

#### **Open Access**

# The Effect of Comorbid Depression and Sexual Abuse during Childhood on Glucocorticoid and Mineralocorticoid Receptor Sensitivity of Patients with Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder

Marcelo F. Mello<sup>1</sup>\*, Andrea F. Mello<sup>1</sup>, Juliana E. Ruiz<sup>1</sup>, Jose Paulo Fiks<sup>1</sup>, Sergio B. Andreoli<sup>1</sup>, Rodrigo A. Bressan<sup>1</sup>, Mariana C. P. Costa<sup>1</sup>, Jair J. Mari<sup>1</sup>, Deborah Suchecki<sup>2</sup> and Mario Juruena<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Universidade Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP) <sup>2</sup>Department of Psychobiology, Universidade Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP) <sup>3</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Universidade de São Paulo-Ribeirão Preto (USP-RP)

### Abstract

Evidence shows that Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) patients have low basal cortisol levels and Glucocorticoid-Receptor (GR) super sensitivity following a pharmacological challenge. These findings, however, are controversial, partially due to the presence of confounding factors such as comorbidity with Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) and childhood trauma. In the present study, salivary cortisol levels of victims of violence with and without PTSD were assessed following a Prednisolone Suppression Test (PST), controlling for the presence of MDD and sexual abuse during childhood. The sample was nested in an epidemiological study performed in the city of São Paulo. Subjects with a diagnosis of PTSD (PTSD+) and a matched control group (PTSD-) were submitted to the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV, after which the Early Trauma Inventory (ETI), Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS), Beck Depression (BDI) and Anxiety Inventory (BAI) and Peri-traumatic Dissociative Experiences Questionnaire (PDEQ) were used. A saliva sample was collected before and after 5 mg of prednisolone was administered at 10 PM. Of the 34 PTSD+ patients, 19 had MDD comorbidity (PTSD+MDD). The cortisol curve of PTSD+MDD patients was similar to that of controls, and both were higher than that of PTSD patients. Cortisol levels after awakening after prednisolone administration were negatively correlated with the CAPS, BAI, and sexual abuse score on the ETI. These findings not only reinforce the idea that PTSD patients have GR super sensitivity, they also reveal that factors such as sexual abuse during childhood and comorbid MDD should be considered when studying HPA-axis reactivity, given their significant impact on the stress response.

**Keywords:** Major depressive disorder; Posttraumatic stress disorder; Glucocorticoid-receptor

# Introduction

Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) is a highly prevalent disorder [1], and diagnosis requires the presence of a stressor event that threatened the person's emotional or physical integrity [2].

Studies of the Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal (HPA) axis and autonomic nervous system are critical because they establish a relationship between the body/mind and the environment. Consequently, the activity of these systems has been highly scrutinized in PTSD patients. Although acute stressors activate the HPA axis, studies of combat veterans, Holocaust survivors, refugees, and abused subjects, all of whom have been diagnosed with PTSD, reveal a paradoxical decrease in urine or blood cortisol levels compared to healthy controls and other diagnostic groups [3]. While this counterintuitive finding has been replicated, other findings have not been as consistent [4]. Differences in the type and timing of the psychological trauma, as well as symptom patterns, the presence of comorbid conditions, personality type, and genetic disposition (among other factors) may contribute to this inconsistency [4].

Hypocortisolism in PTSD occurs in the context of increased HPAaxis sensitivity to negative glucocorticoid (GC) feedback [3], despite a marked and sustained increase in the Corticotrophin Releasing Factor (CRF) concentration in the Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) [5]. The evidence of a blunted ACTH response to CRF stimulation in PTSD patients supports the hypothesis that its pathology includes elevated levels of hypothalamic CRF activity and the consequent down regulation of pituitary CRF receptors [3]. Together, this constellation of neuroendocrine findings in PTSD patients reflects the sensitization of the HPA axis when these patients are exposed to stressors. This neuroendocrine pattern distinguishes PTSD from Major Depressive Disorder (MDD), a frequently comorbid (but distinct) disorder, which could partially be responsible for the inconsistency of HPA axis studies in PTSD.

Another factor that could be responsible for this inconsistency is a history of childhood maltreatment. There is extensive literature evaluating HPA axis function in individuals with a history of childhood maltreatment. Heim et al. used the Trier Social Stress Test (TSST) to evaluate neuroendocrine and autonomic responses in four groups of women who had been carefully categorized according to the presence or absence of early-life abuse and current major depression [6]. Women with a history of childhood abuse, with or without current major depression, exhibited increased ACTH responses to stress compared with controls (6-fold greater when abused depressed women were compared to controls). Abused women who were not currently

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Marcelo F Mello, Associate Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Federal University of São Paulo (UNIFESP), Rua Borges Lagoa, 570 Sao Paulo-SP Brazil, Tel: 55 11 30786829; Fax: 55 11 30786829; E-mail: mf-mello@uol.com.br

Received July 20, 2013; Accepted September 05, 2013; Published September 10, 2013

**Citation:** Mello MF, Mello AF, Ruiz JE, Fiks JP, Andreoli SB, et al. (2013) The Effect of Comorbid Depression and Sexual Abuse during Childhood on Glucocorticoid and Mineralocorticoid Receptor Sensitivity of Patients with Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. J Depress Anxiety S4: 004. doi: 10.4172/2167-1044.S4-004

**Copyright:** © 2013 Mello MF. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

depressed exhibited normal cortisol responses, despite their increased ACTH response, potentially indicating adrenal adaptation to central sensitization as a marker of resilience against depression after early stress.

