepted date: December 31, 2021; Published date: January 07, 2022

Citation: Karasel S (2022) The Effect of Personality Type on the Response to Physical Therapy Methods in Chronic Low Back Pain. Int J Phys Med Rehabil. 10:614.

Copyright: © 2022 Karasel S. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

This study is planned to investigate the effect of personality type on chronic low back pain and quality of life and also the effect of personality type on the response to physical therapy methods applied in chronic low back pain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was planned as an intervention trial that evaluated patients who applied to our clinic due to chronic low back pain between 2020-2021. The study group was comprised of 64 patients with back pain that exceeded 6 months' duration. The study was approved by the Clinical and Laboratory Research Ethics Committee.

Inclusion criteria

- Being aged between 40-65 years.

- Having back pain for more than 6 months without spread to regions other than the lumbar, sacral and lumbosacral regions.

- Being able to comply with the outpatient program.
- Agreeing to participate in the study.

Exclusion criteria

- Having neurological deficit (motor, sensory and reflex).
- Having a history of uncontrolled cardiovascular disease.
- Being pregnant.
- Having severe osteoporosis or osteomalacia.
- Uncontrolled DM, hypertension.
- Having any infectious disease, rheumatic disease or history of malignancy.
- Having history of back surgery.

- Having received electrotherapy program within the last six months.

The patients were given a home based exercise program. Also 15 sessions of physiotherapy program during 3 weeks, consisting of tens, ultrasound and hot pack. All scales and measurements were performed 2 times in all patients: at study initiation (before-treatment scores), as soon as treatment was completed (after-treatment scores).

Exercise programs were explained to the patients in the clinic and the first set was performed under the supervision of a physician. Home-based physical exercise program consisting mobility exercises, muscular stretching and strengthening exercises of spine They were asked to perform the given exercise routine 3 times a day (each one comprised of 10 sets of the routine).

Physical therapy was applied five days a week with one session per day. Hot pack therapy was performed for 20 minutes. Ultrasound therapy was given for five minutes in continuous form with an intensity of 1 w/cm2 and a frequency of 1 Mhz. Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) therapy was applied in continuous form (100 Hz, 40 μ sn for 30 minutes).

Parameters used

Pain: It was evaluated by Visual Analogue Scale (VAS). The

patients were given a 10-cm horizontal paper numbered from 1 to 10 and were told to grade their pain in consideration that '0' meant no pain while '10' meant the most severe pain they had suffered in their life. Additionally, patients completed the 'Pain Disability Index' questionnaire in order to determine how much of an effect their pain had on their daily life.

Functional deficiency measurement: The Modified oswestry low back pain disability form was used for this purpose. This scale is recommended as a precision scale in the measurement of functional disability in patients with low back pain due to its value and repeatability. The Turkish-language validity and reliability of the form was performed by Yakut et al. [10]. There are 10 questions in the form, each of these questions are answered by choosing one of 6 choices scored from 0 to 5 points. The patient is asked for to select the expression that best describes his/her condition. The highest possible score is 50. The patient is considered to have mild functional disability with a score between 1-10 points, moderate functional disability between 11-30 points and severe functional disability between 31-50 points [10,11].

Depression measurement: The Beck Depression Scale was used for this purpose. This scale has been shown to an accurate measure of depression level [12]. The Turkish-language validity and reliability study of the scale was performed by Hisli, et al. The scale is comprised of 21 questions, each with 4 choices which correspond to 0-3 points, and the patient is asked to choose the appropriate sentence considering his/her condition in the last week. The highest possible score is 63.A total score between 0-9 points indicates no depression, 10 to 18 points indicates mild depression, 19 to 29 points indicates moderate depression and >30 points indicates severe depression [12,13].

Personality measurement: Eysenck Personality Questionnaire (Revised Short Form): Francis, et al. created the by reviewing the Eysenck Personality Questionnaire and the short form (48 items) of the same questionnaire. The questionnaire has 24 items and evaluates personality in three main factors as extraversion, neuroticism, and psychoticism [14].