Heim et al. who analyzed their data using multiple regression techniques, showed that childhood maltreatment was the strongest predictor of ACTH responsiveness, followed by a number of abuse events, adulthood trauma and depression [7]. Studies of early-life stress in laboratory animals had previously suggested that HPA axis and autonomic nervous system hyper-reactivity, presumably due to CRH hyper secretion, may be a long-lasting consequence of childhood abuse [8]. In contrast, Carpenter et al. found that men and women with a history of childhood maltreatment and no history of depression had decreased cortisol responses to the TSST [9].

Heim et al. used pharmacological challenge tests, CRH and ACTH stimulation tests, on depressed and non-depressed women with and without a history of childhood maltreatment [10,11]. They found that abused women who were not depressed exhibited increased ACTH responses to CRH, but both groups of depressed women (with and without childhood maltreatment) exhibited a blunted ACTH response to CRH, which is consistent with many previous studies of major depression [12,13].

Abused women without depression had a lower cortisol response than other groups after the ACTH stimulation test [10]. Laboratory studies on early-life stress using non-human primate models have demonstrated similar results [14,15]. Heim et al. hypothesized that their results could reflect both a sensitization of the pituitary and a counter-regulatory adaptation of the adrenal gland in abused women without current depression. Because cortisol has inhibitory effects on the central CRH and noradrenergic systems, a relatively decreased availability of cortisol, as a consequence of childhood trauma, might facilitate disinhibition of central stress responses. When subjected to even more stress, such women might then repeatedly hyper secrete CRH, eventually resulting in pituitary CRH receptor down regulation and symptoms of depression through CRH effects in extrahypothalamic circuits [8].

However, caution is required when interpreting such findings, as underscored by Carpenter et al. who used multiple regression analysis in an effort to disentangle the effects of depression and early life stress on CSF CRH in adults [16]. In their study of depressed and healthy control adults, perceived early-life stress was significantly correlated with concentrations of CSF CRH, but the presence of depression was not. However, the relationship between CSF CRH and early-life stress was complex; CSF CRH concentrations were negatively correlated (i.e., lower) with adversity in perinatal and pre-teen years (ages 6-13 years), but were positively correlated (i.e., higher) with stress in the preschool years (ages 0-5 years). These findings were interpreted in light of considerable preclinical research in laboratory animals, demonstrating that the timing of exposure to stressors in early life is critically important in determining their long-term effects on neurobiology and behavior.

Heim et al. used the combined dexamethasone/CRH (Dex/CRH) test to study HPA axis function in men with and without major depression and childhood abuse [17]. Abused men demonstrated increased cortisol responses compared to non-abused men, regardless of the presence of major depression. Increased responses were associated with exposure to both sexual and physical abuse and were correlated with the severity of abuse. Their results suggested that childhood maltreatment is associated with impaired glucocorticoid-mediated

feedback control of the HPA axis under stimulated conditions.

Once again, however, specific factors relating to the nature of the abuse can markedly affect the results of such studies. Carpenter et al. (2009) recently reported their findings using the Dex/CRH test in a large sample of 230 adults without major Axis I disorders. [18] Using a general linear model analysis, the authors tested for a large number of potentially confounding variables, including age, gender, education level, socioeconomic adversity in childhood, current depressive and anxiety symptoms, smoking, exogenous hormone use (for contraception or estrogen replacement) and types of maltreatment. They found that a history of self-reported childhood emotional abuse was independently and significantly associated with diminished cortisol response to the Dex/CRH test, whereas physical abuse, sexual abuse, emotional neglect and physical neglect had no significant independent effects.

The Dexamethasone Suppression Test (DST) is used to evaluate GC negative feedback, particularly at the pituitary level [19]. Although greater cortisol and ACTH suppression after the DST has been found in PTSD patients, this finding does not explain much about the contribution of higher brain areas to the activity of the HPA axis because dexamethasone does not easily penetrate the blood-brain barrier; therefore, it does not bind to the Mineralocorticoid Receptor (MR) like cortisol and has different pharmacokinetics from endogenous corticoids [20]. The Prednisolone Suppression Test (PST) avoids this problem because its pharmacological properties bear great similarity to endogenous cortisol, and its effect on the HPA axis is closer to natural physiological adaptations [21]. Prednisolone has been used to probe the HPA axis in psychiatric patients since 2006. Juruena et al. [22] specifically compared the effects of prednisolone with dexamethasone in the same depressed patients. Moreover, in this sample of depressive adults, 83% of which had a history of childhood trauma such as parental neglect or antipathy, physical abuse, or sexual abuse, the authors concluded that that the additional effects of prednisolone on the MR explain the different responses to these glucocorticoids in the same sample of depressed subjects.

The present study was carried out to test the hypothesis that comorbidity with MDD and previous history of childhood sexual abuse would interfere in the activity of GC negative feedback. To test this hypothesis, salivary cortisol levels were evaluated before and after PST in a group of subjects who had been exposed to highly traumatic events sometime within the last three years, who either did or did not develop posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD+ and PTSD-, respectively). PTSD is a unique condition compared to other psychiatric disorders in that having a severe traumatic event is a necessary condition to consider in the diagnostic. In this way, PTSD is an organism's pathological reaction to this traumatic event, which requires that researchers use subjects that were exposed to traumatic events but did not develop PTSD as a control group in contrast to other psychiatric disorders such as MDD.

# Materials and Methods

# Subjects

Subjects were drawn from a randomly sampled epidemiological survey including 3,000 participants conducted in São Paulo, Brazil that initially identified the subjects enrolled in the present study [23].

The inclusion criteria for the epidemiological survey were the following: being the victim of urban violence consistent with criterion A of DSM-IV for a PTSD diagnosis, a diagnosis of PTSD and being between 18 and 60 years of age. Upon enrollment, each subject was assessed through the WHO-Composite International Diagnostic

Interview (CIDI). Exclusion criteria included a lifetime history of bipolar or any psychotic disorder, substance dependence or abuse (except nicotine and caffeine) in the previous 6 months and having a MDD diagnosis prior to the traumatic event.

From the epidemiological survey, the following two groups were formed: individuals who were exposed to a traumatic life experience resulting in a PTSD diagnosis (cases or PTSD+) and those who were similarly exposed but did not meet the criteria for a PTSD diagnosis (controls or PTSD-). The epidemiological survey interviewer informed subjects about the case-control study procedures [24] and invited them to participate in the present study. Those who agreed were invited by phone or mail to come to the outpatient clinic. The subjects were informed about the procedures, which included psychometrics and neuropsychological, imaging, genetics, and HPA axis studies. Many subjects did not participate because they had to take prednisolone; control subjects had a higher refusal rate.

In addition to the CIDI, all patients were administered the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I and Axis II (SCID-I and SCID-II, respectively) by a trained psychiatrist. Patients were eligible for inclusion in the study if they met DSM-IV criteria A for a diagnosis of PTSD [25,26]. Subjects who were considered PTSD+ by CIDI but not by SCID-I were assigned to the control group. Patients were excluded from the study altogether if they 1) met SCID criteria for diagnosis of borderline personality disorder, bipolar disorder, panic disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, major depressive disorder just prior to the traumatic event, or showed psychoactive substance dependence in the last 6 months; 2) had an HPA-related disease (regardless of duration, e.g., Cushing's or Addison's disease); 3) were currently using any psychotropic medication, such as antidepressants, antipsychotics, tranquilizers, or mood stabilizers; 4) were not free of psychotropic for 2 weeks for most antidepressants and anxiolytics, or 6 weeks in the case of fluoxetine and 1 year for antipsychotics; 5) were using drugs that altered the HPA axis or 6) were not able to understand the consent form.

Subjects were evaluated using the Early Trauma Inventory (ETI) [27] to investigate any reported history of abuse or other traumatic events during their childhood or adolescence. The validity of the Portuguese version of the ETI has been established previously [28]. Additionally, patients completed the Clinician-Administered Posttraumatic Stress Scale (CAPS) [29] and the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) [30]. These questionnaires have also been adapted to and validated for use in Brazilian Portuguese [31,32].

All subjects were physically healthy based on a complete medical history. They were not taking any hormonal medications (including oral contraceptives) and had no history of hypersensitivity to corticosteroids. Women were only tested during the follicular phase of their menstrual cycles.

Urine tests for illicit drugs and pregnancy were conducted before starting the PST protocol. For this protocol, all subjects were instructed to take a 5 mg prednisolone capsule at 10:00 PM and then abstain from alcohol, coffee, tea, and food for 30 minutes after awakening. Subjects were then assessed for cortisol levels using Salivettes at awakening, 30 minutes later, and then again at 12:00 PM, 4:00 PM and 6:00 PM.

The epidemiological survey identified 167 subjects with PTSD, 64 with subclinical PTSD and 90 controls. Of these, 144 subjects came to the facility and agreed to be interviewed, 43 of which had confirmed PTSD after SCID-I administration and 101 of which had no psychiatric diagnostics. Seventy-five subjects refused to join the HPA axis protocol,



18 did not show up for the next interview with saliva samples, and the samples of 3 subjects were considered technical losses (Figure 1 for details on inclusion/exclusion procedures).

The study protocol was approved by the Research Ethical Committee of the University Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP-Brazil). The subjects were seen as part of the Program for Victims of Violence of the Department of Psychiatry of UNIFESP, and they gave voluntary written informed consent to participate in this study.

# Instruments

- Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axes I and II (SCID-I and SCID-II) [25,26] are semi-structured interviews that determine whether a subject can be diagnosed with any Axis I or Axis II disorder, respectively, according to DSM-IV criteria [2].
- *Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale* (CAPS) [29] is a structured interview developed to diagnose PTSD and rate its severity. It contains 30 items designed to assess the frequency and severity of PTSD-related symptoms. Scores can range from 0 to 136 and are classified as follows: subclinical 0 to 19; mild 20 to 39; moderate 40 to 59; severe 60 to 79; and extreme 80 and above.
- *Beck Depression Inventory* (BDI) [30] is a 21-item self-report inventory designed to measure the severity of depression. Scores can range from 0 to 63 and are classified as follows: minimal 0 to 11; mild 12 to 19; moderate 20 to 35; and severe 36 to 63.
- *Early Trauma Inventory* (ETI) [27] is a 56-item semi-structured interview that measures traumatic experiences taking place during childhood and the teenage years. Experiences are divided into the following four clusters: physical (9 items), sexual (11 items), psychological/emotional abuse (8 items), and general trauma (24 items). All ETI items are evaluated according to their frequency and duration, the stage of development in

which the event occurred, and the impact that the event had on the subject. A general score is calculated by multiplying the frequency of each positive item by its duration (measured in number of years). The scores of individual items within each cluster are then added up to calculate the total severity of early trauma for a given cluster. Clusters with more items are given greater weight in the total score because there are differences in the number of items in each cluster; we weighted the scores by dividing the sum of each positive answer within a cluster by the number of items in the cluster. Therefore, the total score was the sum of all positive items divided by 56 or the total number of items. In this way, both the cluster and total scores varied from 0 to 1, with 0 indicating no early trauma and 1 indicating extreme early trauma.