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed on SPSS v 25 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). For the normality check, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used. Data are given as mean ± standard deviation or median (1st quartile-3rd quartile) for continuous variables according to normality of distribution and as frequency (percentage) for categorical variables. Before and after treatment scores were analyzed with the Wilcoxon signed ranks test. Spearman correlation coefficients were calculated to evaluate relationships between Eysenck Personality Questionnaire scores and improvement in pain assessment scores after treatment (difference between before and after treatment scores). Two-tailed p-values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

We included 64 patients (7 males and 57 females) into our study, mean age was 50.88 ± 6.09 (range 39-64). Most of the patients were housewife (56.25%) and were graduated from high school (35.94%). Median duration of disease was 16.5 (range 6-60) months. Thirty-four (53.13%) patients had comorbidities and the most common comorbidity was hypertension (20.31%). Median Eysenck Personality Questionnaire (EPQ) Psychoticism score was 2 (range 0-6), median EPQ Extraversion score was 2.5 (range 0-12), median EPQ Neuroticism score was 2 (range 0-9) and median EPQ Lie score was 3 (range 0-6) (Table 1).

Table 1: Summary of patients' characteristics and EPQ scores.

| Characteristics | Scores |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Age | 50.88 ± 6.09 |
| Gender | |
| Male | 7 (10.94%) |
| Female | 57 (89.06%) |
| Body mass index | 25.54 ± 3.70 |
| Occupation | |
| Retired | 8 (12.50%) |
| Housewife | 36 (56.25%) |
| Officer | 10 (15.63%) |
| Self-employment | 10 (15.63%) |
| Education | |
| Primary school | 16 (25.00%) |
| Secondary school | 16 (25.00%) |
| High school | 23 (35.94%) |
| University | 9 (14.06%) |
| Marital status | |
| Single | 64 (100.00%) |
| Duration of disease, year | 16.5 (9-36) |
| Comorbidities | 34 (53.13%) |
| Diabetes mellitus | 1 (1.56%) |
| Hypertension | 13 (20.31%) |
| Renal diseases | 1 (1.56%) |
| Fibromyalgia syndrome | 7 (10.94%) |
| Thyroid diseases | 4 (6.25%) |
| Asthma | 2 (3.13%) |
| Hyperlipidemia | 3 (4.69%) |
| Anemia | 1 (1.56%) |
| Gastric problems | 4 (6.25%) |
| Eysenck personality questionnaire | |
| Psychoticism | 2 (0-2.5) |
| Extra version | 2.5 (1-5) |
| Neuroticism | 2 (1-4) |
| Lie | 3 (1-4) |

Note: Data are given as mean ± standard deviation or median (1st quartile-3rd quartile) for continuous variables according to normality of distribution and as frequency (percentage) for categorical variables.

After treatment Visual Analogue Scale (p<0.001) and Modified oswestry low back pain disability questionnaire (p<0.001) scores were significantly lower than before. After treatment SF-36 Physical functioning (p<0.001), Role limitations due to physical health (p<0.001), Pain (p<0.001), General health (p=0.005), Energy/fatigue (p=0.028) and Social functioning (p=0.014) scores were significantly higher than before. There were no significant differences between before and after scores of the Beck Depression Inventory, SF-36 Role limitations due to emotional

problems and SF-36 Emotional well-being scores (Table 2). Table 2: Summary of pain assessment scores before and after treatment.

| | Before | After | р |
|--|--------------|----------------|--------|
| Visual Analogue Scale | 7 (6-8) | 2 (0-3) | <0.001 |
| Modified Oswestry Questionnaire | 23 (19.5-29) | 12 (8-18) | <0.001 |
| Beck Depression Inventory SF-36 | 9.5 (6-15) | 10 (4-13) | 0.068 |
| Physical functioning | 55 (37.5-70) | 72.5 (52.5-85) | <0.001 |
| Role limitations due to physical health | 0 (0-29.17) | 50 (25-100) | <0.001 |
| Pain | 41 (31-41.5) | 51 (41-74) | <0.001 |
| General health | 45 (35-53.5) | 53.5 (41-67) | 0.005 |
| Energy/fatigue | 40 (30-50) | 47.5 (35-55) | 0.028 |
| Social functioning | 50 (37.5-75) | 62.5 (50-75) | 0.014 |
| Role limitations due to emotional problems | 0 (0-100) | 66.67 (0-100) | 0.173 |
| Emotional well- being | 52 (44-66) | 60 (44-72) | 0.374 |

Note: Data are given as mean ± standard deviation or median (1st quartile-3rd quartile) for continuous variables according to normality of distribution and as frequency (percentage) for categorical variables.