- *Peri-traumatic Dissociative Experiences Questionnaire*, Self-Report Version (PDEQ-SRV) [33] assesses dissociative experiences that occurred during and immediately following (defined as minutes and hours) a traumatic event. Participants rated the degree to which they experienced depersonalization, de-realization, amnesia, out-of-body experiences, altered time perception and body image on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = not at all true, 2 = slightly true, 3 = somewhat true, 4 = very true, 5 = extremely true). The PDEQ-10SRV was scored as the mean item response across all items, and it was translated and culturally adapted from American English to Brazilian Portuguese by Fizsman et al. [34].
- Prednisolone Suppression Test (PST). The dexamethasone suppression test (DST) was originally developed to evaluate negative feedback of the HPA axis by measuring plasma cortisol levels after the intake of 1 mg of dexamethasone [35]. Recently, lower dexamethasone doses have been used to detect an increase in negative feedback [22,36-38]. However, dexamethasone has pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetic characteristics that are distinct from endogenous GC. Specifically, dexamethasone binds only to the GR [39], does not bind to corticosteroid-binding globulin (CBG) [40], and has a much longer half-life than cortisol [41]. Prednisolone, another synthetic corticosteroid used in suppression tests, is more similar to cortisol in its GR [42] and CBG [40] binding properties as well as its half-life [43], in addition to being able to bind mineralocorticoid receptors (MR).

Cortisol, both in vitro and in vivo, has a much higher affinity for the MR [39,44] than for the GR [39,44]. In contrast, dexamethasone binds with a very high affinity to the GR both in vitro and in vivo [39,44,45], whereas it does not bind to the MR in vivo [39,46], and in vitro the dexamethasone–MR complex is much less stable that the dexamethasone–GR complex [46]. In studies measuring steroid binding in living cells, prednisolone and cortisol have shown a similar affinity for the MR, whereas dexamethasone affinity is approximately 70% lower [47]. In studies measuring the relative potency of these steroids to activate GR function, prednisolone has the same [48] or slightly higher (two-fold) [49] activity than cortisol, whereas dexamethasone potency is four- to nine-fold that of cortisol [48,49].

Due to these characteristics, prednisolone represents new possibilities to evaluate the effect of both the MR and the GR on HPA functioning in psychiatric disorders [50,51]. For example, a reduction in both MR and GR function has been described in patients with major depression, and both MR and GR are influenced by antidepressant treatment [52-54]. On the other hand, a recent study suggests that

the response to prednisolone does not change with symptomatic improvement. This is in contrast with findings using other measures of HPA axis function, such as basal cortisol levels or the response to dexamethasone, suggesting that the prednisolone suppression test may offer specific biological and clinical information related to its action on both the GR and the MR [54].

Previous studies have shown that 20 mg/day of prednisolone induces cortisol suppression [55]. Pariante et al. [21], who developed the PST in healthy controls, report that prednisolone suppresses salivary cortisol to a larger extent than plasma cortisol, and compared to dexamethasone, plasma–salivary correlations are more consistent in the PST. They propose that administration of 5 mg prednisolone, which suppresses approximately 30% of salivary cortisol secretion, is the ideal tool to investigate enhanced or impaired glucocorticoid-mediated negative feedback on the HPA axis in large samples of patients with psychiatric disorders [50,51].

The Salivettes® kits brought back by the subjects were prepared and sent to a laboratory to be stored for further analysis. After sample collection from all the subjects, cortisol levels were analyzed from the stored saliva using the ELISA method. All samples were assayed in triplicate using a cortisol kit from Salimetrics. The samples were analyzed at Genese Produtos Diagnósticos Ltd., and all data were kept in a data bank.

# Statistical analysis

All sociodemographic (gender, age) and clinical variables (PTSD, depressive, and anxiety symptoms, severity of early life abuse, and peritraumatic dissociative experience) were analyzed with the chi-square, student's T-test or univariate analysis of variance, as appropriate, compared to groups of victims of violence with and without PTSD. The significance level used was 5%. According to SCID-I, subjects were separated into the following three groups: PTSD+, PTSD+MDD, and PTSD- (controls). To compare salivary cortisol concentrations across time, data were subjected to a non-parametric repeated measures ANOVA for ordinal data [56]. The following groups were compared: PTSD+ and PTSD-, history and absence of early trauma, and presence or absence of PTSD and/or MDD.

To evaluate the factors that influenced salivary cortisol concentration in PTSD patients, we used a general linear model (GLM) regression using the gamma distribution family. The response variable was cortisol levels after awakening, and the clarifying variables included in the initial model were the following: group, age, gender, CAPS, BDI, BAI, ETI 1 (general trauma), ETI 2 (physical trauma), ETI 3 (emotional trauma), ETI 4 (sexual abuse), PDEQ and comorbid MDD.

# Results

We examined 48 subjects (35 females and 13 males), of which 34 were in the PTSD+ group and 14 were in the PTSD- group. The average age of the entire group was 37.8 (s.d. = 12.4) years. There was no difference between groups (PTSD+ vs. PTSD-) regarding age or gender (Table 1). The most frequent types of traumatic events were the following: robbery with a gun (12), homicide of a close relative (11), kidnapping with imprisonment (6), sexual abuse (6), physical violence (5), severe accident (4), and domestic violence (4).

The PTSD+ and PTSD- groups differed in CAPS, BDI and BAI scores. As expected, victims of violence who developed PTSD had more PTSD, depressive and anxiety symptoms compared to those who did not develop an Axis I diagnosis. However, there were no significant differences between groups regarding history of early

Page 5 of 8

Variable	All groups (n=48)	PTSD +	PTSD-	Test	Р	
Female	35 (72.9%)	24	11	X <sup>2</sup> =.32	.0572	
Male	13 (27,1%)	10	3			
Age	37.8 (+/- 12.4)	36 (+/- 10.9)	42.9 (+/- 15,4)	T=-1.61	P=.115	
PTSD CAPS	55.93 (+/- 26.57)	65.53 (+/- 22.61)	28 (+/- 15.06)	T=5.11	P=.000*	
Depressive symptoms (BDI)	22.3 (+/- 12.77)	24.97 (+/-11.9)	14.6 (+/-12.6)	T=2.339	.025*	
Anxiety symptoms (BAI)	25.65 (+/-12.99)	28.6(+/- 12.62)	17.09(+/-10.35	T=2.718	.01*	
Early trauma (ETI total score)	.13 (+/11)	.13(+/117)	.12(+/085)	T=.383	.704	
ETI-I (General trauma)	.09(+/08)	.09(+/089)	.09(+/087)	T=043	.704	
ETI-II (Physical trauma)	.21(+/172)	.22(+/185)	.18(+/13)	T=.606	.548	
ETI-III (Emotional trauma)	.23 (+/3)	.23 (+/32)	.23 (+/27)	T=.074	.942	
ETI-IV (Sexual abuse)	.06 (+/112)	.05(+/113)	.08(+/109)	T=664	.51	
Peritraumatic Dissociation (PDEQ)	31.74(+/-10.61)	32.76(+/-10.89)	28.4(+/-9.36)	T=1.142	.26	

PTSD (Posttraumatic Stress Disorder), CAPS (Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale), BDI (Beck Depression Inventory), BAI (Beck Anxiety Inventory), ETI (Early Trauma Inventory), PDEQ (Peritraumatic Dissociative Experiences Questionnaire).

X<sup>2</sup> chi-square test; T=T test; \* p<.05

Table 1: Demographics and clinical characteristics of victims of assault with and without PTSD.

Time/cortisol	20h	S.E.	Awakening	S.E.	30min	S.E.	12h	S.E.	16h	S.E.	18h	S.E.
PTSD+MDD	.157	.03	.626	.091	.54	.063	.358	.049	.232	.064	.295	.065
PTSD	.167	.026	.442	.084	.381	.109	.286	.0061	.346	.035	.308	.106
Controls	.167	.014	.607	.054	.54	.058	.252	.032	.216	.027	.159	.042

PTSD=Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder; MDD=Major Depressive Disorder; S.E.=Standard Error

Table 2: Cortisol means (mg/dL) at different time points on victims of violence with PTSD+MDD, PTSD alone and without axis I psychopathology.



traumas as measured by either ETI total or ETI cluster (general trauma, physical abuse, emotional abuse, and sexual abuse) scores. There were no differences between groups regarding peri-traumatic dissociative experiences when comparing their PDEQ scores (Table 1).

Nineteen (39.6%) patients with PTSD presented comorbid MDD, 15 (31.2%) patients with PTSD alone, and 14 (29.2%) were victims of violence who did not fulfill criteria for an Axis I diagnosis (i.e., the control group).

Comparison of salivary cortisol concentrations through nonparametric repeated measures ANOVA for ordinal data revealed that there is an interaction effect between group and time at the 5% significance level, revealing that there are differences among the groups (QA<sub>between</sub>subjects = 1.154, df = 1.862, *p* = .2828; QA<sub>wthin-subjects</sub> 16.129, df = 3.108, *p* = .0000; QA<sub>interaction</sub> = 2.482, df = 5.665, *p* = .00296). PTSD+ subjects had lower salivary cortisol concentrations than PTSD- ones (Table 2).

In analyzing cortisol curves, we identified differences at awakening and 30 minutes after between PTSD+ group compared to the PTSD+/ MDD+ and control groups (Figure 2). The general linear model (GLM) regression showed a negative correlation between salivary cortisol levels after awakening and CAPS (-.0069; se = .0016; p = .00021), BAI, (-.0094; se = .0035; p = .01199) and ETI (sexual abuse) (-.7222; se = .3792; p = .06616) scores; higher clinical scores were associated with lower cortisol concentrations after awakening.

### Discussion

These results confirmed previous findings that PTSD patients have a blunted response to exogenous GC (in this case, prednisolone), which is likely due to increased GR and MR responsiveness. It is important to reiterate that control subjects were also exposed to traumatic events, which reinforces the fact that the HPA axis dysfunction is specific to PTSD development.

Separating the patients with PTSD alone from those with comorbid MDD showed that a blunted awakening response to exogenous GC was specific to PTSD and that the presence of MDD counterbalances PTSD-related dysfunction to "normalize" the curve of patients with both diagnoses. This was our a priori reason for excluding patients, who were either depressed previously or when victimized, and the data confirm our rationale; we avoided including individuals with a previously affected stress response system.