When we evaluated relationships between improvement in pain assessment scores after treatment and EPQ scores, we found patients with higher EPQ Extraversion score had less increase in SF-36 physical functioning score after treatment (r=0.274, p=0.028).We found no significant correlations between EPQ scores and improvement in visual analogue scale, Modified oswestry low back pain disability questionnaire and other SF-36 scores (Table 3).

Table 3: Relationships between Eysenck Personality Questionnairescores and improvement in pain assessment scores after treatment.

| | | Psychoticism | Extraversion | Neuroticism | Lie |
|---|---|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------|
| Visual | r | 0.063 | -0.076 | 0.026 | 0.085 |
| analogue scale | р | 0.624 | 0.551 | 0.836 | 0.507 |
| Modified | r | 0.134 | -0.023 | -0.106 | -0.156 |
| oswestry questionnaire | р | 0.29 | 0.86 | 0.404 | 0.219 |
| Physical | r | 0.14 | -0.274 | 0.061 | -0.028 |
| functioning | р | 0.271 | 0.028 | 0.634 | 0.823 |
| Role | r | 0.052 | -0.167 | 0.076 | 0.058 |
| limitations due to physical health | р | 0.686 | 0.188 | 0.55 | 0.648 |

Page 4 of 7

| Pain | r | -0.005 | -0.053 | 0.003 | -0.089 |
|--------------------|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | р | 0.968 | 0.679 | 0.98 | 0.482 |
| General health | r | -0.054 | 0.18 | 0.148 | -0.066 |
| | р | 0.674 | 0.155 | 0.243 | 0.603 |
| Energy/ fatigue | r | 0.103 | -0.076 | 0.132 | -0.116 |
| | р | 0.418 | 0.548 | 0.299 | 0.363 |
| Social functioning | r | 0.022 | 0 | -0.094 | -0.099 |
| | р | 0.863 | 0.999 | 0.459 | 0.437 |
| | | | | | |

Note: r-Spearman correlation coefficient.

DISCUSSION

Characteristics including physical and emotional sensitivity and anxious personality type have been observed in individuals with non-specific chronic low back pain. Personality type may contribute to the development of symptoms in chronic pain by affecting central sensitization [15]. To date, there is no research that has considered both personality types on quality of life and physical therapy responses in chronic low back pain. The aim of this study is to investigate the effect of personality type on chronic low back pain and quality of life and the effect of personality type on the response to physical therapy methods applied in chronic low back pain.

Bio psychosocial factors role are less important in acute low back pain, but these factors more important in chronic low back pain. In addition, since most patients with chronic low back pain tend to have somatization disorder, the results of personality questionarrie and psychological tests are important [16,17]. Therefore, in our study, we evaluated the personality, depression, quality of life and pain of patients with chronic low back pain at the beginning of the study, and after physiotherapy sessions in order to evaluate the changes in pain and quality of life. In addition, in our study, we found depressive symptoms in most of our chronic low back pain patients, which was in agreement with the literature, but none of our patients had high enough values for the diagnosis of major depression. In a recent large-scale study with data from 43 different countries, it was reported depression are associated with an increased pain [18]. These results suggest that pain perception and depressive feelings may show a proportional relationship in patients with chronic low back pain and quality of life. Therefore, we believe that personality in our patients was associated the pain-decreasing features of physical therapy and exercise treatment.

The three personality dimensions (neuroticism, psychoticism and extroversion) according to Eysenck's personality model in FM patients with the Eysenck Personality Questionnaire Revised-Abbreviated (EPQR-A) were evaluated. The FM group showed higher levels of neuroticism and no significant difference on psychoticism and extroversion levels [19]. We evaluated relationships between improvement in pain assessment scores after physical therapy treatment and EPQ scores, we found patients with higher EPQ Extraversion score had less increase in SF-36 physical functioning score after treatment, but this was statistically significant (p=0.028).

CONCLUSION

Further studies need for the effect of personality to physical therapy responses on low back pain and quality of life. This will provide more detailed information about the effectiveness of physical therapy responses in the treatment of chronic low back pain. We planned this study for relationship between personality and chronic low back pain, quality of life and response to physical therapy but there is no statistically significant difference. Further studies with more patients needed to investigate relationship between chronic low back pain and personality.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors report no potential conflicts of interest in the development and publication of this article.