Another factor that showed a negative correlation with cortisol levels after exogenous GC was the presence of sexual abuse during childhood, so the more severe the sexual abuse and its impact on the individual was, the lower the salivary cortisol levels were after awakening in response to prednisolone. In our sample, only sexual abuse during childhood, but not other types of early abuse such as general, physical, and psychological trauma, was correlated with this cortisol dysfunction. This finding raises some important points for discussion. The literature shows that presence of sexual abuse during childhood is a potent risk factor for developing an Axis I diagnosis during adulthood [57]. Some authors have found a correlation between early abuse and lower cortisol concentrations after a psychosocial stressor test and pharmacological challenge, an effect that is independent of the presence of an Axis I diagnostics [16,58,59]. The question raised here is whether the presence of severe sexual abuse during childhood and this specific HPA axis response to stress could be the specific characteristics of a group of patients. Another aspect to consider is whether subjects with a particular type of HPA axis response are at a greater risk for PTSD development after a severe adulthood stressor. PTSD related to increased GR super sensitivity should be investigated further. Research should also address whether the presence of these specific characteristics (GR sensitivity plus sexual abuse during childhood) depends on the timing of the trauma (considering epigenetic phenomena). Interestingly, we did not find differences between controls and PTSD patients on any ETI scores, including the total and sexual abuse scores; therefore, sexual abuse was not exclusive to those who developed PTSD after a traumatic event. This shows the complexity involved in combining multiple factors to determine the neurobiology of psychopathological conditions. More studies are necessary to better understand this mechanism.

Our findings reinforce the idea that PTSD symptoms are related to hyper-responsive GC receptors. The PST allows us to include the MR as part of this dysfunction as it plays roles that are different from dexamethasone, which acts exclusively on GR [22]. The findings were only related to PTSD symptoms, with more severe PTSD symptoms related to greater receptor sensitivity to prednisolone.

Jerjes et al. (2007) found an enhancement of negative feedback control of the HPA axis in patients with Chronic Fatigue Syndrome (CFS), another low-HPA axis activity similar to PTSD. The authors found that salivary cortisol was lower in CFS subjects before prednisolone treatment than in controls. Urinary cortisol metabolites were lower in CFS subjects before prednisolone treatment, but were not significant. Both measures were significantly lower in CFS subjects after the prednisolone administration [60]. The mean percentage suppression of both salivary cortisol and urinary cortisol metabolites was significantly higher in CFS subjects compared to the controls after PST [60,61]. Despite the fact that 40% of our sample had PTSD+MDD, the presence of PTSD symptoms had a predominant effect on the HPA axis by lowering cortisol levels after awakening after exogenous GC administration as demonstrated by our comparison of PTSD+ (either with or without MDD) with controls.

Nonetheless, the present study has some drawbacks that must be addressed. These include the small number of patients, the mixed gender of the sample, and the lack of more rigid control over the cortisol sampling time (because the subjects were at home). We did not calculate area under the cortisol concentration curve because of this last drawback.

#### Aknowledgement

The authors give special thanks to Victor Fossaluza, who provided supervision in the statistics carried out in the article. This study was partly funded by Fundacao de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de Sao Paulo (grant: 2004/15039-0); MCPC received a scholarship from the Ministry of Education (CAPES grant: 27909024886). JJM and RAB are a CNPq Level I Researcher, and MFM, MF Juruena, and DS are CNPq level II researchers.

#### References

- Kessler RC, Sonnega A, Bromet E, Hughes M, Nelson CB (1995) Posttraumatic stress disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey. Arch Gen Psychiatry 52: 1048-1060.
- American Psychiatric Association, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (1994) (4thedn) Washington DC, USA.
- Yehuda R (2006) Advances in understanding neuroendocrine alterations in PTSD and their therapeutic implications. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1071: 137-166.
- Heim C, Nemeroff CB (2009) Neurobiology of posttraumatic stress disorder. CNS Spectr 14: 13-24.
- Bremner JD, Licinio J, Darnell A, Krystal JH, Owens MJ, et al. (1997) Elevated CSF corticotropin-releasing factor concentrations in posttraumatic stress disorder. Am J Psychiatry 154: 624-629.
- Heim C, Newport DJ, Heit S, Graham YP, Wilcox M, et al. (2000) Pituitaryadrenal and autonomic responses to stress in women after sexual and physical abuse in childhood. JAMA 284: 592-597.
- Heim C, Newport DJ, Wagner D, Wilcox MM, Miller AH, et al. (2002) The role of early adverse experience and adulthood stress in the prediction of neuroendocrine stress reactivity in women: a multiple regression analysis. Depress Anxiety 15: 117-125.
- Heim C, Newport DJ, Mletzko T, Miller AH, Nemeroff CB (2008) The link between childhood trauma and depression: insights from HPA axis studies in humans. Psychoneuroendocrinology 33: 693-710.
- Carpenter LL, Carvalho JP, Tyrka AR, Wier LM, Mello AF, et al. (2007) Decreased adrenocorticotropic hormone and cortisol responses to stress in

Page 7 of 8

healthy adults reporting significant childhood maltreatment. Biol Psychiatry 62: 1080-1087.

- Heim C, Newport DJ, Bonsall R, Miller AH, Nemeroff CB (2001) Altered pituitaryadrenal axis responses to provocative challenge tests in adult survivors of childhood abuse. Am J Psychiatry 158: 575-581.
- Heim C, Nemeroff CB (2001) The role of childhood trauma in the neurobiology of mood and anxiety disorders: preclinical and clinical studies. Biol Psychiatry 49: 1023-1039.
- Gold PW, Chrousos G, Kellner C, Post R, Roy A, et al. (1984) Psychiatric implications of basic and clinical studies with corticotropin-releasing factor. Am J Psychiatry 141: 619-627.
- Holsboer F, Von Bardeleben U, Gerken A, Stalla GK, Müller OA (1984) Blunted corticotropin and normal cortisol response to human corticotropin-releasing factor in depression. N Engl J Med 311: 1127.
- 14. Coplan JD, Andrews MW, Rosenblum LA, Owens MJ, Friedman S, et al. (1996) Persistent elevations of cerebrospinal fluid concentrations of corticotropinreleasing factor in adult nonhuman primates exposed to early-life stressors: implications for the pathophysiology of mood and anxiety disorders. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93: 1619-1623.
- Dettling AC, Feldon J, Pryce CR (2002) Early deprivation and behavioral and physiological responses to social separation/novelty in the marmoset. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 73: 259-269.
- Carpenter LL, Tyrka AR, McDougle CJ, Malison RT, Owens MJ, et al. (2004) Cerebrospinal fluid corticotropin-releasing factor and perceived early-life stress in depressed patients and healthy control subjects. Neuropsychopharmacology 29: 777-784.
- Heim C, Mletzko T, Purselle D, Musselman DL, Nemeroff CB (2008) The dexamethasone/corticotropin-releasing factor test in men with major depression: role of childhood trauma. Biol Psychiatry 63: 398-405.
- Carpenter LL, Tyrka AR, Ross NS, Khoury L, Anderson GM, et al. (2009) Effect of childhood emotional abuse and age on cortisol responsivity in adulthood. Biol Psychiatry 66: 69-75.
- Carroll BJ (1982) The dexamethasone suppression test for melancholia. Br J Psychiatry 140: 292-304.
- Miller AH, Spencer RL, Pulera M, Kang S, McEwen BS, et al. (1992) Adrenal steroid receptor activation in rat brain and pituitary following dexamethasone: implications for the dexamethasone suppression test. Biol Psychiatry 32: 850-869.
- Pariante CM, Papadopoulos AS, Poon L, Checkley SA, English J, et al. (2002) A novel prednisolone suppression test for the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis. Biol Psychiatry 51: 922-930.
- Juruena MF, Cleare AJ, Papadopoulos AS, Poon L, Lightman S, et al. (2006) Different responses to dexamethasone and prednisolone in the same depressed patients. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 189: 225-235.
- 23. Andreoli SB, Ribeiro WS, Quintana MI, Guindalini C, Breen G, et al. (2009) Violence and post-traumatic stress disorder in Sao Paulo and Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: the protocol for an epidemiological and genetic survey. BMC Psychiatry 9: 34.
- 24. Bressan RA, Quarantini LC, Andreoli SB, Araújo C, Breen G, et al. (2009) The posttraumatic stress disorder project in Brazil: neuropsychological, structural and molecular neuroimaging studies in victims of urban violence. BMC Psychiatry 9: 30.
- Spitzer RL, Williams JB, Gibbon M, First MB (1992) The Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-III-R (SCID). I: History, rationale, and description. Arch Gen Psychiatry 49: 624-629.
- First M, Spitzer RL, Gibbon M, Williams JBW (1995) The structured clinical interview for DSM-III-R personality disorders (SCID-II). Part I: Description. J Personal Disord 9: 2.
- Bremner JD, Vermetten E, Mazure CM (2000) Development and preliminary psychometric properties of an instrument for the measurement of childhood trauma: the Early Trauma Inventory. Depress Anxiety 12: 1-12.
- Mello MF, Schoedl AF, Pupo MC, Souza AA, Andreoli SB, et al. (2010) [Early Trauma Inventory (ETI): cross-cultural adaptation and internal consistency]. Cad Saude Publica 26: 713-724.
- 29. Blake DD, Weathers FW, Nagy LM, Kaloupek DG, Gusman FD, et al. (1995)

The development of a Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale. J Trauma Stress 8: 75-90.

- 30. BECK AT, WARD CH, MENDELSON M, MOCK J, ERBAUGH J (1961) An inventory for measuring depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 4: 561-571.
- Pupo MC, Jorge MR, Schoedl AF, Bressan RA, Andreoli SB, et al. (2011) The accuracy of the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS) to identify PTSD cases in victims of urban violence. Psychiatry Res 185: 157-160.
- Gorenstein C, Andrade L (1996) Validation of a Portuguese version of the Beck Depression Inventory and the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory in Brazilian subjects. Braz J Med Biol Res 29: 453-457.
- Marmar CR, Weiss D, Metzler T (1998) Peritraumatic Dissociative Experiences Questionnaire, in Trauma, memory, and dissociation. American Psychiatric Press: Washington, DC.
- 34. Fiszman A, Carla M, Berger W, Volchan E, Oliveira LAS, et al. (2005) Adaptação transcultural para o português do instrumento Peritraumatic Dissociative Experiences Questionnaire, Versão Auto- Aplicativa. Rev Psiquiatr RS maio/ ago 27: 151-158.
- Dratcu L, Calil HM (1989) The dexamethasone suppression test: its relationship to diagnoses, severity of depression and response to treatment. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 13: 99-117.
- 36. Heim C, Graham YP, Heit SC, Bonsall R, Miller AH, et al. (1998) Increased sensitivity of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis to psychosocial stress in adult survivors of childhood abuse. In Annual Meeting Society of Neuroscience.
- 37. Yehuda R, Boisoneau D, Lowy MT, Giller EL (1995) Dose-response changes in plasma cortisol and lymphocyte glucocorticoid receptors following dexamethasone administration in combat veterans with and without posttraumatic stress disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 52: 583-593.
- Stein MB, Yehuda R, Koverola C, Hanna C (1997) Enhanced dexamethasone suppression of plasma cortisol in adult women traumatized by childhood sexual abuse. Biol Psychiatry 42: 680-686.
- De Kloet ER, Vreugdenhil E, Oitzl MS, Joëls M (1998) Brain corticosteroid receptor balance in health and disease. Endocr Rev 19: 269-301.
- Pugeat MM, Dunn JF, Rodbard D, Nisula BC (1981) The significance of drug interactions with human TeBG and CBG under physiological conditions: a new approach. J Steroid Biochem 15: 487-490.
- Cassidy F, Ritchie JC, Verghese K, Carroll BJ (2000) Dexamethasone metabolism in dexamethasone suppression test suppressors and nonsuppressors. Biol Psychiatry 47: 677-680.
- Lan NC, Baxter JD (1982) A radioreceptor assay for direct measurement of plasma free glucocorticoid activity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 55: 516-523.
- Meibohm B, Hochhaus G, Rohatagi S, Möllmann H, Barth J, et al. (1997) Dependency of cortisol suppression on the administration time of inhaled corticosteroids. J Clin Pharmacol 37: 704-710.
- 44. Rupprecht R, Reul JM, van Steensel B, Spengler D, Söder M, et al. (1993) Pharmacological and functional characterization of human mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptor ligands. Eur J Pharmacol 247: 145-154.
- 45. Pariante CM, Pearce BD, Pisell TL, Su C, Miller AH (2001) The steroid receptor antagonists RU40555 and RU486 activate glucocorticoid receptor translocation and are not excreted by the steroid hormones transporter in L929 cells. J Endocrinol 169: 309-320.
- Reul JM, Gesing A, Droste S, Stec IS, Weber A, et al. (2000) The brain mineralocorticoid receptor: greedy for ligand, mysterious in function. Eur J Pharmacol 405: 235-249.
- Lan NC, Matulich DT, Morris JA, Baxter JD (1981) Mineralocorticoid receptorlike aldosterone-binding protein in cell culture. Endocrinology 109: 1963-1970.
- Ritzi EM (1996) Quantitative flow cytometry reveals a hierarchy of glucocorticoid effect on cell surface mouse mammary tumor virus gp52. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 57: 33-42.
- Ballard PL, Carter JP, Graham BS, Baxter JD (1975) A radioreceptor assay for evaluation of the plasma glucocorticoid activity of natural and synthetic steroids in man. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 41: 290-304.
- Juruena MF, Pariante CM, Papadopoulos AS, Poon L, Lightman S, et al. (2009) Prednisolone suppression test in depression: prospective study of the role of HPA axis dysfunction in treatment resistance. Br J Psychiatry 194: 342-349.

Page 8 of 8

- 51. Juruena MF, Pariante CM, Papadopoulos A, Cleare AJ (2010) The Development and Application of the Prednisolone suppression Test in Psychiatry: A novel Tool for Assessing Glucocorticoid and Mineralocorticoid Receptor Function. Mind & Brain. The Journal of Psychiatry 1: 115-122.
- 52. Holsboer F (2000) The corticosteroid receptor hypothesis of depression. Neuropsychopharmacology 23: 477-501.
- Pariante CM, Miller AH (2001) Glucocorticoid receptors in major depression: relevance to pathophysiology and treatment. Biol Psychiatry 49: 391-404.
- 54. Juruena MF, Cleare AJ, Papadopoulos AS, Poon L, Lightman S, et al. (2010) The prednisolone suppression test in depression: dose-response and changes with antidepressant treatment. Psychoneuroendocrinology 35: 1486-1491.
- 55. Seidegård J, Simonsson M, Edsbäcker S (2000) Effect of an oral contraceptive on the plasma levels of budesonide and prednisolone and the influence on plasma cortisol. Clin Pharmacol Ther 67: 373-381.
- Brunner E, Langer F (2000) Nonparametric analysis of ordered categorical data in designs with longitudinal observations and small sample sizes. Biometrical Journal 42: 663-675.

- 57. Green JG, McLaughlin KA, Berglund PA, Gruber MJ, Sampson NA, et al. (2010) Childhood adversities and adult psychiatric disorders in the national comorbidity survey replication I: associations with first onset of DSM-IV disorders. Arch Gen Psychiatry 67: 113-23.
- Fries AB, Shirtcliff EA, Pollak SD (2008) Neuroendocrine dysregulation following early social deprivation in children. Dev Psychobiol 50: 588-599.
- Mello MF, Faria AA, Mello AF, Carpenter LL, Tyrka AR, et al. (2009) [Childhood maltreatment and adult psychopathology: pathways to hypothalamic-pituitaryadrenal axis dysfunction]. Rev Bras Psiquiatr 31 Suppl 2: S41-48.
- Jerjes WK, Taylor NF, Wood PJ, Cleare AJ (2007) Enhanced feedback sensitivity to prednisolone in chronic fatigue syndrome. Psychoneuroendocrinology 32: 192-198.
- 61. Papadopoulos AS, Cleare AJ (2011) Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis dysfunction in chronic fatigue syndrome. Nat Rev Endocrinol 8: 22-32.

This article was originally published in a special issue, Posttraumatic Stress Disorder handled by Editor(s). Dr. Gautham Ullah, MC Master University, Canada