REFERENCES

- Vos T, Abraham DF, Mohsen N, Rafael L, Catherine M, Majid E, et al. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990-2010: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet. 2012;380(9859):2163-2196. [Pubmed] [Cross Reference] [Google Scholar]
- Waddell G. The back pain revolution. (2nd edn). London. Churchill Livingstone, 1998.
- 3. Mills Sarah EE, Nicolson KP, Smith BH. Chronic pain: A review of its epidemiology and associated factors in population-based studies. Br J Anaesth. 2019;123(2):e273-e283. [Pubmed] [Cross Reference] [Google Scholar]
- Poole H, White S, Blake C, Murphy C, Bramwell R. Depression in chronic pain patients: prevalence and measurement. Pain Pract. 2009;9(3):173-180. [Pubmed] [Cross Reference] [Google Scholar]
- Haggman S, Maher CG, Refshauge KM. Screening for symptoms of depression by physical therapists managing low back pain. Phys Ther. 2004;84(12):1157-1166.
- Balagué F, Mannion AF, Pellise F, Cedraschi C. Clinical update: Low back pain. Lancet. 2007;369(9563): 726-728. [Pubmed] [Cross Reference] [Google Scholar]
- Melloh M, Elfering A, kaser A, Salathe CR, Barz T, Aghayev E, et al. Depression impacts the course of recovery in patients with acute lowback pain. J Behav Med. 2013;39(3): 80-89. [Pubmed] [Cross Reference] [Google Scholar]
- 8. Linton SJ. A review of psychological risk factors in back and neck pain. Spine. 2000;25(9): 1148-1156.
- Noori SA, Rasheed A, Aiyer R, Jung B, Bansal N, Chang K, et al. Therapeutic ultrasound for pain management in chronic low back pain and chronic neck pain: A systematic review. Pain Med. 2019;21(7):1482-1493.
- Walker LA, Zambraski EJ, Williams RF. Widespread use of prescription nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs among U.S. army active duty soldiers. Mil Med. 2017;182(3): e1709-e1712.
- 11. Fritz JM, Irrgang JJ. A comparison of a modified Oswestry low back pain disability questionnaire and the Quebec back pain disability scale. Phys Ther. 2001;81(2): 776-788. [Pubmed] [Cross Reference] [Google Scholar]

OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

[

Karasel S

- 12.Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, Mock J, Erbaugh J. An inventory for measuring depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1961;4(6): 561-571. [Pubmed] [Cross Reference] [Google Scholar]
- 13. Hisli N. Validity and reliability of the Beck Depression Scale in a Turkish sample. Turk Psychol J. 1988;6:118-122.
- 14.Karanci AN, Dirik G, Yorulmaz O. Eysenck personality questionnaire-The validity and reliability study of the revised abbreviated form in Turkey. Turk J Psychiatry 2007;18(3): 254-261.
- 15. Clark JR, Nijs J, Yeowell G, Holmes P, Goodwin PC. Trait sensitivity, anxiety, and personality are predictive of central sensitization symptoms in patients with chronic low back pain. Pain Pract. 2019;19(8): 800-810. [Pubmed] [Cross Reference] [Google Scholar]
- Truchon M, Fillion L. Biopsychosocial determinants of chronic disability and low-back pain: A review. J Occup Rehabil. 2000;10(2): 117-142.
- 17. Koleck M, Mazaux JM, Rascle N, Bruchon-Schweitzer M. Psychol social factors and coping strategies as predictors of chronic evolution and quality of life in patients with low back pain: A prospective study. Eur J Pain. 2006;10(1):1-1. [Pubmed] [Cross Reference] [Google Scholar]
- 18. Stubbs B, Koyanagi A, Thompson T, Veronese N, Carvalho AF, Solomi M, et al., The epidemiology of back pain and its relationship with depression, psychosis, anxiety, sleep disturbances, and stress sensitivity: Data from 43 low-and middleincome countries. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 2016;43:63-70. [Pubmed] [Cross Reference] [Google Scholar]
- 19. Montoro CI, Paso GAR. Personality and fibromyalgia: Relationships with clinical, emotional, and functional variables. Pers Individ Dif. 2015;85:236. [Cross Reference] [Google Scholar